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Preface

The fourteenth edition of this classic textbook has been extensively updated to include many recent developments in dental biomaterials science and new materials for clinical use. The book continues to be designed for predoctoral dental students and also provides an excellent update of dental biomaterials science and clinical applications of restorative materials for students in graduate programs and residencies.

Dr. Ron Sakaguchi returns as the lead editor of the fourteenth edition. Dr. Sakaguchi serves as professor in the Division of Management in the School of Medicine and professor, Division of Biomaterials and Biomechanics in the Department of Restorative Dentistry at Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) in Portland, Oregon. He earned a BS in cybernetics from the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA), a DDS from Northwestern University, an MS in prosthodontics from the University of Minnesota, a PhD in biomaterials and biomechanics from Thames Polytechnic (London, England; now the University of Greenwich), and an MBA summa cum laude in entrepreneurship from Babson College.

Dr. Jack Ferracane is a new co-editor of the fourteenth edition. Dr. Ferracane serves as professor and chair of the Department of Restorative Dentistry and division director of Biomaterials and Biomechanics at Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) in Portland, Oregon. He earned a BS in biology from the University of Illinois and an MS and a PhD in biological materials from Northwestern University.

Dr. John M. Powers returns as a co-editor of the fourteenth edition. He served as the lead editor of the twelfth edition and contributed to the previous eight editions. Dr. Powers is clinical professor of oral biomaterials in the Department of Restorative Dentistry and Prosthodontics at the UTHouston School of Dentistry, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, and senior vice president of Dental Consultants, Inc. (publisher of The Dental Advisor). Dr. Powers was formerly Director of the Houston Biomaterials Research Center. He earned a BS in chemistry and a PhD in mechanical engineering and dental materials at the University of Michigan.

We thank our many chapter authors for their effort and expertise: Dr. Roberto Braga of the University of São Paulo; Dr. Isabelle Denny of the University of Iowa; Dr. Sharukh Khajotia of the University of Oklahoma; Dr. David Mahler of Oregon Health & Science University; Drs. Grayson and Sally Marshall of the University of California San Francisco (UCSF); Dr. John Mitchell of Midwestern University; Dr. Sumita Mitra of Mitra Chemical Consulting, LLC, and many years at 3M ESPE; and Dr. Carmem Pfeifer of Oregon Health & Science University.

The organization of the fourteenth edition follows the format of the popular thirteenth edition. Chapters are organized by major clinical procedures. Chapter 3 has been extensively revised with a new focus on materials-centered treatment design. The treatment design approach proposed by Spear and Kokich is discussed where treatment planning starts with an assessment of overall esthetics, to which a consideration of function, structure, and biology are added. A new table presents a summary of the approach with queries for each stage, and relevant materials and properties to be considered. The discussion of material and mechanical properties and their testing in Chapters 4 and 5 is updated and modernized to improve understanding. The history of amalgam and its fabrication now appears in the online companion to Chapter 10, along with other legacy metals and alloys. Tissue engineering materials have been extensively updated, with new figures, in Chapter 16.

A website accompanies this textbook. Included is the majority of the procedural, or materials handling, content that was in the twelfth and thirteenth editions. The website can be found at http://evolve.elsevier.com/Sakaguchi/restorative/, where you will also find extensive text and graphics to supplement the print version of the book.

Ron Sakaguchi
Jack Ferracane
John Powers
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Developments in materials science, stem cells, imaging, three-dimensional (3D) printing, and robotics have dramatically changed the way we look at the replacement of components of the human anatomy. The replacement of tooth structure lost to disease and injury continues to be a large part of general dental practice. Restorative dental materials are the foundation for the replacement of tooth structure.

Form and function are important considerations in the replacement of lost tooth structure. Although tooth form and appearance are aspects most easily recognized, function of the teeth and supporting tissues contributes greatly to the quality of life. The links between oral and general health are widely accepted. Proper function of the elements of the oral cavity, including the teeth and soft tissues, is needed for eating, speaking, swallowing, and proper breathing.

Restorative dental materials make the reconstruction of the dental hard tissues possible. In many areas, the development of dental materials has progressed more rapidly than for other anatomical prostheses. Because of their long-term success, patients often expect dental prostheses to outperform the natural materials they replace. The application of materials science is unique in dentistry because of the complexity of the oral cavity, which includes bacteria, high forces, ever changing pH, and a warm, fluid environment. The oral cavity is considered to be the harshest environment for a material in the body. In addition, when dental materials are placed directly into tooth cavities as restorative materials, there are very specific requirements for manipulation of the material. Knowledge of materials science and biomechanics is very important when choosing materials for specific dental applications and when designing the best solution for restoration of tooth structure and replacement of teeth.


SCOPE OF MATERIALS COVERED IN RESTORATIVE DENTISTRY

Restorative dental materials include representatives from the broad classes of materials: metals, polymers, ceramics, and composites. Dental materials include such items as resin composites, cements, glass ionomers, ceramics, noble and base metals, amalgam alloys, gypsum materials, casting investments, impression materials, denture base resins, and other materials used in restorative procedures. The demands for material characteristics and performance range from high flexibility required by impression materials to high stiffness required in crowns and fixed dental prostheses. Materials for dental implants require integration with bone. Some materials are cast to achieve excellent adaptation to existing tooth structure, whereas others are machined to produce very reproducible dimensions and structured geometries. When describing these materials, physical and chemical characteristics are often used as criteria for comparison. To understand how a material works, we study its chemical structure, its physical and mechanical characteristics, and how it should be manipulated to produce the best performance.

Most restorative materials are characterized by physical, chemical, and mechanical parameters that are derived from test data. Improvements in these characteristics might be attractive in laboratory studies, but the real test is the material’s performance in the mouth and the ability of the material to be manipulated properly by the dental team. In many cases, manipulative errors can negate the technological advances for the material. It is therefore very important for the dental team to understand fundamental materials science and biomechanics to select and manipulate dental materials appropriately.
A SYSTEMS APPROACH TO
RESTORATIVE MATERIALS

The practice of clinical dentistry depends not only on a complete understanding of the various clinical techniques but also on an appreciation of the fundamental biological, chemical, and physical principles that support the clinical applications. It is important to understand the “how” and “why” associated with the function of natural and synthetic dental materials.

A systems approach to assessing the chemical, physical, and engineering aspects of dental materials and oral function, along with the physiological, pathological, and other biological studies of the tissues that support the restorative structures, provides the best patient outcomes. This integrative approach, when combined with the best available scientific evidence, clinician experience, patient preferences, and patient modifiers, results in the best patient-centered care.

APPLICATION OF VARIOUS SCIENCES

In the chapters that follow, fundamental characteristics of materials are presented along with numerous practical examples of how the basic principles relate to clinical applications. Test procedures and fabrication techniques are discussed briefly but not emphasized. Many of the details of manipulation are found on the book’s website at http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

A more complete understanding of fundamental principles of materials and mechanics is important for the clinician to design and provide a prognosis for restorations. For example, the prognosis of long-span fixed dental prostheses, or bridges, is dependent on the stiffness and fracture resistance of the materials. When considering esthetics, the hardness of the material is an important property because it influences the ability to polish the material. Some materials release fluoride when exposed to water, which might be beneficial in high-caries-risk patients. When selecting a ceramic for in-office fabrication of an all-ceramic crown, the machining characteristic of ceramics is important. Implants have a range of bone and soft tissue adaptations that are dependent on surface texture, coatings, and implant geometry. These are just a few examples of the many interactions between the clinical performance of dental materials and fundamental scientific principles.

The toxicity of and tissue reactions to dental materials are receiving more attention as a wider variety of materials are being used and as federal agencies demonstrate more concern in this area. A further indication of the importance of the interaction of materials and tissues is the development of recommended standard practices and tests for the biological interaction of materials through the auspices of the American Dental Association (ADA).

After many centuries of dental practice, we continue to be confronted with the problem of replacing tooth tissue lost by either accident or disease. In an effort to constantly improve our restorative capabilities, the dental profession will continue to draw from materials science, product design, engineering, biology, chemistry, and the arts to further develop an integrated practice of dentistry.

FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS IN
BIOMATERIALS

In the United States about 50% of adults aged 20 to 64 have lost at least one permanent tooth to an accident, periodontal disease, a failed root canal, or tooth decay. In adults aged 65 and older, almost 19% have lost all of their natural teeth. That number is twice as large for adults aged 75 and over than for adults aged 65 to 74 (CDC/NCHS, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2011–2012). For children aged 5 to 19 years, 18% have untreated dental caries. For adults aged 20 to 44, that number is 27%. The demand for restorative care is tremendous. Advances in endodontology and periodontology enable people to retain teeth longer, shifting restorative care from replacement of teeth to long-term restoration and maintenance. Development of successful implant therapies has encouraged patients to replace individual teeth with fixed, single-tooth restorations rather than with fixed or removable dental prostheses. For those patients with good access to dental care, single-tooth replacements with implants are becoming a more popular option because they do not involve the preparation of adjacent teeth as for a fixed, multiunit restoration. Research into implant coatings, surface textures, graded properties, alternative materials, and new geometries will continue to grow. For those with less access, removable prostheses will continue to be used.

An emphasis on esthetics continues to be popular among consumers, and this will continue to drive the development and sales of tooth-whitening systems and esthetic restorations. There appears to be an emerging trend for a more natural looking appearance with some individuality as opposed to the uniform, sparkling white dentition that was previously requested by many patients. This will encourage manufacturers to develop materials that mimic natural dentition even more closely by providing the same depth of color and optical characteristics of natural teeth.
With the aging of the population, restorations for exposed root surfaces and worn dentitions will become more common. These materials will need to function in an environment with reduced salivary flow and atypical salivary pH and chemistry. Adhesion to these surfaces will be more challenging. This segment of the population will be managing multiple chronic diseases with many medications and will have difficulty maintaining an adequate regimen of oral home care. Restorative materials will be challenged in this difficult environment.

The interaction between the fields of biomaterials and molecular biology is growing rapidly. Advances in tissue regeneration will accelerate. Developments in nanotechnology are having a major impact on materials science. The properties we currently understand at the macro and micro levels will be very different at the nano level. Biofabrication and bioprinting methods are creating new structures and materials. This is a very exciting time for materials research, and clinicians will have much to look forward to in the near future as this body of research develops new materials for clinical applications.
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The tooth contains three specialized calcified tissues: enamel, dentin, and cementum (Fig. 2.1). Enamel is unique in that it is the most highly calcified tissue in the body and contains the least organic content of any of these tissues. Enamel provides the hard outer covering of the crown that allows efficient mastication. Dentin and cementum, like bone, are vital, hydrated, biological composite structures formed mainly of a collagen type I matrix reinforced with the calcium phosphate mineral called apatite. Dentin forms the bulk of the tooth and is joined to the enamel at the dentin-enamel junction (DEJ). The dentin of the tooth root is covered by cementum that provides connection of the tooth to the alveolar bone via the periodontal ligament. Although the structure of these tissues is often described in dental texts, the properties are often discussed only superficially. However, these properties are important with regard to the interrelationships of the factors that contribute to the performance necessary for the optimum function of these tissues.

In restorative dentistry we are interested in providing preventive treatments that will maintain tissue integrity and replace damaged tissues with materials that ideally will mimic the natural appearance and performance of those tissues when necessary. Thus knowledge of the structure and properties of these tissues is desirable both as a yardstick to measure the properties and performance of restorative materials and as a guide to the development of materials that will mimic their structure and function. In addition, many applications, such as dental bonding, require us to attach synthetic materials to the calcified tissues, and these procedures rely on detailed knowledge of the structure and properties of the adhesive tissue substrates.

Fig. 2.1 shows a schematic diagram of a posterior tooth sectioned to reveal the enamel and dentin components. Enamel forms the hard outer shell of the crown and as the most highly calcified tissue is well suited to resisting wear due to mastication. Enamel is formed by ameloblasts starting at the DEJ and proceeding outward to the tooth surface. The ameloblasts exchange signals with odontoblasts located on the other side of the DEJ at the start of the enamel and dentin formation, and the odontoblasts move inward from the DEJ as the ameloblasts forming enamel move outward to form the enamel of the crown. Most of the enamel organic matrix composed of amelogenins and enamelines is resorbed during tooth maturation to leave a calcified tissue that is largely composed of mineral and a sparse organic matrix. The structural arrangement of enamel forms keyhole-shaped structures known as enamel prisms or rods that are about 5 μm across, as seen in Fig. 2.2.

The overall composition is about 96% mineral by weight, with 1% lipid and protein and the remainder...
The organic portion and water probably play important roles in tooth function and pathology, and it is often more useful to describe the composition on a volume basis. On that basis we see the organic components make up about 3% and water 12% of the structure. The mineral is formed and grows into very long crystals of hexagonal shape about 40 nm across; these crystals have not been synthetically duplicated. There is some evidence that the crystals may span the whole enamel thickness, but this is difficult to prove because most preparation procedures lead to fracture of the individual crystallites. It appears that they are at least thousands of nanometers long. If this is true, then enamel crystals provide an extraordinary “aspect” ratio (length-to-width ratio) for a nanoscale material, and they are very different from the much smaller dentin crystals. The crystals are packed into enamel prisms or rods that are about 5 μm across, as shown in Fig. 2.2. These prisms are revealed easily by acid etching and extend in a closely packed array from the DEJ to the enamel surface and lie roughly perpendicular to the DEJ, except in cuspal areas where the rods twist and cross, known as decussation, which may increase fracture resistance. About 100 crystals of the mineral are needed to span the diameter of a prism, and the long axes of the crystals tend to align themselves along the prism axes, as seen in Fig. 2.2.

The crystals near the periphery of each prism deviate somewhat from the long axis toward the interface between prisms. The deviation in the tail of the prism is even greater. The individual crystals within a prism are also coated with a thin layer of lipid and/or protein that plays important roles in mineralization, although much remains to be learned about the details. Recent work suggests that this protein coat may lead to increased toughness of the enamel. The interfaces between prisms, or interrod enamel, contain the main organic components...
of the structure and act as passageways for water and ionic movement. These areas are also known as prism sheaths. These regions are of vital importance in etching processes associated with bonding and other demineralization processes, such as caries.

Etching of enamel with acids such as phosphoric acid, commonly used in enamel bonding, eliminates smear layers associated with cavity preparation, dissolves persisting layers of prismless enamel in deciduous teeth, and differentially dissolves enamel crystals in each prism. The pattern of etched enamel is categorized as type 1 (preferential prism core etching; Fig. 2.2A), type 2 (preferential prism periphery etching; Fig. 2.3C), and type 3 (mixed or uniform). Sometimes these patterns appear side by side on the same tooth surface (Fig. 2.3E).

micromechanical bond strength of the different etching patterns have been established. In a standard cavity preparation for a composite, the orientation of the enamel surfaces being etched could be perpendicular to enamel prisms (perimeter of the cavity outline), oblique cross section of the prisms (beveled occlusal or proximal margins), and axial walls of the prisms (cavity preparation walls). During the early stages of etching, when only a small amount of enamel crystal dissolution occurs, it may be difficult or impossible to detect the extent of the process. However, as the etching pattern begins to develop, the surface etched with phosphoric acid develops a frosty appearance (Fig. 2.3B), which has been used as the traditional clinical indicator for sufficient etching. This roughened surface provides the substrate for infiltration of bonding agents that can be polymerized after penetration of the etched enamel structure so that they form micromechanical bonds to the enamel when polymerized. With self-etching bonding agents, this frosty appearance cannot be detected.

There are two other important structural variations of enamel. Near the DEJ the enamel prism structure is not as well developed in the very first enamel formed, so that the enamel very close to the DEJ may appear aprismatic or without the prism-like structure. Similarly, on the outer surface of the enamel, at completion of the enamel surface, the ameloblasts degenerate and leave a featureless layer, called prismless enamel, on the outer surface of the crown. This layer is more often observed in deciduous teeth and is often worn off in permanent teeth. However, if present, this causes some difficulty in getting an effective etching pattern and may require roughening of the surface or additional etching treatments. The outer surface of the enamel is of great clinical significance because it is the surface subjected to daily wear and undergoes repeated cycles of demineralization and remineralization. As a result of these cycles, the composition of the enamel crystals may change, for example, as a result of exposure to fluoride. Thus the properties of the enamel might be expected to vary from the external to the internal surface. Such variations, including a thin surface veneer of fluoride-rich apatite crystals, create differences in the enamel properties within the enamel. Enamel is usually harder at the occlusal and cuspial areas and less hard nearer the DEJ. Fig. 2.4 shows an example of the difference in hardness.

**THE MINERAL**

The mineral of all calcified tissues is a highly defective relative of the mineral hydroxyapatite (HA). The biological apatites of calcified tissues are different from the ideal HA structure in that the defects and chemical substitutions generally make them weaker and more soluble in acids. HA has the simple formula $\text{Ca}_10(\text{PO}_4)_{6}(\text{OH})_2$, with an ideal molar ratio of calcium to phosphorus ($\text{Ca}/\text{P}$) of 1.67 and a hexagonal crystal structure. The apatite of enamel and dentin has a much more variable composition that depends on its formative history and other chemical exposures during maturity. Thus the mineral in enamel and dentin is a calcium-deficient, carbonate-rich, and highly substituted form related to HA. Metal ions such as magnesium (Mg) and sodium (Na) may substitute for calcium, whereas carbonate substitutes for the phosphate and hydroxyl groups. These substitutions distort the structure and make it more soluble. Perhaps the most beneficial substitution is the fluorine (F) ion, which substitutes for the hydroxyl group (OH) in the formula and makes the structure stronger and less soluble. Complete substitution of F for (OH) in HA yields fluoroapatite mineral, $\text{Ca}_10(\text{PO}_4)_{6}(\text{F})_2$, which is much less soluble than HA or the defective apatite of calcified tissues. It is worth noting that HA has attracted considerable attention as an implantable calcified tissue replacement. It has the advantage of being a purified and stronger form related to HA. Metal ions such as magnesium (Mg) and sodium (Na) may substitute for calcium, whereas carbonate substitutes for the phosphate and hydroxyl groups. These substitutions distort the structure and make it more soluble. Perhaps the most beneficial substitution is the fluorine (F) ion, which substitutes for the hydroxyl group (OH) in the formula and makes the structure stronger and less soluble. Complete substitution of F for (OH) in HA yields fluoroapatite mineral, $\text{Ca}_10(\text{PO}_4)_{6}(\text{F})_2$, which is much less soluble than HA or the defective apatite of calcified tissues. It is worth noting that HA has attracted considerable attention as an implantable calcified tissue replacement. It has the advantage of being a purified and stronger form related to HA.
DENTIN

Dentin is a complex hydrated biological composite structure that forms the bulk of the tooth. Furthermore, dentin is modified by physiological, aging, and disease processes that result in different forms of dentin. These altered forms of dentin may be the precise forms that are most important in restorative dentistry. Some of the recognized variations include primary, secondary, reparative or tertiary, sclerotic, transparent, carious, demineralized, remineralized, and hypermineralized. These terms reflect alterations in the fundamental components of the structure as defined by changes in their arrangement, interrelationships, or chemistry. A number of these may have important implications for our ability to develop long-lasting adhesion or bonds to dentin.

Primary dentin is formed during tooth development. Its volume and conformation, reflecting tooth form, vary with the size and shape of the tooth. Dentin is composed of about 50 volume percent (vol%) carbonate-rich, calcium-deficient apatite; 30 vol% organic matter, which is largely type I collagen; and about 20 vol% fluid, which is similar to plasma. Other noncollagenous proteins are thought to be involved in dentin mineralization and other functions such as controlling crystallite size and orientation. The role of noncollagenous proteins in biomineralization or simpler molecules that can mimic some of their functions may lead to dentin remineralization methods; however, these functions and possibilities are not discussed further in this text.

The major components are distributed into distinctive morphological features to form a vital and complex hydrated composite in which the morphology varies with location and undergoes alterations with age or disease. The tubules, one distinct and important feature of dentin, represent the tracks taken by the odontoblastic cells from the DEJ or cementum at the root to the pulp chamber and appear as tunnels piercing the dentin structure (Fig. 2.5). The tubules converge on the pulp chamber, and therefore tubule density and orientation vary from location to location (see Fig. 2.1). Tubule number density is lowest at the DEJ and highest at the predentin surface at the junction to the pulp chamber, where the odontoblastic cell bodies lie in nearly a close-packed array. Lower tubule densities are found in the root. The contents of the tubules include odontoblast processes, for all or part of their course, and fluid. The extent of the odontoblast process is still uncertain, but evidence is mounting that it extends to the DEJ. For most of its course, the tubule lumen is lined by a highly mineralized cuff of peritubular dentin approximately 0.5 to 1 μm thick (Fig. 2.6). Because the peritubular dentin forms after the tubule lumen has been formed, some argue that it may be more properly termed intratubular dentin and contains mostly apatite crystals with little organic matrix. A number of studies have concluded that the peritubular dentin does not contain collagen and therefore might be considered a separate calcified tissue. The tubules are separated by intertubular dentin composed of a matrix of type I collagen reinforced by apatite (see Figs. 2.5 and 2.6). This arrangement means that the amount of intertubular dentin varies with location. The apatite crystals are much smaller (approximately 5 × 30 × 100 nm) than the apatite found in enamel and contain about 5% carbonate. The small crystallite size, defect structure, and higher carbonate content lead to the greater dissolution susceptibility described above.

Estimates of the size of tubules, the thickness of the peritubular region, and the amount of intertubular dentin have been made in a number of studies. Calculations for occlusal dentin as a function of position from these data show that the percentage tubule area and diameter vary from about 22% and 2.5 μm near the pulp to 1% and 0.8 μm at the DEJ, respectively. Intertubular matrix area varies from 12% at the
predentin to 96% near the DEJ, whereas peritubular dentin ranges from over 60% down to 3% at the DEJ. Tubule densities are compared in Table 2.1 based on work by various investigators. It is clear that the structural components will vary considerably over their course and necessarily result in location-dependent variations in the distribution of the structural elements, and important properties such as permeability, moisture content, and available surface area for bonding. They may also affect bond strength, hardness, and other properties.

Because the odontoblasts come to rest just inside the dentin and line the walls of the pulp chamber after tooth formation, the dentin-pulp complex can be considered a vital tissue. This is different from mature enamel, which is acellular. Over time, secondary dentin forms and the pulp chamber gradually becomes smaller. The border between primary and secondary dentin is usually marked by a change in orientation of the dentin tubules. Furthermore, the odontoblasts react to form tertiary dentin in response to insults such as caries or tooth preparation, and this form of dentin is often less well organized than the primary or secondary dentin.

Early enamel carious lesions may be reversed by remineralization treatments. However, effective remineralization treatments are not yet available for dentin, and therefore the current standard of care dictates surgical intervention to remove highly damaged tissue and then restoration as needed. Thus it is important to understand altered forms of dentin and the effects of such clinical interventions.

When dentin is cut or abraded by dental instruments, a smear layer develops and covers the surface and obscures the underlying structure (Fig. 2.7). The bur cutting marks are shown in Fig. 2.7A and at higher magnification in Fig. 2.7B. Fig. 2.7C shows the smear layer thickness from the side and the development of smear plugs as the cut dentin debris is pushed into the dentin tubule lumen. The advantages and disadvantages of the smear layer have been extensively discussed for several decades. It reduces permeability and therefore aids in maintaining a drier field, and it reduces infiltration of noxious agents into the tubules and perhaps the pulp. However, it is now generally accepted that it is a hindrance to dentin bonding procedures and therefore is normally removed or modified by some form of acid conditioning.

Acid etching or conditioning allows for removal of the smear layer and alteration of the superficial dentin, opening channels for infiltration by bonding agents. Fig. 2.8 shows what happens in such an etching treatment. The tubule lumens widen as the peritubular dentin is preferentially removed because it is mostly mineral with sparse protein. The widened lumens form a funnel shape that is not very retentive.

Fig. 2.9 shows these effects in a slightly different way. Unetched dentin in Fig. 2.9A (top) has small tubules and peritubular dentin, which is removed in
the treated dentin at the exposed surface after etching (bottom). The two-dimensional network of collagen type I fibers is shown after treatment in Fig. 2.9A. Fig. 2.9B shows progressive demineralization of a dentin collagen fibril in which the external mineral and proteins are slowly removed to reveal the typical banded pattern of type I collagen. In Fig. 2.9C, this pattern is seen at high magnification of the treated dentin shown in Fig. 2.9A.

If the demineralized dentin is dried, the remaining dentin matrix shrinks and the collagen fibrils become matted and difficult to penetrate by bonding agents. This is shown in Fig. 2.10, which compares demineralized and dried dentin with demineralized and hydrated dentin.

Most restorative procedures involve dentin that has been altered in some way. Common alterations include formation of carious lesions that form various zones and include transparent dentin that forms under the caries-infected dentin layer. Transparent dentin results when the dentin tubules become filled with mineral, which changes the refractive index of the tubules and produces a translucent or transparent zone.

Fig. 2.11 shows a section through a tooth with a carious lesion, which has been stained to reveal its zones. The gray zone under the stained and severely demineralized dentin is the transparent layer (Fig. 2.11A). Fig. 2.11B shows the transparent dentin in which most of the tubule lumens are filled with mineral. After etching, as shown in Fig. 2.11C, the peritubular dentin is etched away, but the tubules retain plugs of the precipitated mineral, which is more resistant to etching. This resistance to etching makes bonding more difficult.

Several other forms of transparent dentin are formed as a result of different processes. A second form of transparent dentin results from bruxism. An additional form of transparent dentin results from aging as the root dentin gradually becomes transparent. In addition, noncarious cervical lesions, often called abfraction or notch lesions, form at the
enamel-cementum or enamel-dentin junction, usually on facial or buccal surfaces. Their etiology is not clear at this point; their formation has been attributed to abrasion, tooth flexure, and erosion, or some combination of these processes. Nonetheless, these lesions occur with increasing frequency with age, and the exposed dentin becomes transparent as the tubules are filled. Fig. 2.12 shows examples of transparent dentin in which the tubule lumens are completely filled.

The properties of the transparent dentin may differ from one to another depending on the processes that lead to deposition of the mineral in the tubules. Several studies have shown that elastic properties of the intertubular dentin are not altered by aging, although the structure may become more susceptible to fracture. Similarly, arrested caries will contain transparent dentin and this has often been called sclerotic dentin, a term that implies it may be harder than normal dentin. However, other studies have shown that the elastic properties of the intertubular dentin may actually be unaltered or lower than normal dentin.

Physical and Mechanical Properties
The marked variations in the structural elements of dentin when located within the tooth imply that the properties of dentin will vary considerably with location. That is, variable structure leads to variable properties.

Because one major function of tooth structure is to resist deformation without fracture, it is useful to have knowledge of the forces that are experienced by teeth during mastication. Measurements have given values on cusp tips of about 77 kg distributed over the cusp tip area of 0.039 cm², suggesting a stress of about 200 MPa.

Difficulties in Testing
In Table 2.2, values are presented for some important properties of enamel and dentin. The wide spread

FIG. 2.10 Demineralized dentin is sensitive to moisture and shrinks on drying. (A) Demineralized dentin undergoes shrinkage when air dried, forming a collapsed layer of collagen that is difficult to infiltrate with resin-bonding agents. (B) When kept moist, the collagen network is open and can be penetrated by bonding agents. (From Marshall GW, Marshall SJ, Kinney JH, et al. The dentin substrate: structure and properties related to bonding. J Dent. 1997;25:441–458.)

FIG. 2.12  Transparent dentin. (A) Viewed from the facial direction. (B) Viewed longitudinally. The transparent dentin results from filling of the tubules with mineral deposits that alter the optical properties of the tooth. (Courtesy G.W. Marshall.)
of values reported in the literature is remarkable. Some of the reasons for these discrepancies should be appreciated and considered in practice or when reading the literature.

First, human teeth are small, and therefore it is difficult to get large specimens and hold them, making the use of standard mechanical testing such as tensile, compressive, or shear tests difficult. When testing bonded teeth, the problem is even more complicated, and special tests have been developed to obtain insights into these properties. From the previous discussion of structural variations, it is also clear that testing such small inhomogeneous specimens means that the properties will not be uniform.

Another problem is the great variation in structure in both tissues. Enamel prisms are aligned generally perpendicular to the DEJ, whereas dentin tubules change their number density with depth as they course toward the pulp chamber. Preparing a uniform sample with the structures running all in one direction for testing is challenging. In addition, properties generally vary with direction and location, and the material is not isotropic; therefore the best a single value can tell you is some average value for the material.

Storage and time elapsed since extraction are also important considerations. Properties that exist in a natural situation or in situ or in vivo are of greatest interest. Clearly this condition is almost impossible to achieve in most routine testing, so changes that have occurred as a result of storage conditions prior to testing must be considered. It is also important to consider biological hazards because extracted teeth must be treated as potentially infective. How do you sterilize the teeth without altering their properties?

Autoclaving undoubtedly alters the properties of proteins and is therefore not appropriate for dentin, and it might also affect enamel.

Finally, the fluid content of these tissues must be considered. Moisture is a vital component of both tissues, and in vivo conditions cannot be replicated if the tissues have been desiccated (see Fig. 2.10). This becomes a critically important consideration in bonding to these tissues, as is discussed further in Chapter 13. In contrast to the importance of this issue is the issue of convenience. It is much more difficult to test the tissues in a fully hydrated condition than in a dry condition. All of these factors and a number of others, such as temperature of testing, will influence the results and contribute to a spread in the values reported for the properties.

Despite these limitations, some generalizations about the properties of these tissues are useful (see Table 2.1). Root dentin is generally weaker and softer than coronal dentin. Enamel also appears to vary in its properties, with cuspal enamel being stronger and harder than other areas, presumably as an adaption to masticatory forces. Dentin is less stiff than enamel (i.e., has a lower elastic modulus) and has higher fracture toughness. This may be counterintuitive but will become clearer when we define these terms in Chapter 4. In addition, dentin is viscoelastic, which means that its mechanical deformation characteristics are time dependent, and elastic recovery is not instantaneous. Thus dentin may be sensitive to how rapidly it is strained, a phenomenon called strain rate sensitivity. Strain rate sensitivity is characteristic of polymeric materials; the collagen matrix imparts this property to tissues such as dentin. Under normal circumstances, ceramic materials do not show this characteristic in their mechanical properties and are typically stiff, but brittle, and fracture without permanent deformation. Pure HA shows this typical characteristic, but when formed in enamel it exhibits significant toughness (see Chapter 4) that is only slightly less than that of dentin. This toughness is associated with the microstructure and the small protein component of enamel.

### The Dentin-Enamel Junction

The DEJ is much more than the boundary between enamel and dentin. Because enamel is very hard and dentin is much softer and tougher, they need to be joined together to provide a biomechanically compatible system. Joining such dissimilar materials is a challenge, and it is not completely clear how nature has accomplished this. However, the DEJ not only joins these two tissues but also appears to resist cracks in the enamel from penetrating into dentin and leading to tooth fracture, as shown in Fig. 2.13A.

Many such cracks exist in the enamel but do not

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Enamel</th>
<th>Dentin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive modulus of elasticity (GPa)</td>
<td>60–120</td>
<td>18–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportional limit (MPa)</td>
<td>70–353</td>
<td>100–190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength (MPa)</td>
<td>94–450</td>
<td>230–370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of elasticity (GPa)</td>
<td>11–19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength (MPa)</td>
<td>8–35</td>
<td>30–65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear strength (MPa)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural strength (MPa)</td>
<td>60–90</td>
<td>245–280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (GPa)</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>0.13–0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1 Properties of Enamel and Dentin
Biofilms are complex, surface-adherent, spatially organized polymicrobial communities containing bacteria surrounded by a polysaccharide matrix. Oral biofilms that form on the surfaces of teeth and biomaterials in the oral cavity are also known as dental plaque. When the human diet is rich in fermentable carbohydrates, the most prevalent organisms shown to be present in dental plaque are adherent acidogenic and aciduric bacteria such as streptococci and lactobacilli, which are primarily responsible for dental caries. Other consequences of long-term oral biofilm accumulation can also include periodontal diseases and periimplantitis (inflammation of the soft and hard tissues surrounding an implant), depending on the location of attachment of the biofilm.

Biofilm formation on hard surfaces in the oral cavity is a sequential process. A conditioning film from saliva (known as pellicle) containing adsorbed macromolecules such as phosphoproteins and glycoproteins is deposited on tooth structure and biomaterials within minutes after a thorough cleaning. This stage is followed by the attachment of planktonic (free-floating) bacteria to the pellicle. Division of the attached initial colonizing bacterial species produces microcolonies, and subsequent attachment of later colonizing species results in the formation of matrix-embedded multispecies biofilms. These biofilms can mature over time if they are not detached by mechanical removal or intrinsic factors.

Biofilm formation occurs via complicated physicochemical and cellular interactions between the substrate, pellicle, and bacteria. These interactions occur at several levels and can include physical proximity, metabolic exchange, signal molecule-mediated communication, exchange of genetic material, production of inhibitory factors, and coaggregation (“specific cell-to-cell recognition between genetically distinct cell types,” as defined by Kolenbrander et al., 2006).

The pellicle contains a variety of receptor molecules that are recognized primarily by streptococci (Fig. 2.14). This is evident in healthy individuals, who typically have biofilms containing a thin layer of adherent gram-positive cocci. The ability to bind to nonshedding surfaces such as enamel gives streptococci a tremendous advantage and is consistent with the observation that streptococci constitute 60% to 90% of the initial bacterial flora on enamel in situ. Furthermore, the streptococci are less sensitive to exposure to air than most oral bacteria because they are facultatively anaerobic and can participate in modifying the biofilm environment to a more reduced state, a condition often considered to favor an ecological shift toward gram-negative anaerobes.

Interactions among human oral bacteria are pivotal to the development of oral biofilms (see Fig. 2.14). In the first 4 hours of biofilm formation, gram-positive cocci appear to predominate, particularly mitis group streptococci. After 8 hours of growth, the majority of the bacterial population continues to be largely coccoid, but rod-shaped organisms are also observed. By 24 to 48 hours, thick deposits of cells with various morphologies can be detected, including coccoid, coccobacillary, rod-shaped, and filamentous bacteria. Within 4 days of biofilm growth, an increase in the numbers of gram-negative anaerobes is observed, and particularly of Fusobacterium nucleatum. The latter organism has the unique ability to coaggregate with a wide variety of bacteria and is believed to play a pivotal role in the maturation of biofilm because it forms coaggregation bridges with both early and late colonizers. As the biofilm matures, a shift is observed.
toward a composition of largely gram-negative morphotypes, including rods, filamentous organisms, vibrios, and spirochetes. These shifts in the microbial composition of biofilm are important because they correlate with the development of gingivitis (inflammation of gingival tissues).

Even though biofilms accumulate on restorative, orthodontic, endodontic, and implant biomaterials, the remainder of this section focuses on biofilms that accumulate on the surfaces of restorative and implant materials only. The precise mechanisms of bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation on the surfaces of dental materials have not yet been identified despite decades of research effort but are accepted to be complex processes that depend on a large number of factors. In vitro studies have shown that the adhesion of salivary proteins and bacteria at small distances (5–100 nm) from the surfaces of biomaterials is influenced by a combination of Lifshitz-van der Waals forces, electrostatic interactions, and acid-base...
bonding. Other properties such as substrate hydrophobicity, surface free energy, surface charge, and surface roughness have commonly been investigated in vitro for correlation with the number of adhering bacteria. Many of the aforementioned surface properties are described in later chapters.

The role of surface roughness in biofilm formation has been widely investigated. Smooth surfaces have been shown to attract less biofilm in vivo than rough surfaces. It has also been observed that hydrophobic surfaces that are located supragingivally attract less biofilm in vivo than more hydrophilic surfaces over a 9-day period. An increase in the mean surface roughness parameter ($R_s$) above a threshold value of 0.2 μm or an increase in surface free energy were found to result in more biofilm accumulation on dental materials. When both of those surface properties interact with each other, surface roughness was observed to have a greater effect on biofilm accumulation. The creation of a rough restoration surface caused by abrasion, erosion, air polishing or ultrasonic instrumentation, or a lack of polishing after the fabrication of a restoration has also been associated with biofilm formation.

Bacterial adhesion in vivo is considerably reduced by the formation of a pellicle, regardless of the composition of the underlying substrate. Pellicle formation has also been shown to have a masking effect on specific surface characteristics of biomaterials to a certain extent. Surfaces having a low surface energy were observed to retain the smallest amount of adherent biofilm because of the lower binding forces between bacteria and substrata even after several days of exposure in the human oral cavity. Reciprocally, the higher surface energy of many restorative materials compared with that of the tooth surface could result in a greater tendency for the surface and margins of the restoration to accumulate debris, saliva, and bacteria. This may in part account for the relatively high incidence of secondary (recurrent) carious lesions seen in enamel at the margins of resin composite and amalgam restorations.

Investigations of oral biofilms on restorative materials can generally be divided into in vivo, in situ, and in vitro studies, with the latter comprising monospecies or multispecies investigations. Biofilms that are formed on restorative materials can vary in thickness and viability. In vivo and in situ studies of biofilm formation on dental materials have produced inconsistent results, and a trend for accumulation on materials has not been determined so far.

Levels of cariogenic organisms (capable of producing or promoting caries) such as Streptococcus mutans have been shown to be higher in biofilms adjacent to posterior resin restorations than in biofilms adjacent to amalgam or glass ionomer restorations. The formation of oral biofilms has been associated with an increase in the surface roughness of resin composites, degradation of the material due to acid production by cariogenic organisms, hydrolysis of the resin matrix, and a decrease in microhardness of the restoration’s surface. Esters of salivary and bacterial origin have also been implicated as sources of degradation. In addition, it has been theorized that planktonic bacteria can enter the adhesive interface between the restorative material and the tooth, leading to secondary caries and pulp pathology. By contrast, trace amounts of unpolymerized resin, resin monomers, and the products of resin biodegradation, such as 2,2-bis[4(2,3-dihydroxypropoxy)phenyl]propane (BisHPPP), triethylene glycol monomethacrylate (TEGMA), triethylene glycol (TEG), and methacrylic acid (MA), have been shown to modulate the growth of oral bacteria in the vicinity of resin restorations. All of these factors create a cycle of bacteria-surface interaction that further increases surface roughness and encourages bacterial attachment to the surface, thereby placing the adjacent enamel at greater risk for secondary caries.

Bacterial adhesion to casting alloys and dental amalgams has received limited attention in recent times as dental amalgam is being discontinued in response to global concerns about mercury (Hg) in the environment. Biofilms on gold-based casting alloys are reported to be of low viability, possibly because of the bacteriostatic effect of gold. Biofilms on amalgam are also reported to have low viability, which could be attributed to the presence of the Hg(II) form of mercury in dental amalgam. Interestingly, amalgam restorations have been shown to promote the levels of Hg-resistant bacteria in vitro and in vivo. Resistance to antibiotics, and specifically tetracycline, was observed to be concurrent with Hg resistance in oral bacteria. However, it is worth noting that Hg-resistant bacteria were also found in children without amalgam fillings or previous exposure to amalgam.

Information regarding the morphology of biofilms on ceramic restorations is limited, although it is generally accepted that ceramic crowns accumulate less biofilm than adjacent tooth structure. The recent demonstration of increased surface roughness of zirconia surfaces in vitro after the use of hand and ultrasonic scaling instruments could be theorized to produce greater biofilm accumulation on zirconia restorations subsequent to dental prophylaxis procedures.

Biofilms that adhere to denture base resins predominantly contain Candida species of yeast. However, initial adhesion of bacteria such as streptococci to the denture base may have to occur before Candida species can form biofilms. This is attributed to the observation of bacteria on dentures within hours and Candida species after days, and to the ability of Candida species to bind to the cell wall receptors in streptococci. Biofilms on dentures have commonly been associated
with denture stomatitis (chronic inflammation of the oral mucosa) in elderly and immunocompromised patients. Removal of biofilms from dentures typically requires mechanical and/or chemical means and is a significant clinical problem because of biofilm adherence to the denture base resins.

The accumulation of biofilms on glass ionomer and resin-modified glass ionomer biomaterials is a factor that has been associated with an increase in the surface roughness of those biomaterials. Fluoride-releasing materials, and glass ionomers and composites in particular, can neutralize acids produced by bacteria in biofilms. Fluoride can provide cariostatic benefits and may affect bacterial metabolism under simulated cariogenic conditions in vitro. Although the large volume of saliva normally present in the oral cavity is hypothesized to result in fluoride concentrations that are too low for oral cavity-wide antibacterial protection, the amount of fluoride released could theoretically be sufficient to minimize demineralization in the tooth structure adjacent to glass ionomer and resin-modified glass ionomer restorations. In addition, glass ionomer materials can be recharged by daily exposure to fluoride-containing dentifrices, thereby compensating for the significant decrease in fluoride release that occurs over time. Interestingly, more studies are needed because clinical studies have not clearly demonstrated that fluoride-releasing restorative materials significantly reduce the incidence of secondary caries compared with nonfluoride-releasing biomaterials.

The accumulation of biofilms on titanium and titanium alloys that are used in dental implants has received much attention because biofilms play a significant role in determining the success of an implant. The sequence of microbial colonization and biofilm formation on dental implants has been shown to be similar to that on teeth, but differs in early colonization patterns. Several in vivo studies have confirmed that a reduction in mean $R_n$ of implant materials below the threshold value of 0.2 $\mu$m has no major effect on adhesion, colonization, or microbial composition. Compared with polished titanium surfaces, titanium implant surfaces that were modified with titanium nitride (TiN) showed significantly less bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation in vivo, thereby potentially minimizing biofilm accumulation and subsequent periimplantitis. Other contributing factors such as the hydrophobicity, surface chemistry, and surface free energy of the implant material have been found to play vital roles in bacterial adhesion to dental implant materials. In addition, the surface characteristics of the bacteria, the design of the implant and the abutment, and the microgap between the implant and abutment have also been shown to influence microbial colonization on dental implants.

The most common reason for the replacement of dental restorations is secondary caries at the gingival tooth-restoration margin. It is estimated that 50% to 80% of resin restorations are replaced annually in the United States alone. The cost of replacing restorations is estimated to be in the billions of dollars worldwide, and the number and cost of replacing restorations is increasing annually. Although bacteriological studies of secondary caries indicate that its etiology is similar to that of primary caries, the mechanisms by which secondary caries occur are a focus of ongoing investigations.

The removal of tenaciously adherent oral biofilms from hard surfaces is crucial to caries control and is most effectively accomplished by mechanical brushing with toothpaste, especially in interproximal regions and posterior teeth along with the use of adjunctive chemical agents. Although tooth brushing has been associated with increased surface roughness of restorations over time due to the process of wear, which could permit additional bacterial attachment on the surface, mechanical removal has been shown to be more effective than chemical intervention. This is because bacteria in biofilms are typically well protected from the host immune response, antibiotics, and antibacterials when embedded within a complex biofilm matrix. Furthermore, most antimicrobial agents have commonly been tested against planktonic bacteria, which are killed by much lower concentrations of antimicrobials than biofilm bacteria. Chemical control of biofilms has also been limited by concerns regarding the development of resistant microorganisms resulting from the prolonged use of antimicrobials, and acceptance of the hypothesis that the microflora should not be eliminated but should instead be prevented from shifting from a favorable ecology to an ecology favoring oral disease.
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Materials-Centered Treatment Design

This section presents two concepts in dental treatment design: evidence-based dentistry and materials-centered design. Both concepts are used to develop rational treatment plans that consider the patient’s needs and preferences, and materials characteristics appropriate for those needs.

**EVIDENCE-BASED DENTISTRY**

The American Dental Association (ADA) defines evidence-based dentistry as “an approach to oral healthcare that requires the judicious integration of systematic assessments of clinically relevant scientific evidence, relating to the patient’s oral and medical condition and history, with the dentist’s clinical expertise and the patient’s treatment needs and preferences” (http://ebd.ada.org). This approach is patient centered and tailored to the patient’s needs and preferences. All three elements are used in the decision-making process for patient care (Fig. 3.1).

**Patient Evidence**

Patient needs, conditions, and preferences are considered throughout the diagnostic and treatment planning process. Observation of patient needs and medical/dental history occurs first. In this phase, performance of prior and existing restorations, in terms of success or failure, should be noted. This is often a good indicator of conditions in the oral environment and the prognosis of success of similar materials in this environment. The patient’s facial profile and orofacial musculature is a good indicator of potential occlusal forces. Wear patterns on occlusal surfaces are indicators of bruxing, clenching, occlusal forces, and mandibular movements. Cervical abfractions may indicate heavy occlusal contact accompanied by bruxing or occlusal interferences, and possibly in association with aggressive tooth brushing and acidic conditions. Erosion on anterior teeth typically suggests elevated levels of dietary acids, and generalized wear without occlusal trauma could involve a systemic disorder such as gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). Any of these conditions would compromise the longevity of restorative therapy. Unusually harsh environments require careful restoration design and selection of materials, sometimes different from the norm.

Restorative material options then need to be considered with the problems and needs of the patient. The integration of patient data and materials characteristics forms a more comprehensive plan for treatment.

**Scientific Evidence**

When searching for scientific evidence, the best available evidence, usually compiled from a review of the scientific literature, provides objective information to inform the clinician and patient. The highest level of validity is chosen to minimize bias. These studies are typically meta-analyses of randomized controlled
Assessing the Quality of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Quality</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatment/Prevention/Screening</th>
<th>Prognosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1: good-quality, patient-oriented evidence</td>
<td>Validated clinical decision rule&lt;br&gt;Square--meta-analysis of high-quality studies&lt;br&gt;High-quality diagnostic cohort study&lt;br&gt;SR/meta-analysis of high-quality studies&lt;br&gt;High-quality diagnostic cohort study&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>SR/meta-analysis or RCTs&lt;br&gt;High-quality individual RCT&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;br&gt;All-or-none study&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>SR/meta-analysis of good-quality cohort studies&lt;br&gt;Prospective cohort study with good follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2: limited-quality patient-oriented evidence</td>
<td>Unvalidated clinical decision rule&lt;br&gt;Square--meta-analysis of lower quality studies or studies with inconsistent findings&lt;br&gt;Lower quality diagnostic cohort study or diagnostic case-control study</td>
<td>SR/meta-analysis of lower quality clinical trials or of studies with inconsistent findings&lt;br&gt;Lower quality clinical trial&lt;br&gt;Cohort study&lt;br&gt;Case-control study</td>
<td>SR/meta-analysis of lower quality cohort studies or with inconsistent results&lt;br&gt;Retrospective cohort study or prospective cohort study with poor follow-up&lt;br&gt;Case-control study&lt;br&gt;Case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3: other evidence</td>
<td>Consensus guidelines, extrapolations from bench research, usual practice, opinion, disease-oriented evidence (intermediate or physiologic outcomes only), or case series for studies of diagnosis, treatment, prevention, or screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCT, Randomized controlled trial; SR, systematic review.

<sup>a</sup>High-quality diagnostic cohort study: cohort design, adequate size, adequate spectrum of patients, blinding, and a consistent, well-defined reference standard.

<sup>b</sup>High-quality RCT: allocation concealed, blinding if possible, intention-to-treat analysis, adequate statistical power, adequate follow-up (greater than 80%).

<sup>c</sup>In an all-or-none study, the treatment causes a dramatic change in outcomes, such as antibiotics for meningitis or surgery for appendicitis, which precludes study in a controlled trial.


---

trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, or individual RCTs. Lower levels of evidence are found in case studies, cohort studies, and case reports. Laboratory studies are listed as “other evidence” because a clinical correlation can be made only as an extrapolation of the laboratory data. The listing of bench or laboratory research as “other evidence” should not be construed as meaning that bench research is not valid or useful. The hierarchy of evidence as presented for evidence-based data (EBD) is based on human clinical trials, for which laboratory tests are at best only a simulation.

Because new material developments that are enhancements to existing products are not required to undergo clinical testing by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), published laboratory or in vitro studies are often the only forms of scientific evidence available for specific materials. This does not mean that no evidence is available. However, the clinician must recognize the limitations of these data, despite their scientific validity, when translating them to the clinical situation and making treatment decisions for a patient (Table 3.1).

Researchers in dental materials science have analyzed the correlation between one or two physical or mechanical properties of materials and clinical performance. Although it is possible to use laboratory tests to rank the clinical performance of different formulations of the same class of material, the perfect clinical predictor remains to be found. Differences in test configuration, specimen geometry, specimen processing, and environment conditions make direct comparison between laboratory tests difficult. However, understanding these tests and the information they provide can provide guidance for the selection of a material for a specific situation. Tests and the properties they assess are discussed in Chapter 5.

PLANNING FOR DENTAL TREATMENT

Every patient is unique, including the patient’s oral environment and general physiology. This provides a unique set of circumstances and challenges for implementing successful materials choices in a treatment plan. In the next section, we present a rationale for selecting materials, based on the treatment design approach proposed by Spear and Kokich. They advocate a treatment planning process that starts with an assessment of overall esthetics and proceeds to consider function, structure, and biology in that order. Decisions made at every stage directly affect the following stages. Treatment begins with the acute problems then progresses logically to facilitate a stepwise sequence that can be clearly defined and communicated among the clinicians involved in delivering care to the patient. Table 3.2 presents a
### TABLE 3.2  Decision Matrix for Selecting Dental Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment and Factors</th>
<th>Query</th>
<th>Relevant Dental Materials and Properties (Chapter No.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ESTHETICS: MAXILLARY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central incisors relative to upper lip</td>
<td>• Is the incisal edge display of the maxillary centrals sufficient?</td>
<td>Surface characteristics (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Light, reflection, color (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resin composites (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ceramics (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adhesives and cements (9, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midline and inclination of incisors</td>
<td>• Does the maxillary midline need correction?</td>
<td>Surface characteristics (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Does the inclination of the maxillary incisors need correction?</td>
<td>Light, reflection, color (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resin composites (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ceramics (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adhesives and cements (9, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior occlusal plane</td>
<td>• Does the maxillary posterior occlusal plane need correction?</td>
<td>Forces and wear (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Is sufficient tooth structure present?</td>
<td>Core buildup (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• What are the surface characteristics of the opposing dentition?</td>
<td>Provisional materials (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resin composites (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adhesives and cements (9, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Metals and alloys (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ceramics (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival levels</td>
<td>• Do gingival margins need correction?</td>
<td>Resin composites (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glass ionomers (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ceramics (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adhesives and cements (9, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ESTHETICS: MANDIBULAR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Same factors as for maxillary: Midline, inclination, posterior occlusal plane, and gingival levels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ESTHETICS AND FUNCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing teeth</td>
<td>• Are missing teeth in need of replacement?</td>
<td>Forces, stress, and wear (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Is a fixed or removable prosthesis preferred?</td>
<td>Provisional materials (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Should adjacent teeth be involved in the replacement?</td>
<td>Adhesives and cements (9, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• What are the surface characteristics of the opposing dentition?</td>
<td>Denture materials (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Metals and alloys (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ceramics (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impression materials (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Casting materials (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Implants (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FUNCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion</td>
<td>• Does the occlusal relationship need correction?</td>
<td>Forces, stress, and wear (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• What are the surface characteristics of the opposing dentition?</td>
<td>Resin composites (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Metals and alloys (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ceramics (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impression materials (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Casting materials (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adhesives and cements (9, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Implants (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Articulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIOLOGIC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral environment: enamel, dentin, pulp, and periodontal ligament</td>
<td>• Is acute disease present?</td>
<td>Oral environment (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Are conditions in the oral environment favorable (e.g., saliva pH, salivary flow, oral hygiene, diet, supporting bone structure, pulp, occlusal habits)?</td>
<td>Forces, stress, and wear (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Biocompatibility (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediary materials (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue engineering (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This table augments the sequence and logic presented in the section “Planning for Dental Treatment” with factors, queries, and references to chapters in this textbook.*
summary of the approach with queries for each stage, and relevant materials and properties to be considered.

An esthetics appraisal analyzes the position of the midline and the length of the maxillary and mandibular incisors, which influence the position of the posterior occlusal plane, which in turn influences function. The esthetics appraisal starts with an assessment of the position of the maxillary central incisors relative to the upper lip. If the incisal edge display is insufficient, lengthening of the incisal edges can be done surgically or by orthodontic treatment, or by restorative methods using dental materials. Most cultures would prefer materials that mimic natural dentition in color, texture, and reflectance. Ceramics and resin composites exhibit these properties. A number of options exist for these two classes of materials. Ceramics are discussed in Chapter 11. Resin composites are discussed in Chapter 9.

The next consideration in the esthetics appraisal is position of the midline and inclination of the maxillary incisors. The labial surface characteristics and inclination influence the light reflectance of the incisors. Maximum light reflectance is achieved when the labial surface is perpendicular to the occlusal plane. Corrections to the midline and to the labial inclination can be done by orthodontics or restorative dentistry. Surface characteristics, light, reflection, and color are discussed in Chapter 4.

The next step assesses the maxillary posterior occlusal plane relative to an ideal position of the maxillary incisal edge. Corrections to the posterior occlusal plane can be achieved by surgery or restorative procedures. Materials for adjusting the posterior occlusion can be the same as for anterior restorations; however, the function of posterior teeth and occlusal relationships should be considered in the selection of materials. The wear of materials in contact as well as the resistance to occlusal forces are important considerations. Forces and wear are discussed in Chapter 4. Metals and alloys are discussed in Chapter 10.

Gingival levels of the anterior teeth play a large role in esthetics. Similarly, the appearance of the gingival margin of anterior restorations will influence their overall esthetics. Ceramics can be used to fabricate restorations with an esthetic gingival margin. The combination of ceramics and metal at the gingival margin, as in ceramic-metal restorations, can make the esthetic gingival margin more difficult to achieve. Ceramic-metal materials are discussed in Chapters 10 and 11.

After completing the assessment and plan for the maxillary anterior and posterior teeth, the mandibular anterior and posterior teeth can be assessed and designed. Missing teeth can be restored by a fixed or removable dental prosthesis, or a dental implant. Materials for a fixed dental prosthesis include metals and ceramics. Removable prostheses or removable partial dentures can include these materials and polymers. Polymers for removable dentures are discussed in Chapter 9. Single or multiple missing teeth can be restored by dental implants that mimic the shape and position of the tooth root onto which restorations such as a crown or fixed dental prosthesis are secured. Implants are discussed in Chapter 15. Adhesives and cements for securing prostheses to tooth structure are discussed in Chapters 9 and 13.

The esthetic plan is integrated with the functional occlusion by replicating the patient’s dentition and occlusal relationships, then positioning these casts on an articulator. Materials for replicating dentition and oral tissues are called impression materials. These materials form a negative replica or mold of the tissues into which a rigid-setting material, often gypsum, is poured to make a positive replica of the oral tissues. Impression and casting materials are discussed in Chapter 12.

The biological assessment wraps up the diagnostics and planning. In this phase, the health of the supporting periodontal tissues including the periodontal ligament is evaluated, along with the conditions of the oral environment, and condition of the enamel, dentin, and pulp. If acute disease such as dental carries is present, intermediary materials can be used to stabilize the condition before definitive materials are used. Intermediary materials are discussed in Chapter 8. The oral environment is discussed in Chapter 2.

As mentioned at the start of this chapter, patient behaviors and preferences are also an important consideration. The patient may need instruction and coaching on prevention and maintenance of dental treatment. Preventive materials are discussed in Chapter 8. The performance of prior dental treatment is evaluated. Reactions to materials used in prior restorations should be considered. Biocompatibility and tissue reactions are discussed in Chapter 6.

Refer to Table 3.2 for questions to consider in each stage of treatment design along with relevant dental materials and properties. Chapter numbers are listed for the materials and properties for further information and review.
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Restorative dental materials are subjected to a very hostile environment, in which pH, salivary flow, and mechanical loading fluctuate constantly and often rapidly. These challenges have required substantial research and development to provide products for the clinician. Much of this is possible through the application of fundamental concepts of materials science. The understanding of properties of polymers, ceramics, and metals is crucial to their selection and design of dental restorations.

No single property defines the quality of a material. Several properties, determined from standardized laboratory and clinical tests, are often used to describe quality. Clinical tests are expensive and inherently difficult to carry out, so laboratory tests are usually performed before clinical tests to provide standardized measures for comparing materials and guiding the interpretation of clinical trials.

Standardization of laboratory tests is essential, however, to control quality and permit comparison of results between investigators. When possible, test specimens should mimic the size and shape of the structure in the clinical setting, using the same mixing and manipulating procedures as those used in routine clinical conditions.

Although standardized laboratory tests are useful to compare values of properties of different restorative materials (e.g., different brands), they are also essential to know the characteristics of the supporting hard and soft tissues. Many restorations fail clinically because of fracture or deformation. This is a material property issue. Some well-constructed restorations become unserviceable because the dentin or enamel fails. This is an interface or substrate failure. Consequently, when designing restorations and interpreting test results, it is important to remember that the success of a restoration depends not only on the physical qualities of the restorative material, but also on the biophysical or physiological qualities of the supporting tissues.

The physical properties described in this chapter include mechanical properties, thermal properties, electrical and electromechanical properties, color, and optical properties.

**MECHANICAL PROPERTIES**

In the oral environment, restorative materials are exposed to chemical, thermal, and mechanical challenges. These challenges can cause deformation of the material. The science that studies how biological materials interact and deform is called biomechanics. This section introduces concepts of elastic, plastic, and viscoelastic deformation and mechanical quantities including force, stress, strain, strength, toughness, hardness, friction, and wear in terms of performance of materials in the oral environment.

**Force**

One body interacting with another generates force. Forces may be applied through actual contact of the bodies or at a distance (e.g., gravity). The result of an applied force on a body is translation or deformation of the body depending on whether the body is rigid or deformable and whether the body is constrained or not. If the body is constrained (i.e., does not move), the force causes the body to deform or change its shape. If the body is free of constraints, an applied force results in movement.

A force is defined by three characteristics: point of application, magnitude, and direction of application. The direction of a force is characteristic of the type of force. The International System of Units (SI) unit of force is the newton (N). One pound-force (lb-f) equals 4.4 newtons (N).

**Occlusal Forces**

Maximum occlusal forces range from 200 to 3500 N. Occlusal forces between adult teeth are highest in the
posterior region closest to the mandibular hinge axis and decrease from the molars to the incisors. Forces on the first and second molars vary from 400 to 800 N. The average force on the premolars, canines, and incisors is about 300, 200, and 150 N, respectively. A somewhat nonlinear but definite increase in force from 235 to 494 N occurs in growing children, with an average yearly increase of about 22 N.

**Forces on Restorations**

Patients with a partial removable denture generate occlusal forces in the range of 65 to 235 N. For patients with a complete removable denture, the average force on posterior teeth is about 100 N; the forces on the incisors average 40 N. Age and gender variations in the patient populations, as well as facial form and muscle definition, contribute to the large variation in force values. When designing restorations and selecting materials, it is important to consider the location in the arch, opposing dentition, and force-generating capacity of the patient. The success or failure of other restorations in the patient’s mouth should be an indication of how challenging those factors are for that specific individual.

**Stress**

When a force acts on a constrained body, the body resists the force. This internal reaction is equal in magnitude and opposite in direction to the applied external force, and is called stress, typically denoted as \( \sigma \) or \( \sigma_x \). Both the applied force and the internal resistance (stress) are distributed over an area of the body, so the stress in an object is defined as the force per area, or stress = force/area. Stress is difficult to measure directly, so the force and the area to which the force is applied are measured, and stress is calculated from the ratio of force per area. The unit of stress therefore is the unit of force (N) divided by a unit of area, and is commonly expressed in SI units as pascal (1 Pa = 1 N/m\(^2\) = 1 MN/mm\(^2\)). It is common to report stress in units of megapascals (MPa) or millions of pascals, 1 MPa = 10\(^6\) Pa.

Stress in a structure varies directly with the force and inversely with area, so the area over which the force acts is an important consideration. This is particularly true in dental restorations in which the areas over which the forces are applied often are extremely small. For example, cusp areas of contact may have cross-sectional areas of only 0.16 to 0.016 cm\(^2\).

Stresses of several hundred MPa occur in many types of restorations and of thousands of MPa when the contact area of a cusp or dental explorer is used to apply the force. This is one reason that premature contacts, in which small surface areas are supporting large occlusal forces, are so damaging. When equilibrating the occlusion, multiple simultaneous occlusal contacts are desirable. Distributing occlusal forces over larger surface areas reduces the local occlusal stress.

**Types of Stress**

A force can be applied from any angle or direction. Several forces often combine to develop complex stresses in a structure. It is rare for forces and stresses to be isolated to a single axis. Individually applied forces can be defined as axial, shear, bending, or torsional. These directional forces are illustrated in a simplified manner in Fig. 4.1. All stresses, however, can be resolved into combinations of two basic types: axial and shear.

*Tension* results from two sets of forces directed away from each other in the same straight line or when one end is constrained and the other end is subjected to a force directed away from the constraint. *Compression* results from two sets of forces directed toward each other in the same straight line or when one surface is constrained and the other is subjected to a force directed toward the constraint. *Shear* occurs from two sets of forces directed parallel to each other, but not along the same straight line. *Torsion* results from the twisting of a body, and *bending or flexure* results from an applied bending moment. When tension is applied, the molecules making up the body resist being pulled apart. When compression is applied, they resist being forced more closely together. As a result of a shear stress application, one portion of the body must resist sliding past another. These resistances of a material to deformation represent the basic qualities of elasticity of solid bodies.

An example of the complexity and varying direction and magnitude of stresses in the oral cavity is shown in Fig. 4.2, in which a finite element model of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FORCE</th>
<th>DEFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial, tension</td>
<td>Elongation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial, compression</td>
<td>Compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear</td>
<td>Shear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twisting moment</td>
<td>Torsion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending moment</td>
<td>Bending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 4.1 Schematic of the different types of stresses and their corresponding deformations.
4. FUNDAMENTALS OF MATERIALS SCIENCE

a dental implant is loaded in compression. Fig. 4.2A shows the stresses on the shoulder of the implant resulting from occlusal forces. Fig. 4.2B shows the distribution of stresses in the implant abutment.

**Strain**

Each type of stress is capable of producing a corresponding deformation in a body (see Fig. 4.1). The deformation from a tensile force is an elongation in the axis of applied force, whereas a compressive force causes compression or shortening of the body in the axis of loading. Strain, \( \varepsilon \), is described as the change in length \( (\Delta L = L - L_0) \) per original length \( (L_0) \) of the body when it is subjected to a load. The units of measurement (length/length) cancel in the calculation of strain.

\[
\text{Strain } (\varepsilon) = \frac{\text{Deformation}}{\text{Original length}} = \frac{(L - L_0)}{L_0} = \frac{\Delta L}{L_0}
\]

If a load is applied to a wire with an original length of 2 mm resulting in a new length of 2.02 mm, it has deformed 0.02 mm and the strain is \( 0.02/2 = 0.01 \), or 1%. Strain is often reported as a percentage. Although the length units cancel in the calculation of strain, it is best to report the units with the final result to specify the scale of the measurement (m/m; mm/mm; \( \mu \text{m}/\mu \text{m} \)). The amount of strain will differ with each type of material and with the magnitude of the load applied. Note that regardless of the composition or nature of the material, and regardless of the magnitude and type of load applied to the material, deformation and strain result with each stress application. Strain is an important consideration in dental restorative materials, such as orthodontic wires or implant screws, in which a large amount of strain can occur before failure. Wires can be bent and adjusted without fracturing. Strain is also important in impression materials, where the material needs to recover without permanent distortion when removing it from hard tissue retentive areas.

**Stress-Strain Curves**

If a bar of material is subjected to an applied force, \( F \), the magnitude of the force and the resulting deformation \( (\delta) \) can be measured. In another bar of the same material, but different dimensions, the same applied force produces different force-deformation characteristics (Fig. 4.3A). However, if the applied force is normalized by the cross-sectional area \( A \) of the bar (stress), and the deformation is normalized by the original length of the bar (strain), the resulting stress-strain curve is independent of the geometry of the bar (Fig. 4.3B). It is therefore preferred that the stress-strain relations of an object be reported rather than the force-deformation characteristics. The stress-strain relationship of a dental material can be studied by measuring the load and deformation and then calculating the corresponding stress and strain.

When testing materials, loads should be applied at a uniform rate, and deformation should occur at a uniform rate. A typical universal testing machine can analyze materials in tension, compression, or shear. In the scheme illustrated in Fig. 4.4, a rod is clamped between two jaws and a tensile force is applied. The load is measured with a force transducer and the deformation is measured with an extensometer clamped over a specified length of the specimen. A plot of load versus deformation is produced, which can be converted to a plot of stress versus strain (Fig. 4.5) by the simple calculations
described previously. By convention, strain is plotted on the x-axis as an independent variable because most tests are operated in strain control, where a constant strain is applied to the specimen and the resulting force is measured as the dependent, or y-axis, variable.

In the calculation of stress, it is assumed that the cross-sectional area of the specimen remains constant during the test. Using this assumption, the stress-strain curve is called an engineering stress-strain curve, and stresses are calculated using the original cross-sectional area. When large loads are applied, or the object is tested in tension, the cross-sectional area might change dramatically during testing. In that case, the true stress, calculated with the actual cross-sectional area in the denominator, is very different than the engineering stress, calculated with the original cross-sectional area. If the cross-sectional area decreases during the test, the true stress will be higher than the engineering stress because the denominator is smaller. In most mechanical tests, particularly those of small specimen dimensions, the original cross-sectional area is used for calculating stress because it is often very difficult to measure the cross-sectional area as it changes throughout the experiment. Engineering stress is used in the presentation of stress-strain curves obtained in tension in this chapter.

**Proportional and Elastic Limits**

A stress-strain curve for a hypothetical material subjected to increasing tensile stress until failure is shown in Fig. 4.5. As the stress is increased, the strain is increased. In the initial portion of the curve, from 0 to A, the stress is linearly proportional to the strain. As the strain is doubled, the stress is also doubled. After point A, the stress is no longer linearly proportional to the strain. Hence the value of the stress at A is known as the proportional limit (\(S_{PL}\) or \(\sigma_{PL}\)), defined as the highest stress at which the stress-strain curve is a straight line; that is, stress is linearly proportional to strain. Below the proportional limit, no permanent deformation occurs in a structure. When the force is...
removed, the object will return to its original dimensions. Below the proportional limit, the material is elastic in nature.

The region of the stress-strain curve before the proportional limit is called the **elastic region**. When an object experiences a stress greater than the proportional limit, permanent or irreversible strain occurs. The region of the stress-strain curve beyond the proportional limit is called the **plastic region**. This characterization refers to linearly elastic materials such as many metals in which the relation between stress and strain is linear up to the proportional limit, and nonlinear thereafter. There are exceptions to this general rule, however. Materials described as **superelastic** exhibit nonlinear elastic behavior; that is, their relationship between stress and strain in the elastic region does not follow a straight line, but removal of the load results in a return to zero strain.

The **elastic limit** ($S_{EL}$ or $\sigma_{EL}$) is defined as the maximum stress that a material will withstand without permanent deformation. For linearly elastic materials, the proportional limit and elastic limit represent the same stress within the structure, and the terms are often used interchangeably in referring to the stress involved. An exception is when superelastic materials are considered. It is important to remember, however, that the two terms differ in fundamental concept; one deals with the proportionality of strain to stress in the structure, whereas the other describes the elastic behavior of the material. For the same material, values for proportional or elastic limit obtained in tension versus compression will differ.

The concepts of elastic and plastic behavior can be illustrated with a simple schematic model of the deformation of atoms in a solid under stress (Figs. 4.6 and 4.7). The atoms are shown in Fig. 4.6A, without stress, and in Fig. 4.6B, with a resulting stress that is below the value of the proportional limit. When the stress shown in (B) is removed, the atoms return to their positions shown in (A), indicating that the deformation was reversible. When the stress is greater than the proportional limit, the atoms move to a position as shown in Fig. 4.7B, and on removal of the stress, the atoms remain in this new position, indicating an irreversible, permanent deformation. When the stress is less than the proportional or elastic limit, the strain is reversible, whereas when the stress is greater than the proportional or elastic limit, there is an irreversible or permanent strain in the object.

**Yield Strength**

It is often difficult to explicitly measure the proportional and elastic limits because the precise point of
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FIG. 4.7 Sketch of an atomic model showing atoms in original position (A) and after plastic deformation (B). (Modified from Anusavice KJ. Phillips' Science of Dental Materials. 11th ed. St. Louis: Saunders; 2003:79.)

development of the stress-strain curve from linearity is difficult to determine. The yield strength or yield stress or yield point (YS or \( \sigma_y \)) of a material is a property that can be determined readily and is often used to describe the stress at which the material begins to function in a plastic manner. At this point, a small, defined amount of permanent strain has occurred in the material. The yield strength is defined as the stress at which a material deforms plastically and there is a defined amount of permanent strain. The amount of permanent strain is arbitrarily selected for the material being examined and may be indicated as 0.1%, 0.2%, or 0.5% (0.001, 0.002, or 0.005) permanent strain. The amount of permanent strain is referred to as the percent offset. Many specifications use 0.2% as a convention, but this depends on the plastic behavior of the material tested. For stiff materials with small elongation, the calculation of yield stress will include greater offsets than those materials with larger elongation or deformation.

The yield stress is determined by selecting the desired offset or strain on the x-axis and drawing a line parallel to the linear region of the stress-strain curve. The point at which the parallel line intersects the stress-strain curve is the yield stress. On the stress-strain curve shown in Fig. 4.5, for example, the yield strength is represented by the value B. This represents a stress of about 360 MPa at a 0.25% offset. This yield stress is slightly higher than that for the proportional limit because it includes a specified amount of permanent deformation. Note that when a structure is permanently deformed, even to a small degree (such as the amount of deformation at the yield strength), it does not return completely to its original dimensions when the stress is removed. For this reason, the elastic limit and yield strength of a material are among its most important properties because they define the transition from elastic to plastic behavior.

Any dental restoration that is permanently deformed through the forces of mastication usually loses its functionality to some extent. For example, a fixed partial dental prosthesis (such as a three-unit prosthesis) that is permanently deformed because of excessive occlusal forces would exhibit altered occlusal contacts. The restoration is permanently deformed because a stress equal to or greater than the yield strength was generated. It is important to remember that dysfunctional occlusal loading also changes the stresses placed on a restoration. A deformed restoration may therefore be subjected to greater stresses than originally intended because the occlusion that was distributed over a larger number of occlusal contacts may now be concentrated on a smaller number of contacts. Under these conditions, fracture does not occur if the material is able to plastically deform. However, this permanent change in shape represents a destructive example of deformation. Permanent deformation and stresses in excess of the elastic limit are desirable when shaping an orthodontic arch wire or adjusting a clasp on a removable partial denture. In these examples, the stress must exceed the yield strength to permanently bend or adapt the wire or clasp. Elastic deformation occurs as the wire or clasp engages and disengages a retentive region in the cervical area of the tooth. Retention is achieved through small-scale elastic deformation. This elastic or reversible deformation describes the function of elastic bands, clasps, O-rings, and implant screws.

**Ultimate Strength**

In Fig. 4.5 the test specimen exhibits a maximum stress at point C. The ultimate tensile strength or stress (UTS) is defined as the maximum stress that a material can withstand before failure in tension, whereas the ultimate compressive strength or stress (UCS) is the maximum stress a material can withstand in compression. The ultimate engineering stress is determined by dividing the maximum load in tension (or compression) by the original cross-sectional area of the test specimen. The ultimate tensile strength of the material in Fig. 4.5 is about 380 MPa.

The ultimate strength of an alloy as used in dentistry specifies the maximum load and minimum cross-sectional area when designing a restoration. Note that an alloy that has been stressed to near the ultimate strength will be permanently deformed, so a restoration receiving that amount of stress during function would be useless. A safety margin should be incorporated into the design of the restoration and choice of material to ensure that the ultimate strength is not approached in normal function. The yield strength is often of greater importance than ultimate strength.
strength in design and material selection because it is an estimate of when a material will start to deform permanently.

**Fracture Strength**

In Fig. 4.5 the test specimen fractured at point D. The stress at which a brittle material fractures is called the fracture strength or fracture stress \( S_f \) or \( \sigma_f \). Note that a material does not necessarily fracture at the point at which the maximum stress occurs. After a maximum tensile force is applied to some materials, the specimen begins to elongate excessively, resulting in “necking” or a reduction of cross-sectional area (see Fig. 4.5). The stress calculated from the force and the original cross-sectional area may decrease dramatically before final fracture occurs because of the reduction in cross-sectional area. Accordingly, the stress at the end of the curve is less than that at some intermediate point on the curve. Therefore, in materials that exhibit necking, the ultimate and fracture strengths are different. However, for the specific cases of many dental alloys and ceramics subjected to tension, the ultimate and fracture strengths are similar, as is shown later in this chapter. Note that the reduction in stress that is observed after the ultimate stress in materials that show necking is an artifact of using the original cross-sectional area in the calculation of stress. If the true cross-sectional area is used, the stress would increase.

**Elongation**

The deformation that results from the application of a tensile force is elongation. Elongation is extremely important because it gives an indication of the possible manipulation of an alloy. As may be observed from Fig. 4.5, the elongation of a material during a tensile test can be divided conveniently into two parts: (1) the increase in length of the specimen below the proportional limit (from 0 to \( A \)), which is not permanent and is proportional to the stress; and (2) the elongation beyond the proportional limit and up to the fracture strength (from \( A \) to \( D \)), which is permanent. The permanent deformation may be measured with an extensometer while the material is being tested and calculated from the stress-strain curve. Total elongation is commonly expressed as a percentage. The percent elongation is calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Elongation} = \left( \frac{\text{Increase in length}}{\text{Original length}} \right) \times 100
\]

Total elongation includes both the elastic elongation and the plastic elongation. Plastic elongation is usually the greatest of the two, except in materials that are quite brittle or those with very low stiffness. A material that exhibits a 20% total elongation at the time of fracture has increased in length by one-fifth of its original length. Such a material, as in many dental gold alloys, has a high value for plastic or permanent elongation and, in general, is a ductile type of alloy, whereas a material with only 1% elongation would possess little permanent elongation and be considered brittle.

An alloy that has a high value for total elongation can be bent permanently without danger of fracture. Clasps can be adjusted, orthodontic wires can be adapted, and crowns or inlays can be burnished if alloys with high values for elongation are used. Elongation and yield strength are generally related in many materials, including dental gold alloys, where, generally, the higher the yield strength, the lower the elongation.

**Elastic Modulus**

The measure of elasticity of a material is described by the term elastic modulus, also referred to as modulus of elasticity or Young's modulus, and denoted by the variable \( E \). The word modulus means ratio and in this case, the ratio of stress to strain. The elastic modulus represents the stiffness of a material within the elastic range. The elastic modulus can be determined from a stress-strain curve (see Fig. 4.5) by calculating the ratio of stress to strain or the slope of the linear region of the curve. The modulus is calculated from the following equation:

\[
\text{Elastic modulus} = \text{Stress/Strain}
\]

or

\[
E = \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}
\]

This equation is also known as Hooke’s law. Because strain is unitless (length/length), the modulus has the same units as stress and is usually reported in MPa or GPa (1 GPa = 1000 MPa).

The elastic qualities of a material represent a fundamental property of the material. The interatomic or intermolecular forces of the material are responsible for the property of elasticity (see Fig. 4.6). The stronger the basic attraction forces, the greater the values of the elastic modulus and the more rigid or stiff the material. Because this property is related to the attraction forces within the material, it is usually the same when the material is subjected to either tension or compression. The property is generally independent of any heat treatment or mechanical treatment that a metal or alloy has received, but is quite dependent on the composition of the material.

The elastic modulus is determined by the slope of the elastic portion of the stress-strain curve, which is calculated by choosing any two stress and strain coordinates in the elastic or linear range. As an example, for the curve in Fig. 4.5, the slope
can be calculated by choosing the following two coordinates:

\[ \sigma_1 = 150 \text{ MPa}, \ \varepsilon_1 = 0.005 \]

and

\[ \sigma_2 = 300 \text{ MPa}, \ \varepsilon_2 = 0.010 \]

The slope is therefore:

\[ \frac{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1} = \frac{(300 - 150)/(0.010 - 0.005)}{30,000 \text{ MPa} = 30 \text{ GPa}} \]

Stress-strain curves for two hypothetical materials, A and B, of different composition are shown in Fig. 4.8. Inspection of the curves shows that for a given stress, A is elastically deformed less than B, with the result that the elastic modulus for A is greater than for B. This difference can be demonstrated numerically, by calculating the elastic moduli for the two materials subjected to the same stress of 300 MPa. At a stress of 300 MPa, material A is strained to 0.01 (1%) and the elastic modulus is as follows:

\[ E = 300 \text{ MPa}/0.010 = 30,000 \text{ MPa} = 30 \text{ GPa} \]

On the other hand, material B is strained to 0.02 (2%), or twice as much as material A for the same stress application. The equation for the elastic modulus for B is

\[ E = 300 \text{ MPa}/0.020 = 15,000 \text{ MPa} = 15 \text{ GPa} \]

The fact that material A has a steeper slope in the elastic range than material B means that a larger force is required to deform material A to a given amount than is required for material B. From the curves shown in Fig. 4.8, it can be seen that a stress of 300 MPa is required to deform A to the same amount elastically to which B is deformed by a stress of 150 MPa. Therefore A is stiffer or more rigid than B. Conversely, B is more flexible than A. Materials such as elastomers and other polymers have low values for elastic modulus, whereas many metals and ceramics have much higher values, as shown in Table 4.1.

**Poisson’s Ratio**

During axial loading in tension or compression there is a simultaneous strain in the axial and transverse, or lateral, directions. Under tensile loading, as a material elongates in the direction of load, there is a reduction in cross section, known as necking. Under compressive loading, there is an increase in the cross section. Within the elastic range, the ratio of the lateral to the axial strain is called Poisson’s ratio (\(\nu\)). In tensile loading, the Poisson’s ratio indicates that the reduction in cross section is proportional to the elongation during the elastic deformation. The reduction in cross section continues until the material is fractured. Poisson’s ratio is a unitless value because it is the ratio of two strains.

**FIG. 4.8** Stress-strain curves of two hypothetical materials subjected to tensile stress.

**TABLE 4.1 Elastic Modulus (GPa) of Selected Dental Materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Elastic Modulus (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enamel</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold (type IV) alloy</td>
<td>90–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amalgam</td>
<td>28–59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt-chromium removable partial denture alloy</td>
<td>218–224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feldspathic porcelain</td>
<td>69–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin composite with hybrid filler</td>
<td>17–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly (methyl methacrylate)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone elastomer for maxillofacial prosthesis</td>
<td>0.002–0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most rigid materials, such as enamel, dentin, amalgam, and dental composite, exhibit a Poisson’s ratio of about 0.3. Brittle substances such as hard gold alloys and dental amalgam show little permanent reduction in cross section during a tensile test. More ductile materials such as soft gold alloys, which are high in gold content, show a higher degree of reduction in cross-sectional area and higher Poisson’s ratios. Rubber has a Poisson’s ratio of nearly 0.5. Cork exhibits little lateral expansion under compression and has a Poisson’s ratio close to 0. This property has made cork a common material for sealing wine bottles.

**Ductility and Malleability**

Two significant properties of metals and alloys are ductility and malleability. The ductility of a material
enables it to be drawn and shaped into wire by means of tension. When tensile forces are applied, the wire is formed by permanent deformation. The malleability of a material enables it to be hammered or rolled into thin sheets without fracturing. Malleability comes from the Latin malleus, or hammer.

A high degree of elongation indicates good malleability and ductility, although some metals show some exception to this rule. The reduction in area in a specimen, together with the elongation at the breaking point, is, however, a good indication of the relative ductility of a metal or alloy.

Ductility is a property that has been related to the workability of a material in the mouth (e.g., ability to adjust the margins of a casting). Although ductility is important, the amount of force necessary to cause permanent deformation during the adjustment process (also referred to as “burnishing”) must also be considered. A burnishing index has been used to rank the ease of burnishing alloys and is equal to the ductility (elongation) divided by the yield strength.

Gold and silver, which are still used in dentistry, are the most malleable and ductile of the metals, but other metals do not follow the same order for both malleability and ductility. In general, metals tend to be ductile, whereas ceramics tend to be brittle.

**Resilience**

Resilience is the resistance of a material to permanent deformation. It indicates the amount of energy necessary to deform the material to the proportional limit. Resilience is therefore measured by the area under the elastic portion of the stress-strain curve, as illustrated in Fig. 4.9A.

Resilience has particular importance in the evaluation of orthodontic wires. An example is the amount of work expected from a spring to move a tooth. The amount of stress and strain at the proportional limit is also of interest because these factors determine the magnitude of the force that can be applied to the tooth and how far the tooth can move before the spring is no longer effective. For example, Fig. 4.10 illustrates the load-deflection curve for a nickel-titanium (Ni-Ti) orthodontic wire. Note that the loading (activation) portion of the curve is different from the unloading (deactivation) portion. This difference is called hysteresis. The units of resilience are mMN/m$^3$ or mMPa/m.

---

**FIG. 4.9** Stress-strain curves showing (A) the area indicating the resilience and (B) the area representing the toughness of a material.

**FIG. 4.10** Load-deflection curve for Ni-Ti orthodontic wire. Note that the loading (activation) portion of the curve is different from the unloading (deactivation) portion, indicating hysteresis in the material. Ni-Ti, Nickel-titanium.
Toughness

Toughness, which is the resistance of a material to fracture, is an indication of the amount of energy necessary to cause fracture. The area under the elastic and plastic portions of a stress-strain curve, as shown in Fig. 4.9B, represents the toughness of a material. The units of toughness are the same as the units of resilience: mMN/m$^3$ or mMPa/m. Toughness represents the energy required to stress the material to the point of fracture. Note that a material can be tough by having a combination of high yield and ultimate strength and moderately high strain at rupture, or by having moderately high yield and ultimate strength and a large strain at rupture. Brittle materials tend to have low toughness because little plastic deformation occurs before failure, thus the area under the elastic and plastic regions of the curve is not significantly different from the area under the elastic region alone.

Fracture Toughness

Concepts of fracture mechanics have been applied to a number of problems in dental materials. Fracture mechanics characterizes the behavior of materials with cracks or flaws. Flaws or cracks may arise naturally in a material or nucleate after a time in service. In either case, any defect generally weakens a material, and as a result, sudden fractures can arise at stresses below the yield stress. Sudden, catastrophic fractures typically occur in brittle materials that do not have the ability to plastically deform and redistribute stresses. The field of fracture mechanics analyzes the material behavior during these types of failures.

Two simple examples illustrate the significance of defects on the fracture of materials. Plates of glass or ceramic tiles are often scribed with a diamond or carbide instrument. The purpose of the scribe is to create a defect that propagates when additional stresses are introduced. Both are difficult to break without a scribed line or defect. If the same experiment is performed on a ductile material, the small surface notch has no effect on the force required to break the plate, and the ductile plate can be bent without fracturing (Fig. 4.11). For a brittle material such as glass, no local plastic deformation occurs with fracture, whereas for a ductile material, plastic deformation, such as the ability to bend, occurs without fracture. The ability to be plastically deformed without fracture, or the amount of energy required for fracture, is the fracture toughness.

In general, the larger a flaw, the lower the stress needed to cause fracture. This is because the stresses, which would normally be borne by a mass of material, are now concentrated at the tip of the flaw. The ability of a flaw to cause fracture depends on the fracture toughness of the material. Fracture toughness is a material property and is proportional to the energy consumed in plastic deformation.

Fracture toughness ($K_{IC}$) has been measured for a number of important restorative materials, including amalgam, acrylic denture base materials, composites, ceramics, orthodontic brackets, cements, and human enamel and dentin. Typical values for composites, ceramics, enamel, and dentin are listed in Table 4.2. The presence of fillers in polymers substantially increases fracture toughness. The mechanisms of toughening are presumed to be matrix-filler interactions, but these have not yet been established. Similarly, the addition of up to 50% by weight of zirconia to ceramic increases fracture toughness. As with other mechanical properties, aging or storage in a simulated oral environment or at elevated temperatures can decrease fracture toughness, but there is no agreement on this in the literature. Attempts to correlate fracture toughness with wear resistance
Tensile Properties of Brittle Materials

Many restorative materials, including dental amalgam, cements, ceramics, plasters, and stone, are much weaker in tension than in compression. This means cavity preparation design requirements for amalgams and ceramics, for example, are different than for ductile materials, such as metal alloys. One example is the amount of occlusal reduction necessary for ductile versus brittle materials. For brittle materials, such as ceramics, the occlusal reduction needs to be greater than for ductile materials, such as gold alloys. This is because ceramics require a larger cross-sectional area to present the same resistance as metals. Also for that reason, the margins of a preparation can be beveled for metal restorations, but cannot be beveled for ceramic restorations.

Viscoelasticity

The mechanical properties of many dental materials, such as alginate, elastomeric impression materials, waxes, amalgam, polymers, bone, dentin, oral mucosa, and periodontal ligaments, depend on how fast they are loaded. For these materials, increasing the loading (strain) rate produces a different stress-strain curve with higher rates giving higher values for the elastic modulus, proportional limit, and ultimate strength. Materials that have mechanical properties independent of loading rate are termed elastic. In these materials, strain occurs when the load is applied. Other materials exhibit a lag in response when a load is applied. This time lag is referred to as a viscous response. Materials that have mechanical properties dependent on loading rate and exhibit both elastic and viscous behavior are termed viscoelastic. These materials have characteristics of an elastic solid and a viscous fluid. The properties of an elastic solid were previously discussed in detail. Before viscoelastic materials and their properties are presented, fluid behavior and viscosity are reviewed in the following section.

Fluid Behavior and Viscosity

In addition to the many solid dental materials that exhibit some fluid characteristics, many dental materials, such as cements and impression materials, are in the fluid state when formed. Therefore fluid (viscous) phenomena are important. Viscosity (η) is the resistance of a fluid to flow and is equal to the shear stress divided by the shear strain rate, or:

\[ \eta = \tau / (d\varepsilon / dt) \]

When a cement or impression material sets, the viscosity increases, making it less viscous and more solidlike. The units of viscosity are poise, P (1 P = 0.1 Pa·s = 0.1 N·s/m²), but often data are reported in centipoise, cp (100 cp = 1 P).

Rearranging the equation for viscosity, we see that fluid behavior can be described in terms of stress and strain, just like elastic solids.

\[ \tau = \eta (d\varepsilon / dt) \]

In the case of an elastic solid, stress (σ) is proportional to strain (ε), with the constant of proportionality being the modulus of elasticity (E). The aforementioned equation indicates a similar situation for a viscous fluid, where the stress (shear) is proportional to the strain rate and the constant of proportionality is the viscosity. The stress is therefore time dependent because it is a function of the strain rate, or rate of loading. To better comprehend the concept of strain rate dependence, consider two limiting cases: rapid and slow deformation. A material pulled extremely fast (dt → 0) results in an infinitely

![Stress-strain curves for materials with various combinations of properties.](image)

FIG. 4.12 Stress-strain curves for materials with various combinations of properties.

have had mixed results. Fracture toughness is not a reliable predictor of the wear of restorative materials.
high stress, whereas a material pulled infinitesimally slow results in a stress of zero. This concept will be important in understanding stress relaxation and delayed gelation phenomena, explored later in this chapter. The behavior of elastic solids and viscous fluids can be understood from studying simple mechanical models. An elastic solid can be viewed as a spring (Fig. 4.13). When the spring is stretched by a force, \( F \), it displaces a distance, \( x \). The applied force and resultant displacement are proportional, and the constant of proportionality is the spring constant, \( k \). Therefore, according to Hooke’s law:

\[
F = kx
\]

Note that this relation is equivalent to the equation presented in the Stress-Strain Curves section of this chapter:

\[
\sigma = E \varepsilon
\]

Also note that the model of an elastic element does not involve time. The spring acts instantaneously when stretched. In other words, an elastic solid is independent of loading rate.

A viscous fluid can be viewed as a dashpot, or a piston moving through a viscous fluid (Fig. 4.14). When the fluid-filled cylinder is pulled, the rate of strain (\( d\varepsilon/dt \)) is proportional to the stress (\( \tau \)) and the constant of proportionality is the viscosity of the fluid (\( \eta \)).

Although the viscosity of a fluid is proportional to the shear rate, the proportionality differs for different fluids. Fluids may be classified as newtonian, pseudoplastic, or dilatant depending on how their viscosity varies with shear rate, as shown in Fig. 4.15. The viscosity of a newtonian fluid is constant and independent of shear rate. Some dental cements and impression materials are newtonian. The viscosity of a pseudoplastic fluid decreases with increasing shear rate. Monophase elastomeric impression materials are pseudoplastic. When subjected to low shear rates during spatulation or while an impression material is loaded in a tray in preparation of placing it into the mouth, these impression materials have a high viscosity and stay in place without flowing. These materials, however, can also be used in a syringe, because at the higher shear rates encountered as they pass through the syringe tip, the viscosity decreases by as much as tenfold. This characteristic is sometimes referred to as thixotropy, although that term actually describes the change in viscosity of a material with time. The tomato-based food condiment ketchup is also pseudoplastic, which makes it difficult to remove from a bottle. Shaking the bottle or rapping the side of the bottle increases its shear rate, decreases its viscosity, and improves its pourability. The viscosity of a dilatant fluid increases with increasing shear rate. Examples of dilatant fluids in dentistry include the fluid denture base resins.
Viscoelastic Materials

For viscoelastic materials, the strain rate can alter the stress-strain properties. The tear strength of alginate impression material, for example, is increased about four times when the rate of loading is increased from 2.5 to 25 cm/min. Alginate impressions should therefore be removed from the mouth quickly to improve their tear resistance. Another example of strain-rate dependence is the elastic modulus of dental amalgam, which is 21 GPa at slow rates of loading and 62 GPa at high rates of loading. A viscoelastic material therefore may have widely different mechanical properties depending on the rate of load application, and for these materials, it is particularly important to specify the loading rate with the test results.

Materials that have properties dependent on the strain rate are better characterized by relating stress or strain as a function of time. Two properties of importance to viscoelastic materials are stress relaxation and creep. Stress relaxation is the reduction in stress in a material subjected to constant strain, whereas creep is the increase in strain in a material under constant stress.

As an example of stress relaxation, consider how the load-time curves at constant deformation are important in the evaluation of orthodontic elastic bands. The decrease in load (or force) with time for rubber and plastic bands of the same size at a constant extension of 95 mm is shown in Fig. 4.16. The initial force was much greater with the plastic band, but the decrease in force with time was much less for the rubber band. Therefore plastic bands are useful for applying high forces, although the force decreases rapidly with time, whereas rubber bands apply lower forces, but the force decreases slowly with time in the mouth; rubber bands are therefore useful for applying more sustained loads.

The importance of creep can be seen by interpretation of the data in Fig. 4.17, which shows creep curves for low- and high-copper amalgam. For a given load at a given time, the low-copper amalgam has a greater strain. The implications and clinical importance of this are that the greater creep in the low-copper amalgam makes it more susceptible to strain accumulation and fracture, and also marginal breakdown, which can lead to secondary decay. The high creep behavior of low-copper amalgam contributed to its decline in popularity.

Creep Compliance

A creep curve yields insight into the relative elastic, viscous, and inelastic response of a viscoelastic material; such curves can be interpreted in terms of the molecular structure of the associated materials, which have structures that function as elastic, viscous, and inelastic elements. Creep recovery curves are produced from data collected during removal of a load (Fig. 4.18). In such a curve, after the load...
is removed, there is an instantaneous drop in strain and slower strain decay to some steady-state strain value, which may be nonzero. The instantaneous drop in strain represents the recovery of elastic strain. The slower recovery represents the inelastic strain, and the remaining, permanent strain represents the viscous strain. A family of creep curves can be determined by using different loads. A more useful way of presenting these data is by calculating the creep compliance. Creep compliance \( J_t \) is defined as strain divided by stress at a given time. Once a creep curve is obtained, a corresponding creep compliance curve can be calculated. The creep compliance curve shown in Fig. 4.19 is characterized by the following equation:

\[
J_t = J_0 + J_R + \left( \frac{t}{\eta} \right)
\]

where \( J_0 \) is the instantaneous elastic compliance, \( J_R \) is the retarded elastic (inelastic) compliance, and \( t/\eta \) represents the viscous response at time \( t \) for a viscosity \( \eta \). The strain associated with \( J_0 \) and \( J_R \) is completely recoverable after the load is removed; however, the strain associated with \( J_R \) is not recovered immediately but requires some finite time. The strain associated with \( t/\eta \) is not recovered and represents a permanent deformation. If a single creep compliance curve is calculated from a family of creep curves determined at different loads, the material is said to be linearly viscoelastic. In this case, the viscoelastic qualities can be described concisely by a single curve.

The creep compliance curve therefore permits an estimate of the relative amount of elastic, inelastic, and viscous behavior of a material. \( J_0 \) indicates the flexibility and initial recovery after deformation, \( J_R \) the amount of delayed recovery that can be expected, and \( t/\eta \) the magnitude of permanent deformation to be expected. Creep compliance curves for elastomeric impression materials are shown in Chapter 12, Fig. 12.17.

Dynamic Mechanical Properties

Although static properties can often be related to the function of a material under dynamic conditions, there are limitations to using static properties to estimate the properties of materials subjected to dynamic loading. Static testing refers to continuous application of force at slow rates of loading, whereas dynamic testing involves cyclic loading or loading at high rates (impact). Dynamic methods, including a forced oscillation technique used to determine dynamic modulus and a torsion pendulum used for impact testing, have been used to study viscoelastic materials such as dental polymers. Ultrasonic techniques have been used to determine elastic constants of viscoelastic materials such as dentin. Impact testing has been applied primarily to brittle dental materials.

**Dynamic Modulus**

The dynamic modulus \( (E_D) \) is defined as the ratio of stress to strain for small cyclical deformations at a given frequency and at a particular point on the stress-strain curve. When measured in a dynamic oscillation instrument, the dynamic modulus is computed by:

\[
E_D = \frac{m}{p^2 q^2}
\]

where \( m \) is the mass of the loading element, \( q \) is the height divided by twice the area of the cylindrical specimen, and \( p \) is the angular frequency of the vibrations.

In general, elastic modulus calculated from dynamic testing is higher than when calculated from static testing. For ideal elastic materials subjected to an oscillatory strain, the sinusoidal wave of the resultant stress matches perfectly the strain wave; it is said then that stress and strain are “in phase” (Fig. 4.20A and B), or that there is no energy lost to the environment because all the energy is used to provide a deformation. For newtonian fluids (ideal liquids), the strain response lags in time, and the phase lag equals the greatest possible angle \( (\delta = 90 \text{ degrees}) \) between stress and strain waves, for any given cycle (see Fig. 4.20A). As discussed earlier, from the stress-strain curves, a complex modulus \( (E^*) \) can be calculated. The complex modulus, therefore, is the ratio of the stress amplitude to the strain amplitude and represents the stiffness of the material.

Most real materials subjected to oscillatory strain behave somewhere in between a perfectly elastic and a perfectly plastic material, and in those cases, by resolving the complex modulus \( (E^*) \) into an “in-phase” elastic component (called storage modulus, or \( E' \)) and an “out-of-phase” viscous component (called loss modulus, or \( E'' \)), it is possible to gain insight into the elastic and viscous components, respectively (see Fig. 4.20B).
They correlate according to the mathematical relationship shown in Fig. 4.20C, where $E = E^* \sin \delta$ and $E' = E^* \cos \delta$. One useful concept that arises is the loss factor $\tan \delta$ (calculated as $\tan \delta = E'/E''$). This relationship allows us to determine whether a material presents a predominantly elastic or viscous response when subjected to load while in service.

In conjunction with the dynamic modulus, values of internal friction and dynamic resilience can be determined. For example, cyclical stretching or compression of an elastomer results in irreversibly lost energy that is exhibited as heat. The internal friction of an elastomer is comparable with the viscosity of a liquid. The value of internal friction is necessary to calculate the dynamic resilience, which is the ratio of energy lost to energy expended.

The dynamic modulus and dynamic resilience of some dental elastomers are listed in Table 4.3. These properties are affected by temperature ($-15^\circ$C to $37^\circ$C) for some maxillofacial elastomers. As shown in Table 4.3, the dynamic modulus decreases and the dynamic resilience increases as the temperature increases. As a tangible example, the dynamic resilience of a polymer used for an athletic mouth protector is a measure of the ability of the material to absorb energy from a blow and thereby protect the oral structures.

**Surface Mechanical Properties**

In our discussion so far, we have introduced and discussed mechanical properties that are mainly dependent on the bulk characteristics of a material. In this section, mechanical properties that are more a function of the surface condition of a material are presented. In particular, the concepts of hardness, friction, and wear are summarized.

**Hardness**

*Hardness* may be broadly defined as the resistance to permanent surface indentation or penetration. Formulating a more rigorous definition of hardness is difficult because any test method will, at a microscopic level, involve complex surface morphologies and stresses in the test material, thereby involving a variety of qualities in any single hardness test. Despite this condition, the most common concept of hard and soft substances is their relative resistance to indentation. Hardness is therefore a measure of the resistance to plastic deformation and is measured as a force per unit area of indentation (Fig. 4.21).

Based on this definition of hardness, it is clear why this property is so important to dentistry. Hardness influences ease of cutting, finishing, and polishing an object and its resistance to in-service scratching. Finishing or polishing a structure is important for esthetic purposes and, as discussed previously, scratches can compromise fatigue strength and lead to premature failure.

Some of the most common methods of testing the hardness of restorative materials are the Brinell, Knoop, Vickers, Rockwell, Barcol, and...
Shore A hardness tests. Each of these tests differs slightly in the indenter used and in the calculation of hardness. Each presents certain advantages and disadvantages, described in detail in Chapter 5. They have a common quality, however, in that each depends on the penetration of some small, symmetrically shaped indenter into the surface of the material being tested. The choice of a hardness test depends on the material of interest, the expected hardness range, and the desired degree of localization.

**Friction**

Friction is the resistance between contacting bodies when one moves relative to another (Fig. 4.22). A restraining force that resists movement is the (static) frictional force and results from the molecules of the two objects bonding where their surfaces are in close contact. The frictional force, $F_s$, is proportional to the normal force ($F_n$) between the surfaces and the (static) coefficient of friction ($\mu_s$):

$$F_s = \mu_s F_n$$

The coefficient of friction varies between 0 and 1 and is a function of the two materials in contact, their composition, surface finish, and lubrication. Similar materials in contact have a greater coefficient of friction, and if a lubricating medium exists at the interface, the coefficient of friction is reduced.

Motion is possible when the applied force is greater than $F_s$. Once motion occurs, molecular bonds are made and broken, and microscopic pieces break off from the surfaces. With motion, a sliding or kinetic friction is produced, and the force of kinetic friction opposes the motion:

$$F_k = \mu_k F_n$$

Frictional behavior therefore arises from surfaces that, because of microroughness, have a small real contact area (see Fig. 4.22). These small surface areas result in high contact stresses, which lead to local yielding, or permanent deformation. The resistance to shear failure of the junctions results in the frictional force. When static friction is overcome and relative motion takes place, it is accompanied by the modification of the interface through kinetic friction and wear.

An example of the importance of friction in dentistry lies in the concept of sliding mechanics used in orthodontics. A known and controlled frictional force is required when an orthodontic wire is slid through a bracket. Combinations of different materials result in different frictional forces. Friction is also an important consideration when dissimilar restorative materials contact and slide against each other in the oral cavity such as in protrusive or working movements of the mandible.

**TABLE 4.3**  Values of Dynamic Modulus and Dynamic Resilience as a Function of Temperature for Some Dental Elastomers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Dynamic Modulus (MPa)</th>
<th>Dynamic Resilience (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAXILLOFACIAL MATERIALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyurethane</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinylchloride</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLYVINYLACETATE-POLYETHYLENE MOUTH PROTECTOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worn</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FIG. 4.21  Schematic representation of surface changes from indenter in Rockwell hardness test. P, Normal load; A, area of plastic deformation. (From Park JB. Biomaterials Science and Engineering. New York: Plenum Press; 1984:18.)
Wear

Wear is a loss of material resulting from removal and relocation of materials through the contact of two or more materials. When two solid materials are in contact, they touch only at the tips of their most protruding asperities (Fig. 4.23). Wear is usually undesirable, but under controlled conditions during finishing and polishing, controlled wear can be very useful.

Several factors make wear of biomaterials unique. Most important, wear can produce particles that can elicit an inflammatory response. The wear process can also produce shape changes in the object that can affect function. For example, wear of teeth and restorative materials is characterized by the loss of the original anatomical form of the material. Wear may result from mechanical, physiological, or pathological conditions. Normal mastication may cause attrition of tooth structure or materials. Bruxism is an example of a pathological form of wear in which clenching and grinding of teeth produces occlusal and incisal wear. Abrasive wear occurs when excessively abrasive toothpastes and hard toothbrush bristles are used when brushing teeth.

Wear is a function of a number of material and environmental factors, including the characteristics of wearing surfaces (i.e., inhomogeneity, crystal orientation, phases, and inclusions present); the microscopic contact; interaction between sliding surfaces (i.e., elevated stress, temperature, and flow at contact points, leading to localized yielding, melting, and hardening); lubrication; and different material combinations. In general, wear is a function of opposing materials and the interface between them. The presence of a lubricating film, such as saliva, separates surfaces during relative motion and reduces frictional forces and wear.

In general, there are four types of wear: (1) adhesive wear; (2) corrosive wear; (3) surface fatigue wear; and (4) abrasive wear. Adhesive wear is characterized by the formation and disruption of microjunctions. Microregions are pulled from one object and transferred to the other. Abrasive wear involves a harder material cutting or plowing into a softer material. There can be two types of abrasive wear: two- and three-body abrasive wear. This type of wear can be minimized if surfaces are smooth and hard and if third party particles are kept off the surfaces. Corrosive wear is secondary to physical removal of a protective layer and is therefore related to the chemical activity of the wear surfaces. The sliding action of the surfaces accelerates corrosion. In surface fatigue wear, asperities or free particles with small areas of contact contribute to high localized stresses and produce surface or subsurface cracks. Particles break off under cyclic loading and sliding.

In general, metals are susceptible to adhesive, corrosive, and three-body wear, whereas polymers are susceptible to abrasive and fatigue wear.

The Colloidal State

The term colloid is used to describe a state of matter rather than a kind of matter. The main characteristic of colloidal materials is their high degree of microsegregation. These fine particles also have certain physical properties, such as electrical charges and surface energies that control the characteristics of the colloids. Particle size alone does not adequately define colloids.
Nature of Colloids

Substances are called colloids when they consist of two or more phases, with the units of at least one of the phases having a dimension slightly greater than simple molecular size. Although the range of size is somewhat arbitrary, it is usually recognized as being approximately 1 to 500 nm in maximum dimension. Thus colloidal systems can be fine dispersions, gels, films, emulsions, or foams. In other words, the colloidal state represents a highly dispersed system of fine particles of one phase in another, and a characteristic property of the dispersed phase is an enormous surface area. This is true whether a dispersed phase of oil droplets in an emulsion or a finely divided solid suspended in a liquid is considered. This increase in surface area gives rise to a corresponding increase in surface energy and surface reactions. Not only is the surface energy important, but the interface between the two phases also imparts important and characteristic properties to the system.

Except for a dispersion of a gas in a gas, which is a true solution, each of the three forms of matter—gas, liquid, and solid—may be dispersed as colloidal particles in the other and in itself as well. The dispersed phase, which may be in the form of a gas, liquid, or solid, may also exist in a variety of conditions. Some examples of these dispersed phases are (1) colloidal silica as a filler in resin composites, (2) colloidal silica in water to be mixed with high-strength dental stone to improve abrasion resistance, (3) droplets of oil in water used during steam sterilization to prevent rusting of dental instruments, (4) fillers used in elastomeric impression materials to control such properties as viscosity, and (5) agglomerates of detergent molecules in water that serve as wetting agents for wax patterns.

Typical Colloid Systems

The distinction between a sol and a gel is important because several of each are found in dental applications. A sol resembles a solution, but it is made up of colloidal particles dispersed in a liquid. When a sol is chilled or caused to react by the addition of suitable chemicals, it may be transformed into a gel. In the gel form the system takes on a semisolid, or jellylike, quality.

The liquid phase of either a sol or a gel is usually water, but may be some organic liquid such as alcohol. Systems having water as one component are described as hydrosols or hydrogels. A more general term might be hydrocolloid, which is often used in dentistry to describe the alginate gels used as flexible impression materials. A general term to describe a system having an organic liquid as one component would be organosol or organogel.

Gels possess an entangled framework of solid colloidal particles in which liquid is trapped in the interstices and held by capillarity. Such a gel has some degree of rigidity, depending on the extent of the structural hydrocolloid solids present. One example is the alginate hydrocolloid impression material.

Gels that are formed with water are hydrophilic (water loving) in character and tend to imbibe large quantities of water if allowed to stand submerged. The imbibition is accompanied by swelling and a change in physical dimensions. In dry air, the gel loses water to the atmosphere, with an accompanying shrinking. Such changes may be observed readily in alginate gels.

Diffusion Through Membranes and Osmotic Pressure

Osmotic pressure is the pressure developed by diffusion of a liquid or solvent through a membrane. The solvent passes from the dilute to the more concentrated solution through the membrane separating the two solutions. The presence of dissolved material in a solvent lowers the escaping tendency of the solvent molecules; the greater the concentration, the more the escaping tendency is lowered. Accordingly, the solvent will diffuse or pass through a membrane to a region of greater concentration, thus diluting the concentration of the solution.

Osmotic pressure is a concept that has been used to explain the hypersensitivity of dentin. The change in pressure in carious, exposed dentin from contact with saliva or concentrated solutions causes diffusion throughout the structure that increases or decreases the pressure on the sensory system.

Just as diffusion through membranes is important, so is the diffusion from a substance of a given concentration to that of another concentration, which is important in many materials in dentistry. Salts and dyes diffuse through human dentin. Stains and discoloring agents diffuse through polymeric restorative materials. Diffusion of salts and acids through some cavity liners is a potential problem.

Adsorption, Absorption, and Sorption

In the adsorption process, a liquid or gas adheres to the surface of the solid or liquid firmly by the attachment of molecules, thus reducing their surface free energy. In a physical sense, if the two substances are alike, as, for example, two pieces of the same metal in the solid state pressed closely together, the mass is said to cohere. When a dissimilar substance, such as a gas or liquid, is in intimate contact with the surface of the solid, it is said to adhere to the surface. The process of adsorption or adhesion to the surface of a substance is important in the wetting process, in which the substance is coated or wetted with a foreign substance such as a liquid. The degree to which
saliva, for example, will wet or adhere to the enamel surface of a tooth depends on the tendency for surface adsorption. A substance that is readily wetted on the surface by water, as is glass or porcelain or tooth enamel, is considered to have adsorbed on its surface a layer of water molecules. When a wet, human enamel surface is desiccated, the first water to evaporate is bulk water, leaving physically and chemically adsorbed water. Considerable heat is required to remove physically adsorbed water, and even higher temperatures are needed to remove chemically adsorbed water. Thus any attempt to bond a restorative material to enamel must consider that adhesion will be to adsorbed water and not hydroxyapatite. High-energy surfaces such as metals will adsorb molecules more readily than low-energy surfaces such as waxes; oxides have intermediate surface energies.

The process of adsorption differs somewhat from the process of absorption. In the process of absorption, the substance absorbed diffuses into the solid material by a diffusion process, and the process is characterized by concentration of molecules at the surface.

In instances in which both adsorption and absorption are known to exist and it is not clear which process predominates, the whole process is known as sorption. In measurement of the moisture content of dental resins, the process is described as one of sorption of moisture by the resin.

Numerous examples of these processes are found in the use of various restorative dental materials. The process of absorption of water by alginate impression materials is particularly important to its stability. When the quantity of liquid absorbed into a substance is relatively large, there is likely to be an accompanying swelling of the absorbent.

**Surface Tension and Wetting**

Surface tension is measured in terms of force (dynes) per centimeter of the surface of liquid. In the case of water at 20°C, the value is 72.8 dynes/cm. At the same temperature, benzene has a value of 29 dynes/cm; alcohol, 22 dynes/cm; and ether, 17 dynes/cm. By contrast, mercury at 20°C has a surface tension of 465 dynes/cm. The values for each of these substances are influenced by factors such as temperature and purity. In general, there is a reduction in surface tension of all liquids as the temperature is increased. For example, the surface tension of water (in dynes/cm) is 76 at 0°C, 72 at 25°C, 68 at 50°C, and 59 at 100°C.

The surface tension of liquids is also reduced by the presence of impurities, some of which are exceedingly effective. Detergents, such as sodium lauryl sulfate, or the ingredients of soaps, including sodium stearate or sodium oleate, which have long hydrocarbon chains attached to hydrophilic groups (such as COONa), are particularly effective in reducing the surface tension of water.

These surface-active agents affect the surface tension by concentrating at the liquid-air interface or other interfaces or surfaces. As these molecules occupy surface positions in the water-air surface, they displace surface water molecules, thus reducing the cohesive force between water molecules over the surface area, because the cohesion between water and surface-active agent is less than that between water and water. This effect is demonstrated in Fig. 4.24, which represents two drops placed on wax, one of which is water and the other water with detergent. The presence of the surface-active agent molecules in the surface layer reduces the pull on the surface molecules toward the liquid mass. This reduces the surface tension to increase wetting. The soap molecules are oriented so that the hydrophilic end is in the water and the hydrophobic (hydrocarbon) end is oriented toward the wax or air.

The increased wettability of solids with liquids of reduced surface tension is important in numerous dental applications. The wetting power of a liquid is represented by its tendency to spread on the surface of the solid. When pouring a hydrophobic, polymeric impression material with plaster or stone (gypsum), the gypsum wets the impression to reproduce the details recorded in the impression. Hydrophobic impressions are not well wetted by gypsum, so a dilute solution of some wetting agent (such as 0.01% aerosol) is sprayed on the impression in small quantities to aid in the spreading of the gypsum. Without adequate wetting, the gypsum will not flow over the surface of the impression and replicate fine detail.
Much can be learned about the spreading of liquids on solids, or the tendency for wetting surfaces, by measuring the angle of contact between the liquid and the solid surface. The angles of contact for different liquid droplets on a plane glass surface are illustrated in Fig. 4.25. The contact angle results from a balance of surface and interfacial energies. The greater the tendency to wet the surface, the lower the contact angle, until complete wetting occurs at an angle equal to zero.

Contact angles of water and saliva in dental materials: The determination of contact angle is important in a number of clinically relevant situations. For example, the contact angle of water and saliva on complete denture plastics relates to the retention of the denture. The contact angle and the tendency of a drop of water to spread on paraffin wax and methyl methacrylate are shown for comparison in Fig. 4.26. The contact angle of saliva freshly applied to an acrylic surface is similar to the one formed by water. This angle drops if saliva is allowed to stand overnight in contact with the plastic material, which indicates that the surface wetting is somewhat improved. Table 4.4 gives contact angle values for water on selected materials.

Contact angles can also provide important information regarding the wettability of dental elastomeric materials, defining the ease of pouring a mix of dental stone and water to produce a model. The contact angles of water on various dental elastomeric impression materials are listed in Table 4.5, along with the castability of an impression of a very critical comblike model. Surfactants can be added to the surface to artificially decrease the contact angle.

Contact angles between metals during casting, soldering, and amalgamation: The surface tension of metals is relatively high in comparison with that of other liquids, because of the greater cohesive forces between the liquid metal atoms in the liquid-air surface compared to water. The surface tension of most metals, except mercury, cannot be measured at room temperature because of their high melting points. Typical values of a few metals are included in Table 4.6. This is important because it defines the ease of spreading of the molten metal or alloy on the investment material surface during casting, and determines the accuracy and reproduction of detail in the final restoration. The same applies to the spreading of molten flux on hot metal during melting or soldering operations. If the contact angle of the solder is too great, it will not penetrate into the fine detail of the structures to be joined.

Adhesion
Surface Considerations
Atoms or molecules at the surfaces of solids or liquids differ greatly from those in the bulk of the solid or liquid, and neighboring atoms may be arranged anisotropically. In addition, some atoms or molecules may accumulate at the surface and thus cause unusual physical and chemical properties. These solid surfaces have atoms of higher energy than bulk atoms because of the absence of some neighboring atoms and thus readily adsorb ambient atoms or molecules. To produce a clean solid surface, one with less than 1% of an adsorbed monolayer, a vacuum of $10^{-9}$ Torr or $1.33 \times 10^{-7}$ Pa is required to keep a surface clean for about an hour. At a vacuum of about $3 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr, a newly cleaned surface would
Fundamental concepts of surface chemistry emphasize the importance of the surface layer's composition on the material's properties. Surfaces can be coated with ambient atoms or molecules in only a few seconds. Therefore, all dental materials and dental surfaces would be covered with a layer of ambient atoms or molecules and thus adhesives would be bonding to these adsorbed monolayers.

The energy involved in the adsorption of atoms or molecules onto the substrate may be at the level of a chemical reaction, or chemisorption, or may be at the level of van der Waals reaction, or physisorption. The former is irreversible, whereas the latter is reversible.

Thus an important concept in surface chemistry is that critically important properties of a material may be more related to the chemistry of the surface layer and its composition than to the bulk properties. Such surface effects dominate the surface mechanical properties of adhesion and friction, the optical surface phenomena of the perception of color and texture, the tissue reaction to materials, the attachment of cells to materials, the wettability and capillarity of surfaces, the nucleation and growth of solids, and many other areas of crucial interest in biomaterials.

Dental applications of surface chemistry can be seen in the elements chosen in metal alloys. Stainless steel used mainly in orthodontics is 72% to 74% iron, but has acceptable corrosion resistance in the mouth because the 18% chromium content forms an adherent oxide layer on the surface, which provides corrosion resistance. Titanium and its alloys, and noble alloys containing small amounts of indium and tin, have excellent biocompatibility properties as a result of oxides of titanium, indium, and tin on the surface.

The rate of penetration of a liquid into a crevice is an important aspect of capillary phenomena. An example is the penetration of a sealant into a fissure and the fine microscopic spaces created by etching of an enamel surface. The properties of the liquid affecting the rate of penetration may be related to the penetration coefficient \((PC)\) where \(\gamma\) is the surface tension, \(\eta\) is the viscosity, and \(\theta\) is the contact angle of the sealant on the enamel:

\[
PC = \frac{\gamma \cos \theta}{2\eta}
\]

The penetration coefficients for sealants have been shown to vary from 0.6 to 12 cm/s. Narrow occlusal fissures can be filled almost completely if the penetration coefficient value is at least 1.30 cm/s, provided that no air bubbles trapped in the fissure are present. The same analysis applies to the penetration of sealants into the etched surface of enamel to form tags, as shown in Fig. 4.27.

---

**TABLE 4.4** Contact Angles of Water on Solids at 27°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solid</th>
<th>Advancing Angle (degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acrylic polymer</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amalgam</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite filling material</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**TABLE 4.5** Wettability and Castability of Stone Models in Flexible Impression Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Addition</th>
<th>Advancing Contact Angle of Water (degrees)</th>
<th>Castability of Water Mixes of High Strength Stone (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>silicone-hydrophobic</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silicone-hydrophilic</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4.6** Surface Tension of Metals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Surface Tension (dynes/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td>1103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>1128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 4.27 Scanning electron micrograph of the interface of sealant (S) and enamel (E) showing sealant tags that had penetrated into the etched enamel surface. (From O’Brien WJ, Fan PL, Apostolidis A. Penetrativity of sealants and glazes. The effectiveness of a sealant depends on its ability to penetrate into fissures. Oper Dent. 1978;3(2):51.)
OPTICAL PROPERTIES

Color

The perception of color is the result of a physiological response to a physical stimulus. The sensation is a subjective experience, whereas the beam of light, which is the physical stimulus that produces the sensation, is entirely objective. The perceived color response results from either a reflected or a transmitted beam of white light or a portion of that beam. According to one of Grassmann’s laws, the eye can distinguish differences in only three parameters of color. These parameters are dominant wavelength, luminous reflectance, and excitation purity.

The dominant wavelength (\( \lambda \)) of a color is the wavelength of a monochromatic light that, when mixed in suitable proportions with an achromatic color (gray), will match the color perceived. Light having short wavelengths (400 nm) is violet in color, and light having long wavelengths (700 nm) is red. Between these two wavelengths are those corresponding to blue, green, yellow, and orange light. This attribute of color perception is also known as hue.

Of all the visible colors and shades, there are only three primary colors: red, green, and blue (or violet). Any other color may be produced by the proper combination of these colors. For example, yellow light is a mixture of green and red lights.

The luminous reflectance of a color classifies an object as equivalent to a member of a series of achromatic, grayscale objects ranging from black to white for light-diffusing objects and from black to perfectly clear and colorless for transmitting objects. A black standard is assigned a luminous reflectance of 0, whereas a white standard is assigned 100. This attribute of color perception is described as value in one visual system of color measurement.

The excitation purity or saturation of a color describes the degree of its difference from the achromatic color perception most resembling it. Numbers representing excitation purity range from 0 to 1. This attribute of color perception is also known as chroma.

Measurement of Color

The color of dental restorative materials is most commonly measured in reflected light using a color measuring instrument or a visual method.

Color Measuring Instrument

Curves of spectral reflectance versus wavelength can be obtained over the visible range (405 to 700 nm) with a recording spectrophotometer and integrating sphere. Typical curves for a resin composite before and after 300 hours of accelerated aging in a weathering chamber are shown in Fig. 4.28. From the reflectance values and tabulated color-matching functions, the tristimulus values \((X, Y, Z)\) can be computed relative to a particular light source. These tristimulus values are related to the amounts of the three primary colors required to give, by additive mixture, a match with the color being considered. Typically, the tristimulus values are computed relative to the Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage (CIE) (International Commission on Illumination) source D55, D65, or C. The ratios of each tristimulus value of a color to their sum are called the chromaticity coordinates \((x, y, z)\). Dominant wavelength and excitation purity of a color can be determined by referring its chromaticity coordinates to a chromaticity diagram such as the one shown in Fig. 4.29. The luminous reflectance is equal to the value of the second \((Y)\) of the three tristimulus values.

A diagram of the CIE \(L^*a^*b^*\) color space is shown in Fig. 4.30. The \(L^*a^*b^*\) color space is characterized by uniform chromaticities. Value (black to white) is denoted as \(L^*\), whereas chroma \((a^*b^*)\) is denoted as red \((+a^*)\), green \((-a^*)\), yellow \((+b^*)\), and blue \((-b^*)\). Ranges of CIE \(L^*a^*b^*\) values for bleaching shades of resin composites are listed in Table 4.7.

Differences between two colors can be determined from a color difference formula. One such formula has the following form:

\[
\Delta E_{ab}^* = \left( (\Delta L^*)^2 + (\Delta a^*)^2 + (\Delta b^*)^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

where \(L^*, a^*, \) and \(b^*\) depend on the tristimulus values of the specimen and of a perfectly white object. A value of \(\Delta E^*\) of 1 can be observed visually by half of the observers under standardized conditions. A value of \(\Delta E^*\) of 3.3 is considered perceptible clinically.

FIG. 4.28 Curves of spectral reflectance versus wavelength for a resin composite before and after exposure to conditions of accelerated aging. The specimen was exposed continuously for 300 hours to the radiation of a 2500-W xenon lamp and intermittently sprayed with water. The aging chamber was held at 43°C and 90% relative humidity. Spectral reflectance curves for translucent specimens often are obtained with both black and white backings.
A popular system for the visual determination of color is the Munsell color system, the parameters of which are represented in three dimensions, as shown in Fig. 4.31. A large set of color tabs is used to determine the color. Value (lightness) is determined first by the selection of a tab that most nearly corresponds with the lightness or darkness of the color. Value ranges from white (10/) to black (0/). Chroma is determined next with tabs that are close to the measured value but are of increasing saturation of color. Chroma ranges from achromatic or gray (/0) to a highly saturated color (/18). The hue of the color is determined last by matching with color tabs of the value and chroma already determined. Hue is measured on a scale from 2.5 to 10 in increments of 2.5 for each of the 10 color families (red, R; yellow-red, YR; yellow, Y; green-yellow, GY; green, G; blue-green, BG; blue, B; purple-blue, PB; purple, P; red-purple, RP). For example, the color of the attached gingiva of a healthy patient has been measured as 5R 6/4 to indicate a hue of 5R, a value of 6, and a chroma of 4.

Two similar colors also can be compared in the Munsell color system by a color difference formula such as the one derived by Nickerson:

\[ I = \frac{C}{5} (2\Delta H) + 6\Delta V + 3\Delta C \]

where \( C \) is the average chroma and \( \Delta H, \Delta V, \) and \( \Delta C \) are differences in hue, value, and chroma of the two colors. For example, if the color of attached

![Visual Method](Image)
gingiva of a patient with periodontal disease was 2.5R 5/6, the color difference, \( I \), between the diseased tissue and the aforementioned healthy tissue (5R 6/4) would be as follows:

\[
I = (5/5) (2) + (2.5) (1) + (3) (2) = 17
\]

A trained observer can detect a color difference, \( I \), equal to 5.

**Surface Finish and Thickness**

When white light shines on a solid, some of the light is directly reflected from the surface and remains white light. This light mixes with the light reflected from the body of the material and dilutes the color. As a result, an extremely rough surface appears lighter than a smooth surface of the same material. This problem is associated with unpolished or worn glass ionomer and resin composite restorations. For example, as the resin matrix of a composite wears away, the restoration appears lighter and less chromatic (grayer).

The thickness of a restoration can affect its appearance. For example, as the thickness of a composite restoration placed against a white background increases, the lightness and the excitation purity decrease. This is observed as an increase in opacity as the thickness increases.

**Pigmentation**

Esthetic effects are sometimes produced in a restoration by incorporating colored pigments in nonmetallic materials such as resin composites, denture acrylics, silicone maxillofacial materials, and dental ceramics. The perceived color results from the absorption of specific wavelengths of light by the pigments and the reflection of other wavelengths. Mercuric sulfide, or vermilion, is red because it absorbs all colors except red and it reflects red. The mixing of pigments therefore involves the process of subtracting colors. For example, a green color may be obtained by mixing a pigment such as cadmium sulfide, which absorbs blue and violet, with ultramarine, which absorbs red, orange, and yellow. The only color reflected from such a mixture of pigments is green, which is the color observed.

Inorganic pigments are often preferred to organic dyes because the pigments are more permanent and durable in their color qualities. When colors are combined with the proper translucency, restorative materials can be made to closely match the surrounding tooth structure or soft tissue. To match tooth tissue, various shades of yellow and gray are blended into the white base material, and occasionally some blue or green pigments are added. To match the pink gingival tissues, various blends of red and white are used, with occasional additions of blue, brown, and black in small quantities. The color and translucency of gingival tissues vary widely from patient to patient and from one area of the mouth to another.

**Metamerism**

Metameric colors are color stimuli of identical tristimulus values under a particular light source but with different spectral energy distributions. The spectral reflectance curves of two such pairs would be complicated, with perhaps three or more crossing points. Under some lights the pairs would appear to match, but under other lights they would be different (Fig. 4.32).

The quality and intensity of light are factors that must be controlled when matching colors in dental restorations. Because the light spectrum of incandescent lamps, fluorescent lamps, and the sun differ from each other, a color match between a restorative material and tooth structure in one lighting condition might not match in another. Whenever possible, shade matching should be done in conditions where most of the patient’s activities will occur.

**Fluorescence**

Fluorescence is the emission of luminous energy by a material when a beam of light is shone on it. The wavelength of the emitted light usually is longer than that of the exciting radiation. Typically, blue or ultraviolet light produces fluorescent light that is in the visible range. Light from most fluorescent substances is emitted in a single, broad, well-shaped curve, the width and peak depending on the fluorescing substance.

Sound human teeth emit fluorescent light when excited by ultraviolet radiation (365 nm), the fluorescence being polychromatic with the greatest intensity in the blue region (450 nm) of the spectrum. Some anterior restorative materials and
dental porcelains are formulated with fluorescing agents to reproduce the natural appearance of tooth structure.

Opacity, Translucency, Transparency, and Opalescence

The color of an object is modified not only by the intensity and shade of the pigment or coloring agent but also by the translucency or opacity of the object. Hard and soft tissues vary in their degree of opacity. Most exhibit some translucency. This is especially true of tooth enamel and the surrounding gingival tissues.

Opacity is a property of materials that prevents the passage of light. When all of the colors of the spectrum from a white light source such as sunlight are reflected from an object with the same intensity as received, the object appears white. When all the spectrum colors are absorbed equally, the object appears black. An opaque material may absorb some of the light and reflect the remainder. If, for example, red, orange, yellow, blue, and violet are absorbed, the material appears green in reflected white light.

Translucency is a property of substances that permits the passage of light but disperses the light, so objects cannot be seen through the material. Some translucent materials used in dentistry are ceramics, resin composites, and acrylics.

Transparent materials allow the passage of light, so little distortion takes place and objects may be clearly seen through them. Transparent substances such as glass may be colored if they absorb certain wavelengths and transmit others. For example, if a piece of glass absorbed all wavelengths except red, it would appear red by transmitted light. If a light beam containing no red wavelengths were shone on the glass, it would appear opaque, because the remaining wavelengths would be absorbed.

Opalescent materials, such as dental enamel, are able to scatter shorter wavelengths of light. Under transmitted light, they appear brown/yellow, whereas shades of blue are perceptible under reflected light (Fig. 4.33). To produce highly esthetic restorations that truly mimic the natural appearance of the tooth, materials with opalescent properties should be used. This has popularized the use of porcelain veneering materials, as well as direct restorative composites.

Index of Refraction

The index of refraction (n) for any substance is the ratio of the velocity of light in a vacuum (or air) to its velocity in the medium. When light enters a medium, it slows from its speed in air (300,000 km/s) and may change direction. For example, when a beam of light traveling in air strikes the surface of water at an oblique angle, the light rays are bent toward the normal. The normal is a line drawn perpendicular to the water surface at the point where the light contacts the water surface. If the light is traveling through water and contacts a water-air surface at an oblique angle, the beam of light is bent or refracted away from the normal. The index of refraction is a characteristic property of the substance (Table 4.8) and is used extensively for identification. One of the most important applications of refraction is the control of the refractive index of the dispersed and matrix phases in materials such as resin composites and dental ceramics, designed to have the translucent appearance of tooth tissue. A perfect match in the refractive indices results in a transparent solid, whereas large differences result in opaque materials.

Optical Constants

As light interacts with an object, several phenomena can be observed. Incident light can be reflected, absorbed, scattered (or backscattered), or transmitted. These parameters can all be calculated to more objectively characterize the optical properties of the material (Fig. 4.34). Esthetic dental materials such as ceramics, resin composites, and tooth structure
are turbid, or intensely light-scattering, materials. In a turbid material the intensity of incident light is diminished considerably when light passes through the specimen. These considerations are important not only for shade matching but also in situations where the restorative material is used to conceal imperfections in the tooth being restored, such as stains or other flaws. The optical properties of restorative materials are described by the Kubelka-Munk equations, which develop relations for monochromatic light between the reflection of an infinitely thick layer of a material and its absorption and scattering coefficients. These equations can be solved algebraically by hyperbolic functions derived by Kubelka.

Secondary optical constants (a and b) can be calculated as follows:

$$a = \left( R(B) - R(W) - R_B + R_W - R(B) R(W) R_B \right)$$

and

$$b = (a^2 - 1)^{1/2}$$

where $R_B$ is the reflectance of a dark backing (the black standard), $R_W$ is reflectance of a light backing (the white standard), $R(B)$ is the light reflectance of a specimen with the dark backing, and $R(W)$ is the light reflectance of the specimen with the light backing.

These equations are used under the assumptions that (1) the material is turbid, dull, and of constant finite thickness; (2) edges are neglected; (3) optical inhomogeneities are much smaller than the thickness of the specimen and are distributed uniformly; and (4) illumination is homogeneous and diffused.

**Scattering Coefficient**

The scattering coefficient is the fraction of incident light flux lost by reversal of direction in an elementary layer. The scattering coefficient, $S$, for a unit thickness of a material is defined as follows:

$$S = \left(\frac{1}{bX}\right) Arctgh \left[1 - a \left( R + R_S \right) + RR_S/b \left( R - R_S \right)\right], \text{mm}^{-1}$$

where $X$ is the actual thickness of the specimen, $Ar ctgh$ is an inverse hyperbolic cotangent, and $R$ is the light reflectance of the specimen with the backing of reflectance, $R_S$.

The scattering coefficient varies with the wavelength of the incident light and the nature of the colorant layer, as shown in Fig. 4.35 for several shades of a resin composite. Composites with larger values of the scattering coefficient are more opaque.

**Absorption Coefficient**

The absorption coefficient is the fraction of incident light flux lost by absorption in an elementary layer. The absorption coefficient, $K$, for a unit thickness of a material is defined as follows:

$$K = S(a - 1), \text{mm}^{-1}$$

The absorption coefficient also varies with the wavelength of the incident light and the nature of the colorant layer, as shown in Fig. 4.36 for several shades of a resin composite. Composites with larger values of the absorption coefficient are more opaque and more intensely colored.

![FIG. 4.34 Schematic of the possible interactions of light with a solid.](image)

![FIG. 4.35 Scattering coefficient versus wavelength for shades of a composite.](image)
**Light Reflectivity**

The light reflectivity, $RI$, is the light reflectance of a material of infinite thickness, and is defined as follows:

$$RI = a - b$$

This property also varies with the wavelength of the incident light and the nature of the colorant layer.

The light reflectivity can be used to calculate a thickness, $XI$, at which the reflectance of a material with an ideal black background would attain 99.9% of its light reflectivity. The infinite optical thickness, $XI$, is defined for monochromatic light as follows:

$$XI = \left(\frac{1}{bS}\right) \arctgh\left[\frac{1 - 0.999aRI}{0.999bRI}\right] \text{ mm}$$

The variation of $XI$ with wavelength is shown in Fig. 4.37 for a resin composite. It is interesting that composites are more opaque to blue than to red light, yet blue light is used to cure light-activated composites.

**Contrast Ratio**

Once $a$, $b$, and $S$ are obtained, the light reflectance ($R$) for a specimen of any thickness ($X$) in contact with a backing of any reflectance ($R_g$) can be calculated using the following formula:

$$R = \frac{1 - R_g(a - bctghbSX)}{(a + bctghbSX - R_g)}$$

An estimate of the opacity of a 1-mm-thick specimen can then be calculated from the contrast ratio ($C$) as follows:

$$C = \frac{R_0}{R}$$

where $R_0$ is the computed light reflectance of the specimen with a black backing.
Masking Ability
Dental restorations are often used to resolve esthetic problems, even when carious lesions are not present. This is the case in patients presenting staining due to intrinsic or extrinsic factors (examples of which are staining by antibiotics and smoking habits, respectively) or in restorations where an opaque reinforcing structure is required, as in the case of metallic or highly crystalline ceramic posts. The masking ability of restorative materials depends on their optical constants, as previously described, and on their thickness. In Fig. 4.34 examples of materials with the same thickness but different optical properties are shown against a black and white background to demonstrate variations in the masking ability.

THERMAL PROPERTIES
Temperature
The temperature of a substance can be measured with a thermometer or a thermocouple. An important application of temperature assessment in dentistry is the measurement of heat during cavity preparation or during light activation of resin composites. Examples of the effect of heat generation by the handpiece while on rotation and coolants on temperature in tooth structure during cavity preparation are shown in Fig. 4.38. The temperature was measured by a thermocouple inserted into a small opening that extended into the dentoenamel junction. The tooth was then cut in the direction of the thermocouple and the maximum temperature recorded.

Transition Temperatures
The arrangement of atoms and molecules in materials is influenced by the temperature; as a result, thermal techniques are important in understanding the properties of dental materials. Differential thermal analysis (DTA) has been applied to study the components of dental waxes. The DTA curve of a mixture of paraffin and carnauba wax is shown in Fig. 4.39. The thermogram was obtained when the difference in temperature between the wax and a standard was recorded under the same heating conditions in which thermocouples were used. The difference in temperature was recorded as a function of the temperature of the surroundings. A decrease in the value of $\Delta T$ indicated an endothermic process in the specimen. The endotherms at 31.5°C and 35°C are solid-solid transitions occurring in the paraffin wax as the result of a change of crystal structure. The endotherm at 52°C represents the solid-liquid transition of paraffin wax, whereas the endotherms at 68.7°C and 80.2°C result from the melting of carnauba wax. The heat of transition of the two solid-solid transitions is about 8 cal/g, and the melting transition of paraffin wax is approximately 39 and 11 cal/g, respectively. These and other thermograms show that 25% carnauba wax added to paraffin wax has no effect on the melting point.
Thermomechanical analysis (TMA) of the carnauba-paraffin wax mixture is also shown in Fig. 4.39. The percent penetration of the wax mixture by a cylindrical probe is shown for two stresses of 0.013 and 0.26 MPa. The penetration of the wax at the lower stress was controlled by the melting transition of the carnauba wax component, whereas the penetration at the higher stress was dominated by the solid-solid and solid-liquid transitions of the paraffin wax components. About 44% penetration, which is related to flow, occurred before the melting point of the paraffin wax was reached.

Other properties correlate with thermograms. The coefficient of thermal expansion of paraffin wax increases from about $300 \times 10^{-6}/^\circ C$ to $1400 \times 10^{-6}/^\circ C$ just before the solid-solid transition, and the flow increases greatly in this temperature range.

Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) of a dimethacrylate copolymer is shown in Fig. 4.40. A thin film of the copolymer was subjected to a sinusoidal tensile strain at a frequency of 11 Hz. As temperature was increased, values of modulus of elasticity ($E'$) and loss tangent ($\tan \delta$) were obtained. The glass transition temperature ($T_g$) was determined from identification of the beginning of a rapid decrease in $E'$ with temperature. The value of $T_g$ identifies the temperature at which a glassy polymer transforms to a softer, rubbery state upon heating, which in turn relates to the increase in the number of degrees of freedom given to the molecules by the increased entropy. A lower value of $T_g$ can result from a lower degree of conversion of double bonds, less cross-linked, more flexible networks, or from saturation by water. As discussed later, the value of the coefficient of thermal expansion of a polymer changes at $T_g$.

**Heat of Fusion**

The heat of fusion, $L$, is the heat in calories, or joules, $J$, required to convert 1 g of a material from solid to liquid state at the melting temperature. The equation for the calculation of heat of fusion is $L = Q/m$, where $Q$ is the total heat absorbed and $m$ is the mass of the substance melted. Therefore in practical applications it is apparent that the larger the mass of material being melted, the more heat required to change the total mass to liquid. The heat of fusion is closely related to the melting or freezing point of the substance, because when the change in state occurs, it is always necessary to apply additional heat to the mass to cause liquefaction, and as long as the mass remains molten, the heat of fusion is retained by the liquid. When the mass is frozen, or solidified, the heat that was retained in the liquid state is liberated. The difference in energy content is necessary to maintain
the kinetic molecular motion, which is characteristic of the liquid state.

The values for heat of fusion of some common substances (given in round numbers) are listed in Table 4.9. It may be seen that the values for heat of fusion of gold and the metals used for dental gold alloys (silver and copper) are below those of many other metals and compounds. This is true also for the specific heat of gold and its alloys.

**Thermal Conductivity**

The thermal conductivity, $K$, of a substance is the quantity of heat in calories, or joules, per second passing through a body 1 cm thick with a cross section of 1 cm$^2$ when the temperature difference is 1°C. The units are cal/s/cm$^2$/°C/cm. The conductivity of a material changes slightly as the surrounding temperature is altered, but generally the difference resulting from temperature changes is much less than the difference that exists between different types of materials.

Several important applications of thermal conductivity exist in dental materials. For example, a large amalgam filling or gold crown in proximity to the pulp may cause the patient considerable discomfort when hot or cold foods produce temperature changes; this effect is mitigated when adequate tooth tissue remains or cavity liners are placed between the tooth and filling for insulation. Cavity liners are relatively poor thermal conductors and insulate the pulp area.

A better understanding of the conductivities of various restorative materials is desirable to develop an appropriate degree of insulation for the pulp tissue, comparable with that in the natural tooth. The conductivity of certain dental materials is listed in Table 4.10. Nonmetallic materials have lower thermal conductivity than metals, and are therefore good insulators. Dental cements have a thermal conductivity similar to that of dentin and enamel. Note that the thermal conductivity of a liner or base is important in reducing the thermal transfer to the pulp, and that the temperature difference across an insulator depends on the extent of the heating or cooling period and the magnitude of the temperature difference.

**Specific Heat**

The specific heat, $C_p$, of a substance is the quantity of heat needed to raise the temperature of 1 g of the substance by 1°C. Water is usually chosen as the standard substance and 1 g as the standard mass. The heat required to raise the temperature of 1 g of water from 15°C to 16°C is 1 cal, which is used as the basis for the definition of the heat unit. Most substances are more readily heated, gram for gram, than water.

---

**Table 4.9: Heat of Fusion ($L_f$) of Some Materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Heat of Fusion (cal/g [J/g])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>METALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>−39</td>
<td>3 [12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>1063</td>
<td>16 [67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>26 [109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>27 [113]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td>49 [205]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>58 [242]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>75 [314]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum compounds</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>94 [393]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>−114</td>
<td>25 [104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraffin</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>35 [146]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beeswax</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>42 [176]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerin</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>47 [196]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80 [334]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.10: Thermal Conductivity ($K$) of Various Materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Thermal Conductivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cal/s/cm$^2$/(°C/cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>METALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>1.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental amalgam</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NONMETALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin composite</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porcelain</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylic resin</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beeswax</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Obviously, the total heat required to raise the temperature of a substance by 1°C depends on the total mass and the specific heat. For example, 100 g of water requires more calories than 50 g of water to raise the temperature by 1°C. Likewise, because of the difference in specific heat of water and alcohol, 100 g of water requires more heat than 100 g of alcohol to raise the temperature the same amount. In general, the specific heat of liquids is higher than those of solids. Some metals have specific heat values of less than 10% that of water.

During the melting and casting process, the specific heat of the metal or alloy is important because of the total amount of heat that must be applied to the mass to raise the temperature to the melting point. Fortunately, the specific heat of gold and the metals used in gold alloys is low, so prolonged heating is unnecessary. The specific heat of both enamel and dentin is higher than that of metals used for fillings, as shown in Table 4.11.

### Thermal Diffusivity

The thermal diffusivity, $\Delta$, is a measure of transient heat flow and is defined as the thermal conductivity, $K$, divided by the product of the specific heat, $C_p$, times the density, $\rho$:

$$\Delta = \frac{K}{(C_p \rho)}$$

The units of thermal diffusivity are mm$^2$/s.

The thermal diffusivity describes the rate at which a body with a nonuniform temperature approaches equilibrium. For a gold crown or a dental amalgam, the low specific heat combined with the high thermal conductivity creates a thermal shock more readily than normal tooth structure does. Values of thermal diffusivity of some materials are listed in Table 4.12. These values may vary somewhat with composition of the particular restorative material.

As mentioned in the discussion of thermal conductivity, thickness of the material is important. A parameter governing lining efficiency ($Z$) is related to thickness ($T$) and thermal diffusivity ($\Delta$) as follows:

$$Z = \frac{T}{(\Delta)^{1/2}}$$

### Coefficient of Thermal Expansion

The change in length ($l_{\text{final}} - l_{\text{original}}$) per unit length of a material for a 1°C change in temperature is called the linear coefficient of thermal expansion, $\alpha$, and is calculated as follows:

$$\frac{(l_{\text{final}} - l_{\text{original}})}{l_{\text{original}} \times (\circ C_{\text{final}} - \circ C_{\text{original}})} = \alpha$$

The units are represented by the notation /°C, and because the values are usually small they are expressed in exponential form such as $22 \times 10^{-6}$/°C. A less common practice is to report the change in parts per million (ppm) and the previous number would be expressed as 22 ppm.

The linear coefficients of thermal expansion for some materials important in restorative dentistry are given in Table 4.13. Although the coefficient is a material constant, it does not remain constant over wide temperature ranges. For example, the linear coefficient of thermal expansion of a dental wax may have an average value of $300 \times 10^{-6}$/°C up to

---

**Table 4.11** Specific Heat ($C_p$) of Various Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Specific Heat (cal/g/°C [$J/g/°C$])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOLIDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>0.031 [0.13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>0.032 [0.13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>0.056 [0.23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.092 [0.38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel</td>
<td>0.18 [0.75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>0.19 [0.79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>0.21 [0.88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porcelain</td>
<td>0.26 [1.09]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>0.28 [1.17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylic resin</td>
<td>0.35 [1.46]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **LIQUIDS**|                                         |
| Water     | 1.000 [4.18]                            |
| Paraffin  | 0.69 [2.88]                             |
| Glycerin  | 0.58 [2.42]                             |
| Alcohol (ethyl) | 0.547 [2.29]                 |
| Mercury   | 0.033 [0.14]                            |

**Table 4.12** Thermal Diffusivity ($\Delta$) of Various Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Thermal Diffusivity (mm$^2$/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure gold (calculated)</td>
<td>119.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amalgam</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin composite</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porcelain</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel</td>
<td>0.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass ionomer cement</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylic resin</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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40°C, whereas it may have an average value of $500 \times 10^{-6}/°C$ from 40 to 50°C. The coefficient of thermal expansion of a polymer changes as the polymer goes from a glassy state to a softer, rubbery material. This change in the coefficient corresponds to the glass transition temperature ($T_g$).

Either the linear or volumetric coefficient of thermal expansion may be measured, and for most materials that function as isotropic solids, the volumetric thermal coefficient may be considered to be three times the linear thermal coefficient.

Both linear expansion and volume expansion are important in restorative materials and processes. It is obvious that with a reduction of temperature, or cooling, there is a contraction of the substance that is equal to the expansion that results from heating. Accordingly, tooth structure and restorative materials in the mouth will expand when warmed by hot foods and beverages but will contract when exposed to cold substances. Such expansions and contractions may break the marginal seal of a filling in the tooth, particularly if the difference between the coefficient of expansion of the tooth and the restorative material is large. The high coefficient of expansion of pattern waxes is an important factor in the construction of properly fitting restorations. The change in volume as a result of cooling is responsible for the shrinkage spots or surface cracks that often develop in gold alloy castings during solidification. Compensation for the contraction that occurs during the cooling of gold alloys must be made if accurate gold castings are to result. The values in Table 4.13 show that with comparable temperature changes, materials such as acrylic resin and amalgam expand more than tooth tissue, whereas ceramic expands less. The coefficient of inlay pattern wax is exceptionally high when compared with that of other materials.

Of particular importance in casting investments is the property of thermal expansion of three crystalline polymorphic forms of silica. As a principal ingredient in dental investments that are to be heated before a metal casting is made, the amount of expansion at various temperatures is critical and important. This quality of silica compounds in relation to use in casting investments was described in 1932. Curves in Fig. 4.41 illustrate the relative percentage of thermal expansion of the four forms of silica at different temperatures below about 800°C. Of the crystalline forms, cristobalite shows the greatest expansion at the lowest temperature and quartz requires a higher temperature to develop an equal amount of expansion as cristobalite. Fused silica has long been recognized as having an exceedingly low thermal expansion.

### ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES

#### Electrical Conductivity and Resistivity

The ability of a material to conduct an electric current may be stated as either specific conductance or conductivity, or conversely, as the specific resistance or resistivity. Resistivity is the more common term. The resistance of a homogeneous conductor of uniform cross section at a constant temperature varies directly with the length and inversely with the cross-sectional area of the specimen, according to the equation:

$$R = \rho l/A$$
Material that provides electrical insulation is cement based does not effectively insulate the pulp. Recent studies indicate that electrical insulation is made more complex by the presence of galvanic currents in the mouth, resulting from cells formed from metallic restorations. The change in electrical resistance has been used to study the alteration in internal structure of various alloys as a result of heat treatment. An early investigation of the gold-copper alloy system by electrical conductivity methods revealed a change in internal crystal structure with an accompanying change in conductivity. The correlation of these conductivity studies with related changes in other properties established the fundamental basis of structural changes associated with heat-treatment operations on dental gold alloys.

Values for the resistivity of human tooth structure are shown in Table 4.14. Resistivity is important in the investigation of the pain perception threshold resulting from applied electrical stimuli and of displacement of fluid in teeth caused by ionic movements. The electrical resistance of normal and carious teeth has been observed to differ, with less resistance offered by the carious tissue. Sound enamel is a relatively poor conductor of electricity, whereas dentin is somewhat better (see Table 4.14).

The conductivity of materials used to replace tooth tissue is of concern in restorative dentistry. The effectiveness of insulating cement bases and other nonmetallic restorative materials is not yet established. Several studies have measured the resistivity of dental cements (see Table 4.14). Glass ionomer cements are the most conductive of the cements and have values most similar to dentin.

### Dielectric Constant

A material that provides electrical insulation is known as a dielectric. Values of the dielectric constant for human dentin and several dental cements are listed in Table 4.15. The dielectric constant of a dental cement generally decreases as the material hardens. This decrease reflects a change from a paste that is relatively ionic and polar to one that is less so. As shown by the high values of permittivity of glass ionomer cements in Table 4.15, these cements have a high ionic content and are quite polar compared with human dentin.

The problem of electrical insulation is made more complex by the presence of galvanic currents in the mouth, resulting from cells formed from metallic restorations. Recent studies indicate that a cement base does not effectively insulate the pulp from the electric current developed in a metallic restoration in the mouth. How much insulation is essential or how to effectively restore the tooth to its original status of equilibrium is currently not known.

### Electromotive Force

Working with metals and alloys for dental restorations or with instruments that are susceptible to corrosion necessitates some understanding of the relative position of the metal in the electromotive force series. The electromotive series is a listing of electrode potentials of metals according to the order of their decreasing tendency to oxidize in solution. This serves as the basis of comparison of the tendency of metals to oxidize in air. Those metals with a large negative electrode potential are more resistant to tarnish than those with a high positive electrode potential. In general, the metals above copper in the series, such as aluminum, zinc, and nickel, tend to oxidize relatively easily, whereas those below copper, such as silver, platinum, and gold, resist oxidation. A list of oxidation-reduction potentials for some common corrosion reactions in water and in salt water is given in Table 4.16. The values of electrode potential and the order of the series change when measured in a saline solution rather than water. The electrode potentials of some dental alloys measured in artificial saliva at 35°C are listed in Table 4.17.

---

### Table 4.14 Values of Resistivity (ρ) of Human Tooth Structure and Glass Ionomer Cement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Resistivity (ohm·cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUMAN ENAMEL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bjorn (1946)</td>
<td>2.9–3.6 × 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumford (1967)</td>
<td>2.6–6.9 × 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUMAN DENTIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bjorn (1946)</td>
<td>0.7–6.0 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumford (1967)</td>
<td>1.1–5.2 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENTAL CEMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass ionomer</td>
<td>0.8–2.5 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4.15 Dielectric Constant (εᵣ) for Human Dentin and Glass Ionomer Cement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Dielectric Constant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human dentin</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass ionomer</td>
<td>2 to 7 × 10⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Likewise, it is possible to determine from the electromotive force series that the reduction of the oxides of gold, platinum, and silver to pure metal can be accomplished more readily than with those metals that have a higher electromotive force value.

**Table 4.16** Oxidation-Reduction Potentials for Corrosion Reactions in Water and Salt Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Corrosion Reaction</th>
<th>In Water, Electrode Potential at 25°C (Volts vs. Normal Hydrogen Electrode)</th>
<th>In Salt Water, Electrode Potential at 25°C (Volts vs. 0.1 N Calomel Scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>Al → Al³⁺ + 3e</td>
<td>+1.662⁺</td>
<td>+0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Zn → Zn²⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>+0.763</td>
<td>+1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>Cr → Cr³⁺ + 3e</td>
<td>+0.744</td>
<td>+0.4 to −0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Fe → Fe³⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>+0.440</td>
<td>+0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>Co → Co²⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>+0.277</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Ni → Ni²⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>+0.250</td>
<td>+0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>Sn → Sn²⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>+0.136</td>
<td>+0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>H₂ → 2H⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Cu → Cu²⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>−0.337</td>
<td>+0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4(OH⁻) → O₂ + 2H₂O + 4e</td>
<td>−0.401</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>2Hg → Hg₂⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>−0.788</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>Ag → Ag⁺ + e</td>
<td>−0.799</td>
<td>+0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palladium</td>
<td>Pd → Pd²⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>−0.987</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>Pt → Pt²⁺ + 2e</td>
<td>−1.200</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2H₂O → O₂ + 4H⁺ + 4e</td>
<td>−1.229</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Au → Au³⁺ + 3e</td>
<td>−1.498</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A positive value indicates a strong tendency for the metal to go into solution. Higher positive values are more anodic, whereas higher negative values are more cathodic.


**Table 4.17** Galvanic Series of Some Dental Alloys in Artificial Saliva at 35°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Volts⁺</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen/H</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amalgam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional spherical</td>
<td>−0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersed high-copper</td>
<td>−0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel-chromium alloy</td>
<td>−0.126 to 0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt-chromium alloy</td>
<td>−0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Alloy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Cu-Ag</td>
<td>−0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt-Pd-Ag</td>
<td>−0.358 to −0.455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* High positive sign indicates a strong tendency for the metal to go into solution.


**Galvanism**

The presence of metallic restorations in the mouth may cause a phenomenon called galvanic action, or galvanism. This results from a difference in potential between dissimilar fillings in opposing or adjacent teeth. These fillings, in conjunction with saliva or bone fluids such as electrolytes, make up an electric cell. When two opposing fillings contact each other, the cell is short-circuited, and if the flow of current occurs through the pulp, the patient experiences pain and the more anodic restoration may corrode. A single filling plus the saliva and bone fluid may also constitute a cell of liquid junction type. As shown in Fig. 4.42, ions capable of conducting electricity can easily migrate through dentin and around the margins of a restoration.

Studies have indicated that relatively large currents will flow through metallic fillings when they are brought into contact. The current rapidly falls off if the fillings are maintained in contact, probably as a result of polarization of the cell. The magnitude of the voltage, however, is not of primary importance, because indications support the fact that the sensitivity of the patient to the current has a greater influence on whether pain is felt. Although most patients feel pain at a value between 20 and 50 μamp, some may...
feel pain at 10 μamp, whereas others do not experience it until 110 μamp is developed. This is a possible explanation for the fact that some patients are bothered by galvanic action and others are not, despite similar conditions in the mouth.

The galvanic currents developed from the contact of two metallic restorations depend on their composition and surface area. An alloy of stainless steel develops a higher current density than either gold or cobalt-chromium alloys when in contact with an amalgam restoration. As the size of the cathode (such as a gold alloy) increases relative to that of the anode (such as an amalgam), the current density may increase. The larger cathode, likewise, can enhance the corrosion of the smaller anode. Current densities associated with non-\(\gamma_2\)-containing amalgams appear to be less than those associated with the \(\gamma_2\)-containing amalgams.

Electrochemical Corrosion

The corrosion and electrochemical behavior of restorative materials have received new interest with the study of multiphase systems such as gold alloys and amalgam. For example, the corrosion of \(\gamma\), \(\gamma_1\), and \(\gamma_2\) phases in amalgam has been studied by electrochemical analysis. Anodic and cathodic polarization measurements indicated no strongly passive behavior of these phases in artificial saliva. The dental amalgam specimens became pitted at the boundaries between the phases or in \(\gamma_2\) phase. Other studies, however, indicate that amalgam alloys exhibit decreasing electrochemical potentials, resulting in noble values when stored in neutral solutions. The addition of copper to amalgam alloys to form copper-tin compounds during hardening has improved the resistance of amalgam to chloride and galvanic corrosion. As shown in Fig. 4.43, the anodic activity of AgSn amalgam is quite different from AgSn + AgCu amalgams. The AgSn + AgCu amalgam remains passive under the testing conditions, whereas the AgSn amalgam does not.

Studies of corrosion of surgical stainless steel and stainless steel orthodontic brackets have been reported. Corrosion of these alloys and others can result in decreased mechanical properties and the formation of corrosion products, which in some instances accumulate in the human organs. As shown previously in Table 4.16, corrosion can be affected by the environment, and certain metals such as cobalt and copper corrode more rapidly in a saline solution containing serum albumin and fibrinogen proteins.

Zeta-Potential

A charged particle suspended in an electrolytic solution attracts ions of opposite charge to those at its surface. The layer formed by these ions is called the Stern layer. To maintain the electrical balance of the suspending fluid, ions of opposite charge are attracted to the Stern layer. The potential at the surface of that part of the diffuse double layer of ions is called the electrokinetic or zeta-potential.

Electrophoresis may be used to increase the stability of colloids, stimulate adsorption of ions, and characterize particle surfaces. Effects of pH, surface-active agents, and enzymes on zeta-potential are important. Zeta-potential may affect the near-surface mechanical properties (such as wear) of a material. The zeta-potentials of some materials are listed in Table 4.18.

OTHER PROPERTIES

Certain properties often are highly important in the selection and manipulation of materials for use either in the mouth or for laboratory applications. Five such properties are tarnish and discoloration, water sorption, solubility and disintegration, setting time, and shelf life.

Tarnish and Discoloration

Discoloration of a restorative material from any cause is a very troublesome quality. The tarnish of metal restorations from oxide, sulfide, or any other materials causing a surface reaction is a critical quality of
metal restorations in the mouth and of laboratory and clinical instruments. The process of steam sterilization of surgical instruments has long presented a serious problem of tarnish and corrosion. Many nonmetallic materials such as cements and composite restorations have displayed a tendency to discolor in service because colored substances penetrate the materials and continue chemical reactions in the composites.

Various in vitro tests have been proposed to study tarnish, particularly that of crown and bridge and partial denture alloys. Testing generally relies on controlled exposure of the alloy to a solution rich in sulfides, chlorides, and phosphates. Most recently the discoloration of alloys exposed to such solutions has been evaluated by spectrophotometric methods to determine a color-difference parameter discussed earlier in this chapter.

Water Sorption

Water sorption of a material represents the amount of water adsorbed on the surface and absorbed into the body of the material during fabrication or while the restoration is in service. Water sorption of denture acrylic, for example, is measured gravimetrically in μg/mm³ after 7 days in water. The tendency of plastic denture base materials to have a high degree of water sorption is the reason this quality was included in American National Standards Institute/American Dental Association (ANSI/ADA) specification No. 12 for this type of material. Usually a serious warpage and dimensional change in the material are associated with a high percentage of water sorption. The tendency of alginate impression materials to imbibe water if allowed to remain immersed and then to change dimensions requires careful disinfection procedures and pouring within the manufacturer’s recommended time.

Setting Time

Setting time characteristics are associated with the reaction rates and affect the practical applications of many materials in restorative dentistry. Materials such as cements, impression materials, dental plaster, stone, and casting investments depend on a critical
reaction time and hardening rate for their successful application. From the practical standpoint of manipulation and successful application, the time required for a material to set or harden from a plastic or fluid state may be its most important quality. The setting time does not indicate the completion of the reaction, which may continue for much longer times. The time varies for different materials, depending on the particular application, but duplication of results from one lot to another or from one trade brand of material to another is highly desirable. The influence of manipulative procedures on the setting time of various types of materials is important to the dentist and the assistant.

Shelf Life

Shelf life is a term applied to the general deterioration and change in quality of materials during shipment and storage. The temperature, humidity, and time of storage, as well as the bulk of material involved and the type of storage container, are significant factors that vary greatly from one material to another. A material that has exceptionally good properties when first produced may be quite impractical if it deteriorates badly after a few days or weeks. These qualities are discussed in chapters dealing with gypsum materials and impression materials. Some studies of these qualities of various materials have been made in recent years, and through accelerated aging tests, improvements in quality can sometimes be made. Anesthetics, dental adhesives, and a few other products carry dates of expiration beyond which the product should not be expected to be serviceable. This practice assures the user that the material is not deteriorated because of age. Most materials that meet the requirements of the American Dental Association specifications carry a date of production as a part of the serial number or as a separate notation.

**SUMMARY**

The physical properties of oral restorations must adequately withstand the stresses of mastication. Several methods may be used to ensure proper performance of a restoration. With a constant force, the stress is inversely proportional to the contact area; therefore stresses may be reduced by increasing the area over which the force is distributed. In areas of high stress, materials having high elastic moduli and strength properties should be used if possible. If a weaker material has desirable properties, such as esthetic qualities, one may minimize the stress by increasing the bulk of the material when possible or ensuring proper occlusion on the restoration.

Restorations and appliances should be designed so the resulting forces of mastication are distributed as uniformly as possible. In addition, sharp line angles, nonuniform areas, and notched, scratched, or pitted surfaces should be avoided to minimize stress concentrations. For example, joints between abutments and pontics of fixed partial dental prostheses should be properly radiused to distribute stress during function. Implant screws should not be scratched or notched when inserted.

Restorative materials are generally weaker in tension than in compression. Restorations should be designed to minimize areas of high tension. Material flaws can further contribute to areas prone to failure. Fatigue is also an important consideration. For example, repeated flexure of an improperly loaded implant-supported restoration can concentrate stresses in the abutment screw or implant body, leading to fatigue fracture.

The dentist is often concerned not so much with the fracture of an appliance as with the deflection that occurs when a force is applied. This is the case with a fixed partial dental prosthesis, which may be cast as a single unit or may consist of soldered units. As discussed earlier in this chapter, the deflection of a beam, or in this case a fixed partial dental prosthesis, supported on each end with a concentrated load in the center depends directly on the cube of the beam length and indirectly on the cube of the beam thickness. Doubling the length of the beam, therefore, increases the deflection by eight times. This also indicates that decreasing the thickness of the beam by one-half increases the deflection by eight times. If too much bulk were required to develop the stiffness desired, changing to a material with a higher elastic modulus, or stiffness, would be beneficial. If repeated failures occur, consider increasing the oclusogingival dimension of the proximal connectors, balancing the occlusion over a larger surface area, and narrowing the occlusal table.

These isolated examples of applied knowledge of biting forces and stresses in dental structures indicate why an understanding of this subject is necessary to the practicing dentist.

In summary, three interrelated factors are important in the long-term function of dental restorative materials: (1) material choice, (2) component geometry (e.g., to minimize stress concentrations), and (3) component design (e.g., to distribute stresses as uniformly as possible). It should be noted that failures can and do occur. In such instances, a failure analysis should be performed by answering several questions: (1) Why did it fail? (2) How did it fail? (3) Did the material or design fail? and (4) How can this failure be prevented in the future? Lastly, remember that dental material behavior depends on interrelated physical, chemical, optical, mechanical, thermal, electrical, and
biological properties, and improvement of one specific property often leads to a reduction in another property.
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Other Properties
In Chapter 4, we introduced fundamental concepts in biomechanics and physical properties of dental materials. The data presented were collected with a variety of test instruments. In this chapter we describe the individual tests in more detail.

**COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH**

When an object is tested in compression, complex stresses are created in the object. In compression, forces are resolved into forces of shear in a cone-shaped area at each end and, as a result of the action of the two cones on the cylinder, into tensile forces in the central portion of the object. Because of these complex forces, standard sizes and dimensions are used to obtain reproducible test results. If a test specimen is too short (Fig. 5.1), the force distributions are more complicated because the cones overlap in the ends of the cylinder. If the specimen is too long, buckling may occur. Therefore for the most satisfactory results, the cylinder’s length should be twice the diameter.

Compressive strength is most useful for comparing materials that are brittle and generally weak in tension. Compressive strength is therefore used in the comparison of dental amalgam, resin composites, and cements, and other materials such as dental stone and investments. Typical values of compressive strength of some restorative dental materials are given in Table 5.1.

**FLEXURE**

The bending, or flexural, properties of many materials are often more important than their tensile or compressive properties because of the way they are used. For example, the flexural properties of stainless steel wires, endodontic files and reamers, and hypodermic needles are important because of the bending that happens in function. American National Standards Institute/American Dental Association (ANSI/ADA) specification No. 28 for endodontic files and reamers requires flexure tests.

Flexural properties are measured by bending a beam-shaped specimen. In a single cantilever beam test, the beam is fixed at one end and a force is applied at a prescribed distance from the fixed end. In a dual cantilever beam test, both ends of the beam are fixed and a load is placed on the center of the beam. In a three-point or four-point flexural test, the beam is supported on two rollers and a load is applied to the top of the beam at one location (three-point) or two locations (four-point). Specimens are subjected to conditions that resemble pure bending, and beam theory is used to analyze the data. As the force is increased and the specimen is bent, corresponding values for the angle of bending and the bending moment (force × distance) are recorded. Graphic plots of the bending moment versus the angle of bending are similar in appearance to stress-strain curves. As an example, a series of plots for various sizes of endodontic reamers is shown in Fig. 5.2. An instrument will be permanently bent if the bending angle exceeds the value at the end of the linear portion of the curve. Instruments that are larger in diameter are stiffer, as shown by the initial steeper slope. The initial linear portion of the curve is shorter for larger instruments and thus the deviation from linearity occurs at lower angular bends.

**FLEXURAL STRENGTH**

Flexural strength is measured by applying a load in the middle of a beam that is simply supported (not fixed) at each end (Figs. 5.3 and 5.4). This test is called a three-point bending or flexure test and the maximum stress measured in the test is called flexural strength. The flexural strengths for several dental materials are shown in Table 5.2. This test determines not only the strength of the material...
but also the amount of deflection expected. Flexural strength and the accompanying deflection are important in long spans such as in a fixed dental prosthesis or removable partial dental prosthesis where occlusal stresses may be severe.

Maximum stress in a rectangular beam loaded in the center of the span is:

\[ \text{Stress} = \frac{3 \times \text{Load} \times \text{Length}}{2 \times \text{Width} \times \text{Thickness}^3} \]

or

\[ \sigma = \frac{3PL}{2bd^2} \]

The deformation or displacement in this loaded beam is:

\[ \text{Deformation} = \frac{\text{Load} \times \text{Length}^3}{4 \times \text{Elastic modulus} \times \text{Width} \times \text{Thickness}^3} \]

Or

\[ \delta = \frac{PL}{4Ebd^5} \]

Four-point bending is often preferred to three-point bending when measuring flexural modulus and flexural strength. If an easily deformed material is tested with inadequately rounded loading and support elements, localized deformation can occur at the loading and supporting points. This is undesirable because the beam theory used to calculate deflection assumes uniform beam deformation without localized stresses and constraints. A four-point bend fixture uses two loading elements instead of the one used in a three-point bend fixture. The two loading elements apply a more uniform load to the beam that prevents V-shaped buckling of the beam, and stress concentrations in the midline when a single loading element is used. In this configuration, a larger, more representative area of the specimen is tested.
Many dental restorations are subjected to permanent bending during fabrication. The adjustment of the clasps of a removable partial dental prosthesis and the shaping of orthodontic wires are two examples of permanent bending. Comparisons of wires and needles of different compositions and diameters subjected to repeated 90-degree bends are often made. The number of bends a specimen will withstand is influenced by its composition and dimensions, as well as its chemical and temperature treatment in fabrication. Cyclic tests are important because a material's performance is not always easily related to standard mechanical test data such as tensile properties or hardness. Severe tensile and compressive stresses can be induced into a material that is subjected to permanent bending. It is partly for this reason that tensile and compressive test data for a material are so important.

**DIAMETRAL TENSILE STRENGTH**

An alternative method of testing brittle materials, described in the literature as the *diametral compression test for tension* or the *Brazilian method*, is popular because of its relative simplicity and reproducibility. In this test, a cylindrical disk is compressed in a testing machine transversely or diametrically until fracture occurs, as shown in Fig. 5.5. The compressive stress applied to the specimen introduces a tensile stress in the material in the plane of the force application of the test machine because of the Poisson effect. The tensile stress ($\sigma_x$) is directly proportional to the load ($P$) applied in compression through the following formula

$$\sigma_x = \frac{2P}{\pi DT}$$

Note that this test is designed for brittle materials. If the specimen deforms significantly before failure or fractures into more than two equal pieces, the data may not be valid. Some materials exhibit different diametral tensile strengths when tested at different rates of loading and are described as being strain-rate sensitive. The diametral tensile test is not valid for these materials. Values of diametral and ultimate tensile strengths for some dental materials are listed in Table 5.3.

**SHEAR STRENGTH**

Shear strength is the maximum stress that a material can withstand before failure in a shear mode of loading. It is particularly important in the study of interfaces between two materials, such as ceramic-metal or implant-bone. One method of testing the shear strength of dental materials is the punch or push-out method, where an axial load is applied to push one material through another. The shear strength ($\tau$) is calculated by the following formula:

$$\text{Shear strength } (\tau) = \frac{F}{\pi dh}$$

where $F$ is the compressive force applied to the specimen, $d$ is the diameter of the punch, and $h$ is the thickness of the specimen. Note that the stress distribution caused by this method is not pure shear. Results often vary because of differences in specimen dimensions, surface geometry, composition and preparation, and mechanical testing parameters. Despite these variations, it is a simple test to perform and has been used extensively. Alternatively, shear properties...
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TORSION

Another mode of loading that is relevant in dentistry is torsion or twisting. For example, endodontic files and reamers are rotated in the root canal during endodontic treatment, and so their properties in torsion are of particular interest. In this situation, the endodontic file is effectively clamped at the tip where the file engages dentin. As the handle is rotated, the file is subjected to torsion. ANSI/ADA specification No. 28 for endodontic files and reamers describes a test to measure resistance to torsional moment-angular rotation curves for endodontic files of sizes 15 through 60.
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May be determined by subjecting a specimen to torsional loading. Shear strengths of some dental materials are listed in Table 5.4. The specifics of shear testing for adhesive interfaces are discussed in detail in the bond strength methods section of this chapter.
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How a compressive force develops tensile stress in brittle materials.

TABLE 5.3 Tensile Strength of Selected Dental Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Diametral Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enamel</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amalgam</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium hydroxide liner</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feldspatic porcelain</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-strength stone</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 5.6

Torsional moment-angular rotation curves for endodontic files of sizes 15 through 60.
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Another mode of loading that is relevant in dentistry is torsion or twisting. For example, endodontic files and reamers are rotated in the root canal during endodontic treatment, and so their properties in torsion are of particular interest. In this situation, the endodontic file is effectively clamped at the tip where the file engages dentin. As the handle is rotated, the file is subjected to torsion. ANSI/ADA specification No. 28 for endodontic files and reamers describes a test to measure resistance to torsional failure in the shank of the screw that can result from overloading the screw.
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How a compressive force develops tensile stress in brittle materials.
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<th>Material</th>
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<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enamel</td>
<td>—</td>
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<td>Amalgam</td>
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</tr>
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<td>Feldspatic porcelain</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
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<td>High-strength stone</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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FATIGUE STRENGTH

Based on the earlier discussion of elasticity, an object subjected to a stress less than its yield stress and then relieved of this stress should return to its original form without permanent change in its internal structure or properties. A few cycles of loading and unloading do not appreciably affect a material. When this stress is repeated many times, the strength of the material may be drastically reduced and the object may fail. The progressive fracture that occurs with repeated loading is called fatigue. Fatigue tests are performed by cyclically loading and unloading a specimen below the yield strength until failure. Fatigue tests can be done in tensile, compressive, shear, bending, and torsional modes of testing.

Fatigue strength is the stress at which a material fails under repeated loading. Failure under repeated or cyclic loading is dependent on the magnitude of the load and the number of loading cycles. Fatigue data are often represented by an S-N curve (Fig. 5.7), which shows the stress (or strain) (S) where a material will fail as a function of the number of loading cycles (N). When the stress is sufficiently high, the specimen will fracture at a relatively low number of cycles. As the stress is reduced, the number of cycles required for failure increases. Therefore the number of cycles must also be specified when defining fatigue strength. For some materials, a stress that can be cycled an infinite number of times without failure can be defined. This is called the endurance limit.

Dental restorations are subjected to cyclic forces during mastication that can result in 300,000 cycles per year. It is important in the design of a dental restoration or prosthesis to know the fatigue strength of the restorative material at a high number of cycles. Restorations should be designed with appropriate geometry and dimensions to prevent fatigue failure.

Fatigue fractures develop from microscopic cracks that coalesce during load cycling. This can ultimately create a macroscopic crack that results in catastrophic failure. Areas of stress concentration, such as surface defects and sharp tipped notches, are particularly prone to catastrophic failure. Fatigue properties are mostly dependent on the microstructure of the material and the history of fabrication and treatment. They do not always correlate well with other mechanical properties. The environment around the object also plays a role. Elevated temperatures, humidity, fluids, biological substances, and higher or lower pH can all affect fatigue properties. Fatigue data that are typically based on tests in a laboratory at room temperature are not always relevant to the conditions in the oral cavity. The higher temperature, humidity, saline environment with proteins, and fluctuating pH reduce fatigue strength of dental materials from levels measured in a laboratory.

FRACTOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

Fractographic analysis helps define the cause of failures and aids in structural design and improvement of existing materials. Advances in the field have helped identify the role of residual stresses, temperature, and preexisting flaws on the longevity of dental restorations.

Fractography is effective in analyzing brittle materials because they typically fail catastrophically. In fractographic analysis, typical features of crack propagation (Fig. 5.8) are assessed that identify the origin of the fracture. The origin of fracture is the point at which the worst combination of flaw severity (determined by flaw size and shape) and stress magnitudes is present. Variation in ceramic processing, for example, may lead to significantly different structures, as shown in Fig. 5.9. A homogenous structure is produced by proper processing. Flaws grow

![FIG. 5.7 Flexural fatigue curve for a cobalt-chromium-nickel alloy used for partial dentures.](image)
during cumulative processing errors. Flaws larger than the ones observed in Fig. 5.9B are considered critical. In Fig. 5.9D a very sharp flaw concentrates stresses that can lead to failure.

Improper prosthesis design can also lead to failure even without a preexisting flaw. In this case fractographic analysis can identify the origin, but fracture patterns are much more complex. In Fig. 5.10 a small
crack caused a catastrophic failure at a margin where the porcelain structure was too thin.

**TEAR STRENGTH AND TEAR ENERGY**

*Tear strength* is the resistance of a material to tearing forces. Tear strength is an important consideration where flexible materials are thin, such as impression materials in interproximal areas and edges of maxillofacial prostheses. Specimens for tear strength testing are usually crescent shaped and notched. Tear strength of the notched specimen is calculated by dividing the maximum load by the thickness of the specimen. The unit of tear strength is N/m.

Tear strength depends on the rate of loading because of the viscoelastic characteristics of the flexible materials tested. High loading rates result in higher values of tear strength and lower permanent deformation. Alginate impression material has low tear strength at slow loading rates, but if removed (loaded) quickly, the tear strength can be increased. This reduces the possibility of tearing the impression and also reduces the amount of permanent deformation (distortion). Typical values of tear strength are listed in Table 5.5 for some dental materials.

*Tear energy* \((T)\) is the energy per unit area of a newly torn surface. It is calculated from the load \((F)\) required to propagate a tear in a trouser-shaped specimen:

\[
T = \frac{(F/I)(\lambda + 1)}
\]

where \(I\) is the specimen thickness and \(\lambda\) is an extension ratio. Tear energy values for dental impression materials and maxillofacial materials are listed in Table 5.6.

### TABLE 5.5  Tear Strength of Selected Dental Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Tear Strength (kN/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denture liners</td>
<td>2.6–45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impression materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alginate</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinylacetate-polyethylene</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouth protectors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 5.6  Tear Energy \(T\) of Some Dental Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Tear Energy ((J/m^2)) [Mergs/cm²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMPRESSION MATERIALS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition silicone</td>
<td>390–1150 [0.39–1.15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alginate</td>
<td>66 [0.066]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXILLOFACIAL MATERIALS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyurethane</td>
<td>1800 [1.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinylchloride</td>
<td>11,000 [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone</td>
<td>660 [0.66]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Crosshead speed, 2 cm/min.

**HARDNESS**

Hardness is measured by indenting a test specimen with a standard force or weight. The symmetrically shaped indentation is measured under a microscope for depth, area, or width of the indentation. The indentation dimensions are then related to tabulated
hardness values. Dimensions of the indentation vary inversely with the resistance to penetration. Smaller loads are used for softer materials.

Brinell Hardness Test

The Brinell hardness test is used to test metals and alloys used in dentistry. The method uses a small spherical steel or tungsten carbide indenter, typically 1.6 mm in diameter, and a 123 N load. The load is applied for 30 seconds and then removed, after which the indentation diameter is carefully measured. Fig. 5.11 shows the principle of Brinell hardness testing with a microscopic view of the indentations into a gold alloy. The Brinell hardness test produces a relatively large indentation area, making the test good for determining average hardness values in a specimen and poor for determining very localized values.

Knoop Hardness Test

The Knoop hardness test is a microindentation test for materials that vary in hardness over an area of interest. The method is suitable for thin plastic or metal sheets or brittle materials where the applied load does not exceed 3.6 kgf (35 N). Materials with a large range of hardness can be tested simply by varying the test load. The resulting indentation area varies by the applied load and characteristics of the material. Light loads with the Knoop hardness test produce extremely delicate microindentations, making this method useful for testing materials that vary in hardness in a small region. The Knoop method requires a highly polished and flat test specimen. The time to complete the test is considerably greater than that of other less precisely controlled methods. The Knoop hardness number values of some dental materials are listed in Table 5.7.

Vickers Hardness Test

The Vickers hardness test uses a diamond indenter that produces a square indentation (Fig. 5.12). While similar in principle to the Knoop and Brinell tests, the Vickers test uses a 136-degree pyramid-shaped indenter.

Rockwell Hardness Test

The Rockwell hardness test is a rapid method for hardness measurement. A ball or cone produces the indentation and a sensitive dial micrometer measures the depth of penetration. Hardness is determined by the depth of penetration under a large load compared to the penetration from a small preload. Various diameters of balls or cones are used with a range loads [60 to 150 kgf (588 to 1470 N)]. Each combination is defined as a specific Rockwell scale, Rockwell A to G, denoted $R_A$, $R_B$, and so on.

Plastics used in dentistry have been tested using a revised Rockwell test called the superficial Rockwell method. This method uses a relatively light (30 kgf [294 N]) load and a larger diameter (12.7 mm) ball than standard Rockwell methods. A small preload of 3 kgf (29.4 N) is first applied. Then a major load of 30 kgf (294 N) is applied for 10 minutes after which...
The percent recovery is calculated by:

\[
\text{Percent recovery} = \left(\frac{A - B}{A}\right) \times 100
\]

where \(A\) is the depth of the indentation from the major load applied for 10 minutes, and \(B\) is the depth of the indentation 10 minutes after the major load is removed. Values of indentation depth and percent recovery for some dental plastics are listed in Table 5.8.

### Barcol Hardness Test

The Barcol hardness test uses a 1-mm diameter spring-loaded needle (Barcol impressor) that is pressed against the surface to be tested. The reading on the instrument dial decreases as the impressor penetrates the surface. Depth of cure of a resin composite is tested by preparing specimens varying in thickness from 0.5 to 6.0 mm or more in increments of 0.5 mm. After the top surface of the specimen is irradiated by a dental curing light, the Barcol hardness of the top surface is compared to that of the bottom.

### Shore A Hardness Test

Hardness methods that use an indenter are not suitable for elastomers because the indentation disappears after the load is removed. A Shore A durometer is used in the rubber industry to determine the relative hardness of elastomers where hardness is measured in terms of material elasticity. The instrument consists of a 0.8-mm diameter blunt-pointed indenter that tapers to a 1.6-mm cylinder. The indenter is attached by a lever to a scale. If the indenter completely penetrates the specimen, a reading of 0 is obtained. If no penetration occurs, a reading of 100 units results. An accurate reading is difficult on viscoelastic elastomers because the indenter continues to penetrate the elastomer as a function of time. In this case the indenter is pressed firmly and quickly with the maximum reading recorded as the Shore A hardness. The test has been used to evaluate soft denture liners, mouth protectors, and maxillofacial elastomers (Table 5.9).

### NANOINDENTATION

Traditional indentation tests use loads as high as several kilograms that result in indentations as large as 100 \(\mu\)m. Although these tests are valuable for screening materials and determining relative values among different materials, they are not...
suitable for materials with constituents or phases that are smaller than the indenter. Microfilled resin composites are an example where the filler phase is substantially smaller than the dimensions of the indenter. To accurately measure the properties of these microphases, smaller indentations and precise spatial control of the indentations are required. Techniques commonly referred to as nanoindentation apply loads in the range of 0.1 to 5000 mg-f (milligram-force) that result in 1 μm indentations. Indentation depth is continuously monitored, eliminating the need to image the indentation to calculate mechanical properties. Although nanoindentation is most commonly used to measure hardness of micrometer-sized phases, the technique is also useful for measuring elastic modulus. For brittle materials, yield strength and fracture toughness can also be determined.

The nanohardness, dynamic hardness, and elastic moduli of human enamel and dentin are listed in Table 5.10, along with the nanohardness and elastic modulus for the region of the dentin-enamel junction. The nanohardness of dentin of 71 kg/mm² (696 MPa) agrees well with the Knoop value of 68 kg/mm² (666 MPa) reported in Table 5.7; however, the nanohardness of 457 kg/mm² (4.48 GPa) for enamel is considerably higher than the Knoop value of 343 kg/mm² (3.36 GPa). This difference may result from the small nanoindentation dimension relative to the size of enamel rods. Dynamic hardness values are calculated from maximum displacement and result in lower values than for corresponding nanohardness methods because nanohardness values are calculated from permanent deformation. The elastic moduli of 87.7 and 24.0 GPa for enamel and dentin by nanoindentation are similar to values from compressive test specimens of 84.1 and 18.3 GPa. Of special interest is the elastic modulus for the dentin-enamel junction, which at 53.2 GPa is intermediate to the values for enamel and dentin. The nanoindentation test is especially useful in studying this small region, which is not possible with older, traditional compressive or tensile tests.

Nanoindenters can also measure storage and loss modulus in viscoelastic materials by measuring the resistance to the indentation force during force removal. This feature, called dynamic mechanical analysis, is described more fully later in this chapter.

**WEAR**

Wear has been studied by (1) clinical testing, (2) clinical simulations, (3) model systems using wear testing devices, (4) measurements of related mechanical properties such as hardness, and (5) examination of surface failure from a single or low number of sliding strokes.

Wear resistance of restorative materials has been evaluated by abrasion tests. Two-body abrasion tests include the restorative material and an enamel or simulated enamel antagonist. Three-body abrasion adds an additional substance such as toothpaste or prophylaxis paste that intervenes between the restorative material and enamel. As shown in Table 5.11, the resistance of composite resins to abrasion depends on the nature of the filler particles (glass or quartz) and on silanation of the filler. Enamel is about 5 to 20 times more abrasion resistant than dentin. Of the natural dental hard tissues, cementum is the least resistant to abrasion. Measurements of enamel loss during a 30-second prophylaxis have shown enamel loss of 0.6 to 4 μm with fluoride removed from the enamel surface, depending on the abrasive.

Unfortunately, a 1:1 ratio between wear observed clinically and that measured in the laboratory seldom exists. Thus most tests strive to rank materials in an order that is seen clinically. Traditional wear tests measure the volume of material lost but do not explain mechanisms of wear. A single-pass sliding technique may characterize modes of surface failure. In general, wear data do not correlate well with other mechanical property data, making it difficult to infer wear properties from other simpler laboratory tests.

### TABLE 5.10 Properties of Tooth Tissues from Nanoindentation Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Nanohardness</th>
<th>Dynamic Hardness</th>
<th>Elastic Modulus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPa</td>
<td>kg/mm²</td>
<td>GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel</td>
<td>4.48 (0.44)²</td>
<td>457 (45)</td>
<td>2.90 (0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentin-enamel junction</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>242</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>0.70 (0.12)</td>
<td>71 (12)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²Numbers in parentheses represent standard deviations.
**SETTING TIME**

*Final setting time* is the time required for a reaction to be completed. If the reaction rate is too rapid or if the material has a short setting time, the mixed mass may harden before it can be properly manipulated. By contrast, if the reaction rate is too slow, an excessively long time is required to complete the procedure. An appropriate setting time is one of the most important characteristics of materials such as gypsum.

*Working time* is the time after which the material cannot be manipulated without causing distortion in the final product. An example from gypsum is time after which the semifluid mass can no longer flow easily into the fine details of an impression. An example from impression materials is the time after which the paste does not flow to record the details of the hard and soft tissues.

*Final setting time* is the time at which a material such as alginate can be withdrawn without distortion or tearing. For gypsum, it is the time when it can be separated from the impression without fracture. The *initial setting time* is the time at which a particular stage of firmness is reached in the setting process.

**Measurement**

The initial setting time is usually measured by a penetration test, although other test methods can be used. For example, the loss of gloss from the surface of dental stone is an indication of the initial set of the mass. Similarly, the setting time of gypsum may be measured by the temperature rise because the chemical reaction is exothermic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Two-Body Abrasion (10⁻⁴ mm³/mm of travel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMALGAM</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgSn/AgCu</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMPOSITE RESIN</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass filled</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass filled: no silane</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz filled</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz filled: no silane</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfilled</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diacrylate resin</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit and fissure sealant</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfilled acrylic resin</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DYNAMIC MECHANICAL ANALYSIS**

Through dynamic mechanical analysis, some very useful properties of materials can be measured, such as the *dynamic elastic modulus* ($E'$) and the *glass transition temperature* ($T_g$) in polymers. Testing standard ASTM D-4092 (American Society for Testing and Materials [ASTM] International) describes the calculation of these values. The test can be carried out in several modes: tensile, flexure in single or dual cantilever, three-point bending, compression, or shear. The test is suitable for liquids or solids (in the form of bars or powder), increasing the scope of application to many different materials. A sinusoidal strain is applied to the material at a given frequency, while the temperature is ramped up or down over time through a range, typically between −50°C and 220°C for most polymers, between 25°C and 600°C for most glasses and ceramics, and between 50°C and…

**FIG. 5.13** Vicat penetrometer used to determine initial setting time of gypsum products.
600°C for metals. As the test progresses, the resulting force is registered by a transducer and a stress-strain plot is generated. The complex modulus \( E^* \) is calculated from the slope of this curve. Storage \( E' \) and loss \( E'' \) moduli are resolved from \( E^* \), as explained in Chapter 4.

The ratio between \( E' \) and \( E'' \) provides the loss factor, tan \( \delta \). In an increasing temperature ramp, the typical evolution of properties is as follows: as molecular motion is favored by the increase in temperature, loss or viscous modulus increases and storage or elastic modulus decreases. The temperature at which the maximum in the tan delta peak is observed is the \( T_g \). This defines the point at which the material transitions from an elastic to a rubbery state. Through analysis of the breadth of the tan delta peak, it is also possible to gain insight into the degree of homogeneity of the material's structure. Broader tan delta peaks indicate more heterogeneous materials, and the presence of two tan delta peaks is strong evidence for the presence of two phases within the structure. Even though virtually all materials used in dentistry have \( T_g \) values well above room or body temperatures, a good correlation between other mechanical properties and \( T_g \) makes it a useful predictor of the strength and structure of materials.

**RHEOLOGY**

Rheology is the study of deformation and flow of materials. Similar to dynamic mechanical analysis, this test involves the application of an oscillatory shear deformation (strain) to the material, placed between circular plates (parallel or in a cone-plate configuration), at a determined frequency, usually under isothermal conditions. In this case, the complex shear modulus \( G^* \) is calculated and resolved into storage \( G' \) and loss \( G'' \) shear moduli. As discussed in Chapter 4, viscosity is the relationship between shear modulus and shear rate (or frequency). Viscometers are a very simple version of a rheometer. Working and setting times in cements and direct filling composites are determined through rheology, which monitors increasing viscosity as the material sets.

Rheology has been used recently to determine gel point in polymers. *Gel point* defines the point in conversion at which the material transitions from a viscous liquid into a viscoelastic solid. This point is correlated to the crossover between \( G' \) and \( G'' \) development curves during polymerization. At the crossover, elastic properties start to predominate over the viscous response. That is a reflection of polymeric network development. This is especially important during the polymerization of dental composites that are restrained by adhesion to the cavity walls. For conventional dimethacrylates found in most commercial formulations, vitrification follows shortly after gelation as shown in a reaction kinetics plot. At this point, stresses at the bonded interface become more significant, and therefore materials with delayed gelation are desirable. This technique is very useful for the design of new materials.

**DIFFERENTIAL SCANNING CALORIMETRY**

Differential scanning calorimetry is another tool for measuring a series of temperature transitions in materials, such as the \( T_g \) and melting temperature \( T_m \). A calorimeter measures the difference in heat flow between the sample and a blank reference, either during a temperature sweep or during a nonisothermal phenomenon, such as polymerization \( T_g \) or the melting of metals \( T_m \). During a temperature sweep experiment, endotherm peaks are observed as the material goes through its glass transition and melting. The endotherm is from the sudden increase in the number of molecular degrees of freedom at those transitions, which require energy gain from the environment. For dynamic exothermic reactions, as is the case for active polymerizations, the heat released by the material during isothermal experiments is correlated to the amount of reacted vinyl double bonds and the degree of conversion in real time. This method measures an indirect characteristic of the reaction (enthalpy) and is therefore not accurate in evaluating chemical structure.

**SPECTROMETRIC TECHNIQUES**

Fourier-transformed infrared (IR) spectroscopy is a very useful tool for characterizing molecules and for monitoring chemical reactions. Each chemical bond between atoms of a material has one specific vibrational characteristic. This produces interference in electromagnetic waves at highly specific wavelengths. As light is transmitted through a sample, an IR bench detector identifies chemical bonds. The Fourier transform algorithm produces a spectrum with characteristic bands over a wavelength range, revealing a very accurate picture of molecular structure. Using this method, newly synthesized materials can be characterized and reactions can be followed through the appearance or disappearance of determined bands. This is of particular interest for polymerization reactions, such as those of vinyl monomers, in which the C–H stretch vibration of the carbon double bond can be monitored. Fig. 5.14A and B shows the mid- and near-IR spectra of bisphenol A-glycidyl methacrylate (Bis-GMA), a very commonly used...
monomer in dental restorative materials. This bond has peaks both in the mid-IR (400 to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\)) and in the near-IR regions (4000 to 7000 cm\(^{-1}\)). Other peaks of interest include the C-H stretch vibration of aromatic rings. Sampling in the mid-IR region is somewhat complicated because absorptions are usually very high, requiring the use of thin samples. Another concern is the strong absorption of water and carbon dioxide in this region, which requires the system to be purged with an inert gas to allow accurate measurements. For dental composites, another drawback is a very broad band from glass particles that shadows many bands of interest in mid-IR. This requires the use of salt plates as substrates. In the near-IR region, absorptions are relatively weaker, so relatively thick samples can be used. Glass and carbon dioxide show no absorption in the near-IR region, eliminating the need for purging and allowing the use of samples sandwiched between glass slides for more convenient specimen preparation. That also allows the use of fiber optics for remote monitoring of double-bond conversion.

Spectroscopic techniques can be combined with other test instruments to monitor conversion or molecular characterization simultaneously with the development of other properties. For example, near-IR spectroscopy can be combined with rheometry to determine the exact polymer conversion at the onset of gelation. The same is true for volumetric shrinkage and shrinkage stress measurements, described in the section on Methods for Measuring Shrinkage and Stress During the Cure of Resin Composites.

**PYCNOMETRY**

Pycnometry is used to determine material densities. Water pycnometry relies on the buoyancy of a material in water, the Archimedes principle. This method underestimates density because small pores entrapped in the material cannot be accessed by the water molecules, and dissolved oxygen is not purged from the sample. Gas pycnometry, on the other hand, uses the difference between a known volume of helium gas molecules and the volume occupied by the specimen (V). Knowing the material’s mass (m), density (d) is calculated by:

\[
d = \frac{m}{V}
\]

Because helium molecules are much smaller than water, they can occupy voids in the material and also displace some of the dissolved oxygen and moisture. This provides an accurate measurement of density. Volumetric shrinkage in polymerizations can be calculated with gas pycnometry by equating the densities of the material in the monomeric and polymeric states.

**BOND STRENGTH TEST METHODS**

Bond strength tests are relatively easy to perform and can be done without expensive equipment. They are some important considerations, however, when using bond strength data to select materials in clinical practice.

**Stresses at the interface are not uniformly distributed:** Bond strength is reported as the nominal stress value (in MPa), which is the failure load (in newtons) divided by the total bonded area (in mm\(^2\)). Averaging stress over the total bonded area does not accurately represent the typically heterogeneous stress distribution at the interface. A void at the interface (critical size flaw) causes stress concentration and crack propagation that leads to localized debonding. The actual stress magnitude that initiates crack propagation can be several times higher than the nominal (or average) value. Therefore nominal bond strength does not represent failure stress (Fig. 5.15).
High incidence of mixed and cohesive failures: Loads on a tooth create concentrations of tensile stress that can cause a crack to propagate into dentin or enamel. This prevents an accurate assessment of interfacial strength.

Results of different studies are not comparable: Bond strength values for a specific material can vary considerably because of differences in the bonding substrate, specimen preparation, storage conditions, and loading method. There is very little standardization across research laboratories, so comparisons of data from different labs should be done very carefully.

Bond strength tests lack clinical significance: Based on these limitations of bone strength tests, it is not possible to define a threshold bond strength value that is associated with good clinical performance. Nevertheless, we can see trends in the laboratory literature for some adhesive systems. Systems that perform poorly in vitro generally perform poorly in clinical situations.

A variety of methods are available for testing interfacial bond strength. Methods are categorized by the dimensions of the bonded area: macro (4 to 28 mm²) or micro (approximately 1 mm²). The interface is loaded either in tension or shear.

Macroshear Bond Strength Tests

A macroshear bond strength test consists of a composite cylinder that is attached to a bonding substrate. After a predetermined storage time, the specimen is placed in a universal testing machine where a single-edged chisel, a flat-end rod, or a wire loop is used to dislodge the composite cylinder from the substrate in the plane of the interface.

It is important to note that although this is referred to a shear test, it is a tensile stress that actually causes debonding of the composite cylinder from the substrate. The term shear in this test refers to the mode of loading rather than the stress that causes interfacial failure. As the distance between the load and interface increases, a bending moment is created in the composite cylinder that results in larger tensile stresses.

The location and geometry of the loading device influences the distribution of stresses at the bonded interface thereby altering the bond strength. For example, a chisel with a nominal stress of 15 MPa creates a 178-MPa tensile stress at the interface versus 69 MPa with a wire loop, as shown by computer simulation using finite element analysis. The higher the stress concentration at the load application area, the lower the bond strength. Therefore a knife-edge chisel results in lower bond strength values than a wire loop, where the load is distributed over the entire contact area between the loop and cylinder. Macroshear tests report typical dentin bond strength values between 10 and 50 MPa. Cohesive and mixed failures are very frequent, and may affect up to 55% of the specimens.

Another aspect of interest is the elastic modulus of the composite used for the specimen cylinder. The
larger the mismatch between the elastic modulus of the composite and the elastic modulus of the substrate, the higher the stress concentration at the interface. This results in a lower measured bond strength.

**Macrotensile Bond Strength Tests**

Stress distribution in tensile tests is much more uniform than in shear tests. Macrotensile bond strength tests apply loads in an axis that is perpendicular to the interface. This provides a truer estimate of the stress level that initiated debonding. The loading axis must be perpendicular to the interface plane to prevent bending stresses. Specimen preparation and test configuration are thus more difficult for tensile bond strength tests than shear bond tests.

As for the shear test, a mismatch between the elastic moduli of the composite and the substrate also influences bond strength. With this method, typical dentin bond strengths are about 10 MPa. Cohesive and mixed failures may occur in 35% of the specimens.

**Microtensile Bond Strength Tests**

Microtensile tests use beam-shaped or hourglass-shaped specimens with a cross-sectional area of approximately 1 mm². This method provides a much lower incidence of mixed and cohesive failures compared to other methods (less than 20%). Specimen preparation is more challenging and precise than with macro tests because it requires the fabrication of thin slices from a large bonded interface using diamond disks. The slices are trimmed to create hourglass specimens or further sectioned to create beams. A large number of specimen failures may occur just in setting up the test. Researchers have not agreed on how these pretest failures should be addressed in the experiment data set.

Several testing fixtures are available for microtensile testing. The specimen can either be glued using cyanoacrylate adhesive or attached to the testing fixture actively or passively with grips. The grip method interferes with the stress distribution in the specimen. Dentin bond strength values vary between 30 and 50 MPa with the microtensile method. Values are much higher than those found with macrotensile tests because the critical size for flaws is smaller in a microinterface. Fracture mechanics theory shows that the size of the critical flaw is inversely related to the intensity of the stress required to initiate crack propagation.

Defects can be created at the periphery of the bonded interface when sectioning and trimming the specimen with diamond disks. These defects concentrate stress and initiate debonding at relatively low loads. This can contribute to an artificially low bond strength.

**Microshear Bond Strength Tests**

Microshear tests are similar to macroshear tests in the mode of testing, but use much smaller cylinders of a resin composite. Silicone tubes 0.5 mm in height and 0.7 mm in diameter are used to create the composite cylinders. Typically, up to six tube segments are bonded to a surface and filled with composite. Microshear and macroshear share the same issues related to stress distribution. Bond strength values are about 20 MPa and the incidence of mixed and cohesive failures is 50%.

**Push-Out Tests**

Another option for testing bond strength is the push-out test. When testing the bond strength of adhesives to dentin, a 1- to 2-mm thick dentin slice is bored to create a tapered conical hole. The internal surface of the hole is treated with an adhesive and the hole is filled with a resin composite. After storage, the composite cone is pushed though the dentin from the smaller diameter side. Bond strength is calculated by dividing the extrusion force by the area of the wall of the cone. This method simulates the clinical condition more closely than in shear/tensile tests because the composite is placed into a cavity. This adds the factors of constraint of the curing composite in a cavity and the associated polymerization stress from the constraint. Some authors refer to this method as a micro push-out test when disks of radicular dentin are used and the root canal is the cavity that is filled.

**METHODS FOR MEASURING SHRINKAGE AND STRESS DURING THE CURE OF RESIN COMPOSITES**

Several methods are described in the literature for measuring the contraction that accompanies the cure of resin composite restorative materials. Some of them record the total change in volume during cure, whereas others measure specific phases of cure. In resin composites, shrinkage that occurs after development of a measurable stiffness of the paste is referred to as postgel shrinkage. Some methods are also affected by the constraint imposed on the specimen, which defines the shrinkage force vectors. Some methods record linear shrinkage and others record volumetric shrinkage, which is three times the linear value if the material is isotropic. Data from different methods cannot be directly compared because of these factors, although dimensional change during cure is a basic material characteristic.

**Mercury Dilatometer**

This method uses the change in height of a column of mercury caused by shrinkage of a resin composite...
specimen to calculate total volumetric shrinkage. A composite specimen is placed on a glass slide and immersed in mercury that fills a glass capillary tube. A linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) probe floats on the surface of the mercury in the column. After the LVDT probe is stabilized, the resin composite specimen is light activated and cured from below through the glass slide while the change in height of the column is monitored by the LVDT in real time. Because the mercury in the column is affected by heat from the curing light, a thermocouple is used to monitor the temperature of the mercury. Thermal expansion of the mercury is subtracted from the change in height in the column that results from shrinkage of the composite specimen. Shrinkage values are calculated using the initial mass of the composite specimen and its specific gravity.

### Bonded Disk

A disk of resin composite, 8 × 1.5 mm, is placed within a brass ring (16 mm in diameter and 1.5 mm in height) that is bonded to a glass slide (Fig. 5.16). The composite specimen does not touch the brass ring. A microscope cover slip (approximately 0.1 mm thick) contacts the composite specimen and rests on the brass ring. An LVDT probe contacts the center of the cover slip. The composite specimen is light activated from below the specimen through the glass slide. As the composite cures and shrinks, it pulls the cover slip down and its deflection is monitored by the LVDT probe. Output of the transducer (mV) is converted to displacement (μm) using a calibration curve. Shrinkage is calculated by dividing the measured deflection of the cover slip by the initial height of the composite. This method likely measures postgel shrinkage because the composite specimen must exhibit some stiffness to deflect the cover slip. The values of shrinkage from the bonded disk method are usually higher than those from other postgel shrinkage methods.

### AcuVol

AcuVol is a video-imaging device that uses a camera to capture and analyze profiles of the specimen. A 12-μL composite specimen is shaped into a hemisphere and positioned on a Teflon pedestal. The tip of a curing light source is placed 1 mm above the specimen. Lateral profiles of the composite specimen during cure are used to create shrinkage-time curves. The measured values express the total volumetric shrinkage of the composite and are quite similar to those obtained with a mercury dilatometer.

### Managing Accurate Resin Curing Test

The Managing Accurate Resin Curing (MARC) test measures composite shrinkage in a constrained configuration (Fig. 5.17). The internal walls of a glass ring (5 mm in diameter, 2 mm in height) are etched with hydrofluoric acid. The volume and density of the ring are measured then coated with ceramic primer and a layer of unfilled resin. The ring is filled with composite and, after curing, the specimen is again measured for volume and density. The volume of the cured composite is calculated from the difference between the volumes of the glass ring filled with composite and the empty ring. Polymerization shrinkage is calculated as the variation between the volume of the cured composite and the internal volume of the glass ring.

### Cavity Configuration Factor (C-Factor)

When a resin composite or glass ionomer cement cures while bonded to the walls of a tooth cavity, stresses develop in the material, at the tooth-restoration interface and in the enamel and dentin of the tooth. The stresses result from volumetric shrinkage of the composite or cement, and their developing stiffness (elastic modulus). Constraint from the cavity
walls at the bonded interface creates these stress conditions. Without the constraint, fewer stresses occur. These stresses are of clinical relevance because they may create interfacial gaps or, if the bond is sufficiently strong, cause deformation of the tooth. The stresses depend on the anatomy of the tooth and the geometry of the cavity preparation, the quality of the bonded interface, and the restorative technique used (bulk or incremental filling and curing method). The cavity configuration factor (C-factor), defined as the ratio of bonded-to-unbonded areas of the restoration, describes the constraint imposed on the shrinking restorative material (Fig. 5.18). For a given material and laboratory test parameters, the higher the specimen’s C-factor, the higher the calculated nominal stress.

Although it seems reasonable to conclude that a class I restoration should exhibit more stress than a class II and saucer-shaped class V restoration because of its high bonded-to-nonbonded surface area, the clinical condition is complex. C-factor alone does not accurately predict stresses or clinical longevity in resin composite or glass ionomer restorations.

**STRESS ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF DENTAL STRUCTURES**

The design of dental restorations is as important as the selection of the appropriate material. Restoration designs must not result in stresses or strains that
exceed the mechanical limits of a material under clinical conditions.

Stresses in dental structures have been studied by brittle coating analysis, strain gauges, holography, two- and three-dimensional photoelasticity, finite element analysis, and other numerical methods. Many studies have been published on the stress analysis of dental prostheses and tooth and bone biomechanics. Descriptions of the various methods and results can be found in the articles listed in the Bibliography.

POLYMERIZATION STRESS TEST

The in vitro polymerization stress test evaluates the stresses at the bonded interface due to resin composite polymerization. The basic principle is common to all tests: the resin composite is bonded to two surfaces, under variable degrees of constraint, and cured. Shrinkage of the resin composite pulls the two bonded surfaces together, as it does in a cavity preparation. Load and/or displacement is applied to the bonding substrate and stress is calculated using the initial cross-sectional area of the specimen.

Overall stiffness of the test instrument must be much higher than the stresses being measured to prevent the instrument from deforming during the test. Even though all tests are useful for ranking materials by the stress developed, they do not simulate the clinical condition. Direct correlations with in vivo observations should be made carefully.

Tensilometer

In this instrument (Fig. 5.19), the test material is bonded between two rods made of steel, glass, or polymethyl methacrylate (in decreasing order of elastic modulus). The rods are connected to a universal testing machine or system with closed loop feedback control, where one rod is connected to the crosshead and the other rod is fixed. An extensometer is attached to the specimen to maintain a constant distance between the two bonded interfaces during the test through closed loop feedback control of the crosshead position. This minimizes the compliance in the test system. Stress is calculated by dividing the load exerted by the shrinkage of the specimen by the initial cross-sectional area of the specimen.

**FIG. 5.19** Tensilometer for measuring polymerization stress during cure of composites. (A) Overview of test configuration. (B) Detail of test specimen and extensometer. (C) Detail as composite is photoactivated.
Tensometer

In the tensometer (Fig. 5.20) the test material is bonded between two glass rods with one rod fixed to the base of the instrument, and the second attached to a cantilever beam. As the material cures, the beam deflects, which is monitored by an LVDT probe. Using a calibration curve of force × displacement and deflecting beam theory, stress is calculated and corrected for by the cross-sectional area of the specimen. An extensometer is not used in this instrument. System compliance is controlled by the elastic modulus of the cantilever beam and position of the glass rod relative to the fulcrum of the beam. Fiber-optic cables provide remote monitoring of degree of conversion through near-IR spectrometric techniques, described earlier in this chapter, for measuring the extent of polymerization and stress development in real time.

Crack Analysis

Localized contraction stresses can be calculated by analyzing the propagation of initial indentations made in a brittle material. Using an indenter, initial cracks are made adjacent to a hole in a brittle material that simulates dental enamel, such as a glass or ceramic. As the bonded composite in the hole is polymerized, tensile stresses develop and the crack lengthens. The lengths of the cracks are measured before and after polymerization, and the resulting stress is calculated from the changes in crack length and the known fracture toughness of the brittle substrate.

SPECIFICATIONS FOR RESTORATIVE MATERIALS

The properties described in this and other chapters serve as the basis for a series of specifications that have been developed for restorative materials, instruments, and equipment. One group is the ANSI/ADA Standards Committee on Dental Products. Standards developed and approved by this committee are reviewed by the Council on Scientific Affairs.
of the ADA, which has responsibility for adopting specifications. Presently, 68 specifications have been adopted. A larger group called Federal Specifications and Standards is designed to regulate requirements of federal government service agencies for the purchase and use of materials. Specifications of this type have been available for the past half century, and additional specifications continue to be added in each group. A series of similar specifications is available for products in Australia, Japan, and several other countries. In 1963, a program for international specifications was established that combined the efforts of the FDI World Dental Federation and the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). The practice of using physical test controls through methods of applied specifications is well established and will likely continue. Both the dental student and the practitioner must not only recognize that specifications for certain materials are available but also learn to some extent the qualities that are controlled by each specification. Through the specifications the quality of each product is maintained and improved.

American Dental Association Specifications
The first of the ADA Specifications was for amalgam alloy, formulated and reported in 1930. Since that time other specifications have been or are being formulated, as indicated in Appendix Table 1.

Copies of the specifications and worksheets to assist in the recording of the required data are available from the Council on Scientific Affairs of the ADA in Chicago. The website of the Council lists the trade names and manufacturers of accepted dental products. This publication can also be obtained from the ADA.

An examination of each specification reveals a general pattern of standardization common to each material.

1. These features include an item on the scope and classification of the material, which defines the application and general nature of each material.
2. Each specification includes information on other applicable specifications.
3. The requirements of each material consider such factors as uniformity, color, or general working characteristics of the material, as well as the general limitations of test values.
4. The methods of sampling, inspection, and testing procedures include details of specimen preparation and physical tests to be performed.
5. Each specification includes information on preparation for delivery, with instructions concerning packaging, instructions for use, and marking with lot numbers and the date of manufacture.
6. Each specification includes notes that provide additional information on intended uses, and references to the literature or other special items.

The important features of each of these specifications are described appropriately in later chapters.

American Dental Association Acceptance Program
The ADA, through the Council on Scientific Affairs, maintains an acceptance program for consumer products, such as denture adherents, dental floss, and toothbrushes.

Index of Federal Specifications and Standards
The Index of Federal Specifications and Standards includes specifications for a number of restorative dental materials not described elsewhere. These specifications are used primarily by the federal services to maintain some quality control of dental products and are valuable for suppliers of these materials. In a few instances, reference is made to specific federal specifications and standards in later chapters.
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Biocompatibility is formally defined as the ability of a material to elicit an appropriate biological response in a given application in the body. Inherent in this definition is the idea that a single material may not be biologically acceptable in all applications. For example, a material that is acceptable as a full cast crown may not be acceptable as a dental implant. Also implicit in this definition is an expectation for the biological performance of the material. In a bone implant, the expectation is that the material will allow the bone to integrate with the implant. Thus an appropriate biological response for the implant is osseointegration. In a full cast crown, the expectation is that the material will not cause inflammation of pulpal or periodontal tissues, but osseointegration is not an expectation. Whether or not a material is biocompatible therefore depends on the physical function for which the material will be used and the biological response that will be required from it. Using this definition, it makes little sense to say that any given material is or is not biocompatible, and so how the material will be used must be defined before that can be assessed.

In that regard, biocompatibility is much like color. Color is a property of a material interacting with its environment (light), and the color of a material depends on the character of the light source, how the light interacts with the material, and how the observer interprets the reflected light. In this sense, the material’s color depends on its environment. The biocompatibility of a material is similar in the sense that it depends on its environment as well as the nature of the material.

**MEASURING BIOCOMPATIBILITY**

Measuring biocompatibility continues to evolve as more is known about the interactions between
dental materials and oral tissues and as technologies for testing improve. New materials must be extensively screened to ensure that they are biologically acceptable before they are used in humans. Several varieties of tests are used for this purpose, and are classified as in vitro, animal, and usage tests, the latter including clinical trials. This section discusses examples of each type of test, their advantages and disadvantages, how the tests are used together, and standards that rely on these tests to regulate the use of materials in dentistry.

**In Vitro Tests**

In vitro tests for biocompatibility require placement of a material or a component of a material in contact with a cell, enzyme, or some other isolated biological system. The contact can be either direct, when the material contacts the cell system without barriers, or indirect, when there is a barrier of some sort between the material and the cell system. Direct tests can be further subdivided into those in which the material is physically present with the cells and those in which some extract from the material contacts the cell system. In vitro tests can be roughly subdivided into those that measure cytotoxicity or cell growth, those that measure some metabolic or other cell function, and those that measure an effect on the genetic material in a cell (mutagenesis assays). Often there is overlap in what a test measures. In vitro tests have a number of significant advantages over other types of biocompatibility tests (Table 6.1). They are relatively quick, generally cost less than animal or usage tests, can be standardized, are well suited to large-scale screening, and can be tightly controlled to address specific scientific questions. The overriding disadvantage of in vitro tests is their questionable relevance to the final in vivo use of the material (see the section on Correlation Among In Vitro, Animal, and Usage Tests). Other significant disadvantages include the lack of inflammatory and other tissue-protective mechanisms in the in vitro environment. It should be emphasized that in vitro tests alone cannot entirely predict the overall biocompatibility of a material.

Standardization of in vitro tests is a primary concern. Two types of cells can be used for in vitro assays. Primary cells are those cells taken directly from an animal and cultured. These cells will grow for only a limited time in culture but usually retain many of the characteristics of cells in vivo. Continuously grown cells or cell lines are cells that have been transformed previously to allow them to grow more or less indefinitely in culture. Because of this transformation, these cells do not retain all in vivo characteristics, but they do consistently exhibit those features that they do retain. Primary cell cultures seem to be more relevant than continuous cell lines for measuring cytotoxicity of materials. However, primary cells, being from a single individual, have limited genetic variability, may harbor viral or bacterial agents that alter their behavior, and often rapidly lose their in vivo functionality once placed in culture. Furthermore, the genetic and metabolic stability of continuous cell lines contributes significantly toward standardizing assay methods. In the end, both primary and continuous cells play important roles in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In vitro tests</td>
<td>Quick to perform</td>
<td>Relevance to in vivo is questionable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Least expensive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can be standardized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large-scale screening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good experimental control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Excellence for mechanisms of interactions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vivo tests</td>
<td>Allows complex systemic interactions</td>
<td>Relevance to use of material is questionable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response more comprehensive than in vitro tests</td>
<td>Expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More relevant than in vitro tests</td>
<td>Time consuming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage tests</td>
<td>Relevance to use of material is assured</td>
<td>Legal/ethical concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Difficult to interpret and quantify</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6.1 Advantages and Disadvantages of Biocompatibility Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
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<td><strong>Test</strong></td>
</tr>
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<td>----------</td>
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<td>Usage tests</td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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in vitro testing, and both should be used to assess materials.

Cytotoxicity Tests

Cytotoxicity tests assess cell death caused by a material by measuring cell number or growth before and after exposure to that material. Control materials should be well defined and commercially available to facilitate comparisons among other testing laboratories. Membrane permeability tests are used to measure cytotoxicity by the ease with which a dye can pass through a cell membrane, because membrane permeability is equivalent to or very nearly equivalent to cell death (Figs. 6.2 and 6.3).

Tests for Cell Metabolism or Cell Function

Some in vitro tests for biocompatibility use the biosynthetic or enzymatic activity of cells to assess cytotoxic response. Tests that measure DNA synthesis or protein synthesis are common examples of this type of test. A commonly used enzymatic test for cytotoxicity is the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) test; other tests include the nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT), 2,3-Bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide salt (XTT), and a water-soluble tetrazolium (WST) assays, all being colorimetric assays based on different tetrazolium salts. alamarBlue tests quantitatively measure cell proliferation using a fluorescent indicator that allows continuous monitoring of cells over time.

Tests That Use Barriers (Indirect Tests)

Because direct contact often does not exist between cells and materials during in vivo use, several in vitro barrier tests have been developed to mimic in vivo conditions. These tests include an agar overlay method, which uses agar to form a barrier between the cells and the material, and the Millipore filter assay, in which a monolayer of cells is grown on a filter that is turned over so that test materials are placed on the filter and leachable diffusion products are allowed to interact with the cells. The agar diffusion and Millipore filter tests can provide, at best, a qualitative cytotoxic ranking among materials (Fig. 6.4).

For many materials, dentin is a barrier through which toxic components must diffuse to reach pulp tissue, with the thickness of the dentin directly correlating with the protection offered to the pulp. These assays, which incorporate dentin disks between the test sample and the cell assay system, have the added advantage of directional diffusion between the restorative material and the culture medium (Fig. 6.5).

Other Assays for Cell Function

In vitro assays to measure immune function or other tissue reactions have also been used. These assays

---

**FIG. 6.2** Noncytotoxic interaction. Light microscopic view of a noncytotoxic interaction between a material (dark image at bottom of the picture) and periodontal ligament fibroblasts in a cell culture (in vitro) test. The morphology of the fibroblasts indicates that they are alive and are not suffering from a toxic response (see Fig. 6.3 for contrast). The material in this case was a calcium hydroxide pulp-capping agent.

**FIG. 6.3** Cytotoxic interaction. Light microscopic view of a cytotoxic interaction between a material (dark image at the bottom of the picture) and periodontal ligament fibroblasts in a cell culture test. The fibroblasts are rounded and detached (see Fig. 6.2 for contrast), indicating that they are either dead or dying. The material is a type of calcium hydroxide pulp-capping agent, different from the one shown in Fig. 6.2.

**FIG. 6.4** Agar overlay method. The agar overlay method has been used to evaluate the cytotoxicity of dental materials. The cell layer, which has been previously stained with neutral red (NR), is covered with a thin layer of agar (A). Samples are placed on top of the agar for a time. If the material is cytotoxic, it will injure the cells and the neutral red will be released, leaving a zone of inhibition.

**FIG. 6.5** Membrane permeability test. The cell membrane is permeable to a dye, allowing the dye to enter the cell and be stained with a dye that binds to the nucleus (blue). The dye cannot enter the control cell, which is not permeable to the dye.
HCG 5 Dentin disk barrier test method. A dentin disk is used as a barrier in cytotoxicity tests that attempt to predict the toxicity of materials placed on dentin in vivo. The material is placed on one side (A) of the dentin disk (B) in the device used to hold the dentin disk. Collection fluid (cell culture medium or saline) is on the other side of the disk (C). Cells can also be grown on the collection side. Components of the material may diffuse through the dentin and the effect of the medium on cell metabolism can then be measured. To assess the rate of diffusion, the collection fluid can be circulated into and out of the collection chamber (C).

measure cytokine production by lymphocytes and macrophages, lymphocyte proliferation, chemotaxis, or T-cell rosetting to sheep red blood cells. Other tests measure the ability of a material to alter the cell cycle or activate complement. The in vivo significance of these assays is yet to be ascertained, but many show promise for being able to reduce the number of animal tests required to assess the biocompatibility of a material.

Mutagenesis Assays

Mutagenesis assays assess the effect of a biomaterial on a cell’s genetic material. There are a wide range of mechanisms by which a material can affect a cell’s genes. Genotoxic mutagens directly alter cell DNA through various types of mutations. Each chemical may be associated with a specific type of DNA mutation. Genotoxic chemicals may be mutagens in their native states, or may require activation or biotransformation to be mutagens, in which case they are called promutagens. Epigenetic mutagens do not alter the DNA themselves, but support tumor growth by altering the cell’s biochemistry, altering the immune system, acting as hormones, or by other mechanisms. Carcinogenesis is the ability to cause cancer in vivo. Mutagens may or may not be carcinogens, and carcinogens may or may not be mutagens. Thus quantitation and relevance of tests that measure mutagenesis and carcinogenesis are extremely complex.

The Ames test is the most widely used short-term mutagenesis test and the only one that is considered thoroughly validated. It looks at the conversion of a mutant stock of *Salmonella typhimurium* back to a native strain, because chemicals that increase the frequency of reversion back to the native state have a high probability of being carcinogenic in mammals. A second test for mutagenesis is the Styles’ cell transformation test. This test on mammalian cells offers an alternative to bacterial tests (Ames test), which may not be relevant to mammalian systems. However, because the Ames test is widely used, extensively described in the literature, and technically easier to conduct, it is most often conducted in a screening program.

Animal Tests

Animal tests for biocompatibility, usually involving mammals such as mice, rats, hamsters, or guinea pigs, are distinct from usage tests (which are also often done in animals) in that the material is not placed in the animal with regard to its final use. The use of an animal allows for the complex interactions between the material and a functioning, complete biological system to occur. This is extremely difficult to mimic in a cell-culture system. Thus the biological responses in animal tests are more comprehensive and may be more relevant than in vitro tests, and these features are the major advantages of these tests (see Table 6.1). The main disadvantages of animal tests are that they can be difficult to interpret and control, are expensive, time consuming, and often involve significant ethical concerns and oversight. Furthermore, the relevance of the test to the in vivo use of a material is often unclear, especially in estimating the appropriateness of an animal species to represent a human. A variety of animal tests have been used to assess biocompatibility.

The mucous membrane irritation test determines whether a material causes inflammation to mucous membranes or abraded skin. In a skin sensitization test, materials are injected intradermally to test for development of skin hypersensitivity reactions, followed by secondary treatment with adhesive patches containing the test substance. Implantation tests are used to evaluate materials that will contact subcutaneous tissue or bone. The location of the implantation site is determined by the use of the material and may include connective tissue, bone, or muscle. Although restorative materials are tested because the margins often contact the gingiva, most subcutaneous tests are used for materials that will directly contact soft tissue during implantation, as well as endodontic and periodontal treatment materials.

Usage Tests

Usage tests may be done in animals or in human study participants. They are distinct from other animal tests because they require that the material be placed in a situation identical to its intended clinical use. The usefulness for predicting biocompatibility is directly proportional to the fidelity with which the test mimics the clinical use of the material, including time, location, environment, and placement technique. For this reason, usage tests in animals
usually employ larger animals that have similar oral environments to humans, such as dogs, mini-swine, or monkeys. When humans are used, the usage test is termed a clinical trial. The overwhelming advantage for usage tests is their relevance (see Table 6.1). These tests are the gold standard, in that they give the ultimate answer to whether or not a material will be biocompatible and clinically useful. One might ask, then, why bother with in vitro or animal tests at all. The answer is in the significant disadvantages of the usage test. These tests are extremely expensive, last for long periods, involve many ethical and often legal concerns, are exceptionally difficult to control and interpret accurately, and may harm the test participants. In addition, statistical analysis of these tests is often a daunting process. In dentistry, dental pulp, periodontium, and gingival or mucosal tissues are the main targets of usage tests.

**Dental Pulp Irritation Tests**

In general, materials to be tested on the dental pulp are placed in class 5 cavity preparations in intact teeth without caries. At the conclusion of the study, the teeth are removed and sectioned for microscopic examination, with tissue necrotic and inflammatory reactions classified according to the intensity of the response. Although most dental-pulp irritation tests have involved teeth without inflamed pulps, there has been increased concern that inflamed dental pulp tissue may respond differently than healthy pulps to liners, cements, and restorative agents. Thus usage tests on teeth with induced pulpitis, which allow evaluation of the type and amount of reparative dentin formed, will likely continue to be developed and refined.

**Dental Implants in Bone**

At present, the best predictors for success of implants are careful patient selection and ideal clinical conditions. The following terms are used to define various degrees of success: early implant success for implants surviving 1 to 3 years, intermediate implant success for implants surviving 3 to 7 years, and long-term success for implants surviving more than 7 years. As such, there are three commonly used tests to predict implant success: (1) penetration of a periodontal probe along the side of the implant, (2) mobility of the implant, and (3) radiographs indicating either osseous integration or radiolucency around the implant. A bone implant is considered successful if it exhibits no mobility and no radiographic evidence of periimplant radiolucency, has minimal vertical bone loss and is completely encased in bone, and has an absence of persistent periimplant soft-tissue complications. Any fibrous capsule formation is a sign of irritation and chronic inflammation, which is likely to lead to micromotion of the implant and ultimately to loosening and failure.

**Mucosa and Gingival Usage Tests**

Tissue response to materials with direct contact of gingival and mucosal tissues is assessed by placement in cavity preparations with subgingival extension. The material’s effect on gingival tissues is observed and responses are categorized as slight, moderate, or severe, depending on the number of mononuclear inflammatory cells (mainly lymphocytes and neutrophils) in the epithelium and adjacent connective tissues. A difficulty with this type of study is the frequent presence of some degree of preexisting inflammation in gingival tissue due to the presence of bacterial plaque, surface roughness of the restorative material, open or overhanging margins, and overcontouring or undercontouring of the restoration.

**Correlation Among In Vitro, Animal, and Usage Tests**

In the field of biocompatibility, some scientists question the usefulness of in vitro and animal tests in light of the apparent lack of correlation with usage tests and the clinical history of materials. However, lack of correlation is not surprising in light of differences among these tests, in that in vitro and animal tests often measure aspects of biological response that are more subtle or less prominent than those observed during a material’s clinical use. Furthermore, barriers between the material and tissues may exist in usage tests or clinical use, but may not exist in in vitro or animal tests. Thus it is important to remember that each type of test has been designed to measure different aspects of biological response to a material, and correlation is not always to be expected.

The best example of a barrier that occurs in use but not during in vitro testing is the dentin barrier. When restorative materials are placed in teeth, dentin will generally be interposed between the material and the pulp. The dentin barrier, although possibly only a fraction of a millimeter thick, is effective in modulating the toxic effect of a dental material. This dentin barrier effect is illustrated by the following classic study (Table 6.2). Three methods were used to evaluate the following materials: zinc oxide–eugenol (ZOE) cement, resin composite, and silicate cement. The evaluation methods included (1) four different cell culture tests, (2) an implantation test, and (3) a usage test in class 5 cavity preparations in monkey teeth. The results of the four cell culture tests were relatively consistent, with silicate having only a slight effect on cultured cells, composite a moderate effect, and ZOE a severe effect. These three materials were also embedded subcutaneously in connective tissue in polyethylene tubes (secondary test), and observations were made at 7, 30, and 90 days. Reactions at 7 days could not be determined because
of inflammation caused by the operative procedure. At 30 days, ZOE caused a more severe reaction than silicate cement. The inflammatory reactions at 90 days caused by ZOE and silicate were slight, whereas the reaction to resin composites was moderate. When the three materials were evaluated in class 5 cavity preparations under prescribed conditions of cavity size and depth (usage test), the results were quite different from those obtained by the other methods. The silicate was found to have the most severe inflammatory reaction, the composite had a moderate-to-slight reaction, and the ZOE had little or no effect.

Apparent contradictions in this study are explained by considering the components that were released from the materials and the environments into which they were released. The silicate cement released hydrogen ions that were probably buffered in the cell culture and implantation tests but were not adequately buffered by the dentin in the usage tests. Microleakage of bacteria or bacterial products may have added to the inflammatory reaction in those usage tests. Thus this material appeared to be the most toxic in the usage test. The composites released low-molecular-weight resins, and the ZOE released eugenol and zinc ions. In the cell culture tests, these compounds had direct access to cells and probably caused the moderate-to-severe cytotoxicity. In the implantation tests, the released components may have caused some cytotoxicity, but the severity may have been reduced because of the capacity of the surrounding tissue to disperse the toxins. In usage tests, these materials probably were less toxic because the diffusion gradient of the dentin barrier reduced concentrations of the released molecules to low levels. The slight reaction observed with the composites may also have been caused in part by microleakage around these restorations. The ZOE did not show this reaction, however, because the eugenol and zinc probably killed bacteria in the cavity, and the ZOE may have reduced microleakage.

Another example of the lack of correlation of usage tests with implantation tests is the inflammatory response of the gingiva at the gingival and proximal margins of restorations that accumulate bacterial plaque and calculus. Plaque and calculus cannot accumulate on implanted materials and therefore the implantation test cannot hope to duplicate the usage test. However, connective tissue implantation tests are of great value in demonstrating the cytotoxic effects of materials and evaluating materials that will be used in contact with alveolar bone and apical periodontal connective tissues. In these cases, the implant site and the usage sites are sufficiently similar to compare the test results of the two sites.

### Using In Vitro, Animal, and Usage Tests Together

For about 25 years, scientists, industry, and the government have recognized that the most accurate and cost-effective means to assess biocompatibility of a new material is a combination of in vitro, animal, and usage tests. Implicit in this philosophy is the concept that no single test will be adequate to completely characterize biocompatibility of a material. The ways in which these tests are used together, however, are controversial and have evolved over many years as knowledge has increased and new technologies were developed.

Early combination schemes proposed a pyramid testing protocol, in which all materials were tested at the bottom of the pyramid and materials were “weeded out” as the testing continued toward the top of the pyramid (Fig. 6.6). Tests at the bottom of the pyramid were “unspecific toxicity” tests of any type (in vitro or animal) with conditions that did not necessarily reflect those of the material’s use. The next tier shows specific toxicity tests that presumably dealt with conditions more relevant to the use of the material. The final tier was a clinical trial of the material. Later, another pyramid scheme was proposed that divided tests into initial, secondary, and usage tests. The philosophy was similar to that used in the first scheme, except that the types of tests were broadened to encompass biological reactions other than toxicity, such as immunogenicity and mutagenicity. The concept of a usage test in an animal was also added (versus a clinical trial in a human). There are several important features of these early schemes. First, only materials that “passed” the first tier of tests were graduated to the second tier, and only those that passed the second tier were graduated to the clinical trials.

Presumably, then, this scheme funneled safer materials into the clinical trials area and eliminated unsafe materials. This strategy was appreciated because clinical trials are the most expensive and time-consuming aspect of biocompatibility testing.

### TABLE 6.2 Comparison of Reactions of Three Materials by Screening and Usage Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Cell Culture</th>
<th>Implantation in Connective Tissue</th>
<th>Pulp Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicate</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin composite</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZOE</td>
<td>++ +</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+++ Severe; ++, moderate; +, slight; 0, no reaction.

ZOE, Zinc oxide–eugenol.

Second, any material that survived all three tiers of tests was deemed acceptable for clinical use. Third, each tier of the system put a great deal of weight on the tests used to accurately screen in or out a material. Although still used in principle today, the inability of in vitro and animal tests to unequivocally screen materials in or out has led to development of newer schemes in biocompatibility testing.

Two newer testing schemes have evolved in the past 5 years with regard to using combinations of biocompatibility tests to evaluate materials (Fig. 6.7). In both of these schemes, all tests (in vitro, animal, and usage) continue to be of value in assessing biocompatibility of a material during its development and even in its clinical service. For example, tests of inflammatory response in animals may be useful not only during the development of a material, but also if a problem is noted with the material after it has been on the market for a time. These new schemes also recognize the inability of current testing methods to accurately and absolutely screen in or out a material. In addition, both incorporate the philosophy that assessing the biocompatibility of a material is an ongoing process. Undoubtedly, we will see still newer strategies in the use of combinations of biocompatibility tests as the roles of materials change and the technologies for testing improve.

Standards That Regulate the Measurement of Biocompatibility

The first efforts of the American Dental Association (ADA) to establish guidelines for dental materials...
came in 1926 when scientists at the National Bureau of Standards (NBS), now the National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST), developed specifications for dental amalgam. Unfortunately, recommendations on materials and conditions for biological compatibility have not kept pace with the technological development of dental materials. Reasons for this are (1) the fast advance of cellular and molecular biology, (2) the variety of tests available for assessing biocompatibility of materials, and (3) the lack of standardization of these tests.

Standardization is a difficult and lengthy process, made more difficult by disagreement on the appropriateness and significance of particular tests. In early attempts to develop a uniform test for toxicity of dental materials, small, standard-sized pieces of gold, amalgam, gutta-percha, silicates, and copper amalgam were sterilized and placed in uniformly sized pockets within skeletal muscle tissue. Biopsy specimens were evaluated microscopically after 6 months. Somewhat later came attempts to standardize techniques by placing materials within connective tissue and tooth pulp. Not until the passage of the Medical Device Bill by Congress in 1976 was biological testing for all medical devices (including dental materials) given a high priority. In 1972 the ADA Council on Dental Materials, Instruments, and Equipment (now the Council on Scientific Affairs) approved specification No. 41 for Recommended Standard Practices for Biological Evaluation of Dental Materials. The committee that developed this document recognized the need for standardized methods of testing and for sequential testing of materials to reduce the number of compounds that would need to be tested clinically. In 1982, an addendum was made to this document, and it was further updated in 2005.

**ANSI/ADA Specification 41**

Three categories of tests are described in the 2005 American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/ADA specification: initial, secondary, and usage tests. This document uses the testing scheme shown in Fig. 6.6B. The initial tests include in vitro assays for cytotoxicity, red blood cell membrane lysis (hemolysis), mutagenesis and carcinogenesis at the cellular level, and in vivo acute physiological distress and death at the level of the organism. Based on the results of these initial tests, promising materials are evaluated by one or more secondary tests in small animals (in vivo) for inflammatory or immunogenic potential (e.g., dermal irritation, subcutaneous and bony implantation, and hypersensitivity tests). Finally, materials that pass secondary tests are subjected to one or more in vivo usage tests, first in larger animals, often primates, and finally, with Food and Drug Administration approval, in humans. The ANSI/ADA specification No. 41, 1982 addendum, added two assays for mutagenesis: the Ames test and the Styles’ cell transformation test. The standard was most recently revised to conform to International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 10993, and was released as ANSI/ADA specification No. 41, Recommended Standard Practices for Biological Evaluation of Dental Materials (2005).

**ISO 10993**

In the 1980s, international efforts were initiated by several organizations to develop international standards for biomedical materials and devices. Several multinational working groups, including scientists from ANSI and the ISO, were formed to develop standard ISO 10993, published in 1992. Revision of the dental components of this document resulted in ISO 7405:2008 “Preclinical evaluation of biocompatibility of medical devices used in dentistry—Test methods for dental materials.” This is the most recent ISO standard available for biocompatibility testing of dental materials.

The standard divides tests into initial and supplementary tests to assess the biological reaction to materials. Initial tests are tests for cytotoxicity, sensitization, and systemic toxicity. Some of these tests are done in vitro, others in animals in nonusage situations. Most of the supplementary tests for assessing chronic toxicity, carcinogenicity, and biodegradation are done in animal systems, many in usage situations. Significantly, although guidelines for the selection of tests are given in part 1 of the standard and are based on how long the material will be present; whether it will contact body surface only, blood, or bone; and whether the device communicates externally from the body, the ultimate selection of tests for a specific material is left up to the manufacturer, who must present and defend the testing results.

**BIOCOMPATIBILITY OF DENTAL MATERIALS**

**Reactions of Pulp**

**Microleakage**

There is evidence that restorative materials may not adequately bond to or seal enamel or dentin. In this case, bacteria, food debris, or saliva may be drawn into the gap between the restoration and the tooth by capillary action. This effect has been termed microleakage, and its influence on pulpal irritation has been extensively studied. Several early studies reported that various dental restorative materials irritated pulp tissue in animal tests. However, several other studies hypothesized that the products of microleakage, not the restorative materials, caused the irritation. Subsequently, numerous studies suggested that bacteria present under restorations and in dentinal...
tubules might be responsible for pulpal irritation. Other studies showed that bacteria or bacterial products such as lipopolysaccharides could cause pulp irritation within hours of being applied to dentin.

Finally, a classic animal study shed light on the roles of restorative materials and microleakage on pulpal irritation. Amalgam, composite, zinc phosphate cement, and silicate cement were used as restorative materials in class 5 cavity preparations in monkey teeth. The materials were placed directly on pulp tissues. Half of the restorations were surface sealed with ZOE cement. Although some irritation was evident in all restorations at 7 days, after 21 days, the sealed restorations showed less pulpal irritation than those not sealed, presumably because microleakage had been eliminated. Only zinc phosphate cement elicited a long-term inflammatory response. Furthermore, the sealed teeth exhibited a much higher rate of new dentin formation, termed dentin bridging, under the material. Only amalgam seemed to prevent bridging. This study suggests that microleakage plays a significant role in pulpal irritation, but that the materials can also alter normal pulpal and dentinal repair.

Recently, the concept of nanoleakage has been put forward. Like microleakage, nanoleakage refers to the leakage of saliva, bacteria, or material components through the interface between a material and tooth structure. However, nanoleakage refers specifically to dentin bonding, and may occur between mineralized dentin and a bonded material in the very small spaces of demineralized collagen matrix into which the bonded material did not penetrate. Thus nanoleakage can occur even when the overall bond between the material and dentin is intact. It is not known how significant a role nanoleakage plays in the biological response to materials, but it is suspected of contributing to the hydrolytic degradation of the dentin-material bond, leading ultimately to much more serious microleakage.

The full biological effects of restorative materials on the pulp are still not clear. Restorative materials may directly affect pulpal tissues, or may play an auxiliary role by causing sublethal changes in pulpal cells that make them more susceptible to bacteria or neutrophils. It is clear, however, that the design of tests measuring pulpal irritation to materials must include provisions for eliminating bacteria, bacterial products, and other microleakage. Furthermore, the role of dentin in mitigating the effects of microleakage remains to be fully understood. Recent research has focused on the effects that resin components have on the ability of odontoblasts to form reparative dentin. Other research has established the rates at which these components traverse the dentin (see the next section on dentin bonding).

Although it is true that the majority of studies in this arena have in the past focused on the damaging effects of materials on the cells of the pulp and dentin, more recent evidence suggests that there are potentially beneficial effects that may derive from these interactions. Subtoxic exposure to certain dental materials, such as acid etchants, bonding resins, liners and bases, cements, and restorative materials, may solubilize molecules sequestered within the dentin during tooth development. These molecules include growth factors and other proteins and enzymes capable of stimulating existing odontoblasts or signaling undifferentiated cells to migrate to the site and begin the process of dentinal regeneration. These events may occur whether or not the material produces a sealed margin with the tooth, and are likely moderated by the health of the tooth in terms of the level of inflammation and the presence of bacterial infection. The exciting aspect of acquiring this new knowledge is the potential to design dental materials capable of initiating the tooth repair process in a systematic rather than random way. This is discussed at greater length in Chapter 16, Tissue Engineering.

**Dentin Bonding**

Traditionally, bond strengths to enamel have been higher than those to dentin. Bonding to dentin has proved more difficult because of its composition (being both organic and inorganic), wetness, and lower mineral content. The wettability of demineralized dentin collagen matrix has also been problematic. Because the dentinal tubules and their resident odontoblasts are extensions of the pulp, bonding to dentin also involves biocompatibility issues.

After being cut, such as in a cavity preparation, the dentin surface that remains is covered by a 1- to 2-μm layer of organic and inorganic debris. This layer has been named the smear layer (Fig. 6.8). In addition to covering the surface of the dentin, the smear layer debris is also deposited into the tubules to form dentinal plugs. The smear layer and dentinal plugs, which appear impermeable when viewed by electron microscopy, reduce the flow of fluid (convective transport) significantly. However, research has shown that diffusion of molecules as large as albumin (66 kDa) will occur through the smear layer.

Numerous studies have shown that removing the smear layer improves the strength of the bond between dentin and restorative materials with contemporary dentin-bonding agents. A variety of agents have been used to remove the smear layer, including acids, chelating agents such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), sodium hypochlorite, and proteolytic enzymes. Removing the smear layer increases the wetness of the dentin and requires that the bonding agent be able to wet dentin and
displace dentinal fluid. The precise mechanism by which bonding occurs remains unclear. However, it appears that the most successful bonding agents are able to penetrate into the layer of collagen fibrils that remains after acid etching removes the mineral component. There, they create a hybrid layer of resin and collagen in intimate contact with dentin and dentinal tubules. The strength of the collagen itself has also been shown to be important to bond strengths.

From the standpoint of biocompatibility, the removal of the smear layer may pose a threat to the pulp for three reasons. First, its removal juxtaposes resin materials and dentin without a barrier, and therefore increases the risk that these materials can diffuse and cause pulpal irritation. Second, the removal of the smear layer makes any microleakage more significant because a significant barrier to the diffusion of bacteria or bacterial products toward the pulp is removed. Third, the acids used to remove the smear layer are a potential source of irritation themselves. Nevertheless, removal of the smear layer is now a routine procedure because superior bond strengths are achieved.

Numerous acids, including phosphoric, hydrochloric, citric, and lactic acids, have been used to remove the smear layer. The effect of these acids on pulp tissues depends on a number of factors, including thickness of dentin between the restoration and the pulp, strength of the acid, and degree of etching. Most studies have shown that dentin is a very efficient buffer of protons, and that most of the acid never reaches the pulp if sufficient dentin remains. A dentin thickness of 0.5 mm has proved adequate in this regard. Citric or lactic acids are not as well buffered, probably because these weak acids do not dissociate as efficiently. Usage tests that have studied the effects of acids have shown that phosphoric, pyruvic, and citric acids produce moderate pulpal inflammatory responses, but this resolves after 8 weeks. Recent research has shown that in most cases the penetration depth of acid into the dentin is less than 100 μm. However, the possibility of adverse effects of these acids cannot be ruled out, because odontoblastic processes in the tubules may be affected even though the acids do not reach the pulp itself.

The more positive aspect of this dissolution of the dentin by acids used in dentin-bonding agents may be the release of potentially bioactive molecules entrapped during development. Significant research has shown that extracted dentin matrix proteins, which contain a large variety of phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated proteins, proteoglycans, metalloproteinases, and a variety of growth factors, may be released from intact dentin by basic and acidic chemicals, including acid etchants used in dental adhesives. Studies have shown that many of these molecules may serve as cell signaling agents to recruit undifferentiated cells or as direct stimulants to upregulate the production of extracellular matrix as a step in the process of dentin remineralization. The specific role for each of the molecules in this process is not currently known, nor is it known what the desirable concentration of a specific protein or combination of molecules is to produce optimal results. However, the fact that these naturally present molecules may be released by routine dental restorative procedures and serve as participants in the repair process provides an opportunity for the development and design of future materials.

**Bonding Agents**

There have been a number of studies of biocompatibility of dentin-bonding systems. Many of these reagents are cytotoxic to cells in vitro if tested alone. However, when placed on dentin and rinsed with water between applications of subsequent reagents as prescribed by the manufacturer, cytotoxicity is reduced. Longer-term in vitro studies suggest, however, that
components of the bonding agents may penetrate up to 0.5 mm of dentin and cause significant suppression of cellular metabolism for up to 4 weeks after application. This suggests that residual unbound constituents may cause adverse reactions.

Hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA), a hydrophilic resin contained in several bonding systems, is at least 100 times less cytotoxic in tissue culture than bisphenol A-glycidyl methacrylate (Bis-GMA). Studies using long-term in vitro systems have shown, however, that adverse effects of resins occur at much lower concentrations (by a factor of 100 or more) when exposure times are increased to 4 to 6 weeks. Many cytotoxic effects of resin components are reduced significantly by the presence of a dentin barrier. However, if the dentin in the floor of the cavity preparation is thin (<0.1 mm), there is some evidence that HEMA is cytotoxic in vivo. Further, studies have shown that HEMA is capable of diffusing through dentin, presumably via the dentinal tubules, even in opposition to an outward flow of fluid driven by normal pulpal pressure. What effect HEMA may then have on the pulp cells in situ is not known, but HEMA has been shown to stimulate the expression of growth factors in mouse odontoblast-like cells.

Other studies have established the in vitro cytotoxicity of most of the common resins in bonding agents, such as Bis-GMA, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate, and urethane dimethacrylate (UDMA). Combinations of HEMA and other resins found in dentin-bonding agents may act synergistically to cause cytotoxic effects in vitro. There have been very few clinical studies of diffusion of hydrophilic and hydrophobic resin components through dentin. These studies indicated that some diffusion of these components occurs in vivo as well. Interestingly, there has been one report that some resin components enhance the growth of oral bacteria. If substantiated, this would cause concern about the ability of resin-based materials to increase plaque formation.

Finally, studies have also shown that the release of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) from dentin by virtue of its interaction with the acid components in dentin adhesives may cause degradation of the adhesive bond by enzymatic action on the exposed collagen within the hybrid layer. The application of an MMP inhibitor, such as chlorhexidine, has been shown to minimize this effect, and has been recommended for maintaining the clinical durability of the dentin bond. However, the overall effect of chlorhexidine on pulp cells has yet to be determined.

**Resin-Based Materials**

Resin-based materials have been used as dental cements and restorative materials. Because they are a combination of organic and inorganic phases, these materials are called resin composites. In vitro, freshly set chemically cured and light-cured resins often cause moderate cytotoxic reactions in cultured cells over 24 to 72 hours of exposure, although several newer systems seem to have minimal toxicity. The cytotoxicity is significantly reduced 24 to 48 hours after setting and by the presence of a dentin barrier. Several studies have shown that some materials are persistently cytotoxic in vitro even up to 4 weeks, whereas others gradually improve, and a few newer systems show little toxicity even initially. In all cases, cytotoxicity is thought to be mediated by resin components released from the materials. Evidence indicates that the light-cured resins are less cytotoxic than chemically cured systems, but this effect is highly dependent on the curing efficiency of the light and the type of resin system. In vivo, usage tests have been used to assess the biological response to resin composites. The pulpal inflammatory response to chemically and light-activated resin composites was low to moderate after 3 days when they were placed in cavities with approximately 0.5 mm of remaining dentin. Any reaction diminished as the postoperative periods increased to 5 to 8 weeks and was accompanied by an increase in reparative dentin (Fig. 6.9). With a protective liner or a bonding agent, the reaction of the pulp to resin composite materials is minimal. The longer-term effects of resins placed directly on pulpal tissue are not known, but are suspected to be less favorable.

**Amalgam and Casting Alloys**

Biocompatibility of amalgam as a dental restorative material is thought to be determined largely
by the corrosion products released while in service. Amalgam is a complex metallic material composed of multiple phases, and its corrosion, in turn, depends on the type of amalgam, whether it contains the tin-mercury $\gamma_2$ phase, and its composition. In cell culture screening tests, free or nonreacted mercury from amalgam is toxic. With the addition of copper, amalgams become toxic to cells in culture, but low-copper amalgam that has set for 24 hours does not inhibit cell growth.

Implantation tests show that traditional low-copper amalgams were well tolerated, but the more modern high-copper amalgams caused severe reactions when in direct contact with tissue. Unreacted mercury or copper leaching out from these high-copper alloys has usually been the constituent leading to adverse response. An in vitro study of the effects of particulate amalgams and their individual phases on macrophages showed that all particles except $\gamma_2$ are effectively phagocytized by macrophages. Cell damage was seen in treated cultures exposed to particulate $\gamma_1$, the silver-mercury matrix phase of amalgams. In usage tests, the response of the pulp to amalgam in shallow cavities or in deeper but lined cavities is minimal, and amalgam rarely causes irreversible damage to the pulp. However, pain results from using amalgams in deep, unlined cavity preparations (0.5 mm or less remaining dentin), with an inflammatory response occurring after 3 days.

This pain may be related to the high thermal and electrical conductivity of the material, which is significantly mitigated by the presence of a barrier of remaining dentin or an insulating material. Thus in cavities with less than 0.5 to 1.0 mm of dentin remaining in the floor, a base should be placed on the floor of the cavity preparation for two reasons. First, the transfer of hot and cold stimuli, primarily from food and drink, through the amalgam may be substantial. Second, margins of newly placed amalgam restorations show significant microleakage. Marginal leakage of salivary and microbial products is probably enhanced by the natural daily thermal cycle in the oral cavity, which may expand and contract the marginal gap leading to a percolation of fluids. Although long-term sealing of the margins occurs through the buildup of corrosion products, the timeframe over which this occurs is somewhat a function of the composition of the amalgam, being longer for the high-copper amalgams in use today.

Usage tests reported that after 3 days, the pulpal response to high-copper amalgams appears similar to that elicited by low-copper amalgams in deep, unlined cavities. At 5 weeks they provoked only slight pulpal response. At 8 weeks the inflammatory response was reduced. Bacterial tests on the high-copper amalgam pellets have revealed little inhibitory effect on serotypes of Streptococcus mutans, thus suggesting that metallic elements were not released in amounts necessary to kill these microorganisms. Although the high-copper amalgams seem biologically acceptable in usage tests, liners are suggested for all deep cavities. Again, this may be related more to a need for thermal and electrical insulation than a concern over toxicity. Further, the diffusion of released metallic elements into the tooth structure produces discoloration, and may be minimized by the presence of an intervening liner. There are also reports of inflammatory reactions of the dentin and pulp, similar to the reactions to many other restorative materials. Mercury has been found in the lysosomes of macrophages and fibroblasts in some patients with lesions.

Cast alloys have been used for single restorations, fixed partial dentures, ceramic-metal crowns, and removable partial dentures. The gold content in these alloys ranges from 0 wt% to 85 wt%. These alloys contain several other noble and nonnoble metals that may have an adverse effect on cells if they are released from the alloys. However, metallic ions released from these materials are most likely in contact with gingival and mucosal tissues, whereas the pulp is more likely to be affected by the cement retaining the restoration.

**Glass Ionomers**

Glass ionomer has been used as a cement (luting agent), liner, base, and restorative material. Light-cured ionomer systems use HEMA or other monomers or oligomers as additives or as pendant chains on the polyacrylic acid main chain. In screening tests, freshly prepared ionomer is mildly cytotoxic, but this effect is reduced over time. The fluoride release from these materials, which may have some therapeutic value, has been implicated in this cytotoxicity in vitro. Some researchers have reported that certain systems are more cytotoxic than others, and though the reasons for this are not clear, presumably it is related to the composition of the glasses used in the material, which may contain aluminum, calcium, manganese, zinc, strontium, and other metallic elements.

The overall pulpal biocompatibility of glass ionomer materials has been attributed to the weak acidic nature of the polyacrylic acid, as well as to its high molecular weight. Thus polyacrylic acid is unable to diffuse through dentin due to its large size. In usage tests the pulp reaction to these cements is mild, and histological studies show that any inflammatory infiltrate from ionomer is minimal or absent after 1 month. There have been several reports of pulpal hyperalgesia for short periods (days) after placing glass ionomers in cervical cavities. This effect is probably the result of increased dentin permeability after acid etching. In any case, glass ionomer has not been shown to be well tolerated when placed directly upon living pulp tissue as a direct pulp-capping agent.
As previously discussed with dentin-bonding agents, acidic dental materials have the capacity for demineralizing dentin and therefore releasing bioactive molecules present within this tissue. Although this effect has not been shown specifically for glass ionomers to date, it seems reasonable to assume that it does occur clinically. In a recent study in nonhuman primates, dentin matrix proteins were shown to enhance the formation of reactionary dentin over exposed pulps, compared with calcium hydroxide or resin-modified glass ionomer. Although the response to resin-modified glass ionomer was less consistent than calcium hydroxide, in many cases it did result in new dentin formation, even when directly exposed to the pulp. It is important to note that the natural tooth repair process producing reactionary dentin does occur, following an initial inflammatory reaction, under glass ionomer when the material is placed over an existing dentin surface. Thus it is possible that the repair process is again aided by the presence of the bioactive molecules released from the dentin by the mild demineralization produced by the material under these conditions.

**Liners, Varnishes, and Nonresin Cements**

*Calcium hydroxide* cavity liners come in many forms, typically as pastes with a very alkaline pH (>12). Resin-containing preparations also exist and are capable of light-activated polymerization. The high pH of calcium hydroxide in suspension leads to extreme cytotoxicity in screening tests. Calcium hydroxide cements containing resins cause mild-to-moderate cytotoxic effects in tissue culture in both the freshly set and long-term set conditions. Inhibition of cell metabolism is reversible in tissue culture by high levels of serum proteins, suggesting that protein binding or buffering in inflamed pulp tissue may play an important role in detoxifying these materials in vivo. The initial response after exposing pulp tissue to these highly alkaline aqueous pulp-capping agents is necrosis to a depth of 1 mm or more. The alkaline pH also helps to coagulate any hemorrhagic exudate of the superficial pulp.

Shortly after necrosis occurs, neutrophils infiltrate into the subnecrotic zone. After 5 to 8 weeks, only a slight inflammatory response remains. Within weeks to months, however, the necrotic zone undergoes dystrophic calcification, which appears to be a stimulus for dentin bridge formation.

When resins are incorporated into the compound, these calcium hydroxide compounds become less irritating and are able to stimulate dentin bridge formation more quickly than the Ca(OH)$_2$ suspension alone. Significantly, this occurs with no zone of necrosis, and reparative dentin is laid down adjacent to the liner (Fig. 6.10). This indicates that replacement odontoblasts form the dentin bridge in contact with the liner. However, some of these materials evidently break down with time and create a gap between the restoration and the cavity wall. Resin-containing calcium hydroxide pulp-capping agents are the most effective liners now available for treating pulp exposures, and after treatment, the unaffected pulp undergoes a relatively uncomplicated wound-healing process.

Recent evidence suggests that calcium hydroxide placed on residual dentin in a tooth preparation may also have a stimulating effect on dentin remineralization through the solubilization of noncollagenous proteins, including growth factors such as transforming growth factor-beta-1 (TGF-β1), and glycosaminoglycans from the dentin. Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) has the same effect, and possibly to an even greater degree. This is perhaps not surprising because the main soluble component from MTA has been shown to be calcium hydroxide. Thus when
placed in contact with dentin, these materials may cause the release of these bioactive molecules, which then serve as signaling agents to recruit undifferentiated cells to the wound site.

These cells may then differentiate to odontoblast-like cells that begin the process of dentin bridge formation described earlier. Evidence exists both in cell culture and in situ that pulp cells exposed to MTA undergo proliferation and migration, followed by differentiation to odontoblast-like cells. Further, studies have shown that MTA-derived products can stimulate osteoblast-like cells and fibroblasts to express proteins, such as osteonectin, osteocalcin, and osteopontin, which are involved with extracellular matrix formation and mineralization. Thus the mode of dentin bridge formation under materials such as calcium hydroxide and MTA may be more complex than simply a reaction to an elevated pH stimulus.

Numerous investigators have analyzed the effects of applying thin liners such as resin-based copal varnishes and polystyrenes under restorations. These materials are not generally used under resin-based materials, because resin components dissolve the thin film of varnish. Because liners are used in such thin layers, they do not provide thermal insulation, but initially isolate the dentinal tubule contents from the cavity preparation. They may also reduce penetration of bacteria or chemical substances for a time. However, because of the thinness of the film and formation of pinpoint holes, the integrity of these materials is not as reliable as that of other cavity liners applied in a greater thickness.

Zinc phosphate has been widely used as a cement for seating castings and fixing orthodontic bands, and as a thermal insulating base under metallic dental restorations, because the thermal conductivity of this cement is approximately equal to that of enamel and is considerably less than that of metals. In vitro screening tests indicate that zinc phosphate cement elicits strong-to-moderate cytotoxic reactions that decrease with time. Leaching of zinc ions and a low pH may explain these effects. The dilution of leached cement products by dentin filtration has been shown to protect the pulp from most of these cytotoxic effects. Focal necrosis, observed in implantation tests with zinc phosphate cements injected into rat pulp, confirms the cytotoxic effects of this cement when it contacts pulp tissue. In usage tests in deep cavity preparations, moderate-to-severe localized pulpal damage is produced within 3 days, probably because of the initial low pH (4.2 at 3 minutes). However, the pH of the set cement approaches neutrality after 48 hours. By 5 to 8 weeks, only mild chronic inflammation is present, and reparative dentin has usually formed. Because of the initially painful and damaging effects on the pulp by this cement when placed in deep cavity preparations, the placement of a protective layer of a dentin-bonding agent, ZOE, varnish, or calcium hydroxide, is recommended in preparations with minimal remaining dentin covering the pulp.

Zinc polyacrylate cements (polyacrylate cements) were developed as biocompatible and cements chemically adhesive to tooth structure. In short-term tissue culture tests, cytotoxicity of freshly set and completely set cements has correlated with both the release of zinc and fluoride ions into the culture medium and with a reduced pH. Some researchers suggest that this cytotoxicity is an artifact of tissue culture because the phosphate buffers in the culture medium facilitate zinc ion leaching from the cement. Supporting this theory, cell growth inhibition can be reversed if EDTA, which chelates zinc, is added to the culture medium. Furthermore, inhibition of cells decreases as the cement sets. The polymer component of the cement may also be of concern, because concentrations of polyacrylic acid above 1% appear to be cytotoxic in tissue culture tests. On the other hand, subcutaneous and bone implant tests over a 1-year period have not indicated long-term cytotoxicity of these cements. Thus other mechanisms such as buffering and protein binding of these materials may neutralize these effects in vivo over time. Polyacrylate cements evoke a pulpal response similar to that caused by ZOE, with a slight-to-moderate response after 3 days and only mild, chronic inflammation after 5 weeks. Reparative dentin formation is minimal with these cements, and thus they are recommended only in cavities with intact dentin in the floors of the cavity preparations.

ZOE cements have been used in dentistry for many years. In vitro, eugenol from ZOE fixes cells, depresses cell respiration, and reduces nerve transmission with direct contact. Surprisingly, it is relatively innocuous in usage tests with class 5 cavity preparations. This is not contradictory for a number of reasons. The effects of eugenol are dose dependent and diffusion through dentin dilutes eugenol by several orders of magnitude. Thus although the concentration of eugenol in the cavity preparations just below the ZOE has been reported to be $10^{-2}$ M (bactericidal), the concentration on the pulpal side of the dentin may be $10^{-4}$ M or less. This lower concentration reportedly suppresses nerve transmission and inhibits synthesis of prostaglandins and leukotrienes (antiinflammatory). In addition and as described before, ZOE may form a temporary seal against bacterial invasion. In cavity preparations in primate teeth (usage tests), ZOE caused only a slight-to-moderate inflammatory reaction within the first week. This was reduced to a mild, chronic inflammatory reaction, with some reparative dentin formation (within 5 to 8 weeks), when cavities were deep. For this reason, it has been used as a negative control substance for comparison with restorative procedures in usage tests.
Bleaching Agents

The use of bleaching agents on vital teeth has become commonplace. These agents usually contain some form of peroxide (generally carbamide or hydrogen peroxide) in a gel that can be applied to the teeth either by a dentist or at home by a patient. The agents may be in contact with teeth for several minutes to several hours depending on the formulation of the material. Home bleaching agents may be applied for weeks to even months in some cases. In vitro studies have shown that peroxides can rapidly (within minutes) traverse the dentin in sufficient concentrations to be cytotoxic. The cytotoxicity depends to a large extent on the concentration of the peroxide in the bleaching agent. Other studies have even shown that peroxides can rapidly penetrate intact enamel and reach the pulp in a few minutes. In vivo studies have demonstrated adverse pulpal effects from bleaching, and most reports agree that a legitimate concern exists about the long-term use of these products on vital teeth. In clinical studies, the occurrence of tooth sensitivity is very common with the use of these agents, although the cause of these reactions is not known. Bleaching agents will also chemically burn the gingiva if the agent is not sequestered adequately in the bleaching tray. This is not a problem with a properly constructed tray, but long-term, low-dose effects of peroxides on the gingival and periodontal tissues have not been completely elucidated.

Reaction of Other Oral Soft Tissues to Restorative Materials

Restorative materials may cause reactions in the oral soft tissues such as the gingiva. It is not clear how much of the in vivo cytotoxicity observed is caused by the restorative materials and how much is caused by products of bacterial plaque that accumulate on teeth and restorations. In general, conditions that promote retention of plaque, such as rough surfaces or open margins, increase inflammatory reactions around these materials. However, released products from restorative materials also contribute either directly or indirectly to this inflammation. This is particularly true in areas where the washing effects of saliva are minimal, such as in proximal areas, in deep gingival pockets, or under removable appliances. Several studies have documented increased inflammation or recession of gingiva adjacent to restorations where plaque indices are low. In these studies, released products from materials could cause inflammation in the absence of plaque or could inhibit formation of plaque and cause inflammation in gingiva. In vitro research has shown that components from dental materials and plaque may synergize to enhance inflammatory reactions.

Cements exhibit some soft-tissue cytotoxicity in the freshly set state, but this decreases substantially over time. The buffering and protein-binding effects of saliva appear to mitigate these cytotoxic effects.

Resin composites in direct contact with fibroblasts are initially very cytotoxic in vitro. This cytotoxicity most likely results from unpolymerized components in the air-inhibited layer that leach out from the materials. Other in vitro studies, in which the composites were aged in artificial saliva for up to 6 weeks, have shown that toxicity diminishes with some materials but remains high for others. Some composites with non-Bis-GMA and non-UDMA matrices have significantly lower cytotoxicity in vitro, presumably because of lower amounts of released components. Polished composites show markedly less cytotoxicity in vitro, although some materials are persistently toxic even in the polished state.

Recently, there has been significant controversy about the ability of bisphenol A and bisphenol A dimethacrylate to cause estrogen-like responses in vitro. These compounds are basic components of many commercial composites. However, there is no evidence that xenoestrogenic effects are a concern in vivo from any commercial resin. Relatively little is known about other in vivo effects of released components of composites on soft tissues, although the concerns are similar to those regarding denture base resin and soft liners (see later discussion in this section). There is some evidence that methacrylate-based composite components may cause significant rates of hypersensitivity, although few clinical trials exist.

Amalgams have been extensively used for 150 years. In spite of its substantial history, however, periodically concern arises about the biocompatibility of amalgam. Allergic reactions to amalgam restorations are rare, although there are case reports of allergic contact dermatitis, gingivitis, stomatitis, and remote cutaneous reactions. Such responses usually disappear in a few days or, if not, on removal of the amalgam or with use of a cavity liner. Other local or systemic effects from mercury contained in dental amalgam have not been demonstrated. No well-conducted scientific study has conclusively shown that dental amalgam, placed and used correctly, produces any ill effects. Despite this, a global consensus has been reached to phase down the use of mercury in all industries, dentistry included. Thus amalgam use continues to decline throughout the world, and this in large part is due to environmental concerns over mercury contamination in the air, water, and soil.

In patients with oral lesions near amalgam sites, positive patch tests have been reported. However, the appropriate patch test has still not been determined. Amalgam restorations carried into the gingival crevice may cause inflammation of the gingiva because
of products of corrosion or bacterial plaque. Seven days after placing an amalgam, a few inflammatory cells appear in the gingival connective tissue, and hydropic degeneration of some epithelial cells may be seen. Some proliferation of epithelial cells into the connective tissue may also occur by 30 days, and chronic mononuclear cell infiltration of connective tissue is evident. Increased vascularity persists, with more epithelial cells invaginating into the connective tissue. Some of these changes may be a chronic response of the gingiva to plaque on the margins of the amalgam. Nevertheless, corrosion products from amalgam cannot be ruled out at this time because implanted amalgams produce similar responses in connective tissues in animals. In addition, although copper enhances the physical properties of amalgam and is bactericidal, it is also toxic to host cells and causes severe tissue reactions in implantation tests.

There is literature that shows that amalgam and resin composites release cytotoxic materials that cause tissue responses, at least at sites of implantation. However, in general, implantation tests show that the material is fairly well tolerated in soft and hard tissues. For materials that are placed where they are rinsed in saliva, these cytotoxic agents are probably washed away before they harm the gingiva.

However, rough surfaces on these types of restorations have been associated with increased inflammation in vivo. Usage tests in which restorations were extended into the gingival crevice have shown that finished materials gave a much milder inflammatory response than unfinished materials. The detrimental effect of surface roughness has been attributed to the increased plaque retention on these surfaces. However, rough surfaces on alloy restorations have also been shown to cause increased cytotoxic effects in vitro, where plaque was absent. This and other in vitro studies would again suggest that the cytotoxic response to alloys may be associated with release of elements from the alloys, and that the increased surface area of a rough surface may enhance release of these elements.

In another series of studies, low- and high-copper amalgam powders and various phases of amalgam were implanted subcutaneously in guinea pigs. After 1.5 to 3 months, fine secondary particles containing silver and tin were distributed throughout the lesions. These gave rise to macroscopic tattooing of the skin. Secondary material and small, degrading, primary particles from both types of amalgam were detected in the submandibular lymph nodes. Elevated mercury levels were detected in the blood, bile, kidneys, liver, spleen, and lungs, with the highest concentrations found in the renal cortex. In another study, primates received occlusal amalgam fillings or maxillary bone implants of amalgam for 1 year. Amalgam fillings caused deposition of mercury
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**FIG. 6.11** Quantitative representation of the affected areas of fibroblasts reveals the magnitude of cytotoxicity of amalgam elements. Standard deviations are represented by vertical bars. Ag, Silver; Cu, copper; Hg, mercury; Sn, tin; Zn, zinc. (From Kaga M, Seale NS, Hanawa T, et al. Cytotoxicity of amalgams, alloys, and their elements and phases. Dent Mater. 1991;7(1):68–72.)

in the spinal ganglia, anterior pituitary, adrenal, medulla, liver, kidneys, lungs, and intestinal lymph glands. Maxillary amalgam implants released mercury into the same organs, except for the liver, lungs, and intestinal lymph glands. Organs from control animals were devoid of precipitate. However, neither of these studies, nor any other, has demonstrated any changes in biochemical function of any of the laden organs.

Note that studies using powdered amalgam likely overestimate the amount of breakdown products, and therefore biological response, because the surface area of powders can be 5 to 10 times the surface area of a solid component. It must also be emphasized that any reaction to amalgam, whether in cell culture, local tissue response, or systemic response, does not necessarily imply a reaction to mercury. Such reactions could be in response to some other constituent of the amalgam or corrosion product. For example, in vitro cell culture testing that measured fibroblasts affected by various elements and phases of amalgams has shown that pure copper and zinc show greater cytotoxicity than pure silver and mercury. Pure tin has not been shown to be cytotoxic (Fig. 6.11). The $\gamma_1$ phase is moderately cytotoxic. Cytotoxicity is decreased by the addition of 1.5% and 5% tin (Fig. 6.12). However, the addition of 1.5% zinc to $\gamma_1$ containing 1.5% tin increases cytotoxicity to the same level as that of pure zinc. Whenever zinc is present, higher cytotoxicity is revealed. High-copper amalgams show the same cytotoxicity as a zinc-free, low-copper amalgam. The addition of selenium does not reduce amalgam cytotoxicity, and excessive additions of selenium increase cytotoxicity. The cytotoxicity of amalgams decreases after 24 hours, possibly from the combined effects of surface oxidation and further amalgamation. The results of this study
suggest that the major contributor to the cytotoxicity of amalgam alloy powders is probably copper, whereas that for amalgam is zinc.

Casting alloys have a long history of in vivo use with a generally good record of biocompatibility. Some questions about the biological liability of elemental release from many of the formulations developed in the past 10 years have arisen, but there is no clinical evidence that elemental release is a problem, aside from hypersensitivity. Nickel allergy is a relatively common problem, occurring in 10% to 20% of females. It is a significant risk from nickel-based alloys, because release of nickel ions from these alloys is generally higher than for noble or high-noble alloys. Stainless steels, commonly used in preformed pediatric crowns and orthodontic appliances, also contain a significant concentration of Ni in their composition. Palladium sensitivity has also been a concern in some countries, although the incidence of true palladium allergy is one-third that of nickel allergy. While it has been clinically documented that patients with palladium allergy are virtually always allergic to nickel, the converse is not true.

Numerous in vitro studies have examined the effects of metal ions on cells in the gingival tissues, such as epithelial cells, fibroblasts, and macrophages. For the most part, the concentrations of metal ions required to cause problems with these cells in vitro are greater than those released from most casting alloys. However, some recent research has shown that extended exposures to low doses of metal ions may also have biological liabilities. This is noteworthy because the low-dose concentrations approach those known to be released from some alloys. The clinical significance of this research, however, is not known.

Denture base materials, especially methacrylates, have been associated with immune hypersensitivity reactions of gingiva and mucosa more than any other dental material. The greatest potential for hypersensitivity is for dental and laboratory personnel who are exposed repeatedly to a variety of unreacted components. Hypersensitivity has been documented to the acrylic and diacrylic monomers, certain curatives, antioxidants, amines, and formaldehyde. For patients, however, most of these materials have undergone the polymerization reaction, and the incidence of hypersensitization is quite low. Screening tests for sensitization potential include testing the unreacted ingredients, the polymeric substance after reaction, and oil, saline, or aqueous extracts of the polymer using the in vitro tests previously described. In addition to hypersensitivity, visible light-cured denture base resins and denture base resin sealants have been shown to be cytotoxic to epithelial cells in culture.

Soft-tissue responses to soft denture liners and denture adhesives are of concern because these materials are used in intimate contact with the gingiva. Plasticizers, incorporated into some materials to make them soft and flexible, are released in vivo and in vitro. Cell culture tests have shown that some of these materials are extremely cytotoxic and affect a number of cellular metabolic reactions. Animal tests, several of these materials have caused significant epithelial changes, presumably from the released plasticizers. In usage, the effects of the released plasticizers are probably often masked by the inflammation already present in the tissues onto which these materials are placed. Denture adhesives have been evaluated in vitro and show severe cytotoxic reactions. Several had substantial formaldehyde content. The adhesives also allowed significant microbial growth. Newer formulations that add antifungal or antibacterial agents have not yet been shown to be clinically effective.

**Reaction of Bone and Soft Tissues to Implant Materials**

Interest in the biocompatibility of implant materials has grown because the use of implants in clinical practice has increased dramatically. Successful dental implant materials either promote osseointegration or biointegration (see Dental and Orofacial Implants, Chapter 15).

**Reactions to Ceramic Implant Materials**

Ceramic materials may be conveniently divided into two groups: bioactive materials and nonbioactive ceramics. Most ceramic implant materials have very low toxic effects on tissues, because they are already in an oxidized state and are highly corrosion resistant. As a group, not only are they minimally toxic but they also are nonimmunogenic and noncarcinogenic. Nonbioactive ceramic materials generally
invoke fibrous encapsulation when implanted, as mentioned earlier.

Reactions to Implant Metals and Alloys

Pure metals and alloys are the oldest type of oral implant materials. Initially, metallic implant materials were selected based on strength and ease of fabrication. Over time, however, biocompatibility with bone and soft tissue and the longevity of the implant have become more important. Although a variety of implant materials have previously been used (including stainless steel and chromium-cobalt-molybdenum), the only metallic dental implant materials in common use today are titanium-based alloys.

Titanium is a pure metal when initially cast. However, in less than a second the surface forms a thin conformal layer of various titanium oxides. This oxide layer is corrosion resistant and allows bone to osseointegrate. A major disadvantage of this metal is that it is difficult to cast. It has been wrought into various forms, but this process introduces metallic impurities into the surface that may adversely affect bone cell response unless extreme care is taken during manufacturing. Titanium implants have been used with success as root forms to support a prosthesis. With frequent recall and good oral hygiene, implants have been maintained in healthy tissue for longer than three decades. Titanium-aluminum-vanadium alloys (Ti-6Al-4V) have been used successfully in this regard as well. This alloy is significantly stronger than commercially pure titanium, and has better fatigue resistance, but has the same desirable stiffness and thermal properties as the commercially pure (CP) metal. Although titanium and titanium alloy implants have corrosion rates that are markedly less than other metallic implants, they do release titanium into the body. Currently, there is no evidence that released titanium ions are a problem locally or systemically. However, questions remain about the liability of released aluminum and vanadium from alloys.

In soft tissue, the bond epithelium forms with titanium is morphologically similar to that formed with the tooth, but this interface has not been fully characterized. Connective tissue apparently does not bond to the titanium, but does form a tight seal that seems to limit ingress of bacteria and bacterial products. Techniques are being developed to limit down-growth of the epithelium and loss of bone height around the implant, because this will ultimately cause implant failure. Periimplantitis is now a documented disease around implants and involves many of the same bacteria as periodontitis. The role of the implant material or its released components in the progression of periimplantitis is not known, but this disease is considered to be a major contributor to implant failure and the subject of much investigation.

Reactions to Resorbable Materials

With the survival of implanted materials for decades or more, the predominant thought began to shift in emphasis from achieving a benign (or tolerated) tissue response to instead producing bioactive materials that could elicit a controlled action and reaction in the physiological environment. Continuing in this vein was the development of resorbable biomaterials that exhibit clinically appropriate, controlled chemical breakdown and resorption. In these materials, the problem of a tissue-material interface is resolved, because the materials provoke a physiologic response to replace the material with regenerated tissues. One of the earliest examples of these materials was the development of resorbable sutures. These materials were composed of a copolymer of polylactic acid (PLA) and polyglycolic acid (PGA). When implanted in the body, they undergo a hydrolytic decomposition into CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. By the mid-1980s, clinical use of resorbable polymeric sutures was commonplace. Resorbable fracture fixation plates and screws, guided tissue membranes, and controlled drug-release systems have rapidly followed. Although generally well tolerated by tissues in vivo, the resorbability of these materials depends on the volume of material implanted and because these materials degrade into acidic by-products, the subsequent drop in pH in the surrounding tissues may invoke an inflammatory response. Other polymeric materials such as polycaprolactone, and hyaluronan derivatives, as well as natural polymers such as cross-linked collagen, starch, and cellulose are currently being investigated for their ability to resorb in vivo after serving their function as an implant.

SUMMARY

Biocompatibility of a dental material depends on its composition, location, and interactions with the oral cavity. Metal, ceramic, and polymer materials elicit different biological responses because of differences in composition. Furthermore, diverse biological responses to these materials depend on whether they release their components and whether those components are toxic, immunogenic, or mutagenic at the released concentrations. The location of a material in the oral cavity partially determines its biocompatibility. Materials that are biocompatible in contact with the oral mucosal surface may cause adverse reactions if they are implanted beneath it. Materials that are toxic in direct contact with the pulp may be essentially innocuous if placed on dentin or enamel. Finally, interactions between the material and the body influence the biocompatibility of the material. A material’s response to changes in pH, application
of force, or the effect of biological fluids can alter its biocompatibility. Surface features, such as roughness of a material, may promote or discourage attachment of bacteria, host cells, or biological molecules. These effects also determine whether the material will promote plaque retention, integrate with bone, or adhere to dentin.
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Dental biomaterials are generally categorized into four classes: metals, polymers, ceramics, and composites. The four classes are distinctly different from each other in terms of density, stiffness, translucency, processing method, application, and cost. One class, composites, is a combination of two or more classes that produces materials that can be engineered for specific applications. For some applications, such as intracoronal and extracoronal restorations, several classes offer suitable materials. For other applications, such as removable partial dental denture frameworks, only one class is appropriate. Requirements such as esthetics, hardness, stiffness, and bioactivity dictate the choice of material class. Restoration design and material class are always coordinated to achieve the best patient outcome.

This chapter is organized into four sections by the class of material. Fundamental concepts of each class are presented here. Additional detail is provided in other chapters when specific applications are discussed.

## METALS AND ALLOYS

Metals and alloys are used in almost all aspects of dental practice, including the dental laboratory, direct and indirect dental restorations, implants, and instruments used to prepare and clean teeth. Metals and alloys have optical, physical, chemical, thermal, and electrical properties that are unique among the basic types of materials and suitable for many dental applications. Although tooth-colored materials are often desired for restorations, metals provide strength, stiffness, fracture resistance, and longevity for long-term dental applications that are often not achievable with other classes of materials. Evidence in the scientific literature of clinical performance is the most extensive for this material class.

As a class, metals are ductile and malleable and therefore exhibit elastic and plastic behavior; they are good electrical and thermal conductors, are higher in density than other classes, exhibit good fracture resistance, are opaque, and can be polished to a luster. Metals may be cast, drawn into wires, or machined to create dental restorations and instruments.

### CHEMICAL AND ATOMIC STRUCTURE OF METALS

A metal is any element that ionizes positively in solution. As a group, metals constitute nearly two-thirds of the periodic table (Fig. 7.1). During ionization, metals release electrons. This ability to exist as free, positively charged, stable ions is a key factor in the behavior of metals and is responsible for many metallic properties that are important in dentistry. Another important group of elements shown in Fig. 7.1 are the metalloids, including carbon, silicon, and boron. Although metalloids do not always form free positive ions, their conductive and electronic properties make them important components of many dental alloys.

### Atomic Structure

At the atomic level, pure metals exist as crystalline arrays (Fig. 7.2) that are continuous in three dimensions. In these arrays, the nuclei and core electrons occupy the atomic center with the ionizable electrons floating freely among the atomic positions. The mobility of the valence electrons is responsible for many properties of metals, such as electrical conductivity. It is important to note that the positively charged atomic centers are held together by the electrons and their positive charge is simultaneously neutralized by the negative electrons. Thus pure metals have no net charge.

The relationships between the atomic centers in a metallic crystalline array are not always uniform in all directions. The distances in the x, y (horizontal), and z (vertical) axes may be the same or different,
The angles between these axes may or may not be 90 degrees. In all, six crystal systems occur (Fig. 7.3), and they can be further divided into 14 crystaline arrays. Metallic nuclei may occur at the center of faces or vertices of the crystal. Within each array, the smallest repeating unit that captures all the relationships among atomic centers is called a unit cell.

Metallic nuclei may occur at the center of faces or vertices of the crystal. Within each array, the smallest repeating unit that captures all the relationships among atomic centers is called a unit cell (see Fig. 7.2). The unit cells for the most common arrays in dental metals are shown in Fig. 7.4. In the body-centered cubic (BCC) array, all angles are 90 degrees and all atoms are equidistant from one another in the horizontal and vertical directions. Metallic atoms are located at the corners of the unit cell, and one atom is at the center of the unit cell (hence the name body-centered cubic). This is the crystal structure of iron and is common for many iron alloys. The face-centered cubic (FCC) array has 90-degree angles and atomic centers that are equidistant horizontally and vertically (as does the BCC), but atoms are located in

FIG. 7.2 A typical metallic crystal lattice, in this case a body-centered cubic lattice. Every lattice has a unit cell (shown in bold) that extends (repeats) in three dimensions for large distances. Electrons are only relatively loosely bound to atomic nuclei and core electrons. The nuclei occupy specific sites (shown as dots in the unit cell) in the lattice, whereas the electrons are relatively free to move about the lattice. In reality, the metal atoms are large enough to touch each other.

and the angles between these axes may or may not be 90 degrees. In all, six crystal systems occur (Fig. 7.3), and they can be further divided into 14 crystalline arrays. Metallic nuclei may occur at the center of faces or vertices of the crystal. Within each array, the smallest repeating unit that captures all the relationships among atomic centers is called a unit cell. The unit cells for the most common arrays in dental metals are shown in Fig. 7.4. In the body-centered cubic (BCC) array, all angles are 90 degrees and all atoms are equidistant from one another in the horizontal and vertical directions. Metallic atoms are located at the corners of the unit cell, and one atom is at the center of the unit cell (hence the name body-centered cubic). This is the crystal structure of iron and is common for many iron alloys. The face-centered cubic (FCC) array has 90-degree angles and atomic centers that are equidistant horizontally and vertically (as does the BCC), but atoms are located in
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FIG. 7.1 Periodic table of elements. The periodic table of the elements can be subdivided into metals (blue backgrounds), metalloids (purple backgrounds), and nonmetals (yellow backgrounds). Elements in nonbolded type are used in dental alloys or as pure metals. The metals are elements that ionize positively in solution and comprise the majority of elements in the periodic table. Note that not all elements are shown. Hydrogen is often included in group IA (left column) because it forms compounds with oxidation numbers of both +1 and –1. In addition, under very high pressures, hydrogen exhibits the properties of a metal. The single asterisk indicates the insertion point in the table for the lanthanide series of elements, whereas the double asterisk indicates the insertion point for the actinide series of elements. (From The American Chemical Society.)

FIG. 7.3 Lattice structures. All metals occur in one of the lattice structures shown. There are six families of lattices, four of which can be subdivided. Each family is defined by the distances between vertices and the angles at the vertices. The body-centered cubic, face-centered cubic, and hexagonal lattices (asterisks) are the most common in dental alloys and pure metals.
the centers of the faces with no atom in the center of the unit cell (hence the name face-centered cubic). Most pure metals and alloys of gold, palladium, cobalt, and nickel exhibit the FCC array. Titanium exhibits the more complex hexagonal close-pack array. In this array, the atoms are equidistant from each other in the horizontal plane, but not in the vertical direction.

In a metallic crystal, the atomic centers are positively charged because the free valence electrons float in the crystal. Although we might expect the atomic centers to repel each other, the freely floating electrons bind the centers together and create a strong force between the atomic centers. This is known as the metallic bond and is a fundamentally important type of primary bond. The metallic bond is fundamentally different from other primary bonds, such as covalent bonds that occur in organic compounds, and ionic bonds that occur in ceramics.

**Physical Properties of Metals**

All properties of metals result from the metallic crystal structure and metallic bonds. In general, metals have high densities that result from the efficient packing of atomic centers in the crystal lattice. Metals are electrically and thermally conductive because of the mobility of the valence electrons in the crystal lattice. The opacity and reflective nature of metals result from the ability of the valence electrons to absorb and emit light. Melting occurs as the metallic bond energy is overcome by the applied heat. Interestingly, the number of valence electrons per atomic center influences the melting point somewhat. As the number of valence electrons increases, the metallic bond develops some covalent character that contributes to higher melting points. This phenomenon occurs for iron (Fe$^{3+}$) and nickel (Ni$^{2+}$).

The corrosion properties of metals depend on the ability of atomic centers and electrons to be released in exchange for energy. The amount of energy required depends on the strength of the metallic force, which is related to the freedom of the valence electron, and the energy that the released ion can gain by solvating in solution. For metals such as sodium and potassium, the metallic bond is weaker because the valence electrons are loosely held, and the energy of solvation is high. Thus these metals corrode into water with explosive energy release. For metals such as gold and platinum, the metallic bond is stronger; valence electrons are more tightly held, and solvation energies are relatively low. Thus gold and platinum are far less likely to corrode. The corrosion of metals always involves oxidation and reduction. The released ion is oxidized because the electrons are given up, and the electrons (that cannot exist alone) are gained by some molecules in the solution (that are therefore reduced).

Because the distances between metal atoms in a crystal lattice may be different in the horizontal and vertical directions (see Fig. 7.4), properties such as conductivity of electricity and heat, magnetism, and strength may also vary by direction if a single crystal is observed. These directional properties of metals and metalloids have been exploited in the semiconductor industry to fabricate microchips for computers. However, in dentistry, a single crystal is rarely observed. Rather, a collection of randomly oriented crystals, each called a grain, generally make up a dental alloy. In this case, the directional properties are averaged across the material. In general, a fine-grained structure is desirable to encourage alloys with uniform properties in any direction. Nonuniformity of directional properties is termed anisotropy.

Like the physical properties, the mechanical properties of metals are also a result of the metallic crystal structure and metallic bonds. Metals generally have good ductility (ability to be drawn into a wire) and malleability (ability to be hammered into a thin sheet) relative to polymers and ceramics. To a large extent, these properties result from the ability of the atomic centers to slide against each other into new positions within the same crystal lattice. Because the metallic bonds are essentially nondirectional, such sliding is possible.

If the metallic crystals were perfect, calculations have shown that the force required to slide the atoms in the lattice would be hundreds of times greater than experiments indicate. Less force is necessary because the crystals are not perfect; they have flaws called dislocations. Dislocations allow the atomic centers to...
slide past each other one plane at a time (Fig. 7.5). Because movement can occur one plane at a time, the force required is much less than if the forces of all the planes have to be overcome simultaneously. An analogy is moving a large heavy rug by forming a small fold or kink in the rug and pushing the fold from one end of the rug to the other. Dislocations are of several types, but all serve to allow the relatively easy deformation of metals. All methods for increasing the strength of metals act by impeding the movement of dislocations.

Metals fracture when the atomic centers cannot slide past one another freely. For example, this failure can happen when impurities block the flow of dislocations (Fig. 7.6). The inability of the dislocation to be moved through the solid results in the lattice rupturing locally. Once this small crack is started, it takes little force to propagate the crack through the lattice. An example illustrates this idea. Consider a plate of steel 15 cm wide and 6 mm thick. Suppose it has a 5-cm crack running into one side. The force required to make the crack run the remaining 10 cm would be about 1800 newtons (N). Without the aid of the crack, 2.2 million newtons (MN) would be required if the steel were the best commercial grade available. If the steel were a single, flawless crystal, 44 MN would be necessary! The fracture of metals depends heavily on dislocations and the local rupture of the crystal lattice.

**POLYMERS**

Polymers are commonly used for applications such as tooth restoratives, sealants, cements, orthodontic space maintainers and elastics, obturators for cleft palates, impressions, provisional restorations, root canal filling materials, denture bases, and athletic mouth protectors. In addition, polymers are typically one of the components of the fourth class of material, composites, which are discussed later in this chapter. As a class, polymers are formable, can be made translucent or opaque, are low in density and hardness compared with metals, and are poor conductors of temperature and electricity. Of the four classes of materials presented, polymers have the lowest stiffness, lowest long-term stability in an aqueous environment, and the lowest melting or glass transition point.

**Basic Nature of Polymers**

**Chemical Composition**

The term polymer denotes a molecule that is made up of many (poly) parts (mers). The mer ending represents the simplest repeating chemical structural unit from which the polymer is composed. Thus poly(methyl methacrylate) is a polymer having chemical structural units derived from methyl methacrylate, as indicated by the simplified reaction and structural formula I.

![Methyl methacrylate](image)

![Poly(methyl methacrylate)](image)
The molecules from which the polymer is constructed are called monomers (one part). Polymer molecules may be prepared from a mixture of different types of monomers. They are called copolymers if they contain two or more different chemical units and terpolymers if they contain three different units, as indicated by the structural formulas II and III.

As a convenience in expressing the structural formulas of polymers, the mer units are enclosed in brackets, and subscripts such as \( n \), \( m \), and \( p \) represent the average number of the various mer units that make up the polymer molecules. Notice that in normal polymers the mer units are spaced in a random...

**FIG. 7.6** Sketches showing plastic shearing with crack formation at the site of an impurity (*orange circles*). Without the impurity (A, B, C), the load forces the dislocations completely through the lattice without fracture (note the progression of *red circles* from left to right). However, when the impurity is present (D, E, F), it stops the progress of the dislocation. As other dislocations build up, the lattice below them cannot accommodate and a crack forms in the lattice (E). In (E) and (F), note the broken bonds between atoms. Once formed, a crack can grow rapidly and relatively easily and lead to catastrophic failure.
orientation along the polymer chain. It is possible, however, to produce copolymers with mer units arranged so that a large number of one mer type are connected to a large number of another mer type. This special type of polymer is called a block polymer. It also is possible to produce polymers having mer units with a special spatial arrangement with respect to the adjacent units; these are called stereospecific polymers.

The mers of the polymers are joined through covalent, C–C, bonds. Typically, during the polymerization process, C=C double bonds are converted to C–C single bonds and a mer is attached to one of the carbon atoms that was part of the C=C double bond. The next section describes various network configurations for mers, including cross-linking, in which chains are linked in a nonlinear configuration.

**Molecular Weight**

The molecular weight of the polymer molecule, which equals the molecular weight of the various mers multiplied by the number of the mers, may range from thousands to millions of molecular weight units, depending on the preparation conditions. The higher the molecular weight of the polymer made from a single monomer, the higher the degree of polymerization. The term polymerization is often used in a qualitative sense, but the degree of polymerization is defined as the total number of mers in a polymer molecule. In general, the molecular weight of a polymer is reported as the average molecular weight because the number of repeating units may vary greatly from one molecule to another. As would be expected, the fraction of low-, medium-, and high-molecular-weight molecules in a material, or in other words, the molecular weight distribution, has as pronounced an effect on the physical properties as does the average molecular weight. Therefore two poly(methyl methacrylate) specimens can have the same chemical composition but greatly different physical properties because one of the specimens has a high percentage of low-molecular-weight molecules, whereas the other has a high percentage of high-molecular-weight molecules. Variation in the molecular weight distribution may be obtained by altering the polymerization procedure. These materials therefore do not possess any precise physical constants, such as melting point, as do ordinary small molecules. For example, the higher the molecular weight, the higher the softening and melting points and the stiffer the polymer.

**Spatial Structure**

In addition to chemical composition and molecular weight, the physical or spatial structure of the polymer molecules is also important in determining the properties of the polymer. There are three basic types of structures: linear, branched, and cross-linked. They are illustrated in Fig. 7.7 as segments of linear, branched, and cross-linked polymers. The linear homopolymer has mer units of the same type, and the random copolymer of the linear type has the two mer units randomly distributed along the chain. The linear block copolymer has segments, or blocks, along the chain, whereas the mer units are the same. The branched homopolymer again consists of the same mer units, whereas the graft-branched copolymer consists of one type of mer unit on the main chain and another mer for the branches. The cross-linked polymer shown in Fig. 7.7 is made up of a homopolymer cross-linked with a single cross-linking agent.

The linear and branched molecules are separate and discrete, whereas the cross-linked molecules are a network structure that may result in the creation of one giant polymeric molecule. The spatial structure of polymers affects their flow properties, but generalizations are difficult to make because either the interaction between linear polymer molecules or the length of the branches on the branched molecules may be more important in a particular example. In general, however, the cross-linked polymers flow at higher temperatures than linear or branched polymers. Another distinguishing feature of some cross-linked polymers is that they do not absorb liquids as readily as either the linear or branched materials.

**Thermoplastics and Thermosets**

An additional method of classifying polymers other than by their spatial structure is according to whether they are thermoplastic or thermosetting. The term thermoplastic refers to polymers that may be softened by heating and solidify on cooling, the process being repeatable. Typical examples of polymers of this type are poly(methyl methacrylate) and polyethylene-polyvinylacetate. The term thermosetting or thermoset refers to polymers that solidify during fabrication but cannot be softened by reheating. These polymers generally become nonfusible because of a cross-linking reaction and the formation of a spatial structure. Typical dental examples are cross-linked poly(methyl methacrylate), silicones, cis-polyisoprene, and dimethacrylates.

Polymers as a class have unique properties, and by varying the chemical composition, molecular weight, molecular-weight distribution, or spatial arrangement of the mer units, the physical and mechanical properties of polymers may be altered. Additional discussion of polymers is included in Chapter 9.

---

**CERAMICS**

The term ceramic refers to any product made essentially from a nonmetallic inorganic material usually processed by firing at a high temperature to
achieve desirable properties. They are oxides of metals. As a class, ceramics are hard, low in toughness compared to metals, stiff, poor thermal and electrical conductors, and can be cast or machined to fabricate dental restorations. The translucency and opacity of a dental ceramic can be modified for applications where color and translucency are critical. Ceramics generally demonstrate little plastic behavior and are thus considered brittle when compared to metals or polymers. Their stress-strain curves are generally linear with no plastic strain. Ceramics are used in restorative dentistry as full- and partial-coverage crowns, denture teeth, and as particulate fillers for resin matrix composite filling materials.

The more restrictive term porcelain refers to a specific compositional range of ceramic materials made by mixing kaolin, quartz, and feldspar, and firing at high temperature. Dental ceramics for ceramic-metal restorations belong to this compositional range and are commonly referred to as dental porcelains. Dental porcelain is used as veneers on metal frameworks (metal ceramic restoration) and on minimally prepared anterior teeth, and for denture teeth.

The laboratory portion of a ceramic restoration is usually made in a commercial dental laboratory by a skilled technician working with specialized equipment to the shape and shade specifications provided by the dentist. Computer-aided design and manufacturing or milling (CAD-CAM) is the basis for the

---

### FIG. 7.7 Linear, branched, and cross-linked homopolymers and copolymers. Red circles, One type of mer unit; blue circles, another type of mer unit; dashed lines, continuation of the polymer segment.
acquisition of digital images of tooth preparations and computer-aided design of restorations. Portable milling machines under digital control mill machineable ceramic blanks to create final restorations. Additional discussion of digital impressioning can be found in Chapter 14.

The properties of dental ceramics depend on their composition, microstructure, and flaw population. The nature and amount of reinforcing crystalline phase present dictate the material’s strength and resistance to crack propagation as well as its optical properties.

Ceramics are brittle and contain at least two populations of flaws: fabrication defects and surface cracks. The fracture mechanisms involve crack propagation from these flaws. Fabrication defects are created during processing and consist of inclusions at the condensation stage or voids generated during sintering. Inclusions are often linked to improper cleaning of the metal framework or use of unclean instruments. Porosity on the internal side of clinically failed glass-ceramic restorations has been identified as the fracture initiation site. Microcracks also develop upon cooling in feldspathic porcelains and can be due to thermal contraction mismatch between the leucite crystals and the glassy matrix or to thermal shock if the porcelain is cooled too rapidly.

Surface cracks are induced by machining or grinding. The average natural flaw size varies from 20 to 50 μm. Usually, failure of the ceramic originates from the most severe flaw.

Dental ceramics are subjected to repeated (cyclic) loading in a humid environment (chewing), conditions that are ideal for the extension of the preexisting defects or cracks. This phenomenon, called slow crack growth, can contribute to a severe reduction of the survival probability of ceramic restorations.

**COMPOSITES**

Composites are a combination of two or more classes of materials. In dentistry, the most common composite is a combination of a polymer and ceramic, where the polymer is used to bind ceramic particles. The polymer functions as the matrix in dental composites and the particles are reinforcing materials. Polymer matrix composites, also known as resin composites, are used as sealants, intracoronal and extracoronal restorations, provisional restorations, veneers, denture teeth, cements, and core buildups. As a class, dental composites are formable, can be made to be machineable, opaque or translucent, moderate in stiffness and hardness, thermal and electrical insulators, and sparingly soluble. Many advances have been made in particle technology and are discussed in Chapter 9.

In a composite the properties are intermediate between the two compositional materials. A benefit of combining two material classes is the ability to fabricate a new material that has desirable handling properties that are not achievable with one material alone. For example, individual ceramic particles do not adhere to each other, but the addition of a polymer to bind them enables the composite to be used as a paste. Use of a polymer alone will not achieve sufficient stiffness and stability, which are properties contributed by the ceramic particles. The polymer used in many dental composites, bisphenol A-glycidyl methacrylate (Bis-GMA), has shown no significant health risks when used in dental resin composites.

In industries and professions other than dentistry, other classes are combined to form composites, such as metal-ceramic composites used in aerospace. A commonly used material in the construction industry, concrete, is a composite of sand, gravel, and portland cement. As with dental resin composites, the cement in concrete is the binder for the sand and gravel particles. Composites differ from alloys in that, at the microscopic level, the individual components of the composite are visible.

In the case of concrete, a limiting factor is the adhesion between the portland cement and sand-gravel particles. At the surface, the cement washes away with use, leaving the particles incompletely surrounded by cement. These particles are then easily dislodged, leaving a void in the surface of the concrete. In dental resin composites, coupling agents are used to enhance the adhesion between the ceramic particles and polymer matrix, thereby increasing its wear resistance and long-term surface integrity.

During polymerization of resin composites, a volumetric contraction of the polymer matrix occurs that results in strain within the matrix. This contraction strain is coupled with the increase in elastic modulus as the composite cures. The combination of contraction strain and development of elastic modulus produces stress within the composite because the periphery of the restoration is constrained by adhesion to the enamel and dentin cavity walls. Methods to reduce residual stress include the development of polymers with reduced shrinkage during cure and modifications to the clinical placement technique. More detail on the measurement of polymerization shrinkage can be found in Chapter 5 and the mechanism for polymerization can be found in Chapter 9.

**Bibliography**


Prevention is a foundation of dentistry. Low-level fluorides in water supplies have provided tremendous benefit in reducing the incidence of dental caries in children. Fluorides can be introduced into community water supplies to ensure systemic ingestion during early life, when the teeth are forming. Fluoride can also be provided as a dietary supplement to inhibit caries where drinking water is not fluoridated. Patients who are at high risk for developing caries in spite of receiving systemic fluoride can be given additional fluoride via topical application in toothpastes, mouth rinses, gels, and varnishes. A combination of systemic and topical fluoride applications has contributed to a dramatic reduction in the prevalence of smooth surface caries since the 1960s. Pits and fissures on the occlusal surfaces of posterior teeth, however, are more resistant to fluoride uptake because of the irregular morphology of the occlusal surface. This, combined with the retention of food and the difficulty of proper brushing in the posterior segment, can lead to the start of a carious lesion. A preventive therapy consisting of a sealant to fill in the occlusal irregularities can reduce the risk of caries by creating a smoother surface that is easier to clean and less likely to retain food and harbor bacteria.

**PIT AND FISSURE SEALANTS**

The most common sealants are based on bisphenol A-glycidyl methacrylate (Bis-GMA) resin and are light-cured, although some self-cured products are also available. The chemistry of Bis-GMA sealants is the same as that described for resin composites in Chapter 9. The principal difference is that sealants are much more fluid to enable them to penetrate the pits, fissures, and etched areas on the enamel, which promotes retention of the sealant. The viscous Bis-GMA resin is mixed with a diluent, such as triethylene glycol dimethacrylate, to produce a reasonably low-viscosity, flowable resin. An alternative but similar oligomer base is urethane dimethacrylate; some materials are formulated from a combination of the two base resins. To provide stiffness to the material and improve wear resistance, filler particles of fumed silica or silanated inorganic glasses can be added to form low-viscosity composites.

**Light-Cured Sealants**

The polymerization of light-cured sealants is initiated by a photosensitive diketone in conjunction with a tertiary amine. The complete reactions for similar resin composites are given in Chapter 9. Light-cured sealants are supplied in light-proof containers and should have a shelf life of more than 12 months. The sealant is applied to the pit and fissure with an appropriate applicator and is cured by exposing it to light for 10 to 20 seconds, with the end of the light source positioned about 1 to 2 mm from the surface. Sealants are applied in thin layers, so depth of cure should be adequate with 10- to 20-second exposure times, even for opaque materials. The advantage in using a light-cured sealant is that the working time can be completely controlled by the operator.

**Air Inhibition of Polymerization**

Owing to air inhibition of resin curing, a surface layer of uncured resin that varies in depth with different commercial products remains on the sealant surface after light curing. Sufficient material must be applied to completely coat all pits and fissures with a layer thick enough to ensure complete polymerization after removal of the tacky surface layer. The uncured, air-inhibited layer can be easily removed after curing using an abrasive slurry of pumice, applied on a cotton pellet or with a prophylaxis cup in a rotary handpiece. This is more effective than wiping or rinsing.

**Properties of Sealants**

Because sealants are completely circumscribed by enamel and should not be subjected to heavy
occlusal stresses without support of the underlying enamel, the mechanical properties of sealants are less important than those of resin composite restoratives that must support occlusal loads in bulk. By adding ceramic or glass filler particles up to 40% by weight, most properties show improvement as compared to unfilled resins. The modulus of elasticity shows the most dramatic improvement, and the increased rigidity makes the filled material less subject to deflection under occlusal stress. Filler is also added with the hope of improving wear resistance and making the material more visible on clinical inspection (Fig. 8.1).

Optimal adhesion of the sealant to enamel occurs when the sealant has a low surface tension, good wetting, and a low viscosity. These properties permit the sealant to flow and spread easily along the enamel surface. The surface wettability is demonstrated by the contact angle of a drop of liquid on the enamel surface. A drop that spreads readily produces a low contact angle. This highly wetted surface is conducive to a strong adhesive bond because it increases the amount of surface area in contact. Polymer tags form when the resin flows into the surface irregularities created by acid etching and are responsible for the mechanical bond that retains the sealant to enamel. Functional durability of the sealant bond is related to stresses induced by shrinkage of the resin during curing, thermal cycling, deflection from occlusal forces, water sorption, and abrasion, with total failure manifested by the clinical loss of material.

Sealant materials have a variety of features that must be selected carefully by the health care provider. Most current materials are light-cured rather than self-cured because of the ease of use and controlled rate of cure. Tooth-colored or clear resins are available that are very natural looking on the tooth surface, but they are also available in opaque or tinted materials to make the recall examination process easier (Fig. 8.2). Color-reversible, photosensitive sealants include photosensitive pigments that are normally colorless but change to green or pink when exposed to the dental curing light to help determine whether the sealant adequately covers the pit and fissures. The color change lasts for about 5 to 10 minutes after exposure but can be repeated at recall visits with another exposure to a dental curing light.

An increasing number of resin sealants are marketed with the claim that they release fluoride. The release is highest in the first 24 hours after placement and then tapers to a low maintenance level, which to
date has not been proven to provide any significant improvement in clinical protection against caries.

**Clinical Studies**

Many clinical studies using Bis-GMA-based resins have been documented. In earlier studies on effectiveness of treatment with sealant in newly erupting teeth, a light-cured sealant demonstrated a retention rate of 42% and an effectiveness of 35% in caries reduction after 5 years. In a similar study, a filled resin sealant showed a retention rate of 53% and a clinical effectiveness of 54% after 4 years. Results involving a quicker-setting unfilled resin sealant with very good penetration showed a retention rate of 80% and an effectiveness of 69% after 3 years. The longest published study on sealant effectiveness is a 15-year evaluation of a self-cured unfilled material, which showed 27.6% complete retention and 35.4% partial retention.

In pairwise comparisons, the treated first molars had 31.3 decayed and filled primary tooth surfaces (dfs) and the untreated controls had 82.8 dfs. In a more current 4-year study comparing a fluoride-releasing sealant with one that did not have fluoride, retention rates were 91% for the fluoride material (77% complete and 14% partial) and 95% for the nonfluoride sealant (89% complete and 6% partial). Although the retention was somewhat lower in the fluoride-containing sealant, the caries incidence for both groups was identical (10%). In a study conducted in private practice, the 2-year retention rates for two newer fluoride-containing resins were greater than 90%, and no caries was detected on the test teeth. In a continuing study with retreatment of all defective sealant surfaces at 6-month recalls, the teeth were maintained caries free for a 5-year period. The retreatment rate was highest (18%) at 6 months, and then diminished as time progressed, but at each recall period at least two teeth (about 4%) required reapplication.

Almost all studies show a direct correlation between sealant retention and caries protection. Therefore it is important to use materials that are retentive to enamel, resistant against occlusal wear, and easily applied with minimal opportunities for surface contamination. Current evidence indicates that sealants are most effective on occlusal surfaces where pits and fissures are well defined and retentive to food and in patients with elevated risk for pit and fissure caries.

**Application of Sealants**

The handling characteristics of a sealant depend on the composition of the material and the surface to which it is applied. Optimal preparation of the surface will lead to close adaptation of the sealant to the tooth enamel, a strong seal against the ingress of oral fluids and debris, and long-term material retention.

The penetration of any of the sealants to the bottom of the pits and fissures and filling them without voids is important. Air or debris can be trapped in the bottom of the fissure that prevents it from being completely filled, as shown in Fig. 8.3. Control of the viscosity of the sealant is important to obtain optimum results, and sealants that are too thick and viscous will not penetrate the pits and fissures, or the etched enamel itself as well. Penetration of sealant into etched enamel, forming tags to a depth of 25 to 50 μm, is shown in Fig. 8.4.

Etching the pit and fissure surface for a specified time (15 to 30 seconds is adequate for enamel with a normal mineral and fluoride content) with a
solution or a gel of 35% to 40% phosphoric acid is recommended. Afterward, the acid etchant should be flushed thoroughly with water and the entire area extensively dried with air. Inadequate rinsing permits phosphate salts to remain on the surface as a contaminant, interfering with bond formation. The enamel surface should not be rubbed during etching and drying because the roughness developed can easily be destroyed. Isolation of the site is imperative throughout the procedure to achieve optimum tag formation and clinical success. If salivary contamination occurs during the treatment, the surface should be rinsed and the etchant reapplied. On clinical inspection, acid-etched enamel should appear white (frosty) and dull with an obviously rough texture. If the appearance is not uniform, an additional 30 seconds of etching should be done. The etched area should extend beyond the anticipated area for sealant application to secure optimum bonding along the margin and reduce the potential for early leakage, but without extensive overcoverage. A light-cured bonding agent (see Chapter 13) placed on the freshly etched enamel before placing the sealant will improve retention.

Single step etching and priming systems appear to provide a weaker bond to uncut enamel than to cut enamel walls and bevels, likely due to their reduced acidity.

Depending on its viscosity and setting time, the sealant may best be applied with a thin brush, a ball applicator, or a syringe. A buildup of excess material should be avoided because it could interfere with the occlusion. A sufficient amount of material should be placed to completely cover all exposed pits and provide a smooth transition along the inclines of the enamel cusps. Excessive manipulation of even the light-cured sealants on the tooth surface during application can introduce air voids that appear later as surface defects.

The air-inhibited surface layer should be wiped away immediately after curing and the coating inspected carefully for voids or areas of incomplete coverage. Defects can be covered at this time by repeating the entire application procedure, including the acid etch, and applying fresh sealant only to those areas with insufficient coverage. After the sealant is applied and fully cured, the occlusion should be checked and adjusted if necessary, to eliminate premature occlusal contacts with the opposing tooth.

**Glass Ionomers as Sealants**

Because of their demonstrated ability to release fluoride and provide some caries protection on tooth surfaces at risk, glass ionomers have been suggested and tested for their ability to function as a fissure sealant. Glass ionomers are generally viscous, and it is difficult to gain penetration to the depth of a fissure. Their lack of penetration also makes it difficult to obtain mechanical retention to the enamel surface to the same degree as with resins. They are also more brittle and less resistant to occlusal wear. Clinical studies using various formulations of glass ionomers have shown significantly lower retention rates than resin sealants but greater fluoride deposition in the enamel surfaces. Thus there is a greater potential for latent caries protection after sealant loss. Thus although glass ionomer retention appears to be poorer than for resins, clinical results have been relatively favorable.

In areas where high-risk children do not have access to definitive treatment, a conservative caries management technique can seal remaining caries in a fluoride-rich environment and establish some degree of remineralization. Atraumatic restorative treatments (ARTs) involve opening a lesion, removing soft surface decay, and filling or sealing the surface with highly filled glass ionomer with a fast setting time. Two-year survival rates of single- and multiple-surface ART restorations in primary teeth have been reported as 93% and 62%, respectively. Five-year survival rate of single-surface ART restorations in permanent teeth was reported as 80%.

**Flowable Composites as Sealants**

Low-viscosity composites referred to as flowable composites are marketed for a wide variety of applications, such as preventive resin restorations, cavity liners, restoration repairs, and cervical restorations. The properties of flowable composites are described in Chapter 9.

Flowable composites are usually packaged in syringes or in capsules (Fig. 8.5) for direct application.
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PREVENTIVE AND INTERMEDIARY MATERIALS

FIG. 8.5 Selection of flowable composite resins in syringe delivery system (A) and syringe and capsule delivery systems (B). (A, Courtesy Pentron Clinical, Orange, CA. B, Courtesy 3M Company, St. Paul, MN.)

to the pit or fissure. As with lower-viscosity resin sealants, trapping of air in the sealant must be avoided. Because they have higher filler content than most resin sealants, flowable composites should have better wear resistance. Flowable composites appear to provide good retention and caries resistance after 24 months.

When flowable composites are used as preventive restorations, their low viscosity is a benefit in extending the restoration into adjacent fissures as a sealant. A 24-month study showed the retention and caries incidence of a flowable composite to be equivalent to that of a fluoride-containing fissure sealant.

GLASS IONOMERS TO PREVENT THE PROGRESSION OF CARIES

The final materials that need to be considered for caries control and prevention are glass ionomers and resin-modified glass ionomers (RMGIs). Because of their documented slow release of fluoride, glass ionomers are used in cervical restorations when the highest esthetics is not critical. They are specifically recommended for patients with high caries risk (Table 8.1). A detailed description of glass ionomer chemistry can be found in Chapter 9.

Composition and Reaction

Glass ionomers are supplied as various shaded powders and a liquid. The powder is an ion-leachable aluminosilicate glass, and the liquid is a water solution of polymers and copolymers of acrylic acid. The material sets as a result of the metallic salt bridges between the \( \text{Al}^{3+} \) and \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) ions leached from the glass and the negatively charged acid groups (COO\(^{-}\)) on the polymers. The reaction progresses slowly, with the formation of a cross-linked gel matrix mainly from the calcium in the initial set and an aluminum ion exchange strengthening the cross-linking in the final set. A similar ionic interaction called “chelation” takes place between the negatively charged polymer and the calcium on the exposed tooth surface, creating an adhesive bond. The surfaces of new restorations should be protected from saliva during the initial set with a protective coating of varnish or light-cured resin.

Properties

The properties of glass ionomers are compared qualitatively with other restorative materials in Table 8.2. Significant properties are (1) elastic modulus that is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid/microfilled/multipurpose composite</td>
<td>Classes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, low caries-risk patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classes 1, 3, 4, medium caries-risk patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compomer</td>
<td>Primary teeth, classes 1, 2 restorations in children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical lesions, classes 3, 5, medium caries-risk patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin-modified glass ionomer</td>
<td>Cervical lesions, classes 3, 5, primary teeth, sandwich technique, class 5, high caries-risk patients, root caries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass ionomer</td>
<td>Cervical lesions, class 5 restorations in adults where esthetics are less important, root caries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
similar to dentin, (2) bond strength to dentin of 2 to 3 MPa, (3) expansion coefficient comparable to tooth structure, (4) low solubility, and (5) fairly high opacity. Fluoride in the glass releases slowly to provide a potentially anticariogenic effect on adjacent dental plaque and tooth structure.

Although the bond strength of glass ionomers to dentin is lower than that of resin composites, clinical studies have shown that the retention of glass ionomers in areas of cervical erosion is considerably better than for composites. When the dentin is conditioned (etched) using a dilute solution (15% to 25%) of polyacrylic acid, the glass ionomer may be applied without a cavity preparation. Four-year clinical data showed a retention rate for glass ionomer cervical restorations of 75%. The surfaces of the restorations seen in the studies were noticeably rough, and some shade mismatches were present. Pulp reaction to glass ionomers is mild; if the thickness of dentin is less than 1 mm, a calcium hydroxide liner is recommended. Although the surface remains slightly rough, cervical restorations did not contribute to inflammation of gingival tissues. In fact, gingival response to glass ionomers is typically very good. Fewer Streptococcus mutans organisms exist in plaque adjacent to glass ionomer restorations than in controls without glass ionomers.

Glass ionomers are packaged in bottles and in vacuum capsules for mixing in a mechanical mixer. When supplied in bulk, the powder and liquid are dispensed in proper amounts on a paper pad and half the powder is initially incorporated to produce a homogeneous milky consistency. The remainder of the powder is then added for a total mixing time of 30 to 40 seconds. The typical initial setting time is about 4 minutes. After placing the restorative and developing the correct contour, the surface should be protected from contamination by applying a protective barrier. Trimming and finishing should be done after 24 hours due to the rather slow maturation of the material’s structure.

For preencapsulated systems, the liquid in the unit-dose capsule is forced into the powder by a handpress and is mixed by a mechanical mixer, essentially the same as that used to mix dental amalgam. The mixture is injected directly into the cavity preparation with a special syringe. Working time is short and critical, so it is imperative to place the material with a minimum of manipulation. If the gel stage of the reaction is disrupted during the early phase of the reaction, the physical properties will be very low and adhesion can be lost.

Optimum results are achieved if the manufacturer’s instructions are followed carefully: maintaining isolation, using adequate etching procedures, protecting the restoration from saliva after placement, and delaying final finishing for a day or longer if possible.

**RESIN-MODIFIED GLASS IONOMERS**

RMGIs, at one time known as hybrid ionomers, are used for restorations in low stress-bearing areas and are recommended for patients with high caries risk (see Table 8.2). These restorations are more esthetic than glass ionomers because of their resin content. Examples of RMGIs in cervical erosions, abfractions, and other restorations are shown in Fig. 8.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Resin-Modified Glass Ionomer</th>
<th>Glass Ionomer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low–Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural strength</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low–Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural modulus</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Med–High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wear resistance</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride release</td>
<td>Med–High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride rechargability</td>
<td>Med–High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esthetics</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Composition and Reaction

The powder of RMGI is similar to that used in the conventional glass ionomers. The liquid contains monomers, polyacids, and water. RMGIs set by a combined acid-base ionomer reaction and light-cured resin polymerization of monomers, typically 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate. RMGIs are typically light-cured like dental composites for 10 to 20 seconds, depending on the manufacturer’s instructions.

Properties

RMGIs bond to tooth structure without the use of a bonding agent, and because they are somewhat stronger than conventional glass ionomers, tend to have higher bond strength to tooth structure than conventional glass ionomers. Typically, the tooth is conditioned (etched) with polyacrylic acid or another primer before placing the material. The flexural strength of an RMGI is almost double that of a conventional glass ionomer. RMGIs release more fluoride than compomers and composites but almost the same as conventional glass ionomers. Fig. 8.7 illustrates the release of fluoride ions from a glass ionomer and RMGI over a 30-day period. There is an early period of high release, which tapers after about 10 days to 1 ppm. Both ionomer materials recharge when exposed to fluoride treatments or fluoride dentifrices. Fig. 8.8 illustrates this recharge capability with a similar time-dependent release curve. In evaluating the effectiveness of this release, fluoride has been measured in plaque samples immediately adjacent to glass ionomer-based restorations (Fig. 8.9). For these two materials from the same manufacturer, plaque adjacent to the
RMGI had significantly higher fluoride content than plaque adjacent to compomer restorations at 2 and 21 days after insertion of the restorations.

Manipulation
An example of an RMGI packaged in capsules is shown in Fig. 8.10. For RMGI packaged in bulk as powder-liquids, manipulation is like that of conventional glass ionomers. Mechanical mixing of the unit-dose capsules provides a uniform mix that has much fewer of the larger air voids than can be introduced during hand spatulation. Optimum powder-to-liquid ratio is critical to the long-term maintenance of physical properties and the clinical success of restorations. Unlike conventional glass ionomer restorations, RMGIs set immediately when light-cured and can be finished 5 to 10 minutes after initial set. Color can be maintained and surface texture improved by finishing the restorations in a wet environment (water spray or water-soluble lubricant) and then recoating with a protective varnish or light-cured resin. Glass ionomer materials are an increasingly important material in operative dentistry for both an aging population with high incidence of root caries, patients with xerostomia and reduced salivary flow, and children who have high caries risk factors.

RESIN-MODIFIED GLASS IONOMERS AS CAVITY LINERS
RMGIs can also be used to line the dentin walls of a deep cavity. When used as a cavity liner, these materials provide thermal insulation. A sandwich technique uses an RMGI as a liner to seal the dentin and provide the benefit of fluoride release, followed by a surface layer of resin composite to fill the remainder of the cavity. Some advocate the use of the glass ionomer as a liner under class I and II composite restorations to relieve stresses that result from shrinkage of the composite during cure. Glass ionomer lining materials bond to dentin with bond strengths varying from 2.0 to 4.9 MPa. These materials also adhere to composite restorative materials, by mechanical retention for conventional glass ionomer and mechanical and chemical bonding for RMGI. Glass ionomer lining materials release fluoride ions and are radiopaque. More discussion of glass ionomers as cements can be found in Chapter 13.

CALCIUM HYDROXIDE CAVITY LINERS
Calcium hydroxide cements are used for lining specific areas of deep cavities or for direct pulp capping. The antibacterial action of calcium hydroxide makes these cements useful in indirect pulp-capping procedures involving carious dentin.

Calcium hydroxide cavity liners are provided as pastes that set immediately when mixed. The base paste of a typical product contains calcium tungstate, tribasic calcium phosphate, and zinc oxide powders suspended in a glycol salicylate liquid. The catalyst paste contains calcium hydroxide, zinc oxide, and zinc stearate powders in ethylene toluene sulfonamide liquid. The ingredients responsible for setting are calcium hydroxide and a salicylate, which react to form an amorphous calcium disalicylate. Fillers such as calcium tungstate or barium sulfate provide radiopacity.

A light-cured calcium hydroxide liner consists of calcium hydroxide and barium sulfate dispersed in a urethane dimethacrylate resin, similar to what
is used in some dental composites. The important properties of calcium hydroxide cements are mechanical and thermal properties, solubility, and pH. Research has shown that these cements can stimulate the formation of protective secondary dentin bridges when applied to direct pulp exposures. Calcium hydroxide (self-cured) liners have low values of tensile strength, compressive strength, and elastic modulus, compared with high-strength bases. Although setting times vary between 2.5 and 5.5 minutes, strength of these cements continues to increase over a 24-hour period. For a group of five commercial products, compressive strengths ranged from 6.5 to 14.3 MPa at 10 minutes to from 9.8 to 26.8 MPa at 24 hours. The low elastic modulus of calcium hydroxide cavity liners restricts their usage to specific areas not critical to the support of restorations.

The solubility of calcium hydroxide bases has been measured in several solvents for various periods of immersion and has been found to be significant. Some solubility of the calcium hydroxide is necessary to achieve its therapeutic properties, although an optimum value is not known. Clearly the use of acid-etching procedures and varnish in the presence of calcium hydroxide liners must be done with care. The pH of commercial products has been measured at between 9.2 and 11.7. Free calcium hydroxide in excess of that necessary to form the calcium disalicylate stimulates secondary dentin in proximity to the pulp and shows antibacterial activity. Calcium hydroxide liners are mostly used in direct pulp capping and specifically in deep areas of a cavity preparation, but not for general lining of an entire pulpal flow. RMGI liners are a better choice for general lining of cavities because of their fluoride release, decreased solubility, and superior mechanical properties.

**MINERAL TRIOXIDE AGGREGATE**

Another material that is used in similar situations as calcium hydroxide, such as pulp capping and as an endodontic root end filling material, is mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA). This material is composed of tricalcium silicate, dicalcium silicate, tricalcium aluminate, and bismuth oxide, the latter being added to make the material radiopaque. MTA is basically similar to Portland cement. The main reaction product from the mixture of MTA and water is calcium hydroxide. MTA is slower setting than calcium hydroxide, requiring hours to days to be completely hardened. It is also much more expensive, but studies have shown that it produces a more ideal outcome as a pulp-capping agent than calcium hydroxide.

**FLUORIDE VARNISHES**

Fluoride-containing varnishes deliver fluoride topically to the surfaces of teeth in patients at risk for caries. The varnishes are usually used after a prophylaxis. Products contain either 5% sodium fluoride (2.26% F– or 22,600 ppm) or 1% difluorosilane (0.1% F– or 1000 ppm). The fluoride is dissolved in an organic solvent that evaporates when applied or sets when exposed to moisture, leaving a thin film of material covering all exposed tooth surfaces. The mechanism of action for a fluoride varnish is similar to that of a fluoride mouthwash. Calcium fluoride is deposited on the tooth surface and later converted through a remineralization reaction to fluorapatite.

One advantage of fluoride varnish is the extended time of exposure for the active fluoride ingredient against the tooth surface. Instead of seconds, as with a mouthwash, it may be hours before a varnish wears off. One RMGI-based varnish has been reported to release fluoride for up to 6 months. Clinical trials have documented the efficacy of varnish in treating young children at risk for caries, with reductions reported as high as 70%. Another potential use for this type of material is in the prevention of root caries in an older population having exposed root surfaces. Semiannual application of fluoride varnishes seems to provide optimum efficacy. More research is necessary to fully document the value of using these materials in patients with moderate to high caries risk.

**REMINERALIZATION**

Remineralization is a natural repair process for carious lesions. Elevated levels of fluoride in toothpaste have been shown to be effective in rehardening root caries lesions that are cavitated. A 5000-ppm-F toothpaste rehardened 76% of lesions compared with 35% in a 1100-ppm-F group. The concept of the caries balance proposed by Featherstone describes three pathological factors and three protective factors for dental caries. The pathological factors are (1) acid-producing bacteria, (2) frequent consumption of fermentable carbohydrates, and (3) below normal salivary flow and function. The three protective factors are (1) a normal salivary flow and components, (2) fluoride, and (3) antibacterials. Two salivary components required for remineralization are calcium and phosphate. Fluoride enhances remineralization.

Calcium phosphate formulations have been developed for addition to toothpaste, varnishes, and gum (Fig. 8.11). A calcium phosphate remineralization technology based on casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP-ACP) was effective in remineralizing enamel subsurface lesions by stabilizing high levels of calcium and phosphate.
ions. When added to sugar-free gum in a randomized controlled clinical trial, an 18% reduction in caries progression after 24 months was demonstrated. In paste form, the CPP-ACP complexes have been shown to be effective in reversing early caries lesions and stabilizing the progression of caries.

A bioactive glass (calcium sodium phosphosilicate) originally developed as a bone-regenerative material has been shown to deposit onto dentin surfaces and mechanically occlude dentinal tubules when delivered in a dentifrice. When combined with therapeutic levels of fluoride, this material increases the remineralization of caries lesions in situ.

There are many new calcium silicate–based materials that have been developed for tooth lining with the main objectives being the protection of the pulp and the potential remineralization of overlying dentin. Many of these materials are light-cured and therefore have reasonable mechanical properties and solubility resistance. They are the subject of many research studies, specifically looking at the effect the materials have on nearby odontoblast cells, which may be stimulated to form extracellular matrix as the initiation of the mineralization process, or to cause undifferentiated cells to differentiate into odontoblast-like cells. The ultimate success of such materials and strategies is not yet known.

One final material that also has been suggested to cause tooth remineralization, especially of frank caries lesions, as well as having a strong antibacterial effect is silver diamine fluoride. Silver diamine fluoride (SDF) is solution of about 30% silver diamine fluoride (of which approximately 25% is silver and 5% is fluoride) in water with a pH of approximately 10. The high pH makes it a strong neutralizer of acids, but perhaps more important is its strong antibacterial effect, predominantly due to the presence of silver, a known antimicrobial. The material was preceded by silver nitrate used in a similar way, that is, the application of the colorless liquid to frank caries. There is growing evidence that caries is arrested in the presence of the material, and this has great advantages for use in children and geriatric populations especially. The problem with the material is that it stains tooth structure, as well as other objects such as skin or clothing. Therefore while it may be beneficial for arresting lesions, it requires being covered by an esthetic material to block out its black-staining effect on the tooth. The material was originally approved as a dentin desensitizer, but it is acceptable now to use it for arresting caries.
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The concept of a composite biomaterial, introduced in Chapter 4, can be described as a solid that contains two or more distinct constituent materials or phases when considered at greater than an atomic scale. In these materials, mechanical properties such as elastic modulus are significantly altered in comparison with a homogenous material consisting of either of the phases alone. Enamel, dentin, bone, and reinforced polymers are considered composites, but alloys such as brass are not. The ability to change properties of the macroscale object based on control of the individual constituents is a significant advantage for the use of composite materials. In dentistry, the term “resin composite” generally refers to a reinforced polymer system used for restoring hard tissues, such as enamel and dentin. The proper materials science term is “polymer matrix composite” or for those composites with filler particles often used as direct-placed restorative composites, “particulate-reinforced polymer matrix composite.” In this chapter, the term “resin composite” will refer to the reinforced polymer matrix materials used as restorative materials. The class of materials called conventional glass ionomers (GIs) and resin-modified glass ionomers (RMGIs) also fall in the scientific class of composite materials but because these are water-based materials and have a distinct acid-base setting reaction, they have been traditionally categorized as a class of their own. It is important to remember, however, that most biological materials including enamel, dentin, bone, connective tissue, muscle, and even cells are classified as composites within the broad range of biological engineering materials.

Resin composites are used to replace missing tooth structure and modify tooth color and contour, thus enhancing esthetics. A number of commercial resin composites are available for various applications. Traditionally, some have been optimized for esthetics and others were designed for higher stress bearing areas. More recently, nanocomposites have become available, which are optimized for both excellent esthetics and high mechanical properties for stress bearing areas. GIs and RMGIs are used selectively as filling materials usually for small lesions, especially where one or more margins are in dentin and in areas of caries activity.

Resin-based composites were first developed in the early 1960s and provided materials with higher mechanical properties than acrylics and silicates, lower thermal coefficient of expansion, lower dimensional change on setting, and higher resistance to wear, thereby improving clinical performance. Early composites were chemically activated followed by photoactivated composites initiated with ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths. These were later replaced by composites activated in the visible wavelengths. Continued improvements in composite technology have resulted in the modern materials with excellent durability, wear resistance, and esthetics mimicking the natural teeth. In particular, the incorporation of nanotechnology in controlling the filler architecture has made dramatic improvements in these materials. Moreover, the development of bonding agents for bonding composites to tooth structure (see Chapter 13) has also improved the longevity and performance of composite restorations.

A classification of preparation type and recommended composite category is listed in Table 9.1. Characteristics of these composite categories are summarized in Table 9.2.

GIs were developed in the 1960s and are based on an acid-base cement-forming reaction between fluoroaluminosilicate (FAS) glass powder similar to those used in silicate cements and aqueous solution of polycarboxylic acids. These were less prone to dissolution than silicates but the early materials suffered from difficulty of manipulation, technique sensitivity, and poor esthetics. Advances in these materials have continued and the modern materials have improved properties. RMGIs were invented in the late 1980s to
preserve the advantages of fluoride release and clinical adhesion of the conventional GIs yet provide the ease of light curing and good esthetics of resin-based materials. The use of nanotechnology in RMGI has resulted in enhanced esthetics of these materials.

## MULTIPURPOSE RESIN COMPOSITES

### Composition

A resin composite is composed of four major components: organic polymer matrix, inorganic filler particles, coupling agent, and the initiator-accelerator system. The organic polymer matrix in most commercial resin composites today is a cross-linked matrix of dimethacrylate monomers. The most common monomers are aromatic dimethacrylates. The double bonds at each end of these molecules undergo addition polymerization by free-radical initiation. While these monomers can provide an optimum of optical, mechanical, and clinical properties, they are rather viscous and have to be blended with low-molecular-weight diluent monomers so that a clinically workable consistency may be obtained upon incorporation of the fillers. More recently, low-shrink composites have been introduced that contain, for example, monomers with epoxy (also known as oxirane) functional groups. The polymerization of these monomers is initiated by cations. Other commercial resin composites utilize various monomers and filler technology to reduce polymerization shrinkage or stress.

The dispersed inorganic filler particles may consist of one or more inorganic materials such as finely ground quartz or glass, sol-gel-derived ceramics, microfine silica, or more recently, nanoparticles. Two-dimensional diagrams of fine and microfine particles surrounded by polymer matrix are shown in Fig. 9.1.

### TABLE 9.1 Types of Restorations and Recommended Resin Composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Restoration</th>
<th>Recommended Resin Composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Multipurpose, nanocomposite, bulk filled, microfilled (posterior), compomer (posterior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 2</td>
<td>Multipurpose, nanocomposite, bulk filled, laboratory, microfilled (posterior), compomer (posterior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3</td>
<td>Multipurpose, nanocomposite, microfilled, compomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 4</td>
<td>Multipurpose, nanocomposite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 5</td>
<td>Multipurpose, nanocomposite, microfilled, resin-modified glass ionomer, compomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 6 (MOD)</td>
<td>Bulk filled, nanocomposite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical lesions</td>
<td>Flowable, resin-modified glass ionomer, compomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric restorations</td>
<td>Flowable, resin-modified glass ionomer, compomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-unit bridge or crown</td>
<td>Laboratory (with fiber reinforcement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloy substructure</td>
<td>Laboratory (bonded)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core build-up</td>
<td>Core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary restoration</td>
<td>Provisional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High caries-risk patients</td>
<td>Glass ionomers, resin-modified glass ionomer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aSpecial microfilled composites and compomers are available for posterior use. MOD, Mesial-occlusal-distal.

### TABLE 9.2 Characteristics of Various Types of Resin Composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Composite</th>
<th>Size of Filler Particles (mm)</th>
<th>Volume of Inorganic Filler (%)</th>
<th>Handling Characteristics and Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multipurpose</td>
<td>0.04, 0.2–3.0</td>
<td>60–70</td>
<td>High strength, high modulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanocomposite</td>
<td>0.002–0.075</td>
<td>72–79</td>
<td>High polish, high strength, high modulus, polish retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfilled</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>32–50</td>
<td>Best polish, best esthetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk filled</td>
<td>0.04, 0.2–20</td>
<td>59–80</td>
<td>Deep cure, reduced step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowable</td>
<td>0.04, 0.2–3.0</td>
<td>42–62</td>
<td>Syringeable, lower modulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>0.04, 0.2–3.0</td>
<td>60–70</td>
<td>Best anatomy and contacts, lower wear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disadvantages: Higher shrinkage, lower strength; Questionable marginal adaptation; Higher wear; Laboratory cost, special equipment, requires resin cement
The coupling agent, an organosilane (often referred to as “silane”), is applied to the inorganic particles by the manufacturer to surface treat the fillers before being mixed with the unreacted monomer mixture. Silanes are called coupling agents, because they form a bond between the inorganic and organic phases of the composite. One end of the molecule contains functional groups (such as methoxy), which hydrolyze and react with the inorganic filler, whereas the other end has a methacrylate double bond that copolymerizes with the monomers.

The role of the initiator-accelerator system is to polymerize and cross-link the system into a hardened mass. The polymerization reaction can be triggered by light activation, self-curing (chemical activation), and dual curing (chemical and light curing).

**Resin Matrix**

**METHACRYLATE MONOMERS**

The vast majority of monomers used for the resin matrix are dimethacrylate compounds. Two monomers that have been commonly used are 2,2-bis[4(2-hydroxy-3-methacryloxypropyloxy)-phenyl] propane [bisphenol A-glycidyl methacrylate (Bis-GMA)] and urethane dimethacrylate (UDMA). Both contain reactive carbon double bonds at each end that can undergo addition polymerization initiated by free-radical initiators. The use of aromatic groups affords a good match of refractive index with the radiopaque glasses and thus provides better overall optical properties of the composites. A few products use both Bis-GMA and UDMA monomers.

The viscosity of the monomers, especially Bis-GMA, is rather high and diluents must be added, so a clinical consistency can be reached when the resin mixture is compounded with the filler. Low-molecular-weight compounds with difunctional carbon double bonds, for example, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate (TEGDMA), or bisphenol A ethoxylate dimethacrylate (Bis-EMA6), are added by the manufacturer to reduce and control the viscosity of the compounded composite.
LOW-SHRINK METHACRYLATE MONOMERS
A variety of other methacrylate monomers have been used in the newer commercial products introduced since 2008 for controlling the volumetric shrinkage and polymerization stress of composites. The general approach relies on increasing the distance between the methacrylate groups resulting in lower cross-link density or increasing the stiffness of the monomers. Some examples include the use of dimer acids, incorporation of cycloaliphatic units, and photocleavable units to relieve stress after polymerization.

LOW-SHRINK SILORANE MONOMER
A new monomer system called “silorane” has been developed to reduce shrinkage and internal stress build-up resulting from polymerization. The name silorane was coined from its chemical building blocks siloxane and oxirane (also known as epoxy). The siloxane functionality provides hydrophobicity to the composite. The oxirane functionalities undergo ring-opening cross-linking via cationic polymerization. Special initiator systems are required for the polymerization of the siloranes (described in the section Initiators and Accelerators). Care has to be taken in choosing the filler system. If the filler surface has any residual basicity (as with some glasses and sol-gel-derived systems), the composite may become unstable. Furthermore, specific adhesive system has to be used for bonding these materials during clinical placement.
Fillers and Classification of Composites

Fillers make up a major portion by volume or weight of the composite. The function of the filler is to reinforce the resin matrix, provide the appropriate degree of translucency, and control the volume shrinkage of the composite during polymerization. Fillers have been traditionally obtained by grinding minerals such as quartz, glasses, or sol-gel-derived ceramics. Most glasses contain heavy-metal oxides such as barium or zinc so that they provide radiopacity for visualization when exposed to x-rays. It is advantageous to have a distribution of filler diameters so that smaller particles fit in the spaces between larger particles and provide more efficient packing. Recently, nanofillers have been introduced into composites. These are described in the section Nanofillers and Nanocomposites.

A helpful method of classifying dental composites is by the particle size, shape, and the particle-size distribution of the filler. This classification is presented in the following section.

MACROFILLS

The early composites were macrofills. These composites contained large spherical or irregular-shaped particles of average filler diameter of 20 to 30 μm. The resultant composites were rather opaque and had low resistance to wear.

HYBRID AND MICROHYBRID COMPOSITES

In hybrid composites two types of fillers are blended together: fine particles of average particle size 2 to 4 μm and 5% to 15% of microfine particles, usually silica, of particle size 0.04 to 0.2 μm. In microhybrid composites the fine particles of a lower average particle size (0.04 to 1 μm) are blended with microfine silica. The fine particles may be obtained by grinding glass (e.g., borosilicate glass, lithium or barium aluminum silicate glass, strontium, or zinc glass), quartz, or ceramic materials and have irregular shapes. The distribution of filler particles provides efficient packing so that high filler loading is possible while maintaining good handling of the composite for clinical placement. Microhybrid composites may contain 60% to 70% filler by volume, which, depending on the density of the filler, translates into 77% to 84% by weight in the composite. Most manufacturers report filler concentration in weight percent (wt%). A micrograph of a typical, fine glass filler is shown in Fig. 9.2A. Hybrids and microhybrids have good clinical wear resistance and mechanical properties and are suitable for stress-bearing applications. However, they lose their surface polish with time and become rough and dull.

NANOCOMPOSITES

Recently, the incorporation of nanotechnology in designing and manufacturing composites has greatly improved their properties. Nanocomposites describe this class of composites. The nanofiller technology is described in the next section.

Nanofillers and Nanocomposites

The latest advancement in composite technology has been the utilization of nanotechnology in...
development of fillers. Nanotechnology is the production of functional materials and structures in the range of 1 to 100 nm—the nanoscale—by various physical and chemical methods. Nanotechnology requires devices and systems to create structures that have novel properties and functions because of their small sizes. Thus it implies the ability to control and manipulate structures at the atomic and/or molecular scale. Although true nanocomposites should have all filler particles in the nanometer size, the term nanotechnology has some hype associated with it and it is sometimes misused in describing a material. To date, oxide nanoparticles have been the most prevalent types of nanomaterials used in dental composites. At present, there are two distinct types of resin composites available that contain nanoparticles:

1. Nanofills: These contain nanometer-sized particles (1 to 100 nm) throughout the resin matrix. Larger primary particles are not present.
2. Nanohybrids: These consist of large particles (0.4 to 5 \(\mu m\)) with added nanometer-sized particles. Thus they are hybrid materials, not true nanofilled composites.

**NANOFILL COMPOSITES**

All filler particles of true nanofilled composites are in the nanometer range. There are several purposes for incorporating nanofillers in dental composites. First, the size of nanomeric particles is below that of visible light (400 to 800 nm), which provides the opportunity of creating highly translucent materials. In addition, the surface area-to-volume ratio of the nanoparticles is quite large. The sizes of the smallest nanoparticles approach those of polymer molecules so they can form a molecular-scale interaction with the host resin matrix.

Two types of nanoparticles have been synthesized and utilized for preparing this class of composite. The first type consists of nanomeric particles that are essentially monodispersed nonaggregates and nonagglomerated particles of silica or zirconia. The surface of the nanoparticles is treated with silane coupling agents that allow them to be bonded to the resin matrix when the composite is cured after placement. Nanomers are synthesized from sols, creating particles of the same size. Because of this, if nanomeric particles alone are used to make highly filled composites, the rheological properties are rather poor. To overcome this disadvantage, one manufacturer has designed a second type of nanofiller, which is called nanocluster. The nanoclusters are made by lightly sintering nanomeric oxides to form clusters of a controlled particle size distribution. Nanoclusters have been synthesized from silica sols alone as well as from mixed oxides of silica and zirconia. The primary particle size of the nanomers used to prepare the clusters ranges from 5 to 75 nm.

It is important to remember that in a nanocluster, the nanoparticles still maintain their individual form, much as in a cluster of grapes. The clusters can be made to have a wide size distribution ranging from 100 nm to submicron level and have an average size of 0.6 \(\mu m\). Fig. 9.3A shows a scanning electron micrograph (SEM) image of a nanocluster of silica in a commercial composite 3M ESPE Filtek Supreme. (A, Courtesy Dr. Jorge Perdigao, University of Minnesota. B, From Rodrigues Jr SA, Scherrer SS, Ferracane JL, Della Bona A. Microstructural characterization and fracture behavior of a microhybrid and a nanofill composite. Dent Mater. 2008;24(9):1281–1288.)
particle architecture of nanomers, nanoclusters, and conventional microhybrid fillers are readily apparent from transmission electron micrographs (TEMs) of composites prepared from these fillers. Fig. 9.4A shows the TEM image of a nanocomposite filled with 75-nm diameter nanoparticles only; Fig. 9.4B is that of a nanocomposite filled with a mixture of nanoclusters alone; and Fig. 9.4C is of a conventional microhybrid composite. To date, there is only one true nanofilled dental composite available. In this manufactured composite, a combination of nanomeric particles and nanoclusters is used in optimum combinations. Fig. 9.5A shows a schematic diagram of this nanocomposite containing a blend of nanocluster and nanomeric fillers, whereas Fig. 9.5B shows a TEM of the nanocomposite showing the presence of the two types of nanofillers.

The uniqueness of the nanofilled composite is that it has the mechanical strength of a microhybrid but at the same time retains smoothness during service like a microfill. The initial gloss of many restoratives is quite good but in hybrid composites (microhybrids, nanohybrids) plucking of the larger fillers causes loss of gloss. By contrast, in the nanofilled composite, the nanoclusters shear at a rate similar to the surrounding matrix during abrasion. This allows the restoration to maintain a smoother surface for long-term polish retention. Optical analysis of the polish retention can be done using atomic force microscopy after extended toothbrush abrasion.

Nanofillers also offer advantages in optical properties. In general, it is desirable to provide low visual opacity in unpigmented dental composites. This allows for the creation of a wide range of shades and tones. Fig. 9.5A shows a schematic diagram of a nanofilled composite containing nanoclusters and nanomers. (B) Transmission electron micrograph image of a nanocomposite with nanocluster and nanomeric fillers in Filtek Supreme Plus. (Courtesy 3M Company, St. Paul, MN.)
CRAIG'S RESTORATIVE DENTAL MATERIALS

opacities so the clinician can design a highly esthetic restoration. In hybrid types of composites, the filler particles are 0.4 to 3.0 μm in size. When particles and resin are mismatched in the refractive index, which measures the ability of the material to transmit light, the particles will scatter light and produce opaque materials. Nanomeric fillers particles are far smaller than the wavelength of light, making them unmeasurable by refractive index. When light enters, long wavelength light passes directly through and materials show high translucency. As shown by Fig. 9.6, the discs made with hybrid and microfill fillers are rather opaque. The nanofill composite sample made predominantly with the nanomeric filler is quite clear, as the background can be easily seen through the composite. In addition, when placed on a black background, the nanomeric and nanocluster particles preferentially scatter blue light, giving the composite an opalescent effect. This gives a more lifelike appearance because natural enamel also exhibits the same effect.

The ability to create a nanocomposite with very low opacity provides the ability to formulate a vast range of shade and opacity options from the very translucent shades needed for the incisal edge and for the final layer in multilayered restorations to the more opaque shades desired in the enamel, body, and dentin shades. This allows the clinician the flexibility of choosing a single shade or a multishade layering technique depending on the esthetic needs. The wear resistance of this material after 3 and 5 years of clinical use was found to be similar to human enamel.

NANOHYBRID COMPOSITE

Several manufacturers have placed nano-sized particles in their microhbrids. These composites have been described as nanohybrids. Because the smoothness and wear of any composite is often determined by the size of its largest filler particles as with microhybrids, the surface of nanohybrids becomes gradually dull after a few years of clinical service.

![Structure of 3-Methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane](image)

Interfacial Phase and Coupling Agents

For a composite to have successful clinical performance, a good bond must form between the inorganic filler particles and the organic resin matrix during setting. This is achieved through the use of compounds called coupling agents, the most common of which are organic silicon compounds called silane coupling agents. The surface of the filler is treated with a coupling agent during the manufacture of the composite. A typical silane coupling agent is 3-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane, the chemical structure of which is shown below.

![Structure of 3-Glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane](image)

In the low-shrink silorane composite, an epoxy functionalized coupling agent, 3-glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane, is used to bond the filler to the oxirane matrix.

During the filler treatment process, the methoxy groups hydrolyze to generate hydroxyl groups through an acid-or base-catalyzed reaction. These hydroxyl groups then undergo condensation with the hydroxyl groups on the surface of the filler and become attached by covalent bonds (see the following schematic sketch). Condensation is also possible with the adjacent –OH groups of the hydrolyzed silanes or with water absorbed on the surface of the filler. This results in the formation of a very thin monolayer or multilayer polymeric film on the surface of the filler with unreacted double bonds. During the curing of the composite, the double bonds of the methacryloxy groups of the treated surface co react with the monomer resins. The coupling agent plays a critical role in the composite. Its functions are as follows:

- It forms an interfacial bridge that strongly binds the filler to the resin matrix.
- It enhances the mechanical properties of the composite and minimizes the plucking of the fillers from the matrix during clinical wear.

![Translucency of a hybrid composite, microfill composite, and a nanocomposite](image)

The resulting interfacial phase provides a medium for stress distribution between adjacent particles and the polymer matrix. It provides a hydrophobic environment that minimizes water absorption of the composite.

**Initiators and Accelerators**

The curing of composites is triggered by light or a chemical reaction, with the former being more common. Light activation is accomplished with blue light at a peak wavelength of about 465 nm, which is absorbed usually by a photosensitizer, such as camphorquinone, added to the monomer mixture during the manufacturing process in amounts varying from 0.1% to 1.0%.

In methacrylate composites, free radicals are generated upon activation. The reaction is accelerated by the presence of an organic amine. Various amines have been used, both aromatic and aliphatic. Examples of two such amines are shown below. The amine and the camphorquinone are stable in the presence of the oligomer at room temperature, as long as the composite is not exposed to light. Although camphorquinone is the most common photosensitizer, others are sometimes used to accommodate special esthetic considerations. Camphorquinone adds a slight yellow tint to the uncured composite paste. Although the color bleaches during cure, sometimes clinicians find shade matching difficult with the color shift.

Chemical activation is accomplished at room temperature by an organic amine (catalyst paste) reacting with an organic peroxide (universal paste) to produce free radicals, which in turn attack the carbon double bonds, causing polymerization. Once the two pastes are mixed, the polymerization reaction proceeds rapidly.

Some composites, such as core and provisional products, are dual-cured. These formulations contain initiators and accelerators that allow light activation followed by self-curing or self-curing alone.

In the silorane composite, the initiator system generates cations when irradiated with light. One of the components is the camphorquinone photosensitizer enabling the composite to be cured by a dental curing unit. Other components of the initiation system are iodonium salts and electron donors, which generate the reactive cationic species that start the ring-opening polymerization process.

**Pigments and Other Components**

Inorganic oxides are usually added in small amounts to provide shades that match the majority of tooth shades. The most common pigments are oxides of iron. Numerous shades are supplied, ranging from very light shades to yellow to gray. Various color scales are used to characterize the shades of the composites. A UV absorber may be added to minimize color changes caused by oxidation. Darker and more opaque shades of composites cannot be cured to the same depth as the lighter translucent shades.

Fluorescent agents are sometimes added to enhance the optical vitality of the composite and mimic the appearance of natural teeth. These are dyes or pigments that absorb light in the UV and violet region (usually 340 to 370 nm) of the electromagnetic spectrum, and reemit light in the blue region (typically 420 to 470 nm). These additives are often used to enhance the appearance of color causing a perceived “whitening” effect, making materials look less yellow by increasing the overall amount of blue light reflected.
Polymerization Reactions

**Polymerization of Methacrylate Composites**

Methacrylate composites form the workhorse of direct restorative materials. The polymer network of these composites is formed by a process called free-radical addition polymerization of the corresponding methacrylate monomers. The polymerization reaction takes place in three stages: initiation, propagation, and termination, and is shown in the following scheme:

### Initiation Stage

Initiator → light, heat or chemical reaction → Free radical \( R' \)

**Example:**

Benzoyl peroxide

\[
\text{Initiator} \rightarrow \text{Benzoyl peroxide} \rightarrow \text{Free radical (} R' \text{)}
\]

Camphorquinone

\[
\text{Camphorquinone} \rightarrow \text{Free radical (} R' \text{)}
\]

Amine

\[
\text{Amine} \rightarrow \text{Free radical (} R' \text{)}
\]

### Propagation Stage

Free radical \( R' \) + Monomer → Growing chain

\[
\text{Free radical (} R' \text{)} + \text{Monomer} \rightarrow \text{Growing chain}
\]
The polymerization reaction of self-cured composites is chemically initiated at room temperature with a peroxide initiator and an amine accelerator. Polymerization of light-cured composites is triggered by visible blue light. The photoinitiators used are described in the section Initiators and Accelerators. Dual-cured products use a combination of chemical and light activation to carry out the polymerization reaction. At this stage an active free radical species, designated as R' in the foregoing scheme, is first formed as the initiating species. This free radical adds to a monomer species generating an active center monomer radical.

The initiation stage is followed by the propagation stage during which rapid addition of other monomers molecules to the active center occurs to provide the growing polymer chain. The propagation reaction continues to build molecular weight and cross-link density until the growing free radical is terminated. The termination stage may take place in several ways as indicated, where “n” represents the number of mer units.

The polymerized resin is highly cross-linked because of the presence of difunctional carbon double bonds. The degree of polymerization varies, depending on whether it is in the bulk or in the air-inhibited layer of the restoration. Polymerization of light-cured composites varies by the distance of the light from the restoration and the duration of light exposure. The percentage of double bonds that react may vary from 35% to 80%. The degree of polymerization is higher for laboratory composites that are postcured at elevated temperatures and light intensities.

During polymerization, molecules have to approach their “neighbors” to form chemical bonds with them. Reduction of volume, or shrinkage, is generally observed during polymerization because two factors are reduced: the van der Waals volume and the free volume. The van der Waals volume is the volume of molecule itself derived from the atoms and bond lengths. Reduction in the van der Waals volume takes place during polymerization because of a change in the bond lengths (conversion of double bonds to...
single bonds). The free volume of a molecular species, whether a monomer or a polymer, is the volume occupied by it due to its random rotational and thermal movement. When monomers are converted to polymers, reduction of the free volume occurs because the rotation of the polymer chain is more restricted than in unpolymerized monomer molecules. A schematic illustration of the polymerization of methacrylate resin resulting in shrinkage is shown in Fig. 9.7.

Manufacturers have taken several steps to minimize the polymerization contraction in methacrylate composites by adopting one or more of the following methods:

- filling the monomer resins with prepolymerized resins
- maximizing the amount of inorganic filler
- using high molecular mass methacrylate monomers

In addition, incremental placement of methacrylate composites in the tooth cavity, necessitated by their limited depth of cure, controls shrinkage stress so that clinical success of the modern-day methacrylate composite is quite excellent.

**Polymerization of Silorane Composites**
Polymerization of the silorane molecule takes place through a cationic initiation process during which the oxirane segments undergo ring opening to form covalent single bonds with their neighbors. The chemistry of the polymerization is shown below:

The ring-opening chemistry of the siloranes starts with the cleavage and opening of the oxirane ring. This process gains space and counteracts some of the reduction in volume when chemical bonds are established to form the polymer. A schematic diagram to depict the polymerization is shown in Fig. 9.8.

The silorane composites generate lower volume shrinkage and stress upon polymerization. It is still
important to place these composites in increments due to limited depth of cure. In addition, special adhesives are needed.

Packaging of Composites
Composites as supplied from the manufacturer are in their precured state and hence have to be packaged with adequate protection against inadvertent setting. The primary package is made from a plastic material that allows the diffusion of oxygen (which works as an inhibitor for methacrylate polymerization) and also prevents moisture absorption in humid environments.

Light-Cured Composites
Because the setting of light-cured composite is triggered by visible light, these materials have to be protected from premature curing when supplied from the manufacturer and stored in the dental office. Hence they are packaged in opaque, most often black, plastic syringes or unit-dose capsules, sometimes referred to as compules. In the latter case, a delivery gun for direct intraoral placement is provided. An advantage of the capsule delivery is the lower risk of cross-infection. Composite packaging and delivery systems are shown in Fig. 9.9. The composites are supplied in a variety of shades and opacities. Some manufacturers color code their capsule caps or syringe plungers for ease of identification of the shades and/or opacities.

Self-Cured and Dual-Cured Composites
These materials are supplied in two syringes. Two pastes are mixed to initiate the chemical cure. An example of a two-paste core build-up composite is shown in Fig. 9.10. It is advisable to store these materials in a cool temperature to prolong their shelf-life.

Physical Properties

Working and Setting Times
For light-cured composites, curing is considered to be “on demand.” Polymerization is initiated when the composite is first exposed to the curing light. Stiffening takes place within seconds after light exposure by a high-intensity curing light source.
Although the composite restoration appears hard and fully cured after exposure to the curing light source, the setting reaction continues for a period of 24 hours. All of the available unsaturated carbon double bonds of methacrylate-based composites do not react; studies report that about 25% remain unreacted in the bulk of the restoration. A thin layer of air-inhibited, unpolymerized material remains on the surface of the polymerized layer, which is advantageous for subsequent incremental placement during layering. It is useful to protect the surface of the contoured restoration with a transparent matrix, to minimize the amount of unpolymerized resin in the final restoration. Although for some composites the final physical properties may not be reached until about 24 hours after the reaction is initiated, enough of the mechanical strength is attained immediately after curing, so the restoration can be finished and polished with abrasives and is functional.

For most composites that are initiated by visible light, bright operatory lights can initiate cure prematurely if the composite is left unprotected on a mixing pad. Within 60 to 90 seconds after exposure to ambient light, the surface of the composite may lose its capability to flow readily against the tooth, and further work with the material becomes difficult. The dispensed paste can be covered with an opaque or orange cover to present premature exposure to light.

The setting times for chemically activated composites range from 3 to 5 minutes. These short setting times have been accomplished by controlling the concentration of initiator and accelerator.

**Polymerization Shrinkage and Stress**

As explained in the section Polymerization Reactions, all composites undergo volumetric shrinkage upon setting. Typical shrinkage values are listed in Table 9.3. Volumetric shrinkage results in the development of contraction stresses as high as 13 MPa between the composite and the tooth structure. These stresses severely strain the interfacial bond between the composite and the tooth, leading to a very small gap that can allow marginal leakage of saliva and microorganisms. Recurrent caries and marginal staining may result. This stress can exceed the tensile strength of enamel and result in stress cracking and enamel fractures along the interfaces. Because the development of shrinkage stress depends on the volumetric shrinkage strain and the stiffness of the composite at the time of shrinkage, low-shrinkage composites might exhibit high stress if the composite has a high elastic modulus. Adding the composite in 2-mm increments and polymerizing each increment independently can reduce the net effect of polymerization shrinkage. Net shrinkage stress is less because a smaller volume of composite is allowed to shrink before successive additions. A recent paper has reviewed the clinical and laboratory properties of several low-shrink/low-stress composites. Most of these products are universal composites but two products are described as flowable composites. The shrinkage values are dependent on the method used. Volumetric polymerization shrinkage for low-shrink universal composites using pycnometer varies from 0.9% to 1.8%, whereas that of low-shrink flowables is 2.4% to 2.5%. When the ACTA linometer was used, the values were 1.0% to 1.4% and 2.6% to 2.9%, respectively. The polymerization stress was measured from 1.2 to 1.6 MPa. In comparison, traditional universal composites have been reported to have polymerization stress of 0.8 to 2.4 MPa, whereas flowables were reported to have stress values ranging from 1.3% to 3.2%.

**Thermal Properties**

The linear coefficient of thermal expansion (α) of composites ranges from 25 to $38 \times 10^{-6}/°C$ for composites with fine particles to 55 to $68 \times 10^{-6}/°C$ for composites with microfine particles. The α values for composites are less than the mean of the constituents added together; however, the values are higher than those for dentin ($8.3 \times 10^{-6}/°C$) and enamel ($11.4 \times 10^{-6}/°C$). The higher values for the microfilled composites are related mostly to the greater amount of polymer present. Certain glasses may be more
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Nanocomposite&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Multipurpose Composite</th>
<th>Microfill Composite</th>
<th>Packable Composite</th>
<th>Flowable Composite</th>
<th>Laboratory Composite</th>
<th>Core Composite</th>
<th>Conventional Glass Ionomer</th>
<th>Resin-Modified Glass Ionomer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexural strength (MPa)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>80–160</td>
<td>60–120</td>
<td>85–110</td>
<td>70–120</td>
<td>90–150&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7–15</td>
<td>50–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural modulus (GPa)</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>8.8–13</td>
<td>4.0–6.9</td>
<td>9.0–12</td>
<td>2.6–5.6</td>
<td>4.7–15&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural fatigue limit (MPa)</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>60–110</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive modulus (GPa)</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>5.5–8.3</td>
<td>2.6–4.8</td>
<td>5.8–9.0</td>
<td>2.6–5.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7.5–22</td>
<td>7.2–10.3</td>
<td>3.2–6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear polymerization shrinkage (%)</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>0.7–1.4</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>0.6–0.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color stability, accelerated aging: 450 kJ/m² (ΔE*)</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.1–2.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color stability, stained by juice/tea (ΔE*)</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.7–3.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<sup>b</sup>Without fiber reinforcement.

<sup>c</sup>ΔE < 3.3 is considered not clinically perceptible.
TABLE 9.4 Requirements for Polymer-Based Filling and Restorative Materials Based on ISO 4049

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Class 1</th>
<th>Class 2</th>
<th>Class 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working time (min, seconds)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting time (max, min)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPTH OF CURE (MIN, MM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque shades</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other shades</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water sorption (max, μg/mm³)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility (max, μg/mm³)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FLEXURAL STRENGTH (MPA)

| Type 1                          | 80      | 80      | 80      |
|                                 | 100     |         |         |
| Type 2                          | 50      | 50      | 50      |

*Group 1: cured intraorally.
*Group 2: cured extraorally.

Effective in reducing the effect of thermal change than are others, and some resins have more than one type of filler to compensate for differential rates.

Thermal stresses place an additional strain on the bond to tooth structure, which adds to the detrimental effect of the polymerization shrinkage. Thermal changes are also cyclic in nature, and although the entire restoration may never reach thermal equilibrium during the application of either hot or cold stimuli, the cyclic effect can lead to material fatigue and early bond failure. If a gap forms, the difference between the thermal coefficient of expansion of composites and teeth could permit percolation of oral fluids.

The thermal conductivity of composites with fine particles [25 to 30 \( \times 10^{-4} \) cal/s/cm² (°C/cm)] is greater than that of composites with microfine particles [12 to 15 \( \times 10^{-4} \) cal/s/cm² (°C/cm)] because of the higher conductivity of the inorganic fillers compared with the polymer matrix. However, for highly transient temperatures, the composites do not change temperature as fast as tooth structure and this difference does not present a clinical problem.

**Water Sorption**

The water sorption of composites with hybrid particles (5 to 17 μg/mm³) is lower than that of composites with microfine particles (26 to 30 μg/mm³) because of the lower volume fraction of polymer in the composites with fine particles. The quality and stability of the silane coupling agent are important in minimizing the deterioration of the bond between the filler and polymer and the amount of water sorption. Expansion associated with the uptake of water from oral fluids could relieve some polymerization stress, but water sorption is a slow process when compared to polymerization shrinkage and stress development. In the measurement of hygroscopic expansion starting 15 minutes after the initial polymerization, most resins required 7 days to reach equilibrium and about 4 days to show the majority of expansion. Because composites with fine particles have lower values of water sorption than composites with microfine particles, they exhibit less expansion when exposed to water.

**Solubility**

The water solubility of composites varies from 0.25 to 2.5 mg/mm³. Inadequate light intensity and duration can result in insufficient polymerization, particularly at greater depths from the surface. Inadequately polymerized composites have greater water sorption and solubility, possibly manifested clinically with early color instability.

During the storage of microhybrid composites in water, the leaching of inorganic ions can be detected; such ions are associated with a breakdown in interfacial bonding. Silicon leaches in the greatest quantity (15 to 17 μg/mL) during the first 30 days of storage in water and decreases with time of exposure. Microfilled composites leach silicon more slowly and show a 100% increase in amount during the second 30-day period (14.2 μg/mL). Boron, barium, and strontium, which are present in glass fillers, are leached to various degrees (6 to 19 μg/mL) from the various resin-filler systems. Breakdown and leakage can be a contributing factor to the reduced resistance to wear and abrasion of composites.

**Color and Color Stability**

The color and blending of shades for the clinical match of esthetic restorations are important. The characteristics of color are discussed in Chapter 4, and these principles can be applied specifically to composites for determining appropriate shades for clinical use. Universal shades vary in color among currently marketed products. Modern-day composites are often supplied by the manufacturer in multiple opacities. This allows for better esthetic outcome using multiple shades of different opacities to construct the restoration.

Change of color and loss of shade match with surrounding tooth structure are reasons for replacing restorations. Stress cracks within the polymer matrix and partial debonding of the filler to the
resin as a result of hydrolysis tend to increase opacity and alter appearance. Discoloration can also occur by oxidation and result from water exchange within the polymer matrix and its interaction with unreacted polymer sites and unused initiator or accelerator.

Color stability of current composites has been studied by artificial aging in a weathering chamber (exposure to UV light and elevated temperatures of 70 °C) and by immersion in various stains (coffee, cranberry/grape juice, red wine, and sesame oil). As shown in Table 9.3, composites are resistant to color changes caused by oxidation but are susceptible to staining.

Mechanical Properties

Although composites take advantage of selected properties of each constituent material, the physical and mechanical properties of the composites are different from those of the separate phases.

Factors that affect the properties of composites include (1) the state of matter of the second (dispersed) phase; (2) the geometry of the second phase; (3) the orientation of the second phase; (4) the composition of the dispersed and continuous phases; (5) the ratio of the phases; and (6) bonding of the phases. Examples of properties that can be changed (improved if the composites are judiciously developed) are (1) modulus, (2) strength, (3) fracture toughness, (4) wear resistance, (5) thermal expansion, and (6) chemical and corrosion resistance.

Strength and Modulus

Values of compressive, tensile (tested by the diametral method), and flexural strengths and modulus for dental composites are listed in Table 9.3. Compressive strength is of importance because of the chewing forces. The flexural and compressive moduli of microfilled and flowable composites are about 50% lower than values for multipurpose hybrids and packable composites, which reflects the lower volume percent filler present in the microfilled and flowable composites (see Table 9.2). For comparison, the modulus of elasticity in compression is about 62 GPa for amalgam, 19 GPa for dentin, and 83 GPa for enamel.

Knoop Hardness

Knoop hardness for composites (22 to 80 kg/mm²) is lower than enamel (343 kg/mm²) or dental amalgam (110 kg/mm²). The Knoop hardness of composites with fine particles is somewhat greater than values for composites with microfine particles because of the hardness and volume fraction of the filler particles. These values indicate a moderate resistance to indentation under functional stresses for more highly filled composites, but this difference does not appear to be a major factor in resisting functional wear.

A microhardness measurement such as Knoop can be misleading on composites with large filler particles (>10 μm in diameter), in which the small indentation could be made solely on the organic or the inorganic phase. However, with most current products, filler particle sizes are much smaller (<1 μm), and the microhardness values appear more reliable.

Bond Strength to Dental Substrates

Bonding of composites to tooth structure and other dental substrates is discussed in detail in Chapter 13. A brief overview of bonding dental composites to various substrates is presented here.

ENAMEL AND DENTIN

The bond strength of composites to etched enamel and primed dentin is typically between 20 and 30 MPa. Bonding is principally a result of micromechanical retention of the bonding agent into the etched surfaces of enamel and primed dentin. In dentin, a hybrid layer of bonding resin and collagen is often formed, and the bonding adhesive penetrates the dentinal tubules (Fig. 9.11).

OTHER SUBSTRATES

Composite can be bonded to existing composite restorations, ceramics, and alloys when the substrate is roughened and appropriately primed (see Chapter 13). In general, the surface to be bonded is sandblasted (microetched) with 50-μm alumina and then treated with a resin-silane primer for composite, a silane primer for silica-based ceramics, an acidic phosphate monomer for zirconia, or a special alloy primer. Bond strengths to treated surfaces are typically greater than 20 MPa.
Clinical Properties

Clinical requirements for composites accepted for unrestricted use, including cuspal replacement in posterior teeth, as defined by American Dental Association (Proposed) Guidelines for Resin-based Composites for Posterior Restorations, are listed in Table 9.5.

Depth of Cure (Light-Cured Composites)

Light intensity decreases as the light source is moved away from the surface of an object. Furthermore, as the light travels through a scattering medium like a composite with filler particles, the light intensity is reduced. The depth of light penetration into a composite restoration depends on the wavelength of light, its irradiance, and the scattering that takes place within the restoration. A number of factors influence the degree of polymerization at given depths from the surface after light curing. The concentration of photoinitiator or light absorber in the composite must be such that it will react at the proper wavelength and be present in sufficient concentration. Both filler content and particle size are critical to dispersion of the light beam. For this reason, microfilled composites with smaller and more numerous particles scatter more light than microhybrid composites with larger and fewer glass particles. Longer exposure times are needed to obtain adequate polymerization of microfilled composites.

Another important consideration is the particular shade and opacity of the composite used. Many shades are intentionally opacified and have more pigments to mask discolored tooth after removal of carious tissue. These materials have higher concentration of opacifying agents and pigments that scatter more light and hence have lower depth of cure. In such cases longer exposure times and smaller increments are essential for clinical success.

Light intensity at the surface is a critical factor in completeness of cure at the surface and within the material. The tip of the light source should be held within 1 mm of the surface to provide optimum exposure. More opaque shades reduce light transmission and cure only to minimal depths (1 mm). A standard exposure time using most dental curing lights is 20 seconds. In general, this is sufficient to cure a light shade of resin to a depth of 2 or 2.5 mm, assuming that the light guide is immediately adjacent to the restoration surface. The anatomy of the tooth often precludes the positioning of the light guide close to the restoration surface. A 40-second exposure improves the degree of cure at all depths, and is required to obtain sufficient cure with the darker shades. Because the light beam is partially collimated and does not spread sufficiently beyond the diameter of the tip at the emitting surface, it is necessary to “step” the light across the surface of large restorations, so the entire surface receives a complete exposure. Larger tips have been manufactured for placement on most light-curing units. However, as the light beam is distributed over a larger surface area, the intensity at a given point is reduced. A longer exposure time of up to 60 seconds should be used when larger emitting tips are used.

Radiopacity

It is rather difficult to locate enamel-composite margins radiographically because of the relatively low radiopacity of composites. Modern composites include glasses having atoms with high atomic numbers, such as barium, strontium, and zirconium. Some fillers, such as quartz, lithium-aluminum glasses, and silica, are not radiopaque and must be blended with other fillers to produce a radiopaque composite. Even at the highest volume fraction of filler, the amount of radiopacity is noticeably less than that exhibited by a metallic restorative like amalgam. Some microhybrid composites achieve some radiopacity by incorporating finely divided heavy-metal glass particles. Others use ceramic particles containing heavy metal oxides. In the nanofilled composite, radiopacity is achieved by using nanomeric zirconia (5 to 7 nm) or by incorporating the zirconia in the nanoclusters along with silica.
Wear Rates

Under clinical conditions, a composite restoration comes in contact with other surfaces such as the opposing tooth, food particles, and oral fluids, which can result in surface wear and degradation. The extent of wear is a complex phenomenon and depends on several intrinsic and extrinsic factors that are elaborated in Chapters 4 and 5. Many in vitro wear studies have been reported but because there are many different methodologies used, standardization and direct comparison of these results with actual clinical performance are not available. It is advisable to look at controlled clinical studies when choosing a composite, particularly for posterior restorations.

Clinical studies have shown that composites are ideal for anterior restorations in which esthetics is essential and occlusal forces are low. Wear rates are a larger concern in the posterior segments where occlusal forces and lateral excursive contacts are higher than in the anterior segment. Although earlier generations of composites exhibited attrition and abrasion wear, newer formulations minimize the problem. Marginal degradation is still evident and is attributed to improper preparation design, inadequate adhesion, polymerization contraction of the composite, and marginal microcracks. Marginal degradation and stain are sometimes interpreted as recurrent caries, although this is not always the case. Currently accepted composites for posterior applications require clinical studies that demonstrate, over an 18-month period, a loss of surface contour less than 50 μm. Several clinical studies have been published showing that the newest generation of filled composite (nanocomposite) has excellent wear resistance. The nanofilled composite has been shown to exhibit wear resistance similar to that of natural human enamel in a 3-year and 5-year clinical study.

Biocompatibility

Details about the biocompatibility of composites are discussed in Chapter 6, but some of the central issues are discussed here. Nearly all of the major components of composites (Bis-GMA, TEGDMA, and UDMA, among others) are cytotoxic in vitro if tested as the bulk monomer, but the biological liability of a cured composite depends on the extent of release of these components from the composite. Although composites may release some low levels of components for weeks after curing, there is considerable controversy about the biological effects of these components. The amount of release depends on the type of composite and the method and efficiency of the cure of the composite. A dentin barrier markedly reduces the ability of components to reach pulpal tissues, but these components can traverse dentin barriers, albeit at reduced concentrations.

The effects of low-dose, long-term exposures of cells to resin components are not generally known. By contrast, the use of composite materials as direct pulp-capping agents poses a higher risk for adverse biological responses, because no dentin barrier exists to limit exposure of the pulp to the released components.

The effects of released components from composites on oral or other tissues are not known with certainty, although no studies have documented any adverse biological effects. The ISO standard for testing of toxicity of dental materials requires the testing of composites after immersion in various aqueous and organic elution media followed by the testing of the eluants for adverse biological response. The tissue at highest risk from this type of release would appear to be the mucosa in close, long-term contact with composites. Components of composites are known allergens, and there has been some documentation of contact allergy to composites. Most of these reactions occur with dentists or dental personnel who regularly handle uncured composite and, therefore, have the greatest exposure. There are no good studies documenting the frequency of allergy to composites in the general population.

Finally, there has been some controversy about the ability of components of composites to act as xenoestrogens. Studies have proved that bisphenol A is estrogenic in vitro tests that measure this effect using breast cancer cell growth. Trace levels of these components have been identified in some commercial uncured composites; however, estrogenicity from cured commercial composites has not been demonstrated. Furthermore, there is considerable controversy about the accuracy and utility of in vitro tests using breast cancer cells to measure a true estrogenic effect. An early study in this area, which claimed that dental sealants and composites were estrogenic in children, has since been discredited.


COMPOSITES FOR SPECIAL APPLICATIONS

Microfilled Composites

These composites are recommended for low stress bearing class 3 and class 5 restorations, in which a high polish and esthetics are most important. One product has been used successfully in posterior restorations. They are composed of light-activated, dimethacrylate resins with 0.04-μm colloidal silica fillers and prepolymerized resins, which are sometimes filled with colloidal silica. The total
inorganic filler loading is 32% to 50% by volume (see Table 9.2).

Typical properties of microfilled composites are listed in Table 9.3. Because they are less highly filled, microfilled composites exhibit more water sorption and thermal expansion than microhybrid composites or nanocomposites. Depending on the amount of prepolymerized resin, the shrinkage can be more than microhybrids or nanocomposites.

Bulk Fill Composites

Bulk fill composites (Fig. 9.12) have been developed to enable the restoration to be built up in thick layers of up to 4 mm and are recommended by manufacturers to simplify clinical technique and save time compared to traditional incremental placement. Although the idea of bulk fill is not new, and several materials have been available over the years, recently there has been a surge of interest in these materials. A host of new products including both flowable and high-viscosity pastes have become available from various manufacturers. The newer materials have increased translucency thus allowing for greater light transmission and consequently increased depth of cure. One product incorporates a new germanium initiator to enable greater cure depths. Because of the translucency limitation, these materials are available only in a limited range of shades. These composites are recommended for use primarily in classes 1 and 2 cavity preparations although their use is not contraindicated for other classes as long as there is adequate shade match with the surrounding tooth (see Table 9.1).

A number of approaches have been taken to control polymerization shrinkage stress as well as provide good marginal adaptation to the axial walls and floor of the cavity due to bulk filling. In one approach, a rheology modifier that responds to sonic energy has been incorporated into the composite paste. This allows for a decrease in viscosity of the initially thick paste to provide good adaptation to the cavity surfaces. In other approaches materials have been formulated to include special components to modulate the polymerization reaction and thus relieve shrinkage stress. These include the incorporation of special stress-relieving monomer, the addition of addition-fragmentation monomer, and the addition of special fillers with low elastic modulus to absorb stresses during polymerization.

The flowable bulk fill composites appear to have better curing efficiency than the high-viscosity ones. Typical physical properties are listed in Table 9.3. In general, mechanical and physical properties cured in larger depths appear to be within normal range of incrementally placed traditional composites. In addition, important properties include greater depth of cure compared to traditional composites. In several cases the measured volumetric shrinkage may be similar to traditional composites but the shrinkage stress is lower. There is a paucity of clinical performance data on the recent bulk fill composites and most reported evaluations are from in vitro studies. The quality of marginal adaptation seems to be comparable to incrementally placed composites although a few studies have shown equivocal results for the bulk fill materials. Once the results of long-term clinical studies become available, the ultimate clinical effectiveness of these newer bulk fill composite materials will become apparent.

Syringeable Composites

These light-activated, low-viscosity composites are recommended for cervical lesions, restorations in deciduous teeth, and other small, low- or nonstress-bearing restorations (see Table 9.1). In much of the older dental literature these are also referred to as flowable composites. Typically, they are delivered through a needle tip attached to the head of the syringe. In some instances, they are also used as pit and fissure sealants. These composites contain dimethacrylate resin and inorganic fillers with a particle size of 0.4 to 3.0 μm and filler loading of 42% to 53% by volume (see Table 9.2). These low-viscosity composite materials typically show shear thinning (pseudoplasticity). When expressed through a syringe tip there is a reversible structural breakdown due to disruption of the hydrogen bonds between the polymer and filler. After the material has been placed
the hydrogen bonds reform very quickly so that the material does not slump.

The newest generation of syringeable composites contains nanofiller particles at a volume loading somewhat lower than universal or multipurpose composites. Recently, self-adhesive syringeable composites (commonly referred to as self-adhesive flowables) have become available. Dual-cured syringeable composites in conjunction with bonding agents have been used in the treatment of internal resorption. This technique seals the dentinal tubules and strengthens the remaining tooth structure.

Typical properties of these composites are listed in Table 9.3. Flowable composites have a low modulus of elasticity, which may make them useful in cervical afraction areas. Because of their lower filler content, they exhibit higher polymerization shrinkage and lower wear resistance than universal composites. The viscosity of these composites allows them to be dispensed by a syringe with a needle tip for easy handling. Gentle heating of higher-viscosity composites can improve their flow and enable them to be placed as flowable composites.

### Laboratory Composites

Laboratory composites are used to fabricate dental prosthetic devices extraorally and then affixed to the tooth preparation with the aid of adhesives. Although the newest generations of direct composites have excellent properties, their use can still be a challenge for large cavities with high C-factors due to concerns of polymerization stress leading to microleakage, postoperative sensitivity, poor interproximal margins, and recurrent caries. In such cases a prefabricated and indirectly placed laboratory composite is clinically preferred. The basic composition of the laboratory composites is similar to the new generation of direct composites prior to the polymerization step. Crowns, inlays, and veneers bonded to metal copings can be prepared with composites processed in the laboratory (see Table 9.1), using various combinations of light, heat, pressure, and vacuum to increase the degree of polymerization, density, mechanical properties, and wear resistance.

Typical properties of laboratory composites are listed in Table 9.3. Although their ultimate mechanical properties are not as high as ceramic restorations, due to their lower modulus and stress absorption capacity these polymeric composites are often the materials of choice for certain clinical situations where a considerable amount of masticatory force is transmitted to the restoration (e.g., coronal restoration on a dental implant). For increased strength and rigidity, laboratory composites can be combined with fiber reinforcement. Restorations are usually bonded with resin cements.

### Core Build-Up Composites

If sufficient tooth structure remains to retain and support a full-coverage restoration, but extensive regions of dentin have been lost to disease, the core of the tooth can be restored before final preparation and impression. Composites are commonly used in this application. Core composites are available as self-cured, light-cured, and dual-cured products. Core composites are usually tinted (blue, white, or opaque) to provide a contrasting color with the tooth structure. Some products release fluoride. An example of a composite core build-up is shown in Fig. 9.13. Typical properties of core composites are listed in Table 9.3.

Composite cores have the following advantages as compared with amalgam: they can be bonded to dentin, can be finished immediately, are easy to contour, and can have a more natural color under ceramic restorations. Composite cores are bonded to remaining enamel and dentin using bonding agents. A bonding agent recommended by the manufacturer of the core material should be used because some self-cured composite core materials are incompatible with some light-cured bonding agents. Retention of the final restoration should not rely on the composite structure alone because adhesion of the composite core to remaining dentin alone is insufficient to resist rotation and dislodgement of the crown.

### Provisional Composites

Provisional restorations maintain the position of the prepared tooth, seal and insulate the preparation and protect the margins, establish proper vertical dimension, aid in diagnosis and treatment planning, and help to evaluate candidates for
esthetic replacements. Provisional inlays, crowns, and fixed partial dentures are usually fabricated from acrylic resins or composites. Provisional restorations fabricated from composites are generally harder, stiffer, and more color stable than those made from acrylics.

**GLASS IONOMERS**

GIs are water-based, self-adhesive restorative materials in which the filler is a reactive glass called FAS glass and the matrix is polymer or copolymer of carboxylic acids. The setting reaction of these materials involves an acid-base reaction. They are used as filling materials in clinical situations where isolation is a problem and fluoride release is desirable for the patient. There are two main types of GIs:

- Conventional GI
- RMGI

**Components and Setting Reaction of Conventional Glass Ionomer**

GIs, invented in the 1970s, combine the technologies and chemistry of silicate and zinc polycarboxylate materials to incorporate the desirable characteristics of both. Thus they contain finely ground FAS glass filler that is ion leachable but avoids the susceptibility to dissolution (a disadvantage in silicates) by substituting phosphoric acid with the polymeric carboxylic acids of zinc polycarboxylate materials. The materials are supplied as two-part powder-liquid systems that require mixing. The original systems have undergone several modifications but all conventional GIs have the following essential components:

- Polycarboxylic acid
- FAS glass
- Water
- Tartaric acid

The polymeric matrix of most GIs is a copolymer of acrylic acid and itaconic acid or maleic acid. In most cases this is formulated as a concentrated aqueous liquid. Tartaric acid is added to control the working and setting characteristics of the material. The powder consists of an acid-reactive comminuted FAS glass and has ions such as calcium, strontium, and lanthanum. When heavy-metal ions are used the set material is radiopaque to x-rays. When the powder and liquid are mixed, an acid-base setting reaction begins between the FAS glass and the polycarboxylic acid. An initial set is achieved within 3 to 4 minutes of mixing but the ionic reaction continues for at least 24 hours or more so that maturation is achieved much later. Maturation time has been improved in newer formulations to allow finishing after 15 minutes of placement of the mix. The actual process of ion extraction and complex formation is quite elaborate; however, the essential steps are described in the scheme shown.

All carboxylic acids have a common organic functional group denoted by COOH. In presence of water the COOH group undergoes partial ionization to yield a carboxylate anion $\text{COO}^-$ and a hydrated proton, $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ (see below, reaction 1). The hydrated proton attacks the surface of the glass particles releasing calcium and aluminum ions. The carboxylate ions from the polymer react with these metallic ions to form a salt bridge, resulting in gelation and setting. During the initial setting, calcium ions are more rapidly bound to the polyacrylate chains; binding to the aluminum ions occurs at a later stage. The strength of the cement builds with time. Silicic acid is initially formed when the glass breaks down, but rapidly polymerizes to form silica hydrogel (see below, reaction 4). A very important by-product of the setting reaction is the release of fluoride ions from the glass matrix. This fluoride release process is sustained and occurs over a long period. It is important to understand that this fluoride ion release is a result of the setting reaction and the ion-exchange process in the cement. In this process the fluoride from the glass is being replaced by carboxylates and water. Hence if properly formulated, there is little chance of the cement losing its strength with time. Considerable research has shown no loss of strength of the cement during years of storage in water.
Water plays several important roles in the overall setting. First, it provides for the ion transport needed for the acid-base setting reaction and fluoride release. Second, a portion of the water is also chemically bound in the set complex and provides stability to the restorative material. Water also provides plasticity during the manipulative stages.

The set cement is constituted by a hydrogel of calcium, aluminum, and fluoroaluminum polyacrylates involving the unreacted glass particles sheathed by a weakly bonded siliceous hydrogel layer. About 20% to 30% of the glass is dissolved in the reaction. Smaller glass particles may be entirely dissolved and replaced by siliceous hydrogel particles containing fluorite crystallites. The stability of the matrix is given by an association of chain entanglement, weak ionic cross-linking, and hydrogen bonding.

Significant advances have been made in formulation of conventional GIs in recent years to improve their manipulation and mechanical properties. Fast hardening has been achieved by altering the particle size and particle size distribution of the glass powder. One manufacturer coats the powder particles with a polymeric material for easy mixing.

**Cermets**

The early conventional GIs were not very strong mechanically, so the glasses were fused with metals such as gold, silver, titanium, and silver to improve their strength. These materials are called cermets. The commercial systems are made from silver fused to the glass. Although the wear resistance is better than the conventional materials, the flexural strength and abrasion resistance are not significantly better while the fluoride release is diminished. Because of the presence of metallic phase, the cermet cements are gray in color.
Components and Setting Reactions of Resin-Modified Glass Ionomers

To create a longer working time yet quick setting time so that immediate finishing can take place, the concept of RMGI was introduced in the late 1980s. The essential components are similar to those in conventional GIs in which an aqueous polycarboxylic acid undergoes an acid-base setting reaction with FAS glass. To this methacrylate components are added in limited amounts so a photoinitiated and/or redox curing reaction of the double bonds can also occur. Although commercial materials vary widely in composition, the essential components of true RMGIs are:

- Polycarboxylic acid polymer: one manufacturer uses a polycarboxylic acid in which some pendant methacrylate groups are provided
- FAS glass
- Water
- Hydrophilic methacrylate monomer
- Free radical initiators

The RMGIs contain some methacrylate components common in resin composites. There are two ways in which methacrylate components can be introduced. In the first type the polycarboxylic acid polymer chain is modified to contain a pendant methacrylate group. A common way of doing this is to react some of the carboxylic acid groups of the polycarboxylic acid with isocyanatoethyl methacrylate to provide pendant methacrylate groups connected through the hydrolytically stable amide linkages. The first commercial GI was introduced using this type of chemistry.

In addition to the methacrylate-modified carboxylic acid, the liquid portion contains a water-miscible methacrylate monomer; for example, hydroxy ethyl methacrylate or glycerol dimethacrylate. In another type of RMGI system, the polymer is unmodified polycarboxylic acid. In this case the liquid is formulated with a mixture of hydrophilic methacrylate monomers and water. Generally, the water content of these materials is lower and the monomer content higher than for the first type. As a result, the coefficient of thermal expansion of these GIs is high. Free radical initiators are added to trigger the curing of the methacrylate groups. Visible light initiators and/or self-cure redox initiators are employed to effect this curing and covalent cross-linking reaction.

The FAS glass of the RMGI systems is similar in composition to the glasses described for conventional GIs, although some variations are made in order to match the refractive index of the glass with that of the matrix. It is also common to treat the surface of the glass with an organic modifier.

Two distinct types of curing reactions take place in a true light-cure GI: the traditional acid-base GI cure and the free-radical methacrylate polymerization. In the laboratory the former can be followed by infrared spectroscopy through the appearance of carboxylate ion peaks. This is shown in the following reaction scheme. The methacrylate reaction, being a chain polymerization, proceeds at a rate that is several orders of magnitude higher than the acid-base reaction. In practice, the extent to which each of these two reactions occurs is very dependent on a particular system. If the system is low in water and high in the methacrylate components, the ionization of
the polycarboxylic acid will be severely suppressed resulting in little acid-base reaction. The extent of acid-base reaction is easily detected by chemical techniques such as Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and electron spectroscopy for chemical analyses (ESCA).
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Setting Reaction of Resin-Modified Glass Ionomer (Light-Cure Type)

**Tri-Cure Glass Ionomer System**

These are RMGIs with an additional curing mode. If only photoinitiators are used for cross-linking of the methacrylate groups, the RMGI has to be cured in layers because penetration of visible light can occur only to a limited depth. This is not a disadvantage in applications where thin layers of materials are to be placed; for example, for lining
or basing. However, for restorative and core build-up application, the need for incremental filling is a drawback. This problem has been overcome in the so-called tri-cure GI system. Here, in addition to the photoinitiators, self-cure redox imitators are added so that the methacrylate polymerization can proceed in the absence of light. The three curing reactions are as follows:

1. Acid-base GI reaction
2. Light-activated polymerization
3. Chemically activated polymerization

Reactions 2 and 3 are chemically similar but differ in the mode of initiation. Reactions 1 and 3 take place spontaneously when the powder and liquid are mixed. Reaction 2 occurs only when initiated by light. The introduction of tri-cure technology has allowed RMGIs to be used as bulk-cured materials, thus saving time for the practitioner. In one commercial system, the redox initiators are microencapsulated separately in polymers and added to the powder. The spatulation and mixing of the powder and liquid trigger the release of the catalysts from the microcapsules resulting in the autocuring.

Although several commercial products claim to have the tri-cure chemistry, it is important to become familiar with the instructions for use and realize that there are very important differences between them. In a true tri-cure, the redox cure is quite rapid to allow the material to be placed in bulk, if desired.

**Nanoionomer**

The latest advancement in RMGIs is the nanoionomer available commercially since 2007. This material is a RMGI in which some nanoparticles such as nanomers and nanoclusters (see the section Nanofillers and Nanocomposites) are added to the FAS glass. Like all RMGIs, it has an aqueous component with a polycarboxylic acid and water-miscible methacrylate monomers. The addition of nanoparticles improves the polishability and the optical characteristics of the cured ionomer. The FAS of
this material has very high surface area so that the fluoride release is not compromised. Infrared and ESCAs have confirmed the presence of significant acid-base reaction.

Packaging of Glass Ionomers

GIs are two-part systems. Until recently all GIs consisted of a powder component and a liquid component. The powder is commonly provided in a jar and is dispensed with a measuring spoon while the liquid is provided in a vial with a dropper tip for use of dispensing. After dispensing the recommended ratio of powder and liquid according to the manufacturers’ directions, the components are hand spatulated. In some cases it is recommended that the mixed material be transferred to a syringe and injected into the tooth preparation.

To aid in the dispensing and mixing the GIs are also supplied in single-unit encapsulated version. The powder and liquid are kept separated in the capsule for shelf-stability. Prior to clinical use the capsule is activated and then triturated in an amalgamator to mix the two components. An applicator is provided which pushes the mixed material through a narrow tip so that it can be directly placed in the oral preparation.

Recently, the RMGIs have been formulated in paste-liquid or two-paste systems. During manufacture the FAS powder component is mixed with a small amount of resin to provide a pastelike consistency. The liquid may be left as such or alternatively formulated with a nonreactive glass and also provided as a paste. The manufacturer provides the two components in a dual-barrel syringe type of construction (Fig. 9.14). During use the two components are extruded by a lever in a predetermined ratio in the amount needed for the clinical preparation. The variability in dispensing is expected to be less in these types of dispensers. Furthermore, mixing by spatulation is more facile than with powder-liquid materials.

The latest advancement in dispensing of two-paste systems is an automixable, single-unit direct delivery device (Fig. 9.15). In this device, the two pastes are placed in two side-by-side compartments. The nozzle of the capsule contains a ministatic mixer. In use, the nozzle is lifted and positioned parallel to the barrels. An applicator then pushes out the two pastes into the nozzle where mechanical mixing occurs and the paste is extruded through the tip directly into the tooth preparation. The system is said to produce less microbubbles in the restoration, a condition that could potentially arise during trituration.

Manipulation of GIs can be found on the book’s website at http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

Clinical Applications of Glass Ionomers

Clinically, both conventional and RMGIs are used for a variety of restorative applications, particularly in situations of high caries activity or where caries is likely to recur. The main clinical indications are for small lesions (long-term nonstress bearing restorations in permanent teeth, interim restoration in permanent teeth, and in the atraumatic restoration technique) especially where one or more margins are on dentin. They make an excellent liner or base in all deep lesions where demineralized dentin remains on the cavity floor to be remineralized. They are also advocated for a technique known as “sandwiching”, “layering,” or “stratification,” where a resin composite is bonded over a base of the GI. Because of their low modulus, they are often advocated for class V restorations and abfraction lesions where tooth flexure is more pronounced. RMGIs are often the material of choice for pediatric restorations and preventive applications (direct filling as well as core build-up), because they are one-step procedures and require minimal isolation during placement. Some products are indicated for erupting permanent first and second molars with partially exposed grooves that are not yet able to be sealed with conventional resin sealant.

Properties of Glass Ionomers

Like many two-part systems the properties of GIs, both conventional type and resin-modified, are quite dependent on the ratio of polycarboxylic acid and FAS glass components dispensed. Particular care has to be exercised for powder-liquid hand-mixed systems to ensure accurate proportions. The ISO standard 9917 for water-based cements provides some requirements of GIs as restorative materials, whereas the ISO standard 9917-2 covers the properties of RMGIs. Comparative properties are shown in Table 9.3.

Physical, Mechanical, and Thermal Properties

The physical and mechanical properties of GIs are lower than those of composite resins and hence these materials are indicated for conservative restorations. The physical properties of the RMGIs, including wear resistance and dimensional stability, are improved over the conventional counterparts (Table 9.3). The additional covalent cross-linking in the matrix due to the polymerization of the methacrylate groups contributes toward this improvement. The modulus of the RMGIs is low during the initial set by light activation but increases over time as the acid-base reaction completes. This unique characteristic makes the RMGI particularly attractive when used as liner or as the base in sandwich restorations under resin composites because it can relieve the stress associated with the polymerization shrinkage of the latter.
The thermal diffusivity and coefficient of thermal expansion of several conventional and RMGIs have been shown to be closer to tooth structure (dentin) than resin composites. Such materials should, therefore, serve as good insulation against thermal shock particularly when used as liners and bases. However, because products from different manufacturers vary widely in their thermal expansion coefficient values, it is advisable to check the values of individual materials. In general, the products that have the smallest proportion of resin component exhibit the lowest coefficient of thermal expansion values.

**Fluoride Ion Release and Uptake**

A particularly beneficial characteristic of GIs, conventional or resin modified, is that these materials act as a reservoir of fluoride ions. The fluoride is released by an ion-exchange mechanism from these materials. Research has shown that the released fluoride ions are taken up by the associated enamel and dentin, rendering those tooth structures less susceptible to acid challenge by a combination of decreased solubility and disruption of the activity of cariogenic bacteria. The release of fluoride ion is sustained over prolonged periods (Fig. 9.16). These materials also have been shown to act as fluoride reservoirs in the oral environment by taking up salivary fluoride from dentifrices, mouthwashes, and topical fluoride solutions. Fluoride has been measured in plaque samples immediately adjacent to RMGI restorations (see Fig. 8.9). Fluoride ion dynamics is particularly advantageous for those with high susceptibility to dental caries.
caries. A vast amount of in vitro and in situ research and a limited number of clinical studies have been carried out to assess the clinical benefit of the fluoride. Most of these studies have shown the utility of these materials when medium to high caries activity is present.

**Adhesion**

GI materials have good clinical adhesion to tooth structure. Unlike the resin-based composite materials, etching of the enamel or dentin surface by phosphoric acid is not needed. Hence these materials are sometimes referred to as being “self-adhesive.” Preconditioning of the tooth surface is recommended for some products, especially those with high powder-to-liquid ratio to ensure good wetting. One of the following procedures is used for the pretreatment:

- The cavity surface is conditioned using 10% to 20% polyacrylic acid for 10 seconds, washed well to remove the conditioner and surface debris, and dried.
- For some RMGI restoratives (identified by manufacturers as primer or self-conditioner), a dilute polycarboxylic acid-based solution is applied on the cavity surface and set through light. This ensures good contact of the viscous mix of the GI with the tooth while not impeding ion-exchange reactions.

The mechanism of adhesion to the tooth structure is mostly chemical in nature and proceeds through an exchange of ions arising from both the tooth and restoration. Calcium-polyacrylate bonds have been shown by some products by in vitro ESCA studies. A small amount of micromechanical bonding has been exhibited by some RMGIs.

Laboratory measurements of bond strengths of conventional and RMGIs to tooth structure have generally yielded lower values than with the combination of resin adhesives and composites. The failure is usually cohesive in the GI, hence it is doubtful if these laboratory measurements reflect the actual interfacial adhesion. However, retrospective clinical analyses of in vivo studies have shown RMGIs to provide excellent retention and sealing of the tooth. One of the reasons for this is due to the relief of external stress provided by the dual-curing reactions of this class of materials.

RMGIs have been recognized as one of the best treatments for minimizing postoperative sensitivity in restored teeth. There are two reasons for this. First, because prior etching is not needed during placement, the collagen fibrils are not demineralized and collapse of the denuded layers cannot occur. Second, the dual-setting mechanism and gradual build-up of modulus allow the material to absorb considerable amount of shrinkage stresses thus minimizing the effect of contraction forces at the tooth-restoration interfaces.

**Compomers**

Compomers or poly acid–modified composites are used for restorations in low stress–bearing areas, although a recent product is recommended by the manufacturer for class 1 and class 2 restorations in adults (see Table 9.1). Compomers are recommended for patients at medium risk of developing caries.

**Composition and Setting Reaction**

Compomers contain poly acid–modified monomers with fluoride-releasing silicate glasses and are formulated without water. Some compomers have
modified monomers that provide additional fluoride release. The volume percent filler ranges from 42% to 67% and the average filler particle size ranges from 0.8 to 5.0 μm. Compomers are packaged as single-paste formulations in compules and syringes.

Setting occurs primarily by light-cured polymerization, but an acid-base reaction also occurs as the compomer absorbs water after placement and upon contact with saliva. Water uptake is also important for fluoride transfer.

Properties

Typical properties of compomers are listed in Table 9.3. Compomers release fluoride by a mechanism similar to that of glass and hybrid ionomers. Because of the lower amount of GI present in compomers, the amount of fluoride release and its duration are lower than those of glass and hybrid ionomers. In addition, compomers do not recharge from fluoride treatment or brushing with fluoride dentifrices as much as glass and hybrid ionomers.

Manipulation

Compomers are packaged in unit-dose compules. They require a bonding agent to bond to tooth structure. The material is to be cured by light in increments of 2 to 2.5 mm.

LIGHT-CURING UNITS

The most common light sources used in dentistry to photoactivate composites are quartz-tungsten-halogen (QTH) and blue light-emitting diode (LED). Definitions of terms that describe light sources used to activate dental resins are listed in Table 9.6.

Quartz-Tungsten-Halogen Light-Curing Units

QTH light-curing units can be used to activate polymerization of composites. The peak wavelength varies among units from about 450 to 490 nm. Typically, the irradiance ranges from 400 to 800 mW/cm², but higher-intensity QTH units are available. Some units can be controlled to provide two or three different intensities (step cure) or at a continuously increasing (ramp cure) intensity. A typical 2-mm-thick resin composite restoration requires a radiant exposure of 8 J/cm² (400 mW/cm² × 20 seconds = 8000 mW s/cm²) for proper polymerization.

A QTH light source consists of a broad-spectrum light bulb (typically 75 W), several filters, a reflector, a fan, a power supply, and a light guide. The broadband output of the QTH bulb is clipped by a blue bandpass filter that only allows a narrow band of wavelengths centered around 470 to 480 nm (blue wavelengths) to be transferred to the light guide. A UV filter blocks passage of UV wavelengths. A dichroic reflector focuses the light on the end of the light guide. The reflector also enables infrared wavelengths to dissipate as heat through the back of the housing. Because substantial heat is generated by the 75 W bulb, a fan is necessary to cool the bulb and assembly. Bulb life ranges from 50 to 75 hours.

Because of the high intensity of the light, the operator should not look directly at the tip or the reflected light from the teeth. A number of devices are marketed to filter the visible-light beam so the operator can directly observe the curing procedure and to protect the patient and staff. Some lamps produce considerable heat at the curing tip, which may produce pulpal irritation. Maintenance of QTH lights must be provided on a regular basis, as summarized in Table 9.7.

Blue Light-Emitting Diodes

Solid-state LEDs use junctions of doped semiconductors (p-n junctions) based on gallium nitride to emit blue light. The spectral output of blue LEDs falls between 450 and 490 nm, so these units are effective for curing materials with camphorquinone photoinitiators. LED units (Fig. 9.17) do not require a filter, have a long life span, and do not produce as much heat as QTH devices. Heat becomes a concern even with LED sources, when large arrays are used. Because the output spectrum of blue LEDs matches the absorption spectrum of camphorquinone more closely than QTH sources, it is thought that blue LED sources are more efficient. For QTH sources, most of the light energy is discarded because QTH is a broadband source and only the wavelengths absorbed by camphorquinone are desired. For LED sources, the emission is not filtered. This, however, does not make LED sources more efficient in activating the camphorquinone photoinitiator than QTH. Creation of photons is dependent only on the energy applied in the absorbable wavelengths.

Unlike the halogen lights, the heat generated from LED curing lights is much less, which means it does not require a fan to cool it; however, the heat at the tip of the light can be high. Thus these are lighter in weight and smaller than the halogen units. Because LEDs inherently have low consumption of power, they can use rechargeable batteries, making them portable. The newer generation of LED lights has dramatically decreased size and is lighter, more ergonomic, and easier to use. They are also high powered and emit light intensity of 1000 to 1400 mW/cm². This feature allows more efficient and deeper curing depths.

Composites cured with LED units have flexural properties similar to those cured with QTH units. Depth of cure with LED units appears to be higher.
Acrylic polymers have a wide variety of applications in restorative dentistry as denture bases, artificial teeth, denture repair materials, impression trays, provisional restorations, and maxillofacial appliances for skeletal defects.

The vast majority of dentures made today are fabricated from heat-cured poly(methyl methacrylate) and rubber reinforced poly(methyl methacrylate). Fractures of dentures still occur, but are usually associated with carelessness or unreasonable use by the patient. Considering functional stresses, the oral environment, and expected service life, denture base materials perform remarkably well.

**Physical Form and Composition**

Denture base plastics are commonly supplied in a powder-liquid or a gel form. The powder-liquid type may contain the materials listed in Box 9.1.

**Powder**

Most commercial materials contain poly(methyl methacrylate), modified with small amounts of ethyl, butyl, or other alkyl methacrylates to produce a polymer somewhat more resistant to fracture by impact. The powder also contains an initiator such as benzoyl peroxide or diisobutylazomnitriile to initiate the polymerization of the monomer liquid after being added to the powder.

The peroxide initiator may be added to the polymer or be present as a residual from the polymerization reaction and is present in amounts from 0.5% to 1.5%.

Pure polymers, such as poly(methyl methacrylate), are clear and are adaptable to a wide range of pigmentation. Colorants are added to obtain the various tissue-like shades and zinc or titanium oxides are used as opacifiers. Dyed synthetic fibers made from nylon or acrylic are usually added to simulate the small capillaries of the oral mucosa.

Plasticizers such as dibutyl phthalate may be incorporated in the powder or the monomer. Adding glass fibers and alumina (sapphire) whiskers increases the stiffness, decreases the thermal coefficient of expansion, and increases thermal diffusivity. Polyethylene-woven yarn and polyaramid fabric have also been used to reinforce acrylic polymers.
The liquid component of the powder-liquid type acrylic resin is methyl methacrylate, but it may be modified by the addition of other monomers. Because these monomers may be polymerized by heat, light, or traces of oxygen, inhibitors are added to give the liquid adequate shelf life. The inhibitor most commonly used to prevent premature polymerization is hydroquinone, which may be present in concentrations of 0.003% to 0.1%.

When a chemical accelerator rather than heat is used to speed up the peroxide decomposition and enable the polymerization of the monomer at room temperature, an accelerator is included in the liquid. Common accelerators are amines such as N,N-dimethyl-para-toluidine and N,N-dihydroxyethyl-para-toluidine. These systems are referred to as self-curing, cold-curing, or autopolymerizing resins. The pour type of denture resin is included in this category.

Plasticizers are sometimes added to produce a softer, more resilient polymer. They are generally relatively low-molecular-weight esters, such as dibutyl phthalate. Plasticizer molecules do not enter the polymerization reaction but do interfere with the interaction between polymer molecules, making the plasticized polymer softer than the pure polymer. One disadvantage in using plasticizers is that they gradually leach out of the plastic into oral fluids, resulting in hardening of the denture base. A polymer also may be plasticized by the addition of some higher ester such as butyl or octyl methacrylate to methyl methacrylate. The esters polymerize and form a more flexible plastic. This type of internal plasticizing does not leach out in the oral fluids, and the material remains flexible.

If a cross-linked polymer is desired, organic compounds such as glycol dimethacrylate are added to the monomer. Cross-linking compounds are characterized by reactive –CR=CH– groups at opposite ends of the molecules and serve to link long polymer molecules together. Using cross-linking agents provides greater resistance to minute surface cracking, termed crazing, and may decrease solubility and water sorption. Cross-linking materials may be present in amounts of 2% to 14%, but have little effect on the tensile strength, flexural properties, or hardness of acrylic plastics.


### ATHLETIC MOUTH PROTECTORS

Polymers are used in fabricating mouth protectors because they can be formed to fit the occlusal surfaces, they are light weight, and they are resilient. Mouth protectors are routinely used in football, soccer, ice hockey, basketball, wrestling, field hockey, softball, and other sports. As a result of the possibilities of orofacial injury, high-school athletes are required to wear internal mouth protectors, and the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) has adopted a mouth protector rule. As a result of these actions, more professional athletes are wearing mouth protectors. Because of the increased use of mouth protectors, it is estimated that 50,000 orofacial injuries are prevented each year.

The rationale for the use of mouth protectors is that the mouth protector acts like a shock absorber when the athlete receives a blow to the mouth or chin. The mouth protector absorbs 80% to 90% of the energy of the blow and distributes the remaining energy uniformly to the entire arch, resulting in less trauma to the oral structures.

Stock, mouth-formed (boil-and-bite), and custom mouth protectors are the three types available and all provide some protection to the athlete. Custom-made mouth protectors are usually vacuum formed from sheets of flexible, thermoplastic polymers about 14 cm² in area and 1.6 to 3 mm in thickness; they may be clear or colored. In most instances the sheets are of a single material, but they may be laminates of two or more thermoplastic polymers. Laminated mouth

### BOX 9.1

**PRINCIPAL INGREDIENTS OF ACRYLIC DENTURE BASE: POWDER AND LIQUID**

**Powder**
- Acrylic polymer (or copolymer) beads
- Initiator
- Pigments
- Dyes
- Opacifier
- Plasticizer
- Dyed organic fibers
- Inorganic particles

**Liquid**
- Monomer
- Inhibitor
- Accelerator
- Plasticizer
- Cross-linking agent
protectors are fabricated so that the softer of the two layers contacts the teeth and soft tissue. Most sheets for custom mouth protectors are vinyl acetate-ethylene copolymers. Manufacturers use several different hardnesses of the material, with copolymers containing more polyethylene being harder.

The advantages of custom-made mouth protectors are (1) excellent fit, (2) comfort, (3) ease of speaking, and (4) durability; these qualities are poor for stock protectors and poor to good for mouth-formed protectors. In spite of the advantages of custom-made protectors, they are not as common as stock or mouth-formed protectors because of their higher cost.
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Various metallic materials are used in dentistry to restore or repair individual teeth, and to replace single or multiple missing teeth, as well as entire dental arches. The metals used for direct restoration of tooth structure lost due to caries or fracture include dental amalgam and direct gold, though the latter is used on a very limited basis in contemporary restorative dentistry. Many metals are used for the indirect replacement of lost or damaged teeth or parts of teeth, including high-noble metals, noble metals, and predominantly base metals. The designation of these types of dental metals is based on their composition. A variety of base metals are used in dental prosthetics, such as partial dentures, and as implants to restore lost tooth roots. These materials vary widely in terms of their composition, structures, and properties, and thus are indicated for specific applications based on the requirements of the given clinical situation.

METALS FOR DIRECT PLACEMENT: AMALGAM

An amalgam is an alloy (mixture of metallic elements) of mercury and one or more other metals. Dental amalgam is produced by mixing liquid mercury with solid particles of an alloy containing predominantly silver, tin, and copper. Zinc and palladium may also be present in small amounts. It is important to differentiate between dental amalgam and the amalgam alloy, the latter being the commercially produced and marketed small filings, spheroid particles, or a combination of these, which are suitable for mixing with liquid mercury to produce the dental amalgam through a chemical reaction.

Once amalgam alloy is freshly mixed with liquid mercury, it has the plasticity that permits it to be conveniently packed or condensed into a prepared tooth cavity. After condensing, the dental amalgam is carved to generate the required anatomical features and then further hardens with time. Amalgam can be used for direct, permanent, posterior restorations and for large foundation restorations, or cores, which restore large areas of lost tooth structure prior to placing crowns. Dental amalgam restorations are reasonably easy to insert, are not overly technique sensitive, maintain anatomical form, have reasonably adequate resistance to fracture, prevent marginal leakage after a period in the mouth, can be used in stress-bearing areas, and have a relatively long service life.

The principal disadvantage of dental amalgam is that its silver color does not match tooth structure. In addition, amalgam restorations are somewhat brittle, are subject to corrosion and galvanic action, may demonstrate a degree of breakdown at the margins of tooth and amalgam, and do not help retain weakened tooth structure. Finally, there are regulatory concerns about amalgam being disposed of in the wastewater. Despite these shortcomings, dental amalgam has a long history as a cost-effective and successful restorative material.

In this chapter, the composition and morphology of the different dental amalgams are presented, followed by a discussion of low- and high-copper amalgams, the chemical reactions that occur during amalgamation, and the resultant microstructures. Various physical and mechanical properties are covered in the next section, as well as factors related to the manipulation of amalgam.

Composition and Morphology

American National Standards Institute/American Dental Association (ANSI/ADA) specification No. 1 [International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standard 24234] for amalgam alloy includes a requirement for composition, stating that it shall consist primarily of silver, tin, and copper, and indium, palladium, platinum, zinc, or mercury may also be
included in lesser amounts. This specification does prescribe a specific composition for the alloys.

The ANSI/ADA specification also includes a notation about the presence of zinc in amalgam alloys, with more than 0.01% zinc classified as zinc containing and those with less than 0.01% as non-zinc alloys. Zinc has been included in amalgam alloys as an aid in manufacturing; it helps produce clean, sound castings of the ingots used for producing lathe-cut alloys. The presence of contamination within the amalgam must be avoided because it can degrade the integrity of the restoration. The approximate composition of commercial amalgam alloys is shown in Table 10.1.

The alloys are broadly classified as low-copper (5% or less copper) and high-copper alloys (13% to 30% copper). In modern dentistry, the low-copper formulations have been essentially totally replaced by the high-copper formulations due to the improved strength, corrosion resistance, marginal integrity, and overall clinically proven better performance, as exemplified in Fig. 10.1, where a low-copper amalgam demonstrates dramatically more marginal breakdown at 3 years than a high-copper amalgam in adjacent teeth. The remainder of the discussion in this chapter will therefore focus on the high-copper amalgam.

The powdered amalgam alloy is composed of irregularly shaped particles produced by grinding an alloy ingot on a lathe, microspheres of various sizes produced by special hot spraying techniques, or a combination of the two (so-called admix, where the composition of the two types of particles may or may not be similar). Scanning electron micrographs of the particles are shown in Fig. 10.2. The irregular lathe-cut particles are made from an alloy of silver and tin where the ratio of the two elements approximates the intermetallic compound Ag$_3$Sn. These are typically used in the admix alloys. The spherical particles may contain either mostly silver and copper, and be used as the second component in the admix alloy, or may be more similar to the lathe-cut particles in composition and contain silver, tin, and copper (unicompositional).

The compositional ranges of the different types of particles are shown in Table 10.1. The admixed regular alloy contains 33% to 60% spherical particles that have a composition close to the eutectic composition of Ag$_3$Cu$_2$ (see Fig. 10.2); the balance is irregular particles. The silver content of the unicompositional spherical alloys varies from 40% to 60%, copper content varies from 13% to 30%, and tin content varies from 22% to 30%. A high-copper admixed alloy is also available, in which both spherical and irregular particles have the same composition and the copper content is between 29% and 30%. Admixed alloys are overall more popular than spherical alloys for amalgams.

In general, alloy composition—particle size, shape, and distribution—and heat treatment control the characteristic properties of the amalgam. The following sections describing the amalgamation reaction and properties of amalgam will be devoted to the high-copper amalgams currently in use in dentistry.

### Amalgamation Processes: Admixed Alloys

All dental amalgam alloys have Ag$_3$Sn as their primary component, which reacts with mercury to form Ag$_2$Hg$_3$, the major matrix phase of the set amalgam. The amalgam alloy is intimately mixed with liquid
mercury in a process called *trituration* to wet the surface of the particles and facilitate their reaction with mercury. During this process, mercury diffuses into the alloy particles and reacts with the silver and tin portions of the particles to form predominantly a silver-mercury compound, $\text{Ag}_2\text{Hg}_3$, known as the gamma one ($\gamma_1$) phase. This phase forms as a matrix to hold the unreacted amalgam alloy together. While crystals of the $\gamma_1$ phase are being formed, the amalgam is relatively soft and easily condensable and carvable. As time progresses, more crystals of $\gamma_1$ are formed; the amalgam becomes harder and stronger and is no longer condensable or carvable. The lapse of time between the end of the trituration and when the amalgam hardens and is no longer workable is called *working time*. Completion of the reaction may take several days to several weeks, which is reflected by the change in mechanical properties over this time. Patients are typically advised to avoid chewing directly on amalgam restorations for the first 24 hours after placement to be safe, though considerable strength has been achieved within the first few hours.

The amount of liquid mercury used to amalgamate the alloy particles is not sufficient to react with the particles completely. Therefore the set mass of amalgam contains about 27% unreacted particles, which actually enhance the strength of the final material.

In high-copper admix alloys, additional copper has typically been supplied by adding spherical particles of the silver-copper eutectic alloy to the silver-tin alloy. The solubility of silver, tin, and copper in mercury differs considerably. Approximately 1 mg of copper, 10 mg of silver, and 170 mg of tin can dissolve in mercury, all at the same temperature. Therefore while mercury is dissolving mainly the silver and tin in $\text{Ag}_2\text{Sn}$, as described earlier, very little of the silver-copper eutectic particles are dissolved. However, some of the tin and copper that are dissolved by the mercury react to form a copper-tin compound, $\text{Cu}_6\text{Sn}_5$, referred to as the eta prime ($\eta'$) phase. It is the presence of this tin-copper compound,
rather than the formation of a weak, corrosion-prone tin-mercury compound, that gives the high-copper amalgams their superior performance as compared with the older low-copper amalgams.

The amalgamation reaction may be simplified as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma (\text{Ag}_3\text{Sn}) + \text{Ag-Cu (eutectic)} + \text{Hg} & \rightarrow \gamma_1 (\text{Ag}_2\text{Hg}_3) \\
+ \eta (\text{Cu}_6\text{Sn}_5) + \text{unreacted } \gamma (\text{Ag}_3\text{Sn}) + \text{unreacted Ag-Cu (eutectic)}
\end{align*}
\]

**Reaction of Mercury in a Unicompositional Alloy**

In high-copper unicompositional alloys, the alloy particles, typically spherical, contain both \(\text{Ag}_3\text{Sn} (\gamma)\) and \(\text{Cu}_6\text{Sn}_5 (\eta)\). When liquid mercury is mixed with these alloys, it diffuses into the surface of these particles and \(\text{Ag}_2\text{Hg}_3\) and \(\text{Cu}_6\text{Sn}_5\) are formed, as in the admixed alloy. The difference is that because all of the copper is present within the single particles, the reaction of copper and tin occurs in a ring around the spherical particles, which become surrounded by the silver-mercury matrix.

![Microstructures of the set amalgams of the (A) low-copper, lathe-cut; (B) the high-copper, admix; and (C) the high-copper, unicompositional types. These photographs were made by superimposing microprobe x-ray scans of the elements through colored filters: blue for silver, red for copper, and green for tin. The blue/black matrix phase is \(\text{Ag}_2\text{Hg}_3 (\gamma_1)\) for all amalgams. The green-colored \(\text{Sn}_{7-8}\text{Hg} (\gamma_2)\) phase is only present in the low-copper alloy (A). The tangerine-colored \(\text{Cu}_6\text{Sn}_5 (\eta')\) phase is minimal in alloy (A) but substantial in alloys (B) and (C). In alloy (B), the source of the increased copper is in the spherical silver-copper eutectic phase where the \(\eta'\) surrounds this spherical particle. In alloy (C), the source of the increased copper is in the additional \(\text{Cu}_3\text{Sn}\) added to the spherical \(\text{Ag}_3\text{Sn}\) particle and \(\text{Cu}_6\text{Sn}_5 (\eta')\) phases are present around this spherical particle. (Courtesy David B. Mahler, OHSU School of Dentistry, Portland, OR.)](image)

**Microstructure of Amalgam**

Color-coded images of the microstructures of the set amalgams of the high-copper admix and the high-copper unicompositional types are shown in Fig. 10.3. The blue/black matrix phase is \(\text{Ag}_2\text{Hg}_3 (\gamma_1)\). The tangerine color is for the \(\text{Cu}_6\text{Sn}_5 (\eta')\) phase, which is very evident around spherical particles.

**Physical and Mechanical Properties**

**ANSI/ADA Specification for Amalgam Alloy**

ANSI/ADA specification No. 1 (ISO 24234) for amalgam alloy contains requirements that help control the qualities of commercially available dental amalgam. The specification lists three physical properties as a measure of amalgam quality: compressive strength, creep, and dimensional change. The minimum allowable compressive strength is 80 MPa for 1 hour after setting and 300 MPa for 24 hours after setting, the maximum allowable creep is 1%, and the dimensional change between 5 minutes and 24 hours must fall within the range of −15 to +20 \(\mu\text{m/cm}\). The physical properties for several amalgams are shown in Table 10.2.
## Mercury in Mix, Compressive Strength, Tensile Strength, Creep, and Dimensional Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mercury in Mix (%)</th>
<th>Compressive Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Creep (%)</th>
<th>Dimensional Change (mm/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOW COPPER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lathe-cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caulk 20th century</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caulk spherical</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HIGH COPPER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admixed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersalloy</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unicompositional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sybraloy</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tytin</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Mercury in Mix
In general, irregular particles have higher surface areas than spherical particles, and therefore require more mercury to wet their surfaces. In turn, higher percentages of mercury in the mix will result in higher mercury contents and lower strengths of the hardened amalgams. This effect is clearly shown in Table 10.2.

### Compressive Strength
Resistance to compression forces is an important strength characteristic of amalgam. Because amalgam is strongest in compression and much weaker in tension and shear, the prepared cavity design should maximize compressive stresses in service and minimize tensile or shear stresses. When subjected to a rapid application of force either in tension or in compression, a dental amalgam does not exhibit significant deformation or elongation and, as a result, functions as a brittle material. Therefore a sudden application of excessive force to amalgam may lead to fracture of the amalgam restoration.

The early compressive strengths after 1 hour of setting for several low- and high-copper alloys are listed in Table 10.2. The high-copper unicompositional materials have the highest early compressive strengths of more than 250 MPa. High values for early compressive strength are an advantage for an amalgam, because they reduce the possibility of fracture by the application of prematurely high occlusal forces by the patient before the final strength is reached. The compressive strengths at 7 days are again highest for the high-copper unicompositional alloys, with only modest differences in the other alloys.

### Tensile Strength
The tensile strengths of various amalgams after 15 minutes and 7 days are also listed in Table 10.2. The tensile strengths at 7 days for low- and high-copper amalgams are about the same. The tensile strengths are only a fraction of their compressive strengths; therefore cavity designs should be constructed to reduce tensile stresses resulting from biting forces. The tensile strengths at 15 minutes for the high-copper unicompositional alloys are significantly higher than for the other alloys.

### Elastic Modulus
The elastic modulus, or the stiffness, for dental amalgam is in the range of 40 to 60 GPa. As a comparison, the elastic modulus of resin composites is only 5 to 15 GPa, which can be of significance when considering amalgam versus composites in certain clinical applications.
Creep
Creep is the time-dependent inelastic deformation of materials that are used at temperatures that are close to their melting points. Expressed in absolute temperatures, the melting point of the major matrix phase ($\gamma_1$) in dental amalgam is 400 K, whereas it is used at the mouth temperature of 310 K for a ratio of 0.8. In metals, ratios that exceed 0.5 are considered to be a forerunner for examining creep behavior. Therefore dental amalgam is an appropriate candidate for this examination.

The creep test in the specifications is conducted by applying a compressive stress of 36 MPa on a 7-day-old cylindrical specimen in a 37°C environment. Creep is measured by the shortening of the test specimen between 1 and 4 hours of testing, and the specification sets the acceptable limit for creep of 1.0%.

However, amalgams whose creep values vary within the range of less than 1.0% do not show differences in clinical performance. For example, referring to Table 10.2, unicompositional alloys with creep values of 0.05 and 0.09 do not show superior clinical performance compared to the admix alloy with a creep value of 0.45. Therefore physical properties are helpful in predicting clinical performance but care should be exercised in the limits of their interpretation.

The ability of creep to demonstrate the permanent deformation of dental amalgam in the clinical environment is shown to be of significance in Fig. 10.1.

Dimensional Change
The dimensional change during the setting of amalgam is one of its most significant properties. Modern amalgams mixed with mechanical amalgamators usually have negative dimensional changes. The initial contraction after a short time (the first 20 minutes) is believed to be associated with the solution of the alloy particles in mercury. After this period, an expansion occurs that is believed to be a result of the reaction of mercury with silver mainly and the formation of the matrix phase. The dimensions become nearly constant after 6 to 8 hours, and thus the values after 24 hours are final values. The only exception to this statement is the excessive delayed dimensional change seen clinically when some older zinc-containing alloys were contaminated with water-based fluids during trituration or condensation.

Dimensional change is measured by the change in length of an 8-mm cylindrical specimen between 5 minutes and 24 hours after trituration. The change in length can be determined continuously, although ANSI/ADA specification No. 1 requires only the value at 24 hours.

The dimensional changes in micrometers per centimeter for various alloys are listed in Table 10.2. The lowest dimensional change of −1.9 μm/cm was for the high-copper admixed alloy. All the amalgams meet the requirements of ANSI/ADA specification No. 1 of −15 to +20 μm/cm but are susceptible to influence from various manipulative factors.

An additional clinical significance of dimensional change is related to the occasional occurrence of postoperative sensitivity associated with newly placed amalgam restorations. Amalgam does not adhere to tooth structure; therefore a negative dimensional change would result in the presence of an interfacial gap between the amalgam restoration and tooth structure. When a cavity is prepared that cuts through dentin in a tooth requiring restoration, pulpal fluid in the tubules can flow outward into the interfacial gap. Changes in pressure of this fluid are considered to be one of the major causes of postoperative sensitivity. Apparently, the size of the interfacial gap is a key factor in determining whether sensitivity will occur, with teeth with restorations having larger gaps being more prone to being sensitive.

Although most alloys that pass ANSI/ADA specification No. 1 for negative dimensional changes of −15 μm/cm or less have not been shown to have an uncommon amount of postoperative sensitivity, some high-copper amalgams consisting of only spherical particles have been reported to show a propensity for this sensitivity. The reason for this anomaly was found by in vitro microleakage studies that showed that spherical particle alloys leaked more than lathe-cut particle alloys, even though their respective dimensional changes were not significantly different. Examination showed that the surfaces of these amalgams next to the cavity walls exhibited a relatively uneven texture for the spherical particle alloys compared to a smoother texture for the lathe-cut alloys. Thus the interfacial space filled by pulpal fluid was greater for the spherical particle alloys. In Fig. 10.4, the microleakage of a number of dental amalgams is shown where spherical particle alloys are marked with a capital S. It is clear that the presence of higher microleakage values is associated with the spherical alloys. Bars that are shaded refer to alloys in which data were available to indicate an unusual propensity for postoperative sensitivity.

The use of film-forming agents such as dentin bonding agents to seal the dentinal tubules before placement of an amalgam restoration has proven to be an effective solution to the problem of postoperative sensitivity of spherical particle amalgams. However, this practice has not been widely adopted by the profession.

Corrosion
In general, corrosion is the progressive destruction of a metal by chemical or electrochemical reaction with its environment. Excessive corrosion can lead to increased porosity, reduced marginal integrity, loss
of strength, and the release of metallic products into the oral environment.

Corrosion products identified in dental amalgams include tin oxides, tin hydroxychlorides, copper oxides, copper chlorides, and other more complex compounds. The formation of oxides and chlorides is not surprising considering that the amalgams operate in an aerated environment containing salt solutions. Because of their different chemical compositions, the different phases of an amalgam have different corrosion potentials. Electrochemical measurements on pure phases have shown that the Ag₂Hg₃ (γ₃) phase has the highest corrosion resistance, followed by Ag₃Sn (γ₁), Ag₃Cu₂, Cu₃Sn (ε), Cu₃Sn₃ (η), and Sn₇–₈Hg (γ₂). However, the presence of small amounts of tin, silver, and copper that may dissolve in various amalgam phases has a great influence on their corrosion resistance. For example, in the γ₁ phase, the silver-mercury phase always has some tin dissolved within it, and the higher the tin concentration, the lower is the corrosion resistance. The average depth of corrosion for most amalgam alloys is 100 to 500 μm, measured from the amalgam/tooth margin.

Phosphate buffer solutions inhibit the corrosion process, as do the formation of protein pellicles on the amalgam surface; thus saliva may provide some protection of dental amalgams from corrosion.

A study of amalgams that had been in service for 2 to 25 years revealed that the bulk elemental compositions were similar to newly prepared amalgams, except for the presence of a small amount of chloride and other contaminants. The compositions of the phases were also similar to new amalgams, except for internal amalgamation of the γ₁ particles. The distribution of phases in the clinically aged amalgams, however, differed from that of new amalgams, verifying that dental amalgam is a dynamic material that changes with time.

Surface tarnish in high-copper amalgams is related to the copper-rich phases.

**Bonding of Amalgam**

Although amalgam has been a highly successful restorative material when used as an intercoronal restoration, it does not bond to tooth structure and therefore does not restore the original strength of the clinical crown. For large restorations, features such as pins, slots, holes, and grooves must be supplied to provide retention for large restorations, but they do not reinforce the amalgam or increase its strength.

With the development of adhesive systems for dental composites came the opportunity to attempt to bond amalgams to tooth structure. Bonding agents containing 4-META, an acronym for 4-methacryloyloxyethyl trimellitic anhydride (see Chapter 13), have been the most successful products. Shear bond strengths of amalgam to dentin as high as 10 MPa have been reported using these adhesives, whereas comparable values for the shear bond strength of microfilled composites to dentin using these same adhesives have been 20 to 22 MPa.
There is no true adhesion between amalgam and tooth structure. Bonding that has been shown by shear bond tests is strictly produced by the mingling of the bonding agent and the amalgam at their common interface. The technique for placing a bonded amalgam consists of initially placing the bonding agent into the cavity and before the bonding agent has completely polymerized, the amalgam is condensed into the cavity. This represents the technical challenge of filling the retentive features of the preparation with amalgam mixed together with the bonding agent.

The fracture resistance of teeth restored with amalgam-bonded mesio-occlusal-distal (MOD) restorations was more than twice that of restorations containing unbonded amalgams. In addition, in spite of the lower shear-bond strength of amalgam bonded to dentin as compared to that for composites, the fracture strength of MODs in teeth restored with bonded amalgams was as high as that for composites, although either was as high (45% to 80%) as values for the intact tooth. As expected, amalgam-bonded MODs with narrow preparations had higher strengths than those with wide preparations. Other studies showed that the retention of amalgam-bonded MODs with proximal boxes was as great as pin-retained amalgams. In addition, amalgam-bonded restorations decreased marginal leakage in class 5 restorations compared with unbonded amalgams. Finally, the bonding agents for amalgam have not been successful in increasing the amalgam-to-amalgam bond strength for the repair of amalgam restorations.

The history of dental amalgam and its manipulation can be found on the website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

**DENTAL CASTING ALLOYS**

This section is divided into noble alloys and base-metal alloys. Fluctuations in the price of gold, platinum, and palladium influence the selection of alloys for cast dental restorations. Each alloy has specific physical and mechanical properties that affect its manipulation and application. Tooth preparation and restoration design will determine the required physical and mechanical properties of the alloy, so all factors should be kept in mind during the process of treatment planning.

**Types and Composition**

The ADA specification for dental casting alloys classifies alloys by composition, dividing alloys into three groups: (1) high noble, with a noble-metal content of at least 60 wt% and a gold content of at least 40%; (2) noble, with a noble-metal content at least 25% (no stipulation for gold); and (3) predominately base metal, with a noble-metal content less than 25% (Table 10.3). It is important to keep in mind that the percentages used as boundaries in the specification are somewhat arbitrary.

ANSI/ADA specification No. 5 (ISO 1562) uses a type I through IV classification system with each alloy type recommended for specific applications, in addition to the compositional classification previously described (Table 10.4). Thus a high-noble alloy might be type I or type IV, depending on its mechanical properties. This situation is somewhat confusing, because in the old specification the alloy type was related to its composition and virtually all alloys were gold based. In the current system, each type of alloy is recommended for intraoral use based on the amount of force the restoration is likely to receive. Alloy types I and II have high elongation and are therefore easily burnished, but are appropriate only in low-stress environments, such as inlays that experience low occlusal forces. Type IV alloys are to be used in clinical situations where very high stresses are involved, such as long-span, fixed dental prostheses. Type III alloys are the most commonly used in dental practices for crowns and shorter fixed-partial dentures.

Although the number of casting alloys is immense, it is possible to subdivide each ADA compositional group into several classes (Table 10.5). These classes are simply a convenient way of organizing the diverse strategies that have been used to formulate casting alloys. For each class of alloy shown in Table 10.5, there are many variations; the compositions shown are meant only to be representative. Note that both the wt% (weight percent) and at% (atomic percent) compositions of the alloys are shown in Table 10.5. Weight percentages of the alloys are most commonly used by manufacturers in the production and sales of the alloys. However, the physical, chemical, and biological properties are best understood in terms of weight percentage.
### TABLE 10.4  ANSI/ADA Specification No. 5, Mechanical Properties of Dental Casting Alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Yield Strength (annealed, MPa)</th>
<th>Elongated (annealed, %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>Restorations subjected to low stress: some inlays</td>
<td>&lt;140</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Restorations subjected to moderate stress: inlays and onlays</td>
<td>140–200</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Hard</td>
<td>Restorations subjected to high stress: crowns, thick-veneer crowns, short-span fixed dental prostheses</td>
<td>201–340</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Extra-hard</td>
<td>Restorations subjected to very high stress: thin-veneer crowns, long-span fixed dental prostheses, removable dental prostheses</td>
<td>&gt;340</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANSI/ADA, American National Standards Institute / American Dental Association.

### TABLE 10.5  Typical Compositions (wt%/at%) of Noble Dental Casting Alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy Type</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Pd</th>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIGH NOBLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Ag-Pt</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>1/71.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-I</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-II</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOBLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-III</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Ag-Pd-In</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-Cu-Ga</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag-Pd</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.0/3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of atomic percentages. For the sake of simplicity, the following discussion will be in terms of wt% composition. Most of the alloys contain some zinc as a deoxidizer and either iridium (Ir) or ruthenium (Ru) as grain refiners. Some of these compositions are used for both full metal castings and ceramic-metal restorations.

There are three classes of high-noble alloys: the Au-Ag-Pt alloys; the Au-Cu-Ag-Pd alloys with a gold content greater than 70 wt% (Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-I in Table 10.5); and the Au-Cu-Ag-Pd alloys with a gold content of about 50% to 65% (Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-II). The Au-Ag-Pt alloys typically consist of 78 wt% gold with roughly equal amounts of silver and platinum. These alloys have been used as casting alloys and porcelain-metal alloys. The Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-I alloys are typically 75 wt% gold with approximately 10 wt% each of silver and copper and 2 to 3 wt% palladium. The Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-II alloys typically have less than 60 wt% gold, with the silver content increased to accommodate the reduced gold content. Occasionally, these alloys will have slightly higher palladium and lower silver percentages.

There are four classes of noble alloys: the Au-Cu-Ag-Pd alloys (Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-III in Table 10.5); Au-Ag-Pd-In alloys; Pd-Cu-Ga alloys; and Ag-Pd alloys. The Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-III alloys typically have a gold content of 40 wt%. The reduced gold is compensated primarily with silver, thus the copper and palladium contents are not changed much from the Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-II alloys. The Au-Ag-Pd-In alloys have a gold content of only 20 wt% and have about 40 wt% silver, 20 wt% palladium, and 15 wt% indium. The Pd-Cu-Ga alloys have little or no gold, with about 75 wt% palladium and roughly equal amounts of copper and gallium. Finally, the Ag-Pd alloys have no gold, but have 70 wt% silver and 25 wt% palladium. By the ADA specification, these alloys are considered noble because of their palladium content.

The compositions of casting alloys determine their color. In general, if the palladium content is over 10 wt%, the alloy will be white. Thus the Pd-Cu-Ga and Ag-Pd alloys in Table 10.5 are white, whereas the other alloys are yellow (gold). The Au-Ag-Pd-In alloys are an exception because they have palladium content over 20% and retain a light yellow color. The
color of this alloy results from interactions of the indium with the palladium in the alloy. Among the yellow alloys, the composition will modify the shade of yellow. In general, copper adds a reddish color and silver lightens either the red or yellow color of the alloys.

**Metallic Elements Used in Dental Alloys**

For dental restorations, various elements are combined to produce alloys with adequate properties for dental applications because none of the elements by themselves have properties that are suitable. These alloys may be used for dental restorations as cast alloys or may be manipulated into wire or other wrought forms. The metallic elements that make up dental alloys can be divided into two major groups, the noble metals and the base metals.

**Noble Metals**

Noble metals are elements with a good metallic surface that retain their surface in dry air. They react easily with sulfur to form sulfides, but their resistance to oxidation, tarnish, and corrosion during heating, casting, soldering, and use in the mouth is very good. The noble metals are gold, platinum, palladium, iridium, rhodium, osmium, and ruthenium (Table 10.6). These metals can be subdivided into two groups. The metals of the first group, consisting of ruthenium, rhodium, and palladium, have atomic weights of approximately 100 and densities of 12 to 13 g/cm³. The metals of the second group,

![Table 10.6 Properties of Elements in Dental Casting Alloys](www.konkur.in)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Atomic Number</th>
<th>Atomic Mass</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Melting Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noble</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruthenium</td>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>101.07</td>
<td>12.48</td>
<td>2310.0</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Grain refiner, hard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodium</td>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>102.91</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>1966.0</td>
<td>Silver-white</td>
<td>Grain refiner, soft, ductile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palladium</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>106.42</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>1554.0</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Hard, malleable, ductile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmium</td>
<td>Os</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>190.20</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>3045.0</td>
<td>Bluish-white</td>
<td>Not used in dentistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iridium</td>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>192.22</td>
<td>22.65</td>
<td>2410.0</td>
<td>Silver-white</td>
<td>Grain refiner, very hard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>195.08</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>1772.0</td>
<td>Bluish-white</td>
<td>Tough, ductile, malleable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>196.97</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>1064.4</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Ductile, malleable, soft, conductive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58.69</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>1453.0</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Hard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>63.55</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>1083.4</td>
<td>Reddish</td>
<td>Malleable, ductile, conductive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>65.39</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>419.6</td>
<td>Bluish-white</td>
<td>Soft, brittle, oxidizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallium</td>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>69.72</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>Grayish-white</td>
<td>Low melting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>107.87</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>961.9</td>
<td>Soft, malleable, ductile, conductive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>118.71</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>232.0</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Soft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indium</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>114.82</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td>Gray-white</td>
<td>Soft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
consisting of osmium, iridium, platinum, and gold, have atomic weights of about 190 and densities of 19 to 23 g/cm³. The melting points of members of each group decrease with increasing atomic weight. Thus ruthenium melts at 2310°C, rhodium at 1966°C, and palladium at 1554°C. In the second group the melting points range from 3045°C for osmium to 1064°C for gold. The melting point and density are important properties when composing alloys, because they affect the casting process, which affects the overall accuracy and quality of the final product. The noble metals, together with silver, are sometimes called precious metals. The term precious comes from the relatively high cost of these metals and their trading on the commodities market. Some metallurgists consider silver a noble metal, but it is not considered a noble metal in dentistry because it corrodes considerably in the oral cavity. Thus the terms noble and precious are not synonymous in dentistry.

GOLD (AU)

Pure gold is a soft, malleable, ductile metal that has a rich yellow color with a strong metallic luster. Although pure gold is the most ductile and malleable of all metals, it is relatively low in strength. The density of gold depends somewhat on the condition of the metal, whether it is cast, rolled, or drawn into wire. Small amounts of impurities have a pronounced effect on the mechanical properties of gold and its alloys. The presence of less than 0.2% lead causes gold to be extremely brittle. Mercury in small quantities also has a harmful effect. Therefore scrap of other dental alloys should not be mixed with gold used for dental restorations.

Air or water at any temperature does not affect or tarnish gold. Gold is not soluble in sulfuric, nitric, or hydrochloric acids. However, it readily dissolves in combinations of nitric and hydrochloric acids (aqua regia, 18 vol% nitric and 82 vol% hydrochloric acids) to form the trichloride of gold (AuCl₃). It is also dissolved by a few other chemicals, such as potassium cyanide and solutions of bromine or chlorine.

Because gold is nearly as soft as lead, it must be alloyed with copper, silver, platinum, and other metals to develop the hardness, durability, and elasticity necessary in dental alloys, coins, and jewelry (Table 10.7). Through appropriate refining and purification, gold with an extremely high degree of purity may be produced. Gold can be work hardened to improve its physical properties. Without the improvement, cast gold would lack sufficient strength and hardness.

**CARAT AND FINENESS OF GOLD-BASED ALLOYS**

For many years the gold content of gold-containing alloys has been described on the basis of the carat, or in terms of fineness, rather than by weight percentage. The term carat refers only to the gold content of the alloy; a carat represents a one-twenty-fourth part of the whole. Thus 24 carat indicates pure gold. The carat of an alloy is designated by a small letter k, for example, 18k or 22k gold.

The use of the term carat to designate the gold content of dental alloy is less common now. It is not unusual to find the weight percentage of gold listed or to have the alloy described in terms of fineness. Fineness also refers only to the gold content, and represents the number of parts of gold in each 1000 parts of alloy. Thus 24k gold is the same as 100% gold or 1000 fineness (i.e., 1000 fine). An 18k gold would be designated as 750 fine, or, when the decimal system is used, it would be 0.750 fine; this indicates that 750/1000 of the total is gold. A comparison of the carat, fineness, and weight percentage of gold is given in Table 10.8. Both the whole number and the decimal system are in common use, especially for noble dental solders. The fineness system is somewhat less relevant today because of the introduction of alloys that are not gold based. It is important to emphasize that the terms carat and fineness refer only to gold content, not noble-metal content.

### Table 10.7 Physical and Mechanical Properties of Cast Pure Gold and Gold Alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Hardness (VHN/BHN)</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cast 24k gold</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>28 (VHN)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast 22k gold</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>60 (VHN)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coin gold</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85 (BHN)</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical Au-based casting alloy (70 wt% Au)</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>135/195 (VHN)</td>
<td>425/525</td>
<td>30/12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are for softened/hardened condition.
BHN, Brinell hardness number; VHN, Vickers hardness number.
Modified from Rule RW. A further report on physical properties and clinical values of platinum-centered gold foil as compared to pure gold filling materials. J Am Dent Assoc. 1937;24:583–595.
PLATINUM (Pt)

Platinum is a bluish-white metal; is tough, ductile, and malleable; and can be produced as foil or fine-drawn wire. Platinum has hardness similar to that of copper. Pure platinum has numerous applications in dentistry because of its high fusing point and resistance to oral conditions and elevated temperatures.

Platinum increases the hardness and elastic qualities of gold, and some dental casting alloys and wires contain quantities of platinum up to 8% combined with other metals. Platinum tends to lighten the color of yellow gold-based alloys.

PALLADIUM (Pd)

Palladium is a white metal somewhat darker than platinum. Its density is a little more than half that of platinum and gold.

Palladium is not used in the pure state in dentistry, but is used extensively in dental alloys. Palladium can be combined with gold, silver, copper, cobalt, tin, indium, or gallium for dental alloys. Alloys are readily formed between gold and palladium, and palladium quantities of as low as 5% by weight have a pronounced effect on whitening yellow gold-based alloys. Palladium-gold alloys with a palladium content of 10% or more by weight are white. Alloys of palladium and the other elements previously mentioned are available as substitutes for yellow-gold alloys, and the mechanical properties of the palladium-based alloys may be as good as or better than many traditional gold-based alloys. Although many of the palladium-based alloys are white, some, such as palladium-indium-silver alloys, are yellow.

IRIDIUM (IR), RUTHENIUM (RU), AND RHODIUM (RH)

Iridium and ruthenium are used in small amounts in dental alloys as grain refiners to keep the grain size small. A small grain size is desirable because it improves the mechanical properties and uniformity of properties within an alloy. As little as 0.005% (50 ppm) of iridium is effective in reducing the grain size. Ruthenium has a similar effect. The grain-refining properties of these elements are largely due to their extremely high melting points. Iridium melts at 2410°C and ruthenium at 2310°C. Thus these elements do not melt during the casting of the alloy and serve as nucleating centers for the melt as it cools, resulting in a fine-grained alloy.

Rhodium also has a high melting point (1966°C) and has been used in alloys with platinum to form wire for thermocouples. These thermocouples help measure the temperature in porcelain furnaces used to make dental restorations.

Base Metals

Several base metals are combined with noble metals to develop alloys with properties suitable for dental restorations. Base metals used in dental alloys include silver, copper, zinc, indium, tin, gallium, and nickel (see Table 10.6).

SILVER (Ag)

Silver is a malleable, ductile white metal. It is the best-known conductor of heat and electricity and is stronger and harder than gold but softer than copper. At 961.9°C, the melting point of silver is below the melting points of both copper and gold. It is unaltered in clean, dry air at any temperature, but combines with sulfur, chlorine, phosphorus, and vapors containing these elements or their compounds. Foods containing sulfur compounds cause severe tarnish on silver, and for this reason silver is not considered a noble metal in dentistry.

Pure silver is not used in dental restorations because of the black sulfide that forms on the metal in the mouth. Adding small amounts of palladium to silver-containing alloys prevents the rapid corrosion of such alloys in the oral environment.

Silver forms a series of solid solutions with palladium (see Fig. 10.5D) and gold (see Fig. 10.5C), and is therefore common in gold- and palladium-based dental alloys. In gold-based alloys, silver is effective in neutralizing the reddish color of alloys containing appreciable quantities of copper. Silver also hardens the gold-based alloys via a solid-solution hardening mechanism. In palladium-based alloys, silver is

---

**TABLE 10.8 Comparison of Carat, Fineness, and Weight Percentage of Gold in Gold Alloys**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carat</th>
<th>Amount of Gold by Carats</th>
<th>Weight (%) of Gold</th>
<th>Fineness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>0.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>0.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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10. RESTORATIVE MATERIALS: METALS

important in developing the white color of the alloy. Although silver is soluble in palladium, the addition of other elements to these alloys, such as copper or indium, may cause the formation of multiple phases and increased corrosion.

COPPER (Cu)
Copper is a malleable and ductile metal with high thermal and electrical conductivity and a characteristic red color. When added to gold-based alloys, copper imparts a reddish color to the gold and hardens.
the alloy via a solid-solution or ordered-solution mechanism. The presence of copper in gold-based alloys in quantities between approximately 40% and 88% by weight allows the formation of an ordered phase. Copper is also commonly used in palladium-based alloys, where it can be used to reduce the melting point and strengthen the alloy through solid-solution hardening and formation of ordered phases when Cu is between 15 and 55 wt%. The ratio of silver and copper must be carefully balanced in gold- and palladium-based alloys, because silver and copper are not miscible. Copper is also a common component of most hard dental solders.

**ZINC (Zn)**
Zinc is a blue-white metal with a tendency to tarnish in moist air. In its pure form, it is a soft, brittle metal with low strength. When heated in air, zinc oxidizes readily to form a white oxide of relatively low density. This oxidizing property is exploited in dental alloys. Although zinc may be present in quantities of only 1% to 2% by weight, it acts as a scavenger of oxygen when the alloy is melted. Thus zinc is referred to as a deoxidizing agent. Because of its low density, the resulting zinc oxide lags behind the denser molten mass during casting and is therefore excluded from the casting. If too much zinc is present, it will markedly increase the brittleness of the alloy.

**INDIUM (In)**
Indium is a soft, gray-white metal with a low melting point of 156.6°C. Indium is not tarnished by air or water. It is used in some gold-based alloys as a replacement for zinc and is a common minor component of some noble ceramic dental alloys. Recently, indium has been used in greater amounts (up to 30% by weight) to impart a yellow color to palladium-silver alloys.

**TIN (Sn)**
Tin is a lustrous, soft, white metal that is not subject to tarnish in normal air. Some gold-based alloys contain limited quantities of tin, usually less than 5% by weight. Tin is also an ingredient in gold-based dental solders. It combines with platinum and palladium to produce a hardening effect, but also increases brittleness.

**GALLIUM (Ga)**
Gallium is a grayish metal that is stable in dry air but tarnishes in moist air. It has a very low melting point of 29.8°C and a density of only 5.91 g/cm³. Gallium is not used in its pure form in dentistry, but is used as a component of some gold- and palladium-based dental alloys, especially ceramic alloys. The oxides of gallium are important to the bonding of the ceramic to the metal.

**NICKEL (Ni)**
Nickel has limited application in gold- and palladium-based dental alloys, but is a common component in base-metal dental alloys. Nickel has a melting point of 1453°C and a density of 8.91 g/cm³. When used in small quantities in gold-based alloys, nickel whitens the alloy and increases its strength and hardness.

**Noble Alloys**

**Phase Structure of Noble Alloys**
The structure of noble alloys can consist of solid solutions, in which the elements are completely soluble in one another at all temperatures and compositions; ordered solutions, in which the elements in the alloy assume specific and regular positions in the crystal lattice of the alloy; or multiple individual phases, formed because the elements are not capable of totally dissolving in one another at all compositions and temperatures.

The presence of a second phase is important because it significantly changes the corrosion properties of an alloy. Fig. 10.6 shows electron micrographs of single- and multiple-phase alloys. The single-phase alloy has little visible microstructure because its composition is more or less homogeneous. In the multiple-phase alloy, areas that have distinct compositions are clearly visible. These areas correspond to the different phases that formed during the solidification process because the elements were no longer completely able to be dissolved in one another to form a single phase as the alloy cooled. Because the different phases may interact electrochemically, the corrosion of multiple-phase alloys may be higher than for a single-phase alloy.

**Hardening of Noble Alloys**
The use of pure cast gold is not practical for dental restorations because cast gold lacks sufficient strength and hardness. Solid-solution and ordered-solution hardening are two common ways of strengthening noble dental alloys sufficiently for use in the mouth. By mixing two elements in the crystal lattice randomly (forming a solid solution), the force needed to distort the lattice may be significantly increased. For example, adding just 10% by weight of copper to gold, the tensile strength increases from 105 to 395 MPa and the Brinell hardness increases from 28 to 85 (see Table 10.7). If the positions of the two elements become ordered (forming an ordered solution), the properties of the alloy are improved further (see Table 10.7). For a typical gold-based casting alloy, the formation of an ordered solution may increase yield strength by 50%, tensile strength by 25%, and hardness by at least 10%. It is important to note that the elongation of an alloy is reduced by the formation of the ordered solution. For the typical gold-based
alloy, the percentage elongation will decrease from 30% to about 12%.

The formation of ordered solutions has been commonly used to strengthen cast dental restorations, particularly in gold-based alloys. The process requires precise control of the cooling rate. For the correct composition capable of forming the ordered state, slow cooling will result in a harder, stronger alloy due to the formation of the ordered crystals. However, rapid cooling of the cast metal, such as by plunging it into cold water soon after the casting process, leaves the metal in the softer, disordered state because there is simply not enough time during cooling for the atoms to arrange correctly on the crystal lattice. The conversion between the ordered solution and solid solution is reversible in the solid state. Thus it is possible to heat an alloy that exists in a disordered state under appropriate conditions and temperatures to provide time for the ordered structure to form. An example of how this process can be utilized for dental castings is as follows. An alloy is cooled rapidly after casting, leaving the alloy in the softer, disordered state, and thus allowing it to be more easily ground and burnished at the margins to fit the die, and ultimately the prepared tooth. However, because it is beneficial for the alloy to be in a hardened state once placed in the mouth, the casting that has been worked in the laboratory is reheated to cause the formation of the harder, ordered structure, and this is the material that is ultimately cemented on the patient’s prepared tooth.

Formulation of Noble Alloys

The desired qualities of noble dental casting alloys determine the selection of elements that will be used to formulate the alloys. The ideal noble casting alloy should have (1) a low melting range; (2) adequate strength, hardness, and elongation; (3) a low tendency to corrode in the oral environment; and (4) low cost, among other properties. Traditionally, the noble elements gold and palladium have generally been the foundation to which other elements are added to formulate dental casting alloys. Gold and palladium are preferable to other noble elements because they have relatively low melting points, low corrosion, and form solid solutions with other alloy elements, such as copper or silver. Solid-solution systems are desirable for the formulation of alloys because they are generally easier to manufacture and manipulate, have a lower tendency to corrode than multiple phase systems, and provide increased strength through solid-solution or ordered-solution hardening. Thus it is not surprising that combinations of these elements have been extensively used in the formulation of noble dental casting alloys.

GRAIN SIZE

Studies have shown that minute quantities of various elements can influence the grain size of dental casting alloys. With the addition of small amounts (0.005% or 50 ppm) of elements such as iridium and ruthenium, fine-grained castings are produced. Adding one of these elements to the alloy is believed to develop centers for nucleating grains throughout the alloy. Most alloy manufacturers use grain refinement in present-day products. The mechanical properties of tensile strength and elongation are improved significantly (30%) by the fine grain structure in castings, which contributes to uniformity of properties from one casting to another. Other properties, however, such as
hardness and yield strength, show less effect from the grain refinement.

Properties

MELTING RANGE

Dental casting alloys do not have melting points, but rather melting ranges, because they are combinations of elements rather than pure elements. It is desirable for the dental casting alloy to have a relatively narrow melting range, because if the alloy spends a long time in the partially molten state during casting, there is increased opportunity for the formation of oxides and contamination. Therefore alloys with wide melting ranges are more difficult to cast without problems.

The melting range of the alloys determines the burnout temperature, type of investment, and type of heat source that must be used during casting. In general, the burnout temperature must be about 500°C below the bottom temperature of the melting range. For the Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-I alloys, therefore, a burnout temperature of about 450°C to 475°C should be used. If the burnout temperature approaches 700°C, a gypsum-bonded investment cannot be used because the calcium sulfate will decompose and embrittle the alloys. At temperatures near 700°C or greater, a phosphate-bonded investment is used. As shown in Table 10.9, a gypsum-bonded investment may be used with the Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-I, II, and III and the Au-Ag-Pd-In alloys, but a phosphate-bonded investment is advisable for the other alloys. The gas-air torch will adequately heat alloys with liquidus temperatures below 1100°C. Above this temperature, a gas-oxygen torch or electrical induction method must be used. Again, from Table 10.9, a gas-air torch would be acceptable only for the Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-I, II, and III and the Au-Ag-Pd-In alloys.

The maximum temperature of the melting range is important to soldering and formation of ordered phases, because during both of these operations, the shape of the alloys is to be retained. Therefore during soldering or hardening-softening, the alloy may be heated only to 50°C below the maximum of the melting range to avoid local melting or distortion of the casting.

DENSITY

Density is important during the acceleration of the molten alloy into the mold during casting. Alloys with high densities will generally accelerate faster and tend to form complete castings more easily. Among the alloys shown in Table 10.9, all have densities sufficient for convenient casting. Lower densities (7 to 8 g/cm³) seen in the predominantly base-metal alloys are more difficult to cast. Alloys in Table 10.6 with high densities generally contain higher amounts of denser elements such as gold or platinum. Thus the Au-Ag-Pt alloys and Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-I alloys are among the densest of the casting alloys.

STRENGTH

Strength of alloys can be measured by either the yield strength or tensile strength. Although tensile strength represents the maximum strength of the alloy, the yield strength is more useful in dental applications because it is the stress at which permanent deformation of the alloys occurs (see Chapter 4). Because permanent deformation of dental castings is generally undesirable, the yield strength is a reasonable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Solidus (°C)</th>
<th>Liquidus (°C)</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Yield Strength at 0.2% Offset (Soft/Hard) (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation (Soft/Hard) (%)</th>
<th>Vickers Hardness (Soft/Hard) (kg/mm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIGH NOBLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Ag-Pt</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>420/470</td>
<td>15/9</td>
<td>175/195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-I</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>270/400</td>
<td>30/12</td>
<td>135/195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-II</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>350/600</td>
<td>30/10</td>
<td>175/260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOBLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-III</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>325/520</td>
<td>27.5/10</td>
<td>125/215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Ag-Pd-In</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>300/370</td>
<td>12/8</td>
<td>135/190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-Cu-Ga</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag-Pd</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>260/320</td>
<td>10/8</td>
<td>140/155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
practical maximum strength for dental applications. The yield strengths for the different classes of alloys are shown in Table 10.6. Where applicable, the hard and soft conditions, resulting from the formation of ordered solutions, are shown. For several alloys, such as Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-I, II, and III, the formation of the ordered phase increases the yield strength significantly. For example, the yield strength of the Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-II alloys increases from 350 to 600 MPa with the formation of an ordered phase. For other alloys, such as the Au-Ag-Pt and Ag-Pd alloys, the increase in yield strength is more modest in the hardened condition. The Pd-Cu-Ga alloys do not support the formation of ordered phase because the ratio of palladium and copper is not in the correct range for ordered phase formation (see Table 10.9).

The yield strengths of these alloys range from 320 to 1145 MPa (hard condition). The strongest alloy is Pd-Cu-Ga, with a yield strength of 1145 MPa. The other alloys range in strength from 320 to 600 MPa. These latter yield strengths are adequate for dental applications and are generally in the same range as those for the base-metal alloys, which range from 495 to 600 MPa. The effect of solid-solution hardening by the addition of copper and silver to the gold or palladium base is significant for these alloys. Pure cast gold has a tensile strength of 105 MPa (see Table 10.7). As mentioned earlier, with the addition of 10 wt% copper (coin gold), solid-solution hardening increases the tensile strength to 395 MPa. With the further addition of 10 wt% silver and 3 wt% palladium (Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-I), the tensile strength increases to about 450 and 550 MPa in the hard condition.

**HARDNESS**

Hardness is a good indicator of the ability of an alloy to resist local permanent deformation under occlusal load. Although the relationships are complex, hardness is related to yield strength and gives some indication of the difficulty in polishing the alloy. Alloys with high hardness will usually have high yield strengths and are more difficult to polish. As Table 10.9 shows, the values for hardness generally parallel those for yield strength. In the hard condition, the hardness of these alloys ranges from 155 kg/mm² for the Ag-Pd alloys to 425 kg/mm² for the Pd-Cu-Ga alloys. More typically, the hardness of the noble casting alloys is around 200 kg/mm². The Ag-Pd alloys are particularly soft because of the high concentration of silver, which is a soft metal. The Pd-Cu-Ga alloys are particularly hard because of the high concentration of Pd, which is a hard metal. The hardness of most noble casting alloys is less than that of enamel (343 kg/mm²), and typically less than that of base-metal alloys. If the hardness of an alloy is greater than enamel, it may wear the enamel of the teeth opposing the restoration.

**ELONGATION**

Elongation is a measure of the ductility of the alloy. For crown and bridge applications, a low value of elongation for an alloy is generally not a big concern, because permanent deformation of the alloys is generally not desirable. However, the elongation will indicate whether the alloy can be burnished. Alloys with high elongation can be burnished without fracture. Elongation is sensitive to the presence or absence of an ordered phase, as shown in Table 10.9. In the hardened condition, the elongation will drop significantly. For example, for the Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-II alloys, the elongation is 30% in the soft condition versus only 10% in the hard condition. In the soft condition, the elongation of noble dental casting alloys ranges from 8% to 30%. These alloys are substantially more ductile than the base-metal alloys, which have elongation on the order of 1% to 2%.

**BIOCOMPATIBILITY**

The biocompatibility of noble dental alloys is equally important as other physical or chemical properties. A detailed discussion about the principles of biocompatibility can be found in Chapter 6, but a few general principles are mentioned here. The biocompatibility of noble dental alloys is primarily related to elemental release from these alloys (i.e., their corrosion). Thus any toxic, allergic, or other adverse biological response is primarily influenced by elements released from these alloys into the oral cavity. The biological response is also influenced significantly by exactly which elements are released, their concentrations, and duration of exposure to oral tissues. For example, the short-term (more than 1 to 2 days) release of zinc may not be significant biologically, but longer-term (more than 2 to 3 years) release might have more significant effects. Similarly, equivalent amounts (in moles) of zinc, copper, or silver will have quite different biological effects, because each of the elements is unique in its interactions with tissues.

Unfortunately, there is currently no way of completely assessing the biocompatibility of noble alloys (or any other material), because the effects of elemental release on tissues are not completely understood. However, in general, several principles apply to alloy biocompatibility. The elemental release from noble alloys is not proportional to alloy composition, but rather is influenced by the numbers and types of phases in the alloy microstructure and the composition of the phases. In general, multiple-phase alloys release more atoms than single-phase alloys. Some elements, such as copper, zinc, silver, cadmium, and nickel, are inherently more prone to be released from dental alloys than others, such as gold, palladium, platinum, and indium. Alloys with high noble-metal content generally release less atoms than alloys with little or no noble-metal content. However, the only reliable way to assess elemental release is by direct...
measurement, because there are exceptions to each of the generalizations just mentioned. Similarly, it is difficult to predict, even knowing the elemental release from an alloy, what the biological response to the alloy will be. Thus the only reliable way is to measure the biological response directly, either in vitro, in animals, or in humans (see Chapter 6). It is important to also remember that combinations of alloys used in the mouth may alter their corrosion and biocompatibility.

The IdentAlloy certification program was developed to make dentists and patients more aware of the composition of dental alloys. Under this program, each alloy has a certificate (Fig. 10.7) that lists the complete composition, its manufacturer, name, and the ADA compositional classification (high noble, noble, or predominantly base metal). When the dental prosthesis is delivered by the laboratory to the dental office, a certificate is placed in the patient’s chart. In this manner, all parties know the exact composition of the material used. This information can be invaluable later if there are problems with the restoration; for example, if the patient develops an allergic reaction. This information is also useful when planning additional restorations that may contact the existing restoration, or if some modification (such as occlusal adjustment or contouring) becomes necessary.

Composition and Properties of Noble-Metal Alloys for Ceramic-Metal Restorations

Ceramic-metal restorations consist of a cast metallic framework (or core) on which at least two layers of ceramic are baked. It is essential that the coefficient of thermal expansion of the alloy be slightly higher than that of the veneering ceramic to ensure that the ceramic is in slight compression after cooling. This will establish a better resistance to crack propagation of the ceramic-metal restoration. There are several requirements for the alloy in a ceramic-metal system (a complete list of requirements for both the alloy and ceramic can be found in Chapter 11):

1. The alloy must have a high melting temperature. The melting range must be substantially higher (greater than 100°C) than the firing temperature of the ceramic and solders used to join segments of a bridge.
2. A good bond between the ceramic and metal is essential and is achieved by the interactions of the ceramic with metal oxides on the surface of metal (Fig. 10.8) and by the roughness of the metal coping.
3. Coefficients of thermal expansion of the ceramic and metal must be compatible so that the ceramic does not crack during fabrication. The system is designed so the value for the metal is slightly higher than for the ceramic, thus putting the ceramic in compression (where it is stronger) following cooling (Fig. 10.9).
4. Adequate stiffness and strength of the alloy core are especially important for fixed bridges and posterior crowns. High stiffness in the alloy reduces stresses in the ceramic by reducing deflection and strain. High strength is essential in the interproximal regions in fixed bridges.
5. High sag resistance is essential. The alloy copings are relatively thin; no distortion should occur during firing of the ceramic, or the fit of the restoration will be compromised.
6. An accurate casting of the metal coping is required even with the higher melting range of the alloy.

![FIG. 10.7](image-url) An example of an IdentAlloy certificate showing the alloy name, manufacturer, composition, and American Dental Association (ADA) classification. This section of the certificate is for the dentist’s records. A duplicate retained by the laboratory is not shown here. Many dentists will give this information to the patient upon delivery of the prosthesis.

![FIG. 10.8](image-url) Electron micrograph of replicated oxidized surface of an Au-Pt-Pd (gold-platinum-palladium) alloy (×8000). (From Kelly M, Asgar K, O’Brien WJ. Tensile strength determination of the interface between porcelain fused to gold. J Biomed Mater Res. 1969;3:403–408.)
7. Adequate design of the restoration is critical. The preparation should provide for adequate thickness of alloy as well as enough space for an adequate thickness of ceramic to yield an esthetic restoration.

The composition ranges and colors of five types of noble alloys for ceramic-metal restorations are listed in Table 10.10. The properties of these alloys are given in Table 10.11.

**AU-PT-PD ALLOYS**

The Au-Pt-Pd alloys contain very high noble-metal content, mainly gold with platinum and palladium to increase the melting range. The high noble content provides good corrosion resistance. Indium, tin, and iron (Fe) are present and form oxides to produce a ceramic-metal bond. Rhenium (Re) is added as a grain refiner. Hardening of Au-Pt-Pd alloys results from solid-solution hardening and the formation of an FePt$_3$ precipitate. Optimum heat treatment for hardening is 30 minutes at 550°C, but practically the hardening occurs during firing of the ceramic.

From Table 10.11 it is seen that these alloys have high stiffness (elastic modulus), strength, and hardness and reasonable elongation; however, they have somewhat low sag resistance. The alloys are very costly because of their high noble-metal content and high density. The casting temperature is reasonably high, and although reasonably easy to solder, care must be taken because the soldering temperature is only about 50°C below the melting range of the alloys. Finally, although considerable platinum and palladium are present, these alloys are still yellow, which makes producing pleasing esthetics with the ceramic easier than with white alloys.

**AU-PD ALLOYS**

The Au-Pd high-noble alloys with good corrosion resistance have decreased gold but increased palladium content. These alloys contain no platinum or palladium. Ru, Re, In 1–5, Sn 4–8

---

**TABLE 10.10** Composition Ranges and Color of Noble Alloys for Ceramic-Metal Restorations (wt%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Au (%)</th>
<th>Pt (%)</th>
<th>Pd (%)</th>
<th>Ag (%)</th>
<th>Cu (%)</th>
<th>Other (%)</th>
<th>Total Noble-Metal Content (%)</th>
<th>Color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt-Pd</td>
<td>84–86</td>
<td>4–10</td>
<td>5–7</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Fe, In, Re, Sn 2–5</td>
<td>96–98</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pd</td>
<td>45–52</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>38–45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Ru, Re, In 8.5, Ga 1.5</td>
<td>89–90</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pd-Ag</td>
<td>51–52</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>26–31</td>
<td>14–16</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Ru, Re, In 1.5, Sn 3–7</td>
<td>78–83</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-Cu</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>74–79</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10–15</td>
<td>In, Ga 9</td>
<td>76–81</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 10.11** Properties and Casting Temperatures of Noble Alloys Used in Ceramic-Metal Restorations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Yield Strength at 0.2% Offset (MPa)</th>
<th>Elastic Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
<th>VHN (kg/mm$^2$)</th>
<th>Density (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Casting Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt-Pd</td>
<td>480–500</td>
<td>400–420</td>
<td>81–96</td>
<td>3–10</td>
<td>175–180</td>
<td>17.4–18.6</td>
<td>1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pd-Ag</td>
<td>650–680</td>
<td>475–525</td>
<td>100–113</td>
<td>8–18</td>
<td>210–230</td>
<td>13.6–13.8</td>
<td>1320–1350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VHN, Vickers hardness number.
iron and thus are solution hardened rather than precipitation hardened. They contain indium for bonding, gallium (Ga) to decrease the fusion temperature, rhenium for grain refining, and ruthenium (Ru) for enhancing castability. Because of their high palladium content, the alloys are white (some call it gray) rather than yellow, even though they contain about 50% gold. This color causes increased difficulty in producing esthetic restorations.

These alloys are stronger, stiffer, and harder than the Au-Pt-Pd alloys and have higher elongation (more ductile) and casting temperatures (easier to solder). They have lower densities and this means more care should be taken during casting because of the decrease in the force with which the alloy enters the casting ring. However, these alloys remain relatively easy to cast, and soldering is easy because of the higher casting temperature.

AU-PD-AG ALLOYS
The Au-Pd-Ag alloys contain less palladium and more silver than the Au-Pd alloys. However, they still have good corrosion resistance. Again, indium and tin are added for bonding with the ceramics, ruthenium for castability, and rhenium for grain refining. Hardening results from solution hardening. As seen in Table 10.11, the properties of the Au-Pd-Ag alloys are similar to those of the Au-Pd alloys.

PD-AG ALLOYS
The Pd-Ag alloys, which contain no gold and have a moderately high silver content, have the lowest noble-metal content of the five noble alloys. They contain indium and tin for bonding and rhenium for enhanced castability. Their properties are similar to those of the Au-Pd-Ag alloys, except that they are less dense (=11 g/cm³ vs. 14 g/cm³). Some ceramics used with these high-gold alloys resulted in what was called “greening” relating to a color shift toward yellow. Furnace contamination and technique were blamed to some extent for this problem.

PD-CU ALLOYS
The Pd-Cu alloys contain very high palladium content with 10% to 15% copper. They contain indium for bonding with porcelain and gallium for controlling casting temperature. These alloys have high strength and hardness, moderate stiffness and elongation, and low density. However, they have low sag resistance and form dark oxides. They are white alloys, like all the other metals except the yellow Au-Pt-Pd alloys.

Base-Metal Alloys
Base-metal alloys are used extensively in dentistry for a variety of restorations and instruments, as shown in Box 10.1. Cast cobalt-chromium alloys have been used for many years for fabricating removable dental prosthesis frameworks and have replaced type IV gold alloys almost completely for this application. Nickel-chromium and cobalt-chromium alloys are used in ceramic-metal restorations.

The addition of beryllium to base-metal alloys improves castability by lowering the melting temperature and surface tension and increasing the strength of the porcelain-metal bond. However, beryllium, in vapor or particulate form, is associated with several diseases, including contact dermatitis, chronic lung disease, lung carcinoma, and osteosarcoma. Laboratory personnel are at greatest risk for beryllium exposure when performing melting, grinding, polishing, and finishing procedures. Efficient local exhaust and filtration systems as well as adequate general ventilation should be used when casting, finishing, and polishing these beryllium-containing alloys. The ADA Council on Scientific Affairs recommends that, where possible, beryllium-containing alloys should not be used in the fabrication of dental restorations. If alloys containing beryllium must be used, the Occupational Safety and
Health Administration (OSHA) guideline (OSHA Hazard Information Bulletin 02-04-19) must be followed. Several manufacturers have eliminated beryllium in their alloys. The ISO standard limits beryllium content to 0.02 wt%. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has accepted the ISO standard but has “grandfathered” beryllium-containing alloys on the market before 1976.

The presence of nickel in nickel-chromium alloys and stainless steel is of significant importance because nickel is a known allergen. The incidence of sensitivity to nickel has been reported to be from 5 to 10 times higher for females than for males, with 5% to 8% of females showing sensitivity. However, no correlation has been found between the presence of intraoral nickel-based restorations and sensitivity. A cobalt-chromium alloy without nickel or other nonnickel-containing alloy should be used on patients with a medical history indicating an allergic response to nickel. The amount of nickel in base-metal alloys used in direct soft tissue contact, such as in removable dental prosthesis frameworks, is diminishing. Nickel is mostly found in alloys for ceramic-metal restorations and for wrought applications such as wires. To minimize exposure of patients to metallic dust containing nickel or beryllium, intraoral finishing should be done with a high-speed evacuation system and preferably in a wet environment.

The use of titanium and titanium alloys is rapidly increasing for implants, orthodontic wires, and endodontic files. Stainless steel alloys are used principally for orthodontic wires, in fabricating endodontic instruments, and for preformed crowns.

**General Requirements of a Dental Base-Metal Alloy**

The metals and alloys used as substitutes for noble alloys in dental restorations must possess certain minimal fundamental characteristics:

1. The alloy’s chemical nature should not produce toxicologic or allergic effects in the patient or the operator.
2. The chemical properties of the prosthesis should provide resistance to corrosion and physical changes when in the oral fluids.
3. The physical and mechanical properties, such as thermal conductivity, melting temperature, coefficient of thermal expansion, and strength, should all be satisfactory, meeting certain minimum values for various prostheses’ designs.
4. The technical expertise needed for fabrication and use should be feasible for the average dentist and skilled technician.
5. The metals, alloys, and companion materials for fabrication should be plentiful, relatively inexpensive, and readily available, even in periods of emergency.

When base-metal alloys are used in ceramic-metal systems, the same requirements as listed for noble alloys apply.

This list of requirements for the ideal substitute for noble alloys suggests that a combination of chemical, physical, mechanical, and biological qualities is involved in the evaluation of each alloy. The properties of the alloys depend on material, compositional, and processing factors.

Cast and wrought base-metal alloys, including cobalt-chromium-nickel, nickel-chromium-iron, commercially pure titanium (CP Ti), titanium-aluminum-vanadium, stainless steel, nickel-titanium, and titanium-molybdenum (beta-titanium) alloys are discussed in this section. The discussion is based on the synergistic relationship between processing, composition, structure, and properties of the materials.

**Cobalt-Chromium and Nickel-Chromium Casting Alloys for Removable Dental Prostheses**

Almost all metal frameworks of removable dental prostheses are made from cobalt-chromium alloys.

**ANSI/ADA SPECIFICATION NO. 14 (ISO 6871)**

According to ANSI/ADA specification No. 14, the weight of chromium should be no less than 20%, and the total weight of chromium, cobalt, and nickel should be no less than 85%. Alloys having other compositions may also be accepted by the ADA, provided the alloys comply satisfactorily with requirements on toxicity, hypersensitivity, and corrosion. Elemental composition to the nearest 0.5% must be marked on the package, along with the presence and percentage of hazardous elements and recommendations for processing the materials. The specification also requires minimum values for elongation (1.5%), yield strength (500 MPa), and elastic modulus (170 GPa).

**COMPOSITION**

**PRINCIPAL ELEMENTS**

The principal elements present in cast base metals for removable dental prostheses are chromium, cobalt, and nickel, which together account for 82 to 92 wt% of most alloys used. Representative compositions of five commercial dental casting alloys, including three that are used for ceramic-metal restorations, are listed in Table 10.12. Chromium, cobalt, and nickel compose about 85% of the total weight of these alloys, yet their effect on the physical properties is rather limited, because the physical properties of these alloys are more controlled by the presence of minor alloying elements such as carbon, molybdenum, tungsten, manganese, nitrogen, tantalum, gallium, and aluminum.
**FUNCTION OF VARIOUS ALLOYING ELEMENTS** Chromium is responsible for the tarnish and corrosion resistance of these alloys. When the chromium content of an alloy is higher than 30%, the alloy is more difficult to cast and becomes more brittle. Therefore cast base-metal dental alloys should not contain more than 28% or 29% chromium. In general, cobalt and nickel, up to a certain percentage, are interchangeable elements. Cobalt increases the elastic modulus, strength, and hardness of the alloy more than nickel.

The effect of other alloying elements on the properties of these alloys is much more pronounced. One of the most effective ways of increasing the hardness of cobalt-based alloys is by increasing their carbon content. A change in the carbon content of approximately 0.2% changes the properties to such an extent that the alloy becomes too hard and brittle and should not be used for making any dental prostheses. Conversely, a reduction of 0.2% in the carbon content would reduce the alloy’s yield and ultimate tensile strengths to such low values that the alloy would also not be usable in dentistry. Furthermore, almost all elements in these alloys, such as chromium, silicon, molybdenum, cobalt, and nickel, react with carbon to form carbides, which change the properties of the alloys. Note that, as shown in Table 10.12, the nickel-chromium alloys used with ceramic contain significantly less carbon than the alloys used for removable dental prostheses. The presence of 3% to 6% molybdenum contributes to the strength of the alloys.

**Microstructure of Cast Base-Metal Alloys** The microstructure of a material controls its properties. In other words, a change in the physical properties of a material is a strong indication that there must have been some alteration in its microstructure. Sometimes this variation in microstructure cannot be distinguished by ordinary means. The microstructures of cobalt-chromium and nickel-chromium alloys are complex and change with slight alterations of manipulative conditions. Many elements present in cast base-metal alloys, such as chromium, cobalt, and molybdenum, are carbide-forming elements. Depending on the composition of the alloy and its manipulative condition, it may form many types of carbide in various types of arrangement.

The microstructure of a commercial cobalt-chromium alloy is illustrated in Fig. 10.10. In Fig. 10.10A, the carbides are continuous along the grain boundaries. Such a structure is obtained when the metal is quickly cast as soon as it is completely melted.

### TABLE 10.12 Composition of Major Cast Base-Metal Alloys Used in Dentistry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Vitallium&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Ticonium&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Ni-Cr Alloy with Be&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Ni-Cr Alloy without Be&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Co-Cr Alloy&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Co-Cr with Some Noble Metals&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Cr)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt (Co)</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel (Ni)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum (Mo)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tungsten (W)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niobium (Nb)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum (Al)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (Fe)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon (C)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium (Be)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon (Si)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (Mn)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold (Au)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallium (Ga)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare earth</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<sup>b</sup>Typical alloy compositions for ceramic-metal restorations with conventional porcelains.

<sup>c</sup>Alloy suitable for high-expansion porcelain.
In this condition, the cast alloy possesses low elongation values with a clean surface. Carbides that are spherical and discontinuous, like islands, are shown in Fig. 10.10B. Such a structure can be obtained if the alloy is heated more than 100°C above its normal melting temperature; this results in a casting with good elongation values but with a very poor surface because of an increased reaction with the investment material, and thus is not useful in dentistry. An alloy with dark areas having a distinct lamellar structure is shown in Fig. 10.10C. Such a structure is responsible for very low elongation values but a good and clean casting. From these three examples, it is clear that microstructure can strongly affect physical and mechanical properties.

The microstructure of Ni-Cr alloys is strongly dependent on alloy composition. Alloys that do and do not contain Be have complicated, multiphase microstructures such as those shown in Fig. 10.10D and E. The precipitates dispersed within the matrix include complex carbides, and these precipitates are relatively unaffected by the short heat-treatment cycles that the alloys are subjected to during ceramic firing procedures when used for porcelain-fused-to-metal restorations.
Heat Treatment of Base-Metal Alloys

The early base-metal alloys used in removable dental prostheses were primarily cobalt-chromium and were relatively simple. Heat treating these alloys up to 1 hour at 1000°C did not appreciably change their mechanical properties. Base-metal alloys available today for removable dental prostheses, however, are more complex. Presently, complex cobalt-chromium alloys, nickel-chromium alloys, and iron-chromium alloys are used for this purpose.

Studies have shown that many heat treatments of cobalt-based alloys reduce both the yield strength and elongation. If for any reason some soldering or welding must be performed on these removable dental prostheses, the lowest possible temperature should be used with the shortest possible heating time.

Physical Properties
MELTING TEMPERATURE

Compared with cast gold alloy types I to IV, which have a melting range of 800°C to 1050°C, the melting temperature of base-metal alloys is much higher, with a range of 1150°C to 1500°C.

DENSITY

The average density of cast base-metal alloys is between 7 and 8 g/cm³, which is about half the density of most dental gold alloys. Density is of some importance in bulky maxillary prostheses, in which the force of gravity causes the relative weight of the casting to place additional forces on the supporting teeth. With certain prostheses, therefore, the reduction of weight resulting from the lower density of the cast base-metal alloys can be considered an advantage.

Mechanical Properties

Typical mechanical properties of the alloys for removable dental prostheses listed in Table 10.12 have been assembled in Table 10.13, together with a representative range of values for type IV casting gold alloys subjected to a hardening heat treatment.

| TABLE 10.13 Mechanical Properties of Alloys Used in Removable Dental Prostheses |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                                 | Yield Strength at 0.2% Offset (MPa) | Tensile Strength (MPa) | Elongation (%) | Elastic Modulus (GPa) | Vickers Hardness Number (kg/mm²) |
| CAST BASE-METAL ALLOYS          |         |         |         |         |                     |
| Vitallium                       | 644     | 870     | 1.5     | 218     | 380                 |
| Ticonium                        | 710     | 807     | 2.4     | 186     | 340                 |
| Hardened removable dental       | 480–510 | 700–760 | 5–7     | 90–100  | 220–250             |
| prosthesis gold alloys          |         |         |         |         |                     |

Notes:

Heat Treatment of Base-Metal Alloys

The early base-metal alloys used in removable dental prostheses were primarily cobalt-chromium and were relatively simple. Heat treating these alloys up to 1 hour at 1000°C did not appreciably change their mechanical properties. Base-metal alloys available today for removable dental prostheses, however, are more complex. Presently, complex cobalt-chromium alloys, nickel-chromium alloys, and iron-chromium alloys are used for this purpose.

Studies have shown that many heat treatments of cobalt-based alloys reduce both the yield strength and elongation. If for any reason some soldering or welding must be performed on these removable dental prostheses, the lowest possible temperature should be used with the shortest possible heating time.

Physical Properties
MELTING TEMPERATURE

Compared with cast gold alloy types I to IV, which have a melting range of 800°C to 1050°C, the melting temperature of base-metal alloys is much higher, with a range of 1150°C to 1500°C.

DENSITY

The average density of cast base-metal alloys is between 7 and 8 g/cm³, which is about half the density of most dental gold alloys. Density is of some importance in bulky maxillary prostheses, in which the force of gravity causes the relative weight of the casting to place additional forces on the supporting teeth. With certain prostheses, therefore, the reduction of weight resulting from the lower density of the cast base-metal alloys can be considered an advantage.

Mechanical Properties

Typical mechanical properties of the alloys for removable dental prostheses listed in Table 10.12 have been assembled in Table 10.13, together with a representative range of values for type IV casting gold alloys subjected to a hardening heat treatment.

YIELD STRENGTH

The yield strength gives an indication of when a permanent deformation of a device or part of a device, such as a partial denture clasp, will occur. As such, it is one of the important properties of alloys intended for removable dental prostheses. It is believed that dental alloys should have yield strengths of at least 415 MPa to withstand permanent deformation when used as removable prosthesis clasps. It may be seen from Table 10.13 that base-metal dental alloys have yield strengths greater than 600 MPa.

TENSILE STRENGTH

The ultimate tensile strength of cast base-metal alloys is less influenced by variations in specimen preparation and test conditions than are some other properties, such as elongation. Table 10.13 shows that the ultimate tensile strength of cast base-metal dental alloys is greater than 800 MPa. Table 10.13 also shows that hardened removable dental prostheses gold alloys can have ultimate tensile strengths almost equal to those of cast base-metal alloys.

ELONGATION

The percent elongation of an alloy is important as an indication of the relative brittleness or ductility a restoration will exhibit. There are many occasions, therefore when elongation is an important property for comparison of alloys for removable dental prostheses. For example, as described in Chapter 4, the combined effect of elongation and ultimate tensile strength is an indication of toughness of a material. Because of their toughness, removable dental prosthesis clasps cast of alloys with a high elongation and tensile strength do not fracture in service as often as do those with low elongation.
A small amount of microporosity will decrease the elongation of a cast metal prosthesis considerably, whereas its effect on yield strength, elastic modulus, and tensile strength is rather limited. One can therefore assume that practical castings may exhibit similar variations in elongation from one casting to another. To some degree this is borne out in practice, with some castings from the same product showing a greater tendency toward brittleness than others. This observation indicates that control of the melting and casting variables is of extreme importance if reproducible results are to be obtained.

Although nickel and cobalt are interchangeable in cobalt-nickel-chromium alloys, increasing the nickel content with a corresponding reduction in cobalt generally increases the ductility and elongation. High values of elongation are obtained by casting at the normal melting temperature and by not heating the alloy more than 100°C above its normal casting temperature. High elongation is achieved without sacrificing strength and is the result of the precise and proper combination of carbon, nitrogen, silicon, manganese, and molybdenum content.

**ELASTIC MODULUS**

The higher the elastic modulus, the more rigid is the structure. Some dental professionals recommend the use of a well-designed, rigid prosthesis because it properly distributes forces on the supporting tissues when in service. With a greater elastic modulus, one can design the restoration with slightly reduced dimensions. From Table 10.13, it can be seen that the elastic modulus of base-metal alloys is approximately double the modulus of type IV cast dental gold alloys.

**HARDNESS**

Differences in composition of the cast base-metal alloys have some effect on their hardness, as indicated by the values given in Table 10.13. In general, cast base-metal alloys have hardness values about one-third greater than gold alloys used for the same purpose.

Hardness is an indication of the ease of finishing the structure and its resistance to scratching in service. The higher hardness of the cast base-metal alloys as compared with gold alloys requires the use of different polishing equipment and compounds, but the finishing operation can be completed without difficulty by experienced operators.

**FATIGUE**

The importance of the fatigue resistance of alloys used for removable dental prostheses is obvious when one considers that these prostheses are placed and removed daily. At these times, the clasps are strained as they slide around the retaining tooth, and the alloy undergoes fatigue. Comparisons among cobalt-chromium, titanium, and gold alloys show that cobalt-chromium alloys possess superior fatigue resistance, as indicated by a higher number of cycles (putting on and taking off) required to fracture a clasp. Any procedures that result in increasing the porosity or carbide content of the alloy will reduce fatigue resistance. In addition, soldered joints, which often contain inclusions or pores, represent weak links in the fatigue resistance of the prosthesis.

**CORROSION**

In vitro corrosion tests have evaluated a number of important variables, including effects of electrolytic media and artificial saliva, alloy composition, alloy microstructure, and surface state of the metal. These variables account for 2 to 4 orders of magnitude variation in the amount of metal ions released. The surface state of the metal is an extremely important factor influencing corrosion, because the surface composition is almost always different from that of the bulk alloy. Another important consideration is corrosion coupled with wear. Up to three times the mass of metal ions, such as nickel (Ni), is released during occlusal rubbing in combination with corrosion than during corrosion alone for nickel-chromium (Ni-Cr) alloys. No long-term studies have been performed to monitor the impact of the release of such large concentrations of metal ions on the overall health of patients.

**Base-Metal Casting Alloys for Fixed Prosthodontics**

Most of the nickel-chromium alloys contain 60% to 80% nickel, 10% to 27% chromium, and 2% to 14% molybdenum. As a comparison, cobalt-chromium alloys contain 53% to 67% cobalt, 25% to 32% chromium, and 2% to 6% molybdenum. They may also contain small amounts of aluminum, carbon, cobalt, copper, cerium, gallium, iron, manganese, niobium, silicon, tin, titanium, and zirconium. The range of compositions of base-metal alloys for ceramic-metal restorations is given in Table 10.14, and typical properties of these alloys are listed in Table 10.15.

Base-metal casting alloys exhibit a higher hardness and elastic modulus than do noble-metal alloys, but they require a slightly different approach in casting and soldering to accommodate their higher solidification shrinkage and generally lower densities than noble alloys.

**NICKEL-CHROMIUM (NI-CR) ALLOYS**

Chromium provides tarnish and corrosion resistance, whereas alloys containing aluminum (Al) are strengthened by the formation of coherent precipitates of Ni3Al. Molybdenum (Mo) is added to decrease the thermal coefficient of expansion. Note
Again, chromium provides tarnish and corrosion resistance, and the Co-Cr and Ni-Cr alloys have superior biocompatibility compared with the other base-metal alloys, but Ti and Ti-6Al-4V provide higher elastic moduli, in some cases allowing for the use of thinner copings and frameworks. They have much lower densities (7 to 8 g/cm³) and generally higher casting temperatures. Adequate casting compensation is at times a problem, as is the fit of the coping.

COBALT-CHROMIUM (CO-CR) ALLOYS

These devices process zirconium, cobalt-chromium, or other dental computer-aided design systems. Advanced rapid manufacturing technology called direct metal laser sintering is being used to create pure cobalt-chrome crowns and fixed dental prosthesis frameworks. This method uses a high-power laser to fuse successive 0.02-mm-thick layers of powdered metal. After all layers are built, the solid copings and frameworks are removed from the machine, sand blasted, polished, and cleaned, ready for ceramic application. Cobalt-chrome can also be machined using computer-aided design and manufacturing processes. High-speed milling machines under numerical control reproduce geometries created in software originating from scanners or other dental computer-aided design systems.

TITANIUM (TI) AND TITANIUM ALLOYS

that because of the wide differences in atomic weight of nickel and chromium, 2 wt% is roughly equal to 6 at%.

These alloys are harder than noble alloys but usually have lower yield strengths. They also have higher elastic moduli, in some cases allowing for the use of thinner copings and frameworks. They have much lower densities (7 to 8 g/cm³) and generally higher casting temperatures. Adequate casting compensation is at times a problem, as is the fit of the coping.

TABLE 10.14 Composition Ranges of Base Metals for Ceramic-Metal Restorations (wt%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Be</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Ru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Cr</td>
<td>69–77</td>
<td>13–16</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4–14</td>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Cr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15–25</td>
<td>55–58</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>0–7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0–3</td>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>0–6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>90–100</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0–6</td>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>0–0.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 10.15 Properties of Base-Metal Alloys for Ceramic-Metal Restorations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Yield Strength at 0.2% Offset (MPa)</th>
<th>Elastic Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
<th>VHN</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Casting Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Cr</td>
<td>400–1000</td>
<td>255–730</td>
<td>150–210</td>
<td>8–20</td>
<td>210–380</td>
<td>7.5–7.7</td>
<td>1300–1450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VHN: Vickers hardness number.

Cast cobalt-chromium alloys are also used in orthopedics in the surgical repair of bone fractures, specifically for bone plates, screws, various fracture appliances, and splints. Metallic obturators and implants for various purposes can be formed from cast base-metal alloys. The use of cobalt-chromium alloys for surgical purposes is well established, and these alloys have numerous oral surgical uses. They can be implanted directly into the bone structure for long periods without harmful reactions. This favorable response of the tissue is probably attributable to the low solubility and electrochemical action of the alloy; the metal is inert and produces no inflammatory response. The product known as surgical Vitallium has been used extensively for this purpose. However, the primary metal used in dental implants today is titanium (see Chapter 15).
titanium, and plastics. Five-axis machining enables the creation of embrasures, undercuts, and other intricate geometries without the need for manual trimming and adjustment.

**TITANIUM AND TITANIUM ALLOYS**

Titanium’s resistance to electrochemical degradation; benign biological response elicited; relatively light weight; and low density, low modulus, and high strength make titanium-based materials attractive for use in dentistry. Titanium forms a very stable oxide layer with a thickness on the order of angstroms, and it repassivates in a time on the order of nanoseconds \((10^{-9} \text{ second})\). This oxide formation is the basis for the corrosion resistance and biocompatibility of titanium. Titanium has therefore been called the material of choice in dentistry.

CP Ti is used for dental implants, surface coatings, and more recently, for crowns, partial removable dental prostheses, and orthodontic wires. Several titanium alloys are also used. Of these alloys, Ti-6Al-4V is the most widely used. Wrought alloys of titanium and nickel and titanium and molybdenum are used for orthodontic wires. The term titanium is often used to include all types of pure and alloyed titanium. However, it should be noted that the processing, composition, structure, and properties of the various titanium alloys are quite different, and also that differences exist between the wrought and cast forms of a given type of titanium.

**COMMERCIALY PURE TITANIUM**

CP Ti is available in four grades, which vary according to the oxygen \((0.18 \text{ to } 0.40 \text{ wt%})\) and iron \((0.20 \text{ to } 0.50 \text{ wt%})\) content (Table 10.16). These seemingly slight concentration differences have a substantial effect on the physical and mechanical properties.

At room temperature, CP Ti has a hexagonal close-packed crystal lattice, which is denoted as the alpha \((\alpha)\) phase. On heating, a phase transformation occurs. At 883°C, a body-centered cubic phase, which is denoted as the beta \((\beta)\) phase, forms. A component with predominantly \(\beta\) phase is stronger but more brittle than a component with \(\alpha\)-phase microstructure. As with other metals, the temperature and time of processing and heat treatment dictate the amount, ratio, and distribution of phases, overall composition and microstructure, and resultant properties. As a result, casting temperature and cooling procedure are critical factors in ensuring a successful casting.

The density of CP Ti \((4.5 \text{ g/cm}^3)\) and its elastic modulus \((100 \text{ GPa})\) are about half the value of many of the other base metals. The yield and ultimate strengths vary, respectively, from 170 to 480 MPa and 240 to 550 MPa, depending on the grade of titanium. Table 10.17 lists the mechanical properties for CP grades 1 and 4 Ti for comparison with noble alloys and other base-metal alloys.

**TITANIUM ALLOYS: GENERAL**

Alloying elements are added to stabilize either the \(\alpha\) or the \(\beta\) phase, by changing the \(\beta\)-transformation temperature. For example, in Ti-6Al-4V, aluminum is an \(\alpha\) stabilizer, whereas vanadium, as well as copper and palladium, are \(\beta\) stabilizers.

In general, alpha-titanium is weldable, but difficult to form or work with at room temperature. Beta-titanium, however, is malleable at room temperature and is thus used in orthodontics to make wires. The \((\alpha + \beta)\)

---

**TABLE 10.16** Composition of CP Titanium and Alloy (wt%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Ti</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP grade I</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP grade II</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP grade III</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP grade IV</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-6Al-4V</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>5.50–6.50</td>
<td>3.50–4.50</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CP, commercially pure.

**TABLE 10.17** Mechanical Properties of Titanium and Other Selected Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Elastic Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Yield Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>316L SS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Cr-Mo</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IV gold</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>&gt;340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP grade 1 Ti</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP grade 4 Ti</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-6Al-4V</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CP, commercially pure; SS, stainless steel.
alloys are strong and formable but difficult to weld. Thermal and thermochemical treatments can refine the postcast microstructures and improve properties.

**Ti-6Al-4V** At room temperature, Ti-6Al-4V is a two-phase (α + β) alloy. At about 975°C, a phase transformation takes place, transforming the microstructure to a single-phase body-centered cubic β alloy. Thermal treatments dictate the relative amounts of the α and β phases and the phase morphologies and yield a variety of microstructures and a range of mechanical properties. Microstructural variations depend on whether working and heat treatments were performed above or below the β-transition temperature and on the cooling rate.

Following forging (i.e., mechanically deforming) at temperatures in the range of 700°C to 950°C, thermal treatments below the β-transition temperature (typically performed at approximately 700°C) produce recrystallized microstructures having fine equiaxed α grains (Fig. 10.11). Equiaxed microstructures are characterized by small (3 to 10 μm), rounded grains that have aspect ratios near unity. This class of microstructure is recommended for Ti-6Al-4V surgical implants.

The mechanical properties of (α + β) titanium alloys are dictated by the amount, size, shape, and morphology of the α phase and the density of α/β interfaces. The tensile and fatigue properties of Ti-6Al-4V have been studied extensively. Microstructures with a small (less than 20 μm) α-grain size, a well-dispersed β phase, and a small α/β interface area, such as in equiaxed microstructures, resist fatigue crack initiation best and have the best high-cycle fatigue strength (approximately 500 to 700 MPa). Lamellar microstructures, which have a greater α/β surface area and more oriented colonies, have lower fatigue strengths (approximately 300 to 500 MPa) than do equiaxed microstructures.

**MACHINED TITANIUM FOR DENTAL IMPLANTS** Endosseus dental implants are machined from billets of titanium. Typical materials are CP Ti or the Ti-6Al-4V alloy. Studies have been published on the potential for replacing vanadium with niobium, which might improve cell attachment. Recent research has focused on engineering specific surface textures on machined titanium to improve both the degree of osseointegration and the adaptation of soft tissue to the collar of the implant. To increase surface area for contact by bone cells, machined titanium can be grit blasted with metal oxide or hydroxyapatite particles. The surface can also be plasma sprayed with titanium or coated with hydroxyapatite. More discussion of implant surface modifications can be found in Chapter 15.

**CAST TITANIUM** Based on the attributes, extensive knowledge, and clinical success of wrought titanium implants, interest has developed in cast titanium for dental applications. Although titanium has been cast for more than 50 years, only recently have nearly precision castings been attainable. For aerospace and medical components, hot isostatic pressing and specific finishing techniques are routinely practiced. However, these techniques are beyond the capabilities and affordability of most dental laboratories.

The two most important factors in casting titanium-based materials are their high melting point (1700°C for CP Ti) and chemical reactivity. Because of the high melting point, special melting procedures, cooling cycles, mold material, and casting equipment to prevent metal contamination are required. Titanium readily reacts with gaseous elements such as hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen, particularly at elevated temperatures (greater than 600°C). As a result, any manipulation of titanium at elevated temperatures must be performed in a well-controlled vacuum or inert atmosphere. Without such controls, titanium surfaces will be contaminated by an oxygen-enriched and hardened surface layer, which can be as thick as 100 μm, and can reduce strength and ductility and promote cracking because of the embrittling effect of the oxygen. The technology required to overcome these factors is what makes casting titanium relatively more expensive.

Because of the high affinity titanium has for hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen, standard crucibles and investment materials cannot be used. Investment materials or face coats for the wax patterns must have oxides that are more stable than the very stable titanium oxide, and must also be able to withstand a temperature sufficient to melt titanium. If this is not the case, oxygen is likely to diffuse into the molten metal. Investment materials using a combination of ZrO₂-type face coat that is backed up by
a phosphate-bonded silica investment or phosphate investment materials involving inert fillers (ZrO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, MgO) achieve this goal.

Because of the low density of titanium, it is difficult to cast in conventional, centrifugal-force casting machines. In the last 20 years, advanced casting techniques, which combine centrifugal, vacuum, pressure, and gravity casting, new investment materials, and advanced melting techniques (e.g., electric arc melting) have been developed. These advances have improved the feasibility of casting titanium-based materials in the dental laboratory.

Pure titanium and Ti-alloys such as Ti-6Al-4V have been cast into crowns, and removable dental prosthesis frameworks. Titanium alloys have a lower melting point than pure titanium. By alloying titanium, the melting temperature can be lowered to the same temperature as that of nickel-chromium and cobalt-chromium alloys. For example, the Ti-Pd and Ti-Cu alloys have melting points of 1350°C. Lower casting temperatures may also reduce the reactivity of titanium with oxygen and other gases.

Microstructures of cast titanium materials are similar to those described previously, namely, coarse lamellar grains, a result of slow cooling through the β to α or β to (α + β) transformation temperature (Fig. 10.12).

The mechanical properties of cast CP Ti are similar to those of types III and IV gold alloy, whereas cast Ti-6Al-4V and Ti-15V exhibit properties, except for modulus, similar to those of nickel-chromium and cobalt-chromium alloys.

Recently, cast Ti-6Al-4V microstructures have been refined by temporary alloying with hydrogen. The resulting microstructures (Fig. 10.13) can have α-grain sizes less than 1 μm, aspect ratios near unity, and discontinuous grain boundary α, microstructural attributes that increase tensile and fatigue strength. These changes in microstructural form and structure result in significant increases in yield strength (974 to 1119 MPa), ultimate strength (1025 to 1152 MPa), and fatigue strength (643 to 669 MPa) as compared with respective values for lamellar (902, 994, and 497 MPa) and equiaxed microstructures (914, 1000, and 590 MPa).

Pure titanium has been cast with a pressure-vacuum casting machine. Other manufacturers have developed centrifugal casting machines that use an electric arc to melt the titanium in an argon or helium atmosphere. Melting is performed in a copper crucible, followed by centrifugal casting into a mold that uses investment. Such machines provide a relatively oxygen-free environment and, with the use of a tungsten arc, can reach temperatures of 2000°C. This latter casting regime has been used to cast CP Ti crowns. Crowns cast in this manner have been evaluated clinically, and results revealed that, although the fit was inferior to that of silver-palladium alloy, it was superior to that of nickel-chromium. Occlusal adjustment was no more difficult than with conventional crowns, and discoloration, occlusal wear, and plaque retention were similar to other metals.

Observations of randomly chosen cast crowns using old machines and silica-containing investments have revealed gross surface porosities, to a depth of 75 μm, on both the inside and outside of the surfaces. Mechanical polishing is insufficient to remove this porosity. Internal porosities, sometimes measuring up to 30% of the cross-sectional area, are also readily observed. Surfaces of castings can also be contaminated, probably due to poor atmosphere control or contamination from crucible and mold materials. For optimum functionality of the final casting, the surface layer must be removed during finishing. However, internal oxidation can remain and compromise the mechanical properties of the final prosthesis. Further examination of such
Castings has also revealed multiple microcracks at the edges of the margins. Some cracks are as long as 100 μm. Cracks of this length are catastrophic to a notch-sensitive material such as titanium.

As outlined, the difficulties with cast titanium for dental purposes include high melting point and high reactivity, low casting efficiency, inadequate expansion of investment, casting porosity, and difficulty in finishing this metal. From a technical standpoint, titanium is difficult to weld, solder, machine, finish, and adjust. Casting titanium requires expensive equipment.

**WROUGHT ALLOYS**

Alloys that are worked and adapted into prefabricated forms for use in dental restorations are described as *wrought alloys*. A wrought form is one that has been worked or shaped and fashioned into a serviceable form for a prosthesis (Fig. 10.14). The work done to the alloy is usually at a temperature far below the melting range and is therefore referred to as *cold work*. Wrought forms may include precision attachments, backings for artificial teeth, and wire in various cross-sectional shapes. Wrought alloys are used in two ways in dental prostheses. First, they can be soldered to a previously cast restoration. An example is a wrought wire clasp on a removable dental prosthesis framework. Second, they can be embedded into a cast framework by casting to the alloy, as a precision attachment is cast to the retainer of a crown, bridge, or removable prosthesis. The physical properties required of the wrought alloy will depend on the technique used and the composition of the alloy in the existing prosthesis.

**Microstructure**

The microstructure of wrought alloys is fibrous. This fibrous structure results from the cold work applied during the operations that shape the alloy into its final form. Wires or other wrought forms normally have a measurable increase in tensile strength and hardness when compared with corresponding cast structures. The increase in these properties results from the entangled, fibrous internal structure created by the cold work.

Wrought forms will recrystallize during heating operations unless caution is exercised. During recrystallization, the fibrous microstructure is converted to a grained structure similar to the structure of a cast form. In general, the amount of recrystallization increases as both the heating time and temperature become excessive. For example, in most noble dental wires, a short heating cycle during the soldering operation is not sufficient to appreciably recrystallize the wire, even though the temperature approaches the fusion temperature. However, a prolonged heating period of 30 to 60 seconds or longer may cause recrystallization, depending on the time, temperature, alloy composition, and manner in which the wire was fabricated. Recrystallization results in a reduction in mechanical properties in proportion to the amount of recrystallization. Severe recrystallization can cause wrought forms to become brittle in the area of recrystallization. Therefore heating operations must be minimized when working with wrought forms.

**Composition**

By the current ADA definitions, all alloys used for wrought forms are high-noble alloys except one, which is a noble alloy (Table 10.18). As with the casting alloys, several strategies have been used to formulate alloys with appropriate properties. The compositions in Table 10.18 are not inclusive of all available wrought alloys, but are intended to demonstrate typical alloys. These compositions are designed to provide a range of melting ranges and mechanical properties that are appropriate for wrought alloy applications. The Pt-Au-Pd alloys contain primarily platinum with equal amounts (27 wt%) of palladium and gold. These platinum-gold-palladium alloys have been commonly used as clasping wires on removable dental prostheses. The Au-Pt-Pd alloys are primarily gold with platinum and palladium. The Au-Pt-Cu-Ag, Au-Pt-Ag-Cu, and Au-Ag-Cu-Pd alloys contain approximately 60 wt% gold, but have
adopted different strategies for the remaining 40% of the mass. The first two of these alloys contain about 15 wt% platinum with the balance in silver, copper, and palladium, whereas the third of these alloys contains no platinum and higher amounts of silver. The last alloy shown in Table 10.18 contains no appreciable gold or platinum, but consists of palladium and silver in approximately equal amounts with about 16 wt% copper. The Au-Ag-Cu-Pd wrought alloy (see Table 10.18) is similar to the Au-Cu-Ag-Pd-II casting alloy (see Table 10.5). These alloys differ only slightly in the gold/silver ratio. Other wrought alloys differ from the casting alloys primarily in their higher platinum contents and absence of iridium or ruthenium grain refiners. Platinum is added to increase the melting temperature of the alloys. The grain refinement is not necessary because these alloys are cold-worked into their final forms.

Properties
The properties of alloys used for wrought applications are shown in Table 10.19. The solidus (temperature at which the alloy is completely frozen) of these alloys ranges from 875°C for Au-Ag-Cu-Pd to 1500°C for Pt-Au-Pd. If the wrought form is to be cast to or soldered to, the solidus must be sufficiently high so the form does not melt or lose its fibrous structure during burnout or casting operations. The solidus required will depend on the metals to be joined, the solder, and the burnout and casting temperatures to be used. In general, alloys with high solidus temperatures also have higher recrystallization temperatures. These alloys are mostly white because of the high platinum and palladium contents. Exceptions are the Au-Pt-Ag-Cu and Au-Ag-Cu-Pd alloys, which are light yellow and yellow, respectively. Yield strength, elongation, and hardness are properties relevant to wrought alloys (see Table 10.19). The wrought form must generally have yield strength low enough to allow for adjustment (of a clasp or attachment), but be high enough that permanent distortion does not occur in service. Furthermore, the elongation must be sufficient to allow for adjustment without fracture. Three of the wrought alloys shown in Table 10.19 can be hardened by formation of ordered phases. The Au-Pt-Ag-Cu and Au-Ag-Cu-Pd alloys are hardened by an Au-Cu ordered phase, whereas the Pd-Ag-Cu alloys are hardened by a Pd-Cu ordered phase. As with the casting alloys, the ordered phase imparts significantly more strength and hardness to the alloy and lower elongation.

Wrought Stainless Steel Alloys
Steel is an iron-carbon alloy. The term stainless steel is applied to alloys of iron and carbon that contain

---

**TABLE 10.18** Composition of Typical Wrought Dental Alloys (wt%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Pd</th>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt-Au-Pd</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt-Pd</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt-Cu-Ag</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ir 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt-Ag-Cu</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Ag-Cu-Pd</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Zn 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-Ag-Cu</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 10.19** Properties of Typical Wrought Dental Alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Solidus (°C)</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>0.2% Yield (Soft/Hard) (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation (Soft/Hard) (%)</th>
<th>Vickers Hardness (Soft/Hard) (kg/mm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt-Au-Pd</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt-Pd</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt-Cu-Ag</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt-Ag-Cu</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>450/700</td>
<td>30/10</td>
<td>190/285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Ag-Cu-Pd</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>400/750</td>
<td>35/8</td>
<td>170/260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-Ag-Cu</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>515/810</td>
<td>20/12</td>
<td>210/300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
chromium, nickel, manganese, and perhaps other metals to improve properties and give the stainless quality to the steel. Usually, stainless steel alloys are not cast, but instead are used in the wrought form in dentistry. As a result, the types of prostheses formed from these two materials differ. The most common applications of stainless steel for dental purposes at present are in the preparation of orthodontic appliances and fabrication of endodontic instruments, such as files and reamers. Some specialized applications of stainless steel exist for temporary space maintainers, prefabricated crowns, or other prostheses placed in the mouth, and for various clinical and laboratory instruments.

**Composition**

The various classes of stainless steel alloys are referred to as ferritic, martensitic, and austenitic, and they have different compositions, properties, and applications. The ferritic stainless steels are chromium steels employed in the manufacture of instruments or equipment parts in which some degree of tarnish resistance is desirable. A wide range of compositions is available in this group, in which chromium, the principal element contributing to stainless qualities, may vary from 15% to 25%. Elements such as carbon, silicon, and molybdenum are included but are all held within narrow limits.

The martensitic steels also are primarily chromium steels with a lower chromium content (about 12% to 18%). These steels can be hardened to some degree by heat treatment, and they have a moderate resistance to tarnish. They are used chiefly in the manufacture of instruments and, to a limited degree, for orthodontic appliances.

The austenitic steels represent the alloys used most extensively for dental prostheses. The most common austenitic steel used in dentistry is 18–8 stainless steel, so named because it contains approximately 18% chromium and 8% nickel. The carbon content is between 0.08% and 0.20%, and titanium, manganese, silicon, molybdenum, niobium, and tantalum are present in minor amounts to give important modifications to the properties. The balance (≈72%) is iron.

**Function of Alloying Elements and Chemical Resistance**

The corrosion resistance of stainless steel is attributed largely to the presence of chromium in the alloy. Iron cannot be used without chromium additions because iron oxide (Fe₂O₃), or rust, is not adherent to the bulk metal. About 11% chromium is needed to produce corrosion resistance in pure iron, and the necessary proportion is increased with the addition of carbon to form steel. Chromium resists corrosion well because of the formation of a strongly adherent coating of oxide on the surface, which prevents further reaction with the metal below the surface. The formation of such an oxide layer is called *passivation*. The surface coating is not visible, even at high magnification, but the film adds to the metallic luster of the metal. The degree of passivity is influenced by a number of factors, such as alloy composition, heat treatment, surface condition, stress in the prosthesis, and the environment in which the prosthesis is placed. In dental applications, the stainless characteristics of the alloys can therefore be altered or lost by excessive heating during assembly or adaptation; using abrasives or reactive cleaning agents (specifically those containing chlorine), which can alter the surface conditions of the prosthesis; and even by poor oral hygiene practices over prolonged periods.

Of the stainless steel alloys in general use, the austenitic type of 18–8 stainless steel shows the greatest resistance to corrosion and tarnish. The chromium composition in these alloys must be between 13% and 28% for optimal corrosion resistance. If the chromium content is less than 13%, the adherent chromium oxide layer does not form. If there is more than 28% chromium, chromium carbides form at the grain boundaries, embrittling the steel. The amount of carbon must also be tightly controlled. If not, carbon will react with chromium, forming chromium carbides in the grain-boundaries, which leads to depletion of chromium in the individual grains and decreases corrosion resistance in a process known as *sensitization*. Molybdenum increases the resistance to pitting corrosion.

The elements present in small amounts tend to prevent the formation of carbides between the carbon present in the alloy and the iron or chromium and, as a result, often are described as *stabilizing elements*. Some steels, termed stabilized stainless steels, contain titanium, niobium, or tantalum, so the carbides that do form are titanium carbides rather than chromium carbides.

The chemical resistance of stainless steel alloys is improved if the surface is clean, smooth, and polished. Irregularities promote electrochemical action on the surface of the alloy. Soldering operations on stainless steel with gold and silver solder may contribute to a reduction in stainless qualities because of electrogallvanic action between dissimilar metals or because of localized, improper composition of the stainless steel wire.

**Stress-Relieving Treatments**

The 18–8 alloys are not subject to an increase in properties by heat treatment, but they do respond to strain hardening as a result of cold work during adjustment or adaptation of the alloy to form the prosthesis. Heat treatment above 650°C results in recrystallization of the microstructure, compositional
changes, and formation of chromium carbides, three factors that can reduce mechanical properties and corrosion resistance.

Prostheses formed from these alloys may, however, be subjected to a stress-relieving operation to remove the effects of cold working during fabrication, increase ductility, or produce some degree of hardening with some alloys. If heat treatment is to be performed, it should be held to temperatures between 400°C and 500°C for 5 to 120 seconds, depending on the temperature, type of prosthesis, and alloy being heated. A time of 1 minute at 450°C would represent an average treatment to be used on an orthodontic appliance. Keep in mind that temperatures above 650°C will soften or anneal the alloy, and the properties cannot be restored by further treatment. The main advantage of a low-temperature heat-treating operation is that it establishes a uniformity of properties throughout the prosthesis after adaptation and fabrication, which may reduce the tendency toward breakage in service. Factors affecting an alloy’s ability to be heat treated and stress relieved include alloy composition, working history (i.e., fabrication procedure), and the duration, temperature, and atmosphere of the heat treatment.

A description of stainless steel orthodontic wires, wrought cobalt-chromium-nickel alloy, and other orthodontic wires can be found on the website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

Wrought Nickel-Titanium Alloy

A wrought nickel-titanium alloy known as Nitinol is used as a wire for orthodontic appliances. Nitinol is characterized by its high resiliency, limited formability, and thermal memory. Other nickel-titanium alloys contain copper in small amounts to modify the temperature of the shape-memory effect described in the next section.

Composition and Shape-Memory Effect

The industrial alloy is 55% nickel and 45% titanium and possesses a temperature transition range (TTR). At temperatures below the TTR, the alloy can be deformed plastically. When the alloy is then heated from below to above the TTR, a temperature-induced crystallographic transformation from a martensitic to an austenitic microstructure occurs and the alloy will return to its original shape. Hence nickel-titanium is called a shape-memory alloy. The orthodontic alloy contains several percent cobalt to lower the TTR. A number of variations of the Ni-Ti alloy have been developed in dentistry. Compositional variations lead to changes in the martensitic and austenitic start and finish temperatures and mechanical properties. Only those wires with austenitic finish temperatures less than 37°C exhibit the property known as super-elasticity, where the wire can be deformed dramatically without becoming strain hardened.

Properties and Manipulation

Mechanical properties of an orthodontic nickel-titanium alloy are compared with those of stainless steel and a beta-titanium alloy in tension, bending, and torsion in Table 10.20. The nickel-titanium alloy has the lowest elastic modulus and yield strength but the highest springback (maximum elastic deflection). As shown in Figs. 10.15 and 10.16, nickel-titanium has the lowest spring rate but the highest resiliency in bending and torsion of the three alloys used for orthodontic wires. Clinically, the low elastic modulus and high resiliency mean that lower and more constant forces can be applied with activations and an increased working range. The high springback is important if large deflections are needed, such as with poorly aligned teeth. Nitinol wire requires special bending techniques and cannot be bent over a sharp edge or into a complete loop; thus the wire is more suited for use with pretorqued, preangled brackets. The alloy is brittle and therefore cannot be soldered or welded, so wires must be joined mechanically.

### Table 10.20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>18-8 Stainless Steel</th>
<th>Nickel-Titanium</th>
<th>Beta-Titanium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TENSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield strength, 0.1% offset, MPa</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus, GPa</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springback (σ₀/E), 10⁻²</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BENDING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield strength, 2.9-degree offset, MPa</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>1080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus, GPa</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring rate, mm-N/degree</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TORSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring rate, mm-N/degree</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are for a 0.43 × 0.64-mm² rectangular wire.

A description of nickel-titanium endodontic instruments can be found on the website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

Wrought Beta-Titanium Alloy

**Composition and Microstructure**

A titanium-molybdenum alloy known as beta-titanium is used as a wrought orthodontic wire. As discussed previously, CP Ti exists in a hexagonal, close-packed crystal lattice at temperatures below 883°C and in a body-centered cubic crystal lattice at higher temperatures. These structures are referred to as alpha-titanium and beta-titanium, respectively. The beta form of Ti can be stabilized at room temperature by alloying with certain elements. Beta-titanium alloy for dental use has the composition 78% titanium, 11.5% molybdenum, 6% zirconium, and 4.5% tin and is supplied as wrought wire.

**Properties**

Compared with stainless steel wires, beta-titanium wire has lower force magnitudes, a lower elastic modulus, higher springback (maximum elastic deflection), a lower yield strength, and good ductility, weldability, and corrosion resistance. The mechanical properties of beta-titanium alloy in tension, bending, and torsion are compared with stainless steel and nickel-titanium alloys in Table 10.20 and Figs. 10.15 and 10.16. Beta-titanium alloy has values of yield strength, modulus of elasticity, and springback intermediate to those of stainless steel and Nitinol. Its formability and weldability are advantages over Nitinol, and it has a larger working range than do stainless steel wires.
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**FIG. 10.15** Bending moment versus angular deflection. Stored energy at a fixed bending moment below the proportional limit for 0.48 × 0.64 mm wires of alloys stainless steel (SS), beta-titanium (TM), and nickel-titanium (NT). The stored energy is equal to the shaded areas under the curve for each wire. The spring rate is equal to the slope of each curve. (From Drake SR, Wayne DM, Powers JM, Asgar K. Mechanical properties of orthodontic wires in tension, bending, and torsion. Am J Orthod. 1982;82:206–210.)

**FIG. 10.16** Stored energy at a fixed torsional moment below the proportional limit for 0.48 × 0.64-mm wires of alloys stainless steel (SS), beta-titanium (TM), and nickel-titanium (NT). The stored energy is equal to the shaded area under the curve for each wire. The spring rate is equal to the slope of each curve. (From Drake SR, Wayne DM, Powers JM, Asgar K. Mechanical properties of orthodontic wires in tension, bending, and torsion. Am J Orthod. 1982;82:206–210.)
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**Titanium**

The term ceramic refers to any product made from a nonmetallic inorganic material usually processed by firing at a high temperature to achieve desirable properties. The more restrictive term porcelain refers to a specific compositional range of ceramic materials originally made by mixing kaolin (hydrated aluminosilicate), quartz (silica), and feldspars (potassium and sodium aluminosilicates), and firing at high temperature. Dental ceramics for metal-ceramic restorations belong to this compositional range and are commonly referred to as dental porcelains.

The laboratory portion of ceramic restorations is usually made in a commercial dental laboratory by skilled technicians working with specialized equipment to shape and tint the restoration to the specifications provided by the dentist. Skilled technicians and artisans are also employed by the manufacturers of artificial denture teeth to produce the many forms, types, and shades necessary in this application of porcelain. However, a variety of machinable ceramics are also available for chair-side fabrication of all-ceramic restorations by computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM). A discussion of CAD/CAM systems is presented in Chapter 14.

Classification by Application

Ceramics have two major applications in dentistry: (1) ceramics for metal-ceramic crowns (Fig. 11.1, right) and fixed dental prostheses (FDPs), (2) all-ceramic materials for crowns (Fig. 11.1, left), inlays, onlays, veneers, and FDPs. In addition, ceramic orthodontic brackets, dental implant abutments, and ceramic denture teeth are available.

Classification by Fabrication Method

The classification by fabrication method is summarized in Table 11.1, which also includes examples of commercial ceramics and their manufacturers. The most common fabrication technique for metal-ceramic restorations is called sintering. Sintering is the process of firing the compacted ceramic powder at high temperature to ensure optimal densification. This occurs by progressive pore elimination and viscous flow when the firing temperature is reached. All-ceramic restorations can also be produced by sintering, but they encompass a wider range of processing techniques, including slip casting, heat pressing, and CAD/CAM machining. Some of these techniques, such as machining and heat pressing, can also be combined to produce the final restoration.

Classification by Crystalline Phase

Regardless of their applications or fabrication technique, after firing, dental ceramics are composed of a glassy (or vitreous) phase and one or more crystalline phases, together with various amounts of porosity. Depending on the nature and amount of crystalline phase and porosity present, the mechanical and optical properties of dental ceramics vary widely. Increasing the amount of crystalline phase may lead to crystalline reinforcement and increase the resistance to crack propagation but also can decrease translucency. Materials for all-ceramic restorations have increased amounts of crystalline phase (between 35% for leucite-reinforced ceramics and up to 99% for polycrystalline zirconia ceramics such as 3Y-TZP) for better mechanical properties, but they are usually more opaque than dental porcelains for metal-ceramic restorations with...
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TABLE 11.1 Classification of Dental Ceramic Materials with Examples of Products and Their Manufacturers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Fabrication</th>
<th>Crystalline Phase</th>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Manufacturers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All-ceramic</td>
<td>Soft machined</td>
<td>Zirconia (3Y-TZP)</td>
<td>Cercon</td>
<td>Dentsply International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lava</td>
<td>3M Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IPS e.max ZirCAD</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In-Ceram YZ</td>
<td>Vident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zirconia (cubic &amp; tetragonal)</td>
<td>Zpex Smile</td>
<td>Tosoh Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard machined</td>
<td>Lithium disilicate (Li₂Si₂O₅)</td>
<td>IPS e.max CAD</td>
<td>IPS e.max ZirCAD</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lithium silicate (Li₂Si₂O₅ and Li₂SiO₃)</td>
<td>Vita Suprinity</td>
<td>Celtra Duo</td>
<td>Vident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feldspar [(Na, K)AlSi₃O₈]</td>
<td>IPS Mark II</td>
<td>Vident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leucite (KAISi₂O₃)</td>
<td>IPS Empress CAD</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat pressed</td>
<td>Leucite (KAISi₂O₃)</td>
<td>IPS Empress</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lithium disilicate (Li₂Si₂O₅)</td>
<td>IPS e.max Press</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluorapatite [Ca₅(PO₄)₃F]</td>
<td>IPS e.max ZirPress</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sintered</td>
<td>Leucite (KAISi₂O₃)</td>
<td>IPS Empress layering ceramic</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alumina (Al₂O₃)</td>
<td>Proceral AllCeram</td>
<td>Nobel Biocare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluorapatite [Ca₅(PO₄)₃F]</td>
<td>IPS e.max Ceram layering ceramic</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal-ceramic</td>
<td>Sintered</td>
<td>Leucite (KAISi₂O₃)</td>
<td>VMK-95</td>
<td>Vident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denture teeth</td>
<td>Manufactured</td>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>TruByte</td>
<td>Dentsply International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>VITA LUMIN Vacuum</td>
<td>Vident</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 11.1 Cutaways of all-ceramic crown (left) and porcelain fused to metal crown (right). (Courtesy Dr. Charles Mark Malloy, Portland, OR.)

low crystallinity. Table 11.1 lists the various combinations of fabrication techniques and crystalline phases found in dental ceramics.

GENERAL APPLICATIONS OF CERAMICS IN PROSTHETIC DENTISTRY

Ceramics represent the best material available for matching the esthetics of a complex human tooth structure. Their applications in dentistry are steadily expanding as new materials and manufacturing techniques are being introduced. As mentioned earlier, they are used in single and multiunit metal-ceramic restorations. The applications of all-ceramic materials include inlays, onlays, veneers, and crowns. The development of high-strength zirconia-based systems has made possible the fabrication of dental implant abutments and FDPs. In addition, ceramics are still used to fabricate denture teeth. However, ceramics are brittle, weak in tension, and their performance is highly dependent on both their microstructure and the quality of the processing from the raw components to the final staining or glazing step.

Metal-Ceramic Crowns and Fixed Dental Protheses

Ceramic is widely used as the veneering material in metal-ceramic crowns and FDPs. This development was the result of successfully matching the coefficients of thermal expansion of porcelain with that of metal alloys and achieving a proper metal-ceramic bond. The finished glazed restoration is color stable, tissue friendly, biologically inert, and chemically durable. Although progressively replaced with
All-ceramic materials, metal-ceramic restorations are still widely used. However, although the survival rate of most all-ceramic crown compares favorably to that of metal-ceramic crowns for single restorations, the long-term survival rate of multiunit all-ceramic FDPs remains lower.

All-Ceramic Crowns, Inlays, Onlays, and Veneers

Ceramics have been used to fabricate jacket crowns since the early 1900s. At that time feldspathic porcelain were the material of choice used in jacket crown fabrication. Alumina-reinforced ceramics with improved mechanical properties were developed in the early 1960s. In the past 50 years, numerous novel materials and techniques for fabricating all-ceramic restorations have been introduced. As mentioned earlier in this chapter, they include heat-pressed, slip-cast, and machined all-ceramic materials. These new materials and techniques have widened the range of applications of ceramics, and in general, made their processing easier and more reliable. Meanwhile, as technologies are constantly evolving, some techniques, such as slip casting, have been replaced by others, with increasing popularity for machined ceramics.

Ceramic inlays and onlays are becoming increasingly popular as an alternative to posterior resin composites. They have better abrasion resistance than posterior resin composites and therefore are more durable. However, occlusal adjustments are more difficult and can lead to subsequent wear of the opposing tooth if not properly polished. The marginal gap is clinically acceptable, yet greater than with gold inlays or onlays.

A ceramic esthetic veneer (laminate veneer) is a layer of ceramic bonded to the facial surface of a prepared tooth often for cosmetic reasons. Ceramic veneers are custom made and are fabricated in a dental laboratory. Initially, ceramic veneers were made of feldspathic porcelain and sintered. Currently, most ceramic veneers are fabricated by heat-pressing or machining, using either a leucite-reinforced or lithium disilicate ceramic. To obtain sufficient adhesion, the tooth enamel is etched with phosphoric acid and the bonding surface of the ceramic is etched with 5% to 9% hydrofluoric acid gel and treated with a silane coupling agent. Resin composites specifically formulated for bonding to ceramic are used as the adhesive.

MECHANICAL AND THERMAL PROPERTIES OF DENTAL CERAMICS

Toughening Mechanisms

Toughening mechanisms for glasses and ceramics are either “built-in” (intrinsic) to the material, relying on chemical composition or crystalline phases, or introduced by extrinsic processing steps. Crystalline reinforcement and transformation toughening are examples of “built-in” toughening mechanisms. Extrinsic processing steps, such as tempering, chemical strengthening, or glaze application have also been used in the past to achieve strengthening.

The principle of toughening by crystalline reinforcement is to increase the resistance of the ceramic to crack propagation by introducing a dispersed crystalline phase with high toughness. Crystals can also act as crack deflectors when their coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) is greater than that of the surrounding glassy matrix, placing them under tangential compressive stresses after the ceramic has been cooled to room temperature, as they contract more than the surrounding glassy matrix.

Stress-induced transformation toughening is obtained, for example, in ceramics consisting of partially stabilized tetragonal zirconia. Zirconia (ZrO₂) exists under several crystallographic forms. The monoclinic form is stable at all temperatures below 1170°C. The tetragonal form is stable between 1170° and up to 2370°C. The transformation from the tetragonal to the monoclinic form upon cooling is associated with a volume increase of the unit cell. This is the reason why compacts of pure unalloyed zirconia cannot be obtained at room temperature; the compact would spontaneously shatter upon cooling due to the transformation and volume increase. The tetragonal form can be partially stabilized to room temperature by doping with various oxides, such as yttrium oxide (Y₂O₃) or cerium oxide (CeO₂). Zirconia-based dental ceramics produced by machining followed by sintering at high temperature consist of tetragonal zirconia polycrystals, partially stabilized with 3 mole percent yttrium (3Y-TZP). This partial stabilization or metastability of the tetragonal phase allows the transformation from tetragonal to monoclinic to occur under external applied stresses. The transformation is also called stress induced and is accompanied by a volume increase with associated compressive stresses in the vicinity of the crack tip, eventually leading to closing and arrest of the crack in the transformed zone (Fig. 11.2). Transformation toughening is responsible for the excellent mechanical properties of 3Y-TZP. Fig. 11.3 shows a Vickers indentation in a 3Y-TZP dental ceramic under a 98.1-N load. Only one short crack can be seen emanating from one corner of the indentation, indicative of an excellent resistance to crack propagation.

Tempering and chemical strengthening are extrinsic strengthening techniques based on the creation of a compressive stress layer at the surface of a glass or a ceramic. Tempering is obtained by using rapid but controlled cooling rates, whereas chemical strengthening applies to glasses and ceramics with a large proportion of glassy matrix and relies on the
replacement of small ions with larger ions within the matrix and above the glass transition temperature by diffusion from a molten salt bath in which the ceramic or glass is immersed. Although widely used in the glass industry, these techniques are no longer used for dental ceramics due to controllability issues, as well as potential elimination and benefit loss from grinding adjustments.

Glazing is the final step in the fabrication of metal-ceramic restorations. This standard technique, also called self-glazing, does not significantly improve the flexural strength of feldspathic dental porcelains. However, a low-expansion glass called glaze can also be applied to the surface of the ceramic, then fired to high temperature. Upon cooling, this glaze layer is placed under compression from the greater contraction of the underlying ceramic. This layer is also known to reduce depth and width of the surface flaws, thereby improving the overall resistance of the ceramic to crack propagation.

Test Methods

Numerous test methods are available to evaluate the mechanical properties of ceramics. Studies of the influence of test method on the failure stress of brittle dental materials have shown that important test parameters are the specimen thickness, contact zone at loading, homogeneity and porosity of the material, and loading rate. For this reason, discrepancies exist among the published values of mechanical properties for a given ceramic. Manufacturers evaluate dental ceramics using a standard (ISO 6872) published and regularly revised by the International Organization for Standardization. Set protocols are proposed to quantify radioactivity, flexural strength, linear CTE, glass transition temperature, and chemical solubility.

Sometimes, researchers use testing protocols and fixtures aimed at simulating dental morphology. However, the experimental variables can become extremely complex and difficult to reproduce in this type of testing. Finite element analysis constitutes another approach to the simulation of clinical conditions. Failure predictions for ceramic inlays by the finite element analysis technique have successfully matched fractographic analyses of clinically failed restorations. Fractography is well established as a failure-analysis technique for glasses and ceramics. It has been recognized as a powerful analytical tool in dentistry. The in vivo failure stress of clinically failed all-ceramic crowns can be determined using fractography (see more discussion in Chapter 5).
Fracture toughness is another important property of ceramics; it measures the resistance to brittle fracture when a crack is present. The fracture toughness of conventional feldspathic porcelain is very similar to that of soda lime glass (0.78 MPa·m\(^{0.5}\)).

Leucite-reinforced ceramics exhibit slightly higher fracture toughness values (1.2 MPa·m\(^{0.5}\)), followed by lithium disilicate–reinforced ceramics (2.75 MPa·m\(^{0.5}\)). 3Y-TZP ceramics have the highest fracture toughness of all-ceramic materials (greater than 6.0 MPa·m\(^{0.5}\)).

The elastic constants of dental ceramics are needed in the calculations of both flexural strength and fracture toughness. Poisson’s ratio ranges between 0.21 and 0.30 for dental ceramics. The modulus of elasticity is about 70 GPa for feldspathic porcelain, 110 GPa for lithium disilicate heat-pressed ceramics, and 210 GPa for 3Y-TZP ceramics and reaches 350 GPa for alumina-based ceramics.

Sintering of structural ceramics is associated with shrinkage as porosity is eliminated by firing at high temperature. Shrinkage remains an issue for all-ceramic materials with the exception of machined ceramics from fully sintered ceramic blocks and heat-pressed ceramics. Shrinkage of the veneering ceramics applied on all-ceramic cores has to be carefully compensated for during porcelain buildup. The large shrinkage of machined zirconia restorations (about 25%) during sintering at high temperature is compensated for at the design stage by computerized enlargement of the restorations.

The density of fully sintered feldspathic porcelain is around 2.45 g/cm\(^3\) and decreases as the amount of porosity increases. The density of ceramic materials also depends on the amount and nature of crystalline phase present. The theoretical density of 3Y-TZP glass-ceramics.

**TABLE 11.2** Flexural Strength of Selected Dental Ceramics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing Technique</th>
<th>Crystalline Phase</th>
<th>Flexural Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Percent Crystallinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soft machined</td>
<td>Zirconia (3Y-TZP)</td>
<td>1087 ± 173</td>
<td>Highly crystalline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zirconia (cubic + tetragonal)</td>
<td>≈700(^{a})</td>
<td>Highly crystalline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard machined</td>
<td>Feldspar ([Na, K]AlSi(_2)O(_8))</td>
<td>122 ± 13</td>
<td>≈30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lithium disilicate (Li_2Si_2O_5)</td>
<td>262 ± 88</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lithium silicate (Li_2Si_2O_5 and Li_2Si_2O_4)</td>
<td>≈420(^{a})</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leucite (KAlSi_2O_4)</td>
<td>≈160(^{a})</td>
<td>≈35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat pressed</td>
<td>Leucite (KAlSi_2O_4)</td>
<td>106 ± 17</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lithium disilicate (Li_2Si_2O_5)</td>
<td>306 ± 29</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sintered</td>
<td>Leucite (KAlSi_2O_4)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>35–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluorapatite (Ca_3[PO_4]_2[F])</td>
<td>≈80(^{a})</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sintered metal-ceramic</td>
<td>Leucite (KAlSi_2O_4)</td>
<td>61 ± 5</td>
<td>15–25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Data from manufacturer.


**Comparative Data**

Representative flexural strength data for dental ceramics are summarized in Table 11.2. Feldspathic porcelains for metal-ceramic restorations have a mean flexural strength between 60 and 80 MPa. This value is lower than those listed for all-ceramic materials; however, because metal-ceramic restorations are supported by a metallic framework, their long-term probability of survival is usually higher than that of leucite-reinforced or lithium disilicate–based glass-ceramics.

Among the currently available all-ceramic materials, tetragonal zirconia (3Y-TZP) ceramics exhibit the highest values (900 to 1500 MPa), followed by recently introduced translucent cubic zirconia ceramics (600 to 700 MPa), and lithium disilicate–reinforced ceramics (262 to 420 MPa). The flexural strength of leucite-reinforced ceramics is around 120 MPa. As mentioned previously, the nature and amount of the crystalline phase present in the ceramic material strongly influences the mechanical properties of the final product. The shear strength of feldspathic porcelain is 110 MPa, and the diametral tensile strength is lower at 34 MPa. The compressive strength is about 172 MPa, and the Knoop hardness is 460 kg/mm\(^2\).

Fracture toughness is another important property of ceramics; it measures the resistance to brittle fracture when a crack is present. The fracture toughness of conventional feldspathic porcelains is very similar to that of soda lime glass (0.78 MPa·m\(^{0.5}\)).
dental ceramics is 6.08 g/cm³, assuming that the material is pore free. A density greater than 98.7% of the theoretical density is required for medical-grade 3Y-TZP ceramics. All currently used 3Y-TZP dental ceramics have a density that meets this standard requirement.

The thermal properties of feldspathic porcelain include a conductivity of 0.0030 cal/s/cm² (°C/cm), a diffusivity of 0.64 mm²/s, and a linear CTE of about 12.0 × 10⁻⁶/°C between 25° and 500°C. The CTE is about 10 × 10⁻⁶/°C for aluminous ceramics and lithium disilicate ceramics, 10.5 × 10⁻⁶/°C for zirconia-based ceramics (3Y-TZP), and 14 to 18 × 10⁻⁶/°C for leucite-reinforced ceramics. The low thermal conductivity of zirconia-based ceramics was responsible for early issues of delamination of the veneering porcelain when fast heating and cooling rates were used. These issues have been addressed by strict recommendations regarding firing schedules for veneering porcelain on zirconia, including slower heating and cooling rates.

### OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF DENTAL CERAMICS

Shade matching is a critical problem in replacing natural teeth. In addition, porcelain, being mostly amorphous in structure, cannot match the optical properties of crystalline enamel completely. As a result, ultraviolet (UV) and visible light rays are reflected, refracted, and absorbed unevenly by the combination dentin/enamel, compared with porcelain. As a consequence, restorations viewed from one incidence angle may not appear the same as they do when viewed from a different incidence angle. The cementing medium is an important factor in the final appearance of an all-ceramic restoration. Zirconia-based all-ceramic materials are not etchable due to the absence of glassy phase but their opacity may permit cementation with various luting agents without the need for specific shade matching. However, more translucent all-ceramic restorations such as leucite-reinforced heat-pressed or machined inlays, crowns, or veneers, or a machined inlay or veneer, usually require the use of color-matched resin luting agents that are available in different shades.

The shades of commercial premixed dental porcelain powders are in the yellow to yellow-red range. Because the range of shades existing in natural teeth is much greater than the range available in a kit of premixed porcelains, modifier porcelains are also available for precise shade adjustments. These modifiers are strongly pigmented porcelains usually supplied in blue, yellow, pink, orange, brown, and gray. The dental technician may add the modifier porcelain to the opaque and body porcelains during the building of the crown. Extrinsic surface staining is another way of changing the appearance of a ceramic crown. It involves the application of highly pigmented glazes. The main disadvantages of surface staining compared with intrinsic staining are a limited durability (a result of solubility) and the reduction of translucency.

Translucency is another critical property of dental ceramics. The translucency of opaque, dentin (body), and enamel (incisal) porcelains differs considerably. By design, opaque porcelains have very low translucency, allowing them to efficiently mask metal substructure surfaces. Tin oxide (SnO₂) and titanium oxide (TiO₂) are important opacifying oxides for opaque porcelains. Dentin porcelain translucency values range between 18% and 38% (Table 11.3). Enamel porcelains have the highest values of translucency, ranging between 45% and 50%. The translucency of materials for all-ceramic restorations varies with the nature and amount of the reinforcing crystalline phase. Alumina- and zirconia-based ceramics are opaque, whereas leucite-reinforced and lithium disilicate-based ceramics are more translucent. Recently, zirconia ceramics with increased translucency have become available. Greater translucency is obtained by either decreasing the amount of alumina additive in the 3Y-TZP composition or using higher amounts of yttrium oxide stabilizer to increase the amount of cubic phase that has greater translucency. To mimic the optical properties of human enamel, opalescence is also a desirable optical property. Opalescence is a

### Table 11.3 Percent Light Transmission of 1-mm Thick Dentin Porcelains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shade</th>
<th>Ceramco</th>
<th>Vita</th>
<th>Neydium</th>
<th>Will-Ceram</th>
<th>Steeles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>29.97</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>31.93</td>
<td>26.06</td>
<td>27.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>27.85</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>27.88</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>23.31</td>
<td>20.39</td>
<td>35.39</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>22.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23.58</td>
<td>19.03</td>
<td>23.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>31.81</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>38.41</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

form of light scattering and occurs when the size of crystalline phase particles is equal to or shorter than the wavelength of light. An opalescent glass appears reddish orange in transmitted light and blue in reflected or scattered light. Both zirconium oxide and yttrium oxide have been shown to increase opalescence in base dentin ceramics due to their light scattering effect.

Dental enamel also exhibits fluorescence. This characteristic is achieved in dental porcelains by adding rare earth oxides (such as cerium oxide). Because the outer layers of a ceramic crown are translucent, the apparent color is affected by reflectance from the inner opaque or core ceramic. For metal-ceramic restorations, shade mixing results from combining the light reflected from the inner, opaque porcelain surface and the light transmitted through the body porcelain. The thickness of the body porcelain layer determines the final shade obtained with a given opaque porcelain.

### ALL-CERAMIC RESTORATIONS

Materials for all-ceramic restorations use a wide variety of crystalline phases as reinforcing agents and contain up to 99% by volume of crystalline phase. The nature, amount, and particle size distribution of the crystalline phase directly influence the mechanical, thermal and optical properties of the ceramic material. The match between the refractive indexes of the crystalline phase and glassy matrix is an important factor for controlling the translucency of porcelain and glass ceramics, and polycrystalline ceramics such as zirconia.

As mentioned earlier, several processing techniques are available for fabricating all-ceramic restorations: sintering, heat pressing, slip casting, and CAD/CAM. Fig. 11.1, left, illustrates the cross section of an all-ceramic crown.

### Sintered All-Ceramic Materials

Mainly due to the success of machined and heat-pressed restorations, sintered all-ceramic systems are now mostly obsolete. Two main types of all-ceramic materials were available for the sintering technique: alumina-based ceramic and leucite-reinforced ceramic.

**Alumina-Based Ceramic**

The aluminous core ceramic used in the aluminous porcelain crown developed by McLean in 1965 is a typical example of strengthening by dispersion of a crystalline phase. Alumina has a high modulus of elasticity (350 GPa) and relatively high fracture toughness (3.5 to 4 MPa·m^0.5_), compared with feldspathic porcelains. Its dispersion in a glassy matrix of similar thermal expansion coefficient leads to a significant strengthening effect. It has been proposed that the excellent bond between the alumina and the glass phase is responsible for this increase in strength compared with leucite-containing ceramics. The first aluminous core ceramics contained 40% to 50% alumina by weight, dispersed in a low-fusing glassy matrix. The core was baked on a platinum foil and later veneered with matched-expansion porcelain. Aluminous core ceramic can also be sintered directly on a refractory die. Aluminous core porcelains have flexural strengths approximately twice that of feldspathic porcelains (139 to 145 MPa).

Densely sintered alumina-based ceramics were also produced by dry pressing, followed by sintering. To compensate for sintering shrinkage (12% to 20% linear), an enlarged die was generated by CAD. A high-purity alumina-based ceramic was fabricated by dry pressing and sintering at high temperature (1550°C). The final product was a highly crystalline ceramic with a mean grain size of about 4 μm and a flexural strength of about 600 MPa. The entire process had to be carefully controlled by the manufacturer. The last steps consist of veneering with translucent porcelain, staining, and glazing. The clinical performance of this ceramic in vivo at 15 years was considered excellent. Zirconia-based core ceramics have slowly replaced alumina-based ceramics. A similar technology is available for their fabrication.

**Leucite-Reinforced Ceramic**

Leucite-reinforced ceramics containing up to 45% by volume of tetragonal leucite were used for the fabrication of all-ceramic sintered restorations. Leucite acts as a reinforcing phase; the greater leucite content (compared with conventional feldspathic porcelain for metal-ceramic restorations) leads to higher flexural strength (104 MPa) and compressive strength. The large amount of leucite in the material also contributes to a high thermal contraction coefficient. In addition, the large mismatch in thermal contraction between leucite (20 to 25 × 10^-6/°C) and the glassy matrix (8 × 10^-6/°C) results in the development of tangential compressive stresses in the glassy matrix surrounding the leucite crystals upon cooling, because the crystals contract more than the surrounding glassy matrix. These stresses can act as crack deflectors and contribute to increased resistance of the ceramic to crack propagation (fracture toughness).

As mentioned earlier, both sintered and slip-cast all-ceramic restorations have now been replaced by heat-pressed or machined all-ceramic restorations containing similar crystalline phases but with better-controlled processing steps.
Heat-Pressed All-Ceramic Materials

Heat pressing relies on the application of external pressure at high temperature to sinter and shape the ceramic. Heat pressing is used in dentistry to produce all-ceramic crowns, inlays, onlays, veneers, and more recently, FDPs. During heat pressing, ceramic ingots are brought to high temperature in a phosphate-bonded investment mold produced by the lost wax technique. The heat-pressing temperature is chosen near the softening point of the ceramic. A pressure of 0.3 to 0.4 MPa is then applied through a refractory plunger. This allows filling of the mold with the softened ceramic. The high temperature is held for durations between 10 and 20 minutes. Heat-pressing requires a specially designed automated pressing furnace (Fig. 11.4) and classically promotes a good dispersion of the crystalline phase within the glassy matrix. The mechanical properties of heat-pressed ceramics are therefore maximized in addition to higher crystallinity, and smaller crystal size, compared with sintered all-ceramics.

Leucite-Based Ceramic

First-generation heat-pressed ceramics contain tetragonal leucite (KAlSi₃O₈ or K₂O·Al₂O₃·4SiO₂) as a reinforcing phase, in amounts varying from 35% to 55% by volume. Heat-pressing temperatures for this system are between 1150° and 1180°C with a dwell at temperature of about 20 minutes. The ceramic ingots are available in a variety of shades. The final microstructure of these heat-pressed ceramics consists of leucite crystals, 1 to 5 μm, dispersed in a glassy matrix (Fig. 11.5A). The amount of porosity in the heat-pressed ceramic is 9 vol%. Two techniques are available: a staining technique and a layering technique involving the application of veneering ceramic. The two techniques lead to comparable mean flexural strength values for the resulting ceramic. To ensure compatibility with the thermal expansion coefficient of the veneering ceramic, the thermal expansion coefficient of the core material for the veneering technique (14.9 × 10⁻⁶/°C) is lower than that of the core material for the staining technique (18 × 10⁻⁶/°C). The flexural strength of these ceramics (120 MPa) is almost double that of conventional feldspathic porcelains. This increase in strength can be explained by the fact that these ceramics possess a higher crystallinity and that the heat-pressing process generates an excellent dispersion of these fine leucite crystals. In addition, as mentioned earlier, residual thermal stresses around the leucite crystals promote crack deflection and contribute to improved mechanical performance. The main advantages of leucite-reinforced ceramics are their excellent esthetics and translucency, whereas their limitations lie in their modest mechanical properties restricting their use to anterior single-unit restorations.

Lithium Disilicate–Based Materials

The second generation of heat-pressed ceramics contain lithium disilicate (Li₂Si₂O₅) as a major crystalline phase. Heat pressing takes place in the 910° to 920°C temperature range, using the same equipment as for the leucite-based ceramics. Heat-pressed restorations are later veneered with ceramics of matching thermal expansion, or stained. The final microstructure consists of about 65% by volume of highly interlocking prismatic lithium disilicate crystals (2 to 5 μm in length, 0.8 μm in diameter) dispersed in a glassy matrix (Fig. 11.5B). The amount of porosity after heat pressing is about 1 vol%. Compared with first-generation leucite-based ceramics, the main advantage of the lithium disilicate–based ceramics is their enhanced flexural strength (350 MPa) and fracture toughness (2.75 MPa m⁰.⁵). This can be explained by a higher crystallinity and a house of cards microstructure consisting of elongated, highly interlocked, needle-shaped lithium disilicate crystals. In addition, the thermal expansion mismatch between the lithium disilicate crystals and the glassy matrix leads to compressive residual stresses which, combined with multiple crystal orientations, is efficient in promoting multiple crack deflections, thereby increasing the resistance to crack propagation. Finally, several studies have reported that heat pressing promotes crystal alignment along the direction of pressing because of the high aspect ratio of the crystals. This leads to an even higher resistance to crack propagation in the direction perpendicular to crystal alignment. The enhanced mechanical properties of these second-generation heat-pressed ceramics have extended their range of dental applications, making possible the fabrication of multiunit FDPs.
For a discussion of slip-cast all-ceramic materials please go to the website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

**Machinable All-Ceramic Materials**

All-ceramic materials can be machined in the fully sintered state. This is termed *hard machining* and restorations produced in this way are machined directly to final size. Some all-ceramic materials can also be machined in a soft, partially sintered state and later fully sintered. This is called *soft machining*. The latter technique requires milling of enlarged restorations to compensate for sintering shrinkage, and is well adapted to ceramics that are difficult to machine in the fully sintered state, such as alumina and zirconia.
Hard Machining

Machinable ceramics can be milled to form inlays, onlays, veneers, and crowns using the CAD/CAM technology to produce restorations in one office visit. After the tooth is prepared, the preparation is optically scanned and the image is computerized. The restoration is designed with the aid of a computer, as shown in Fig. 11.6. The restoration is then machined from ceramic blocks by a computer-controlled milling machine. The milling process takes only a few minutes. Restorations are bonded to the tooth preparation with resin cements. The most recent versions of oral scanners combined with digital impression reconstruction software (3M True Definition Scanner, 3M Company; CEREC AC, Sirona Dental Systems, LLC; PlanScan Restorative System, Planmeca; iTero Intra Oral Digital Scanner, Align Technologies) allow complete tridimensional visualization of the projected restoration with virtual seating capabilities. The various surfaces of the virtual restoration can be modified in all three dimensions prior to machining. Further information on digital impression systems can be found in Chapter 14.

Several machinable glass-ceramics are presently available for hard machining: feldspar-, leucite-, and lithium disilicate–based. The feldspar-based glass-ceramic contains approximately 30 vol% feldspar [(Na,K)AlSi$_3$O$_8$] as a major crystalline phase, dispersed in a glassy matrix (see Fig. 11.5C). Its flexural strength is ranked as moderate (120 MPa). Leucite-reinforced and lithium disilicate glass-ceramic blocks are also available for hard machining by CAD/CAM. The leucite-reinforced ceramic blocks are similar in microstructure and mechanical properties to the first-generation heat-pressed, leucite-reinforced ceramics. The lithium disilicate glass-ceramic blocks are machined in a partially crystallized state, which corresponds to a softer and easier-to-machine state compared with the fully crystallized state. In the partially crystallized (nucleated) state, the glass-ceramic contains crystal nuclei of both lithium metasilicate (Li$_2$SiO$_3$) and lithium disilicate (Li$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$). By controlling the nucleation and crystallization heat treatment, the number of nucleation sites, crystal size, and nature of the crystalline phases can be adjusted to modulate the translucency of this glass ceramic. Low-, medium-, and high-translucency blocks are proposed for CAD/CAM machining. After the restorations are machined, a heat treatment at 850°C for 10 minutes is performed to complete the crystallization process, and therefore ensure that the glass ceramic possesses optimized mechanical properties prior to glazing and cementation. Depending on the translucency, the microstructure will be slightly different, with the high-translucency glass-ceramic exhibiting lithium disilicate crystals (1.5 × 0.8 μm) in a glassy matrix, and the low-translucency ceramic exhibiting a larger number of smaller (0.8 × 0.2 μm) interlocked lithium disilicate crystals. The flexural strength after completion of the crystallization heat treatment is between 360 and 400 MPa, according to manufacturer’s data.

Another lithium silicate–based glass ceramic was recently introduced, in which about 10 wt% zirconium dioxide was added to a lithium silicate glass composition and remains in solution in the glassy matrix. This modification leads to a larger amount of glassy matrix in the precrystallized (nucleated) state, with ease of machining due to the presence of lithium metasilicate crystals. The final microstructure after completion of the crystallization heat treatment (840°C for 8 minutes) consists of lithium metasilicate (0.1 to 0.2-μm platelets) interlocked with lithium disilicate crystals (0.5 to 0.8-μm-long prisms), leading to a glass ceramic with excellent translucency and mechanical properties comparable to those of lithium disilicate glass ceramics (see Fig. 11.5D).

Soft Machining Followed by Sintering

In 2002, the first zirconia-based ceramic for soft machining was introduced on the dental market. The
material consists of tetragonal zirconia polycrystals partially stabilized by addition of 3 mole percent yttrium (3Y-TZP). Single or multiunit restorations are produced by direct ceramic machining (DCM) of presintered 3Y-TZP blocks. These blocks are soft and easy to mill, thus leading to substantial savings in time and tool wear, compared with fully sintered zirconia. The process involved the fabrication of a full contour wax-up of the restoration, later digitized with a laser scanner. Restorations were oversized at the design and machining stages, to compensate for the large shrinkage (20% to 25%) that occurs during the sintering process at high temperature (1350°C for 2 hours).

Since the introduction of the DCM technique, a wide variety of partially sintered 3Y-TZP blocks have become available for soft machining by CAD/CAM. In this case, the wax-up step is eliminated, a digital impression of the preparation is made, and the restoration design is accomplished by computer. Similar to the DCM technique, machined restorations are fully sintered at high temperature.

The microstructure of these polycrystalline 3Y-TZP ceramics consists of densely packed tetragonal zirconia grains with a mean grain size of 0.2 to 1.0 μm, depending on sintering temperature and duration (Fig. 11.5E), which can vary from 1350°C to 1600°C and 2 to 6 hours, respectively, depending on the manufacturer. Zirconia ceramics exhibit the highest flexural strength (900 to 1500 MPa) and highest fracture toughness (greater than 6 MPa·m$^{0.5}$) of all currently available dental ceramics. They can serve as core ceramics that are veneered with porcelain of matched thermal expansion. Early clinical issues consisting of cracking and delamination at the interface between veneering porcelain and zirconia core were reported. These findings have been explained by the fact that both veneering porcelain and zirconia core exhibit low thermal conductivity and that thermal gradients may develop when fast heating or cooling rates are used, leading to transient stresses and microcracking.

The metastability of 3Y-TZP ceramics should be kept in mind when surface-altering treatments such as grinding or air abrasion are performed. Given the fact that transformability increases with grain size, such treatments have the potential to trigger the tetragonal to monoclinic transformation with the immediate beneficial consequence of producing surface compressive stresses. However, long-term effects may be detrimental due to the combination of defects produced by the surface treatment and the presence of underlying tensile stresses. This is why extreme care should be taken to follow manufacturer’s instructions when working with partially stabilized zirconia-based ceramics.

Hard-machining techniques make it possible to fabricate the restoration chair side in one office visit. However, all-ceramic materials available with these techniques exhibit only low to moderate strength, restricting the applications to single-unit restorations, or short-span FDPs.

The benefit of fabricating the restoration in one office visit is lost with soft-machining techniques, because restorations require sintering at high temperature for several hours after they are machined. This disadvantage is counterbalanced by the excellent mechanical properties of 3Y-TZP, making possible the realization of both single and multiunit anterior and posterior restorations. Initially a concern, marginal accuracy is currently clinically acceptable with these techniques. The negative impact of the high opacity of zirconia is attenuated by the ability to decrease coping thickness to 0.4 to 0.5 mm. In addition, more translucent zirconia compositions have been recently introduced. The increase in translucency is obtained either by decreasing the amount of alumina present to 0.05 wt% or less, or by adding higher amounts of yttria (up to 5.3 mol.%) to stabilize the cubic polymorph of zirconia as a major crystalline phase. Cubic zirconia is more translucent due to its isotropic crystal symmetry, compared with tetragonal zirconia, which is anisotropic and birefringent.

The retention of cubic zirconia at room temperature is also accompanied with a substantial increase in grain size (see Fig. 11.5F). The cubic form of zirconia is not transformable and exhibits lower fracture toughness. This is reflected in the lower mechanical properties of cubic zirconia formulations, with a reported flexural strength of 609 MPa and a fracture toughness of 2.4 MPa·m$^{0.5}$.

### METAL-CERAMIC RESTORATIONS

Metal-ceramic restorations consist of a cast metallic framework on which at least two layers of ceramic are baked (Fig. 11.7). The first layer applied is a thin opaque layer, consisting of porcelain modified with opacifying oxides. Its role is to mask the dark-gray appearance of the oxidized metal framework to permit the achievement of adequate esthetics. This thin opaque layer also establishes the metal-ceramic bond. The next step is the buildup of dentin and enamel (most translucent) porcelains to obtain an esthetic appearance similar to that of a natural tooth. Dentin and enamel porcelain powders are mixed with modeling liquid (mainly distilled water) to a creamy consistency and applied on the opaque layer. The porcelain is then condensed by vibration and removal of the excess water with absorbent tissue. The porcelain buildup has to be oversized to compensate for the large shrinkage (25% to 30%)
associated with the sintering process. After building up of the porcelain powders, metal-ceramic restorations are slowly dried to allow for adequate water diffusion and evaporation, and sintered under vacuum in a porcelain furnace. Sintering under vacuum helps eliminate pores. As the furnace door closes, the pressure is lowered to 0.01 MPa (0.1 atmosphere). The temperature is raised until the sintering temperature is reached, the vacuum is then released, and the furnace pressure returns to 0.1 MPa (1 atmosphere). In combination with viscous flow at high temperature, the increase in pressure helps close residual pores. The result is a dense, relatively pore-free porcelain, as illustrated in Fig. 11.8. Studies have shown that sintering under vacuum reduces the amount of porosity from 5.6% in air-fired porcelains to 0.56%. This decrease in porosity is noticeable by the associated increase in translucency. Opaque, dentin, and enamel porcelains are available in various shades.

Fig. 11.9 shows two three-unit metal-ceramic FDPs. When fabricated by skilled technicians, these restorations provide excellent esthetics, along with adequate strength because of the metal framework support. The alloys used for casting the substructure are usually gold-based containing tin and indium.

It is essential that the CTE of the veneering porcelain be slightly lower than that of the alloy to ensure that the ceramic is in slight compression after cooling. This will prevent microcrack formation in the porcelain and enhance long-term clinical performance.

Requirements for a Metal-Ceramic System

1. The alloy must have a high melting temperature. The melting range must be substantially higher (greater than 100°C) than the firing temperature of the veneering porcelain and solders used to join segments of an FDP.
2. The veneering porcelain must have a low fusing temperature so that no creep, sag, or distortion of the framework takes place during sintering.
3. The porcelain must wet the alloy readily when applied as a slurry to prevent voids forming at the metal-ceramic interface. In general, the contact angle should be 60 degrees or less.
4. A strong bond between the ceramic and metal is essential and is achieved by chemical reaction of the opaque porcelain with metal oxides on the surface of metal (Fig. 11.10) and by mechanical interlocking made possible by roughening of the metal coping.
5. CTEs of the porcelain and metal must be compatible so that the veneering porcelain never undergoes tensile stresses, which would lead to cracking. Metal-ceramic systems are therefore designed so that the CTE of the metal is slightly higher than that of the porcelain, thus placing the veneering porcelain in compression (where it is stronger) following cooling (see Fig. 10.9). This is assuming that linear coefficients of thermal expansion of both porcelain and metal are identical to linear coefficients of thermal contraction.

6. Adequate stiffness and strength of the metal framework are especially important for FDPs and posterior crowns. High stiffness of the metal reduces tensile stresses in the porcelain by limiting deflection amplitude and deformation (strain). High strength is essential in the interproximal connector areas of FDPs.

7. High resistance to deformation at high temperature is essential. Metal copings are relatively thin (0.4 to 0.5 mm); no distortion should occur during firing of the porcelain, or the fit of the restorations would be compromised.

8. Adequate design of the restoration is critical. The preparation should provide for adequate thickness of the metal coping, as well as enough space for an adequate thickness of the porcelain to yield an esthetic restoration. During preparation of the metal framework, prior to porcelain application, it is important that all sharp angles be eliminated and rounded to later avoid stress concentration in the porcelain. If full porcelain coverage is not used (e.g., a metal occlusal surface), the position of the metal-ceramic junction should be located at least 1.5 mm from all centric occlusal contacts.

Metal-Ceramic Bonding

The bond strength between porcelain and metal is an important requirement for good long-term performance of metal-ceramic restorations. In general, the bond is a result of chemisorption by diffusion between the surface oxide layer on the alloy and the porcelain. For metal alloys that do not oxidize easily, this oxide layer is formed during a special firing cycle prior to opaque porcelain application. For metal alloys that do oxidize easily, the oxide layer is formed during wetting of the alloy by the porcelain and subsequent firing cycle. The most common mechanical failure for metal-ceramic restorations is debonding of the porcelain from the metal. Many factors control metal-ceramic adhesion: the formation of strong chemical bond, mechanical interlocking between the two materials, and thermal residual stresses. In addition, as noted earlier, the porcelain must wet and fuse to the surface to form a uniform interface with no voids. These factors are also important for ceramic coatings on metallic implants.

From a practical standpoint, the surface roughness at the metal-ceramic interface has a large effect on the quality of the metal-ceramic bond. Airborne particle abrasion is routinely used on metal frameworks for metal-ceramic restorations to produce a clean surface with controlled roughness. During the firing cycle, the porcelain softens, its viscosity decreases, and the porcelain first wets the metal surface before the interlocking between porcelain and metal is created. The increased area of the rough metal surface also permits the formation of a greater density of chemical bonds. The contact angle between the porcelain and metal is a measure of the wetting and, to some extent, the quality of the bond that forms. Low contact angles indicate good wetting. The contact angle of porcelain on a gold (Au) alloy is about 60 degrees. A scanning electron micrograph of the oxidized surface of a gold (Au)-platinum (Pt)-palladium (Pd) 98% noble alloy is shown in Fig. 10.8. However, rough surfaces can reduce adhesion if the porcelain does not wet the surface and voids are present at the interface.

The formation of an oxide layer at the surface of the metal has been shown to be the key to an adequate metal-ceramic bond. Noble metal alloys, which are resistant to oxidizing, usually have other more easily oxidized elements added, such as indium (In) and tin (Sn), to form an oxide layer and improve the bond. The oxide layer is formed during a special firing cycle prior to porcelain application. Some noble alloys containing silver have been shown to lead to porcelain discoloration or greening, explained by ionic diffusion of silver in the porcelain. Base-metal alloys contain elements, such as nickel (Ni) and chromium (Cr), that oxidize easily, and care must be taken to avoid the formation of too thick an oxide layer. Manufacturers specify firing conditions for the formation of an optimal oxide layer and often indicate the color of the oxide. Oxides rich in nickel (NiO) tend to be dark gray, whereas those rich in chromium

FIG. 11.9 View of metal-ceramic fixed dental prostheses. (Courtesy Dr. Charles Mark Malloy, Portland, OR.)
Components are used in the manufacture of dental porcelain. Porcelain is supplied as a fine powder of controlled granulometry. Coloring oxides and opacifiers allow fine tuning of the final appearance and shade control. The presence of a large amount of glassy phase in dental porcelains (80 to 90 vol%) permits a translucency similar to that of enamel. Coloring oxides and opacifiers allow fine tuning of the final appearance and shade control. Porcelain is supplied as a fine powder of controlled granulometry.

**Dental Porcelain**

**COMPOSITION**

The quality of any ceramic depends on the choice of components, correct proportioning of each component, and control of the firing procedure. High-purity components are used in the manufacture of dental porcelains because of the stringent requirements of
optical properties and chemical inertness, combined with adequate strength, toughness, and thermal expansion.

In its mineral state, feldspar, the main raw component of dental porcelains for metal-ceramic restorations, is crystalline and opaque. Chemically, it is designated as potassium aluminosilicate, with a composition of $\text{K}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 6\text{SiO}_2$. Feldspar melts incongruently at about 1150°C, forming leucite ($\text{K}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 4\text{SiO}_2$) and molten glass.

**MANUFACTURE**

Many dental porcelain manufacturers buy feldspar as powder already screened and cleaned from impurities to their specifications. Other raw materials used in the manufacture of dental porcelains are various types of silica ($\text{SiO}_2$) in the form of fine powder, alumina ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$), as well as alkali and alkaline earth carbonates as fluxes. During the manufacturing process, the ground components are carefully mixed together and heated to about 1200°C in large crucibles. As mentioned earlier, feldspar melts incongruently at about 1150°C to form a glassy phase with an amorphous structure, as illustrated in Fig. 11.11, and a crystalline phase consisting of leucite, a potassium aluminosilicate ($\text{KAlSi}_2\text{O}_6$).

The mix of leucite and glassy phase is then cooled very rapidly (quenched) in water that causes the mass to shatter in small fragments. The product obtained, called a *frit*, is ball milled to achieve proper particle size distribution. Coloring pigments in small quantities are added at this stage to obtain the delicate shades necessary to mimic natural teeth. The metallic pigments include titanium oxide for yellow-brown shades, manganese oxide for lavender, iron oxide for brown, cobalt oxide for blue, copper or chromium oxides for green, and nickel oxide for brown. In the past, uranium oxide was used to

---

### TABLE 11.4 Composition of Dental Ceramics for Fusing to High-Temperature Alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Biodent Opaque BG 2 (%)</th>
<th>Ceramco Opaque 60 (%)</th>
<th>V.M.K. Opaque 131 (%)</th>
<th>Biodent Dentin BD 27 (%)</th>
<th>Ceramco Dentin T 69 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2$</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>13.55</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>13.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CaO}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{K}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Na}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{ZrO}_2$</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SnO}_2$</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Rb}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{BaO}$</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{ZnO}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{UO}_3$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{CO}_2$, and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

**FIG. 11.11** Two-dimensional structure of sodium silicate glass. Na, Sodium; O, oxygen; Si, silicon. (*Modified from Warren BE, Biscoe J. Fourier analysis of x-ray patterns of soda-silica glass. J Am Ceram Soc. 1938;21(7):259–265.*)
provide fluorescence; however, because of the small amount of radioactivity, lanthanide oxides (such as cerium oxide) have been substituted for this purpose. Tin, titanium, and zirconium oxides are used as opacifiers.

After the manufacturing process is completed, feldspathic dental porcelain consists of a glassy (or amorphous) phase and leucite (KAlSi₂O₆) as a crystalline phase. The glassy phase formed during the manufacturing process has properties typical of glass, such low toughness and strength, and high translucency. The crystalline structure of leucite is tetragonal at room temperature (Fig. 11.12). Leucite undergoes a reversible crystallographic phase transformation at 625°C, temperature above which its structure becomes cubic. This transformation is accompanied by a thermal expansion resulting in a 1.2 vol% increase of the unit cell. This explains the high thermal expansion coefficient associated with tetragonal leucite (greater than $20 \times 10^{-6}/°C$). As a result, the amount of leucite present (10 to 20 vol%) controls the thermal expansion coefficient of the porcelain so that it is adequately matched to that of dental alloys.

The microstructure of conventional feldspathic porcelain is shown in Fig. 11.13; the glassy phase has been lightly acid-etched to reveal the leucite crystals. Typical compositions for opaque and dentin porcelain powders are given in Table 11.4.

Feldspathic porcelains have other qualities that make them well suited for metal-ceramic restorations. They fuse at lower temperatures than do many other ceramic materials, lessening the potential for distortion of the metal coping. This is made possible by the presence of alkali oxides (Na₂O and K₂O) in the glassy matrix; these oxides are responsible for the creation of nonbridging oxygens in the glass network, thereby lowering the fusing temperatures to the range 930°C to 980°C. Porcelains having an even lower fusing temperature (760°C to 780°C) and high CTE ($15.8 \times 10^{-6}/°C$) are also available. These porcelains are designed to be compatible for bonding to yellow high-Au alloys, which have coefficients of thermal expansion between 16.1 and $16.8 \times 10^{-6}/°C$. They can, however, be abrasive to opposing teeth because of their hardness; this becomes a significant problem if the porcelain surface is roughened by occlusal adjustments or sensitivity to aging in the oral environment.

**Effect of Design on Metal-Ceramic Restorations**

Because ceramics are weak in tension and can withstand very little strain before fracturing, the metal framework must be rigid to minimize deformation of the porcelain. However, copings should be as thin as possible to allow space for the porcelain to mask the metal framework without overcontouring the porcelain. This consideration is especially true for alloys that appear gray. This might lead to the conclusion that nickel-chromium (Ni-Cr) or cobalt-chromium (Co-Cr) alloys would be superior to the noble alloys because their moduli of elasticity (stiffness) are 1.5 to 2 times greater and the thickness of the coping could be halved. However, loading the restoration places it in bending, and the bending deflection is a function of only the first power of the modulus, whereas it is a function of the cube of the thickness. It can be shown that for a typical dental metal-ceramic restoration, the thickness of a base-metal coping can be reduced only about 7% because of the higher modulus of elasticity. Thus the advantage of the higher modulus for the base-metal alloys is minimal.

The labial margin of metal-ceramic prostheses is a critical area regarding design because there is little porcelain thickness at the margin to mask the appearance of the metal coping and to resist fracture.
Recommended margin designs include a 90-degree shoulder, a 120-degree shoulder, or a shoulder bevel. Provided that the shoulder depth is at least 1.2 mm, these designs should all provide for sufficient porcelain thickness to minimize the risk of porcelain fracture.

When using partial porcelain coverage, such as when a metal occlusal surface is desired, the position of the metal-ceramic joint is critical. Because of the large difference in modulus of elasticity between porcelain and metal, stresses occur at the interface when the restoration is loaded. These stresses should be minimized by placing the metal-ceramic junction at least 1.5 mm from centric occlusal contacts.

The geometry of the interproximal connector area between abutment crown and pontic is critical in the design of a metal-ceramic FDP. The incisocervical thickness of the connector should be large enough to prevent deformation or fracture because deflection is decreased as the cube of the thickness; greater thickness will minimize deflection of the framework, which may lead to debonding or fracture of the porcelain. It should be noted that an FDP is not a uniform beam; maximum deflection on loading will occur at the thinnest cross section, which is the interproximal connector area. However, connector thickness cannot impinge on gingival tissues or restrict access for oral hygiene procedures.

Failure and Repair of Metal-Ceramic Restorations

Metal-ceramic restorations remain the most popular material combination selected for crown and bridge applications and have a 10-year success rate of about 95%. The majority of retreatments are due to biological failures, such as tooth fracture, periodontal disease, and secondary caries. Prosthesis fracture and esthetic failures account for only 20% of retreatment cases for single-unit restorations. For metal-ceramic FDPs, prosthesis fracture is the most common reason for retreatment, with long-span FDPs (five or more units) having approximately twice the incidence of failure compared with short-span FDPs.

When metal-ceramic prosthesis fails, it is often due to adhesive failure between porcelain and metal or cohesive failure within the ceramic near the metal-ceramic interface. Ideally, the prosthesis should be retrieved, metal surfaces should be cleaned, and a new oxide layer should be formed on the exposed area of metal prior to porcelain application and firing. However, this cannot be achieved intraorally, and removal of the prosthesis is both unpleasant for the patient and time consuming. Thus a variety of techniques have been developed for porcelain repair using resin composites. All of these techniques present the challenge of bonding chemically dissimilar materials. When porcelain fragments are available and no functional loading is exerted on the fracture site, silane coupling agents can be used to achieve good adhesion between the composite and porcelain; however, metal alloys have no such bonding agent and this type of repair is considered only temporary. Systems are available for coating the metal surface with silica particles through airborne particle abrasion. The particles are embedded in the metal surface upon impact, then a silane coupling agent can be applied. Alternatively, base metal alloys can be coated with tin followed by the application of an acidic primer. Both methods achieve adequate bond strength and may delay the eventual need for remaking the prosthesis.

For a discussion of porcelain application and properties of porcelain denture teeth please go to the website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.
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Impression materials are used to register or reproduce the form and relationship of the teeth and oral tissues. Hydrocolloids and synthetic elastomeric polymers are among the materials most commonly used to make impressions of various areas of the dental arch. Each of these classes of materials has certain advantages and disadvantages. An understanding of the physical characteristics and the limitations of each material is necessary for their successful use in clinical dentistry. Digital impressions are described in Chapter 14. Impression materials and techniques are discussed on the website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

PURPOSE OF IMPRESSION MATERIALS

Impression materials are used to make an accurate replica or mold of the hard and soft oral tissues. The area involved may vary from a single tooth to the whole dentition, or an impression may be made of an edentulous mouth. The impression is a negative reproduction of the tissues, and by filling the impression with dental stone or other model material, a positive cast is made that can be removed after the model material has set. An impression and a cast made from the impression are shown in Fig. 12.1. Casts of the mouth are used to evaluate the dentition when orthodontic, occlusal, or other problems are involved, and in the laboratory fabrication of restorations and prostheses.

Usually the impression material is carried to the mouth in an unset (flowable) condition in a tray and applied to the area under treatment. When the impression material has set, it is removed from the mouth with the tray. The cast is made by filling the impression with dental stone or other model material or by scanning the impression and printing a plastic model from the digital impression (see Chapter 14). The accuracy, detail, and quality of this final replica are of greatest importance. When the positive reproduction takes the form of the tissues of the upper or lower jaw and serves for the construction of dentures, crowns, fixed dental prostheses, and other restorations, it is described as a cast. The positive reproduction of the form of a prepared tooth constitutes a die for the preparation of inlays or fixed dental prostheses. When a positive likeness of the arch or certain teeth is reproduced for orthodontic treatment, it is sometimes described as a model, although cast is the more proper term. On other occasions and in other branches of dentistry, these terms are used interchangeably. Sometimes impression materials are used to duplicate a cast or model that has been formed when more than one positive reproduction is required. Such impression materials are referred to as duplicating materials (for additional information refer to website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative).

A variety of impression trays are used to make impressions. Examples of typical impression trays are shown in Fig. 12.2. The tray is placed so the material is supported and brought into contact with the oral tissues, and then held without movement until the impression material has set. The tray with the impression material is then removed from the mouth, and the impression is ready for disinfection and pouring with a cast material to make a positive replica. The clinical impression technique and the production of the cast vary with each impression material. The properties of custom trays are discussed later in this chapter.

DESIRABLE QUALITIES

Affording safe contact with tissues in the mouth and having the ability to fulfill the needs of clinical procedures are critical requirements that dictate the physical properties of dental impression materials. No
impression material fulfills every requirement, and selection of the material best suited for a particular clinical situation and technique rests with the dentist. The desirable properties of an impression can be summarized briefly as follows:

1. A pleasant odor, taste, and acceptable color
2. Absence of toxic or irritant constituents
3. Adequate shelf life for requirements of storage and distribution
4. Economically commensurate with the results obtained
5. Easy to use with the minimum of equipment
6. Setting characteristics that meet clinical requirements
7. Satisfactory consistency and texture
8. Readily wets oral tissues
9. Elastic properties that allow easy removal of the set material from the mouth and good elastic recovery
10. Adequate strength to avoid breaking or tearing upon removal from the mouth
11. Dimensional stability over temperature and humidity ranges normally found in clinical and laboratory procedures for a period long enough to permit the production of a cast or die
12. Compatibility with cast and die materials
13. Accuracy in clinical use
14. Readily disinfected without loss of accuracy
15. No release of gas or other by-products during the setting of the impression or cast and die materials
TYPES OF IMPRESSION MATERIALS

Alginate hydrocolloid and elastomeric impression materials are the most widely used today, and the properties of these materials are examined first. Elastomeric impression materials have replaced rigid setting materials such as plaster, impression compound, and zinc oxide-eugenol for recording soft-tissue and occlusal relationships. Information on plaster, impression compound, and zinc oxide-eugenol impression materials can be found on the website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

Alginate Hydrocolloids

Dental alginate impression materials change from the sol phase to the gel phase because of a chemical reaction. Once gelation is completed, the material cannot be reliquefied to a sol. These hydrocolloids are called irreversible to distinguish them from the agar reversible hydrocolloids. Agar impression materials are described on the website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative. Alginate impressions are widely used to form study casts used to plan treatment, monitor changes, and fabricate provisional restorations and removable dental prostheses.

Alginate impression products have acceptable elastic properties. Preparation for use requires only the mixing of measured quantities of powder and water. The resulting paste flows well and registers acceptable anatomical detail. Gypsum casts and models are made by pouring dental plaster or stone into the impression; no separating medium is necessary. The powder is supplied in bulk containers along with suitable measures for dispensing the correct quantities of powder and water. The powder is also available in small sealed packets containing a quantity suitable for a single impression and ready for mixing with a measured quantity of water. These methods of packaging, together with the measuring devices supplied by the manufacturer, are shown in Fig. 12.3.

Composition and Chemistry

Potassium and sodium salts of alginic acid have properties that make them suitable for compounding a dental impression material. Alginic acid, which is prepared from a marine plant, is a high-molecular-weight block copolymer of anhydro-β-D-mannuronic acid and anhydro-β-D-guluronic acid, as shown in the top part of the formula for alginate below. The properties of alginate raw material depend largely on the degree of polymerization and the ratio of guluronan and mannuronan blocks in the polymeric molecules. The mannuronan regions are stretched and flat, whereas the guluronan regions contribute less flexibility. In addition, mainly guluronan blocks bind with Ca²⁺. Therefore alginites rich in guluronan form strong, brittle gels, whereas those rich in mannuronan form weaker and more flexible gels.
Solutions of these soluble salts, when reacted with a calcium salt, produce an insoluble flexible gel commonly called calcium alginate; the structures are shown above. Upon mixing with water, the alginate impression material first forms a sol. Following the chemical reaction described above, a gel is formed to create the set impression material. The gel-forming ability of alginates is mainly related to the proportion of l-guluronan blocks. The concept of sols and gels is presented in the discussion of colloids in Chapter 4.

The nature of this chemical reaction is shown above for the sodium salt. The equally common potassium salt reacts similarly. In an alginate impression compound, the calcium sulfate dihydrate, soluble alginate, and sodium phosphate are included in the powder. When water is added to the powder, compounds disassociate as shown. Calcium ions from the calcium sulfate dihydrate react preferentially with phosphate ions from the sodium phosphate and pyrophosphate to form insoluble calcium phosphate. Calcium phosphate is formed rather than calcium alginate because it has a lower solubility; thus the sodium phosphate is called a retarder and provides working time for the mixed alginate.

After the phosphate ions are depleted, the calcium ions react with the soluble alginate to form the insoluble calcium alginate, which together with water forms the irreversible calcium alginate gel. The calcium alginate is insoluble in water, and its formation causes the mixed material to gel. This reaction is irreversible; it is not possible to convert the calcium alginate to a sol after it has set.

To meet the critical requirements of a dental impression material, this reaction must be controlled to attain the desirable properties of consistency, working time, setting time, strength, flexibility, elastic quality, and smooth, hard surfaces on gypsum casts. These requirements are achieved by adding agents to control the rate of the reaction, develop strength and elasticity in the gel, and counteract the delaying effect of alginate on the setting of gypsum products. The use of suitable fillers in correct quantities produces a consistency that is suitable for various clinical uses.

The composition of a typical alginate impression material and the function of its ingredients are shown in Table 12.1. Manufacturers adjust the concentration of sodium phosphate to produce regular- and fast-set alginates. They also adjust the concentration of filler to control the flexibility of the set impression material from soft-set to hard-set. Although alginate impressions are usually made in a tray, injection types are much more fluid after mixing and more flexible after setting. Manufacturers add organic glycols to the alginate powder to reduce dust. Diatomaceous earth or fine siliceous particles are used as fillers. Because these particles can be a respiratory irritant, inhalation of the dust should be minimized. Impressions should be disinfected with a spray solution after removal from the mouth and before pouring with a casting material. Other ingredients in some products include antimicrobials agents and pH indicators that change color when setting has occurred. New alginates have been formulated to have increased dimensional stability on storage, allowing orthodontic impressions, for example, to be sent to an orthodontic laboratory for production of the model.
Proportioning and Mixing

The proportioning of the powder and water before mixing is critical to obtaining consistent results. Changes in the water-to-powder (W/P) ratio will alter the consistency and setting times of the mixed material and also the strength and quality of the impression. Usually the manufacturers provide suitable containers for proportioning the powder and water by volume, and these are sufficiently accurate for clinical use.

The mixing time for regular alginate is 1 minute; the time should be carefully measured, because both undermixing and overmixing are detrimental to the strength of the set impression. Fast-set alginites should be mixed with water for 45 seconds. The powder and water are best mixed vigorously in a flexible rubber bowl with an alginate spatula or a spatula of the type used for mixing plaster and stone. Mechanical mixing devices are also available.

Properties

Some typical properties of a tray-type alginate impression material are listed in Table 12.2.

Working Time

The fast-set materials have working times of 1.25 to 2 minutes, whereas time of the regular-set materials is usually 3 minutes, but may be as long as 4.5 minutes. With a mixing time of 45 seconds for the fast-set types, 30 to 75 seconds of working time remain before the impression needs to be completely seated. For the regular-set materials, a mixing time of 60 seconds leaves 2 to 3.5 minutes of working time for materials that set at 3.5 to 5 minutes. In both cases, the mixed alginate must be loaded into the tray and the impression made promptly.

Setting Time

Setting times range from 1 to 5 minutes. The American National Standards Institute/American Dental Association (ANSI/ADA) specification No. 18 [International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 1563] requires that it be at least that value listed by the manufacturer and at least 15 seconds longer than the stated working time. Lengthening the setting time is better accomplished by reducing the temperature of the water used with the mix than by reducing the proportion of powder. Reducing the ratio of powder to water reduces the strength and accuracy of the alginate. Selecting an alginate with a different setting time is a better alternative than changing the W/P ratio.

The setting reaction is a typical chemical reaction, and the rate can be approximately doubled by a temperature increase of 10°C. However, using water that is cooler than 18°C or warmer than 24°C is not advisable, as the temperature of the water also affects the comfort of the patient. The clinical setting time is detected by a loss of surface tackiness. If possible, the impression should be left in place 2 to 3 minutes after the loss of tackiness, because the tear strength and elastic recovery (recovery from deformation) increase significantly during this period.

Color-changing alginates provide a visual indication of working time and setting time. The mechanism of the color change is a pH-related change of a dye. One such alginate changes its color from light pink to white.
ELASTIC RECOVERY

A typical alginate impression is compressed about 10% in areas of undercuts during removal. The actual magnitude depends on the extent of the undercuts and the space between the tray and the teeth. The ANSI/ADA specification requires that the elastic recovery be more than 95% when the material is compressed 20% for 5 seconds at the time it would normally be removed from the mouth. As indicated in Table 12.2, a typical value for elastic recovery is 98.2%. The corresponding permanent deformation is 1.8%.

The permanent deformation (or lack of elastic recovery), indicated as percent compression set, is a function of percent compression, time under compression, and time after removal of the compressive load, as illustrated in Fig. 12.4. Note that permanent deformation is a time-dependent property. Lower permanent deformation (higher elastic recovery) occurs (1) when the percent compression is lower, (2) when the impression is under compression a shorter time, and (3) when the recovery time is longer, up to about 8 minutes after the release of the load. Clinically these factors translate into requirements for a reasonable bulk of alginate between the tray and the teeth, appropriate retention of the alginate in the tray, and a rapid removal of the impression from the mouth. The usual procedures followed to disinfect the impression and produce a gypsum model provide adequate time for any recovery that might occur.

FLEXIBILITY

The ANSI/ADA specification permits a range of 5% to 20% at a stress of 0.1 MPa, and most alginates have a typical value of 14%. However, some of the hard-set materials have values from 5% to 8%. A reasonable amount of flexibility is required for ease of removal of the impression.

STRENGTH

The compressive and tear strengths of alginates are listed in Table 12.2. Both properties are time dependent, with higher values obtained at higher rates of loading. Compressive strengths range from approximately 0.5 to 0.9 MPa. The ANSI/ADA specification requires that certified products have a compressive strength of at least 0.35 MPa. Tear strengths vary from 0.4 to 0.7 kN/m, and this property is probably more important than the compressive strength. The tear strength is a measure of the force-to-thickness ratio needed to initiate and continue tearing and is often determined on a specimen of the shape shown in Fig. 12.5. Tearing occurs in the thin sections of the impression, and the probability of tearing decreases with increasing rates of removal. The effect of loading rate on the tear strength of several alginates is shown in Fig. 12.6. Values for tray

---

**TABLE 12.2** Typical Properties of Alginate and Heavy-Bodied Agar Hydrocolloid Impression Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Working Time (min)</th>
<th>Setting Time (min)</th>
<th>Gelation (°C)</th>
<th>Recoverya (%)</th>
<th>Flexibilityb (%)</th>
<th>Compressive Strengthc (MPa)</th>
<th>Tear Strengthd (kN/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alginate</td>
<td>1.25–4.5</td>
<td>1.5–5.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>8–15</td>
<td>0.49–0.88</td>
<td>0.4–0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>37–45</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>4–15</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.8–0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a At 10% compression for 30 seconds.
b At a stress of 1000 g/cm².
c At a loading rate of 10 kg/min.
d ASTM Tear Die C at 25 cm/min.

---

**FIG. 12.4** Variation of compression set with time of an alginate impression material at strains of 10%, 20%, and 30% applied for 5 and 10 seconds. (Modified from Wilson HJ. Elastomeric impression materials. 1. The setting material. Br Dent J. 1966;121(6):277–283.)
materials range from 0.38 to 0.48 N/mm at 20 mm/min to 0.6 to 0.7 N/mm at 500 mm/min. The lower tear strength at corresponding rates for the syringe materials reflects the decreased alginate in the syringe material.

COMPATIBILITY WITH GYPSUM

The selection of an alginate-gypsum combination that produces good surface quality and detail is highly important. The surface quality and ability of alginate-gypsum combinations to reproduce fine V-shaped grooves are shown in Fig. 12.7A and B. A type III model plaster was poured against an alginate in Fig. 12.7A, and type IV dental stone was poured against the same alginate in Fig. 12.7B. The finest groove was 0.025 mm wide in each instance. The combination in Fig. 12.7B was not as compatible as the one in Fig. 12.7A with respect to either surface quality or detail. For purposes of comparison, in Fig. 12.7C, the same type IV dental stone used in Fig. 12.7B was poured against a polysulfide impression.

The impression must be rinsed well in cold water to remove saliva and any blood, and then disinfected. Next, all free surface water should be removed before preparing a gypsum model. Saliva and blood interfere with the setting of gypsum, and if free water accumulates, it tends to collect in the deeper parts of the impression and dilute the gypsum model material, yielding a soft, chalky surface. The excess surface water has been removed when the reflective surface becomes dull. If the alginate impression is stored for 30 minutes...
or more before preparing the model, it should be rinsed with cool water to remove any exudate on the surface caused by syneresis of the alginate gel; exudate will retard the setting of the gypsum. Thereafter, it should be wrapped loosely in a moist paper towel and sealed in a plastic bag to avoid moisture loss.

The set gypsum model should not remain in contact with the alginate impression for periods of several hours because contact of the slightly soluble calcium sulfate dihydrate with the alginate gel containing a great deal of water is detrimental to the surface quality of the model.

**DIMENSIONAL STABILITY**

Alginate impressions lose water by evaporation and shrink when standing in air. Impressions left on the bench for as short a time as 30 minutes may become inaccurate enough to require remaking the impression. Even if the impression stored for more than 30 minutes in air were immersed in water, it would not be feasible to determine when the correct amount of water had been absorbed, and in any case the previous dimensions would not be reproduced. For maximum accuracy, the model material should be poured into the alginate impression as soon as possible. If for some reason the models cannot be prepared directly, the impressions should be stored in 100% relative humidity in a plastic bag or wrapped in a damp (but not wringing-wet) paper towel. There is a greater chance for distortion the longer the impression is stored (Fig. 12.8). New alginates have improved long-term storage ranging from 48 to 120 hours when stored in a plastic bag. Now, orthodontists routinely send alginate impressions to companies that offer digital fabrication of appliances.

**DISINFECTION**

Disinfection of impressions is a concern with respect to viral diseases such as hepatitis B, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, and herpes simplex, because the viruses may be transferred to gypsum models and present a risk to dental laboratory and operating personnel.

All alginate impressions should be disinfected before pouring with gypsum to form a cast. The most common form of disinfection is spraying, but studies have shown that alginate impressions can be immersed in disinfectant also. The effect of disinfection in 1% sodium hypochlorite or 2% potentiated glutaraldehyde solutions on accuracy and surface quality has been measured after 10- to 30-minute immersion. Statistically significant dimensional changes were observed; however, the changes were on the order of 0.1% and the quality of the surface was not impaired. Such changes would be insignificant for clinical applications such as the preparation of study models and working casts. In another study, immersion disinfection of alginates demonstrated little effect on accuracy and surface quality, but it was shown that one alginate product was best immersed in iodophor and another brand in glyoxal glutaraldehyde. The effect of disinfection on agar impression materials has not been reported, but considering the similarity of the two hydrocolloids, similar recommendations are reasonable.

**Elastomeric Impression Materials**

Four types of synthetic elastomeric impression materials are available to record dental impressions: polysulfides, condensation silicones, addition silicones (polyvinylsiloxanes), and polyethers. Polysulfides were the first synthetic elastomeric impression material introduced (1950). Condensation silicones were made available to dentists in 1955, polyether in 1965, and addition silicones in 1975. Polysulfide and condensation silicone impression materials are described on the website [http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative](http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative). Polyvinylsiloxanes and polyethers form the vast majority of elastomeric impressions used worldwide today. Changes in recent years have provided greater choice of consistency and new mixing techniques.

**Consistencies**

Elastomeric impression materials are typically supplied in several consistencies (viscosities) to accommodate a range of impression techniques. Addition silicones are available: extra-low, low (syringe or wash), medium (regular), monophase, high (tray), and putty (extra-high) consistencies. Polyether impression materials are available in low, medium, and high consistencies.
Mixing Systems

Two types of systems are available to mix the catalyst and base thoroughly before taking the impression: static automixing and dynamic mechanical mixing. A very popular means of mixing the catalyst and base is with a so-called automixing system. The base and catalyst are in separate cylinders of the plastic cartridge. The cartridge is placed in a mixing gun containing two plungers that are advanced by a ratchet mechanism to extrude equal quantities of base and catalyst. The base and catalyst are forced through the static-mixing tip containing a stationary plastic internal spiral; the two components are folded over each other many times as they are pushed through the spiral, resulting in a uniform mix at the tip end. Because one cylinder may be filled slightly more than the other, the first part of the mix from a new cartridge should be discarded. In addition, be sure that the cartridge tips are not occluded before attaching the mixing tip.

The mixed material can be extruded directly into an injection syringe or into the impression tray. Intraoral delivery tips can be placed on the end of the static mixing tip, and the mixed material can be injected into and around the cavity preparation. The tip can be removed, and additional mixed material can be extruded into the impression tray. The automixing systems have been shown to result in mixes with many fewer voids than hand mixes. Although for each mix the material left in the mixing tip is wasted, the average loss is only 1 to 2 mL, depending on the manufacturer’s tip, whereas three to four times this much is wasted in a hand mix as a result of overestimating the amount needed. Initially, automixing was used for low consistencies, but new designs of guns and mixing tips allow all consistencies except putty to be used with this system. Addition silicones and polyethers are available with this means of mixing.

The second and newest system is a dynamic, mechanical mixer, illustrated in Fig. 12.9. The catalyst and base are supplied in large foil bags housed in a cartridge, which is inserted into the top of the mixing machine. A new, plastic mixing tip is placed on the front of the machine, and when the button is depressed, parallel plungers push against the collapsible foil bags, thereby opening the bags and forcing material into the dynamic mixing tip. This mixing tip differs from automixing in that the internal spiral is motor driven so it rotates. Thus mixing is accomplished by this rotation plus forward motion of the material through the spiral. In this manner, thorough mixing can be ensured and higher viscosity material can be mixed with ease. The advantage of this system is ease of use, speed, and thoroughness of mixing, but more must be invested in the purchase of the system compared with hand and automixing.

In addition, there is slightly more material retained in the mixing tip than with automixing, but less than that wasted when mixed by hand. Polyether and addition-silicone impression materials are available for mixing with a dynamic, mechanical mixer.

One variation in mixing is with the two-putty addition-silicone systems mixed by hand. Scoops are supplied by the manufacturer for dispensing, and the putties are most often kneaded with fingers until free from streaks. The putty materials that have a liquid catalyst are initially mixed with a spatula until the catalyst is reasonably incorporated, and mixing is completed by hand. It should be noted that latex gloves may interfere with setting of addition-silicone impression materials, as discussed later.

Impression Techniques

Three common methods for making impressions for fixed restorations are a simultaneous, dual-viscosity technique, a single-viscosity or monophase technique, and a putty-wash technique. In nearly all cases, impression material is injected directly on and into the prepared teeth and a tray containing the bulk of the impression material is placed thereafter. After the impression is set, the tray is removed.

The simultaneous, dual-viscosity technique is one in which low-consistency material is injected with a syringe into critical areas and the high-consistency material is mixed and placed in an impression tray. After injecting the low-viscosity material, the tray containing the higher-viscosity material is placed in the mouth. In this manner, the more viscous tray impression material forces the lower-viscosity material to flow into fine aspects of the areas of interest. Because they are both mixed at nearly the same time, the materials join, bond, and set together. After the materials have set, the tray and the impression are removed. An example of an impression using this procedure is shown in Fig. 12.10.

In the single-viscosity or monophase technique, impressions are often taken with a medium-viscosity
impression material. Addition-silicone and polyether impression materials are well suited for this technique because both have a capacity for shear thinning. As described in Chapter 4, pseudoplastic materials demonstrate a decreased viscosity when subjected to high shear rates such as those occurring during mixing and syringing. When the medium viscosity material is forced through an impression syringe, the viscosity is reduced, whereas the viscosity of the same material residing in the tray is unaffected. In this manner, such materials can be used for syringing and for trays, as previously described for the simultaneous, dual-viscosity technique. The mechanism for shear thinning is discussed in the later section on the viscosity of impression materials.

The putty-wash technique is a two-step impression procedure whereby a preliminary impression is taken in high- or putty-consistency material before the cavity preparation is made. Space is provided for a low-consistency material by a variety of techniques, and after cavity preparation, a low-consistency material is syringed into the area and the preliminary impression reinserted. The low- and high-consistency materials bond, and after the low-consistency material sets, the impression is removed. This procedure is sometimes called a wash technique. The putty-consistency material and this technique were developed for condensation silicones to minimize the effects of dimensional change during polymerization. Most of the shrinkage during polymerization takes place in the putty material when the preliminary impression is made, confining final shrinkage to the thin wash portion of the impression. Care must be taken so the wash material can freely escape via vents in the putty material when the wash impression is made. If not, the wash material can compress the putty in the second-stage impression, inducing permanent distortion and inaccuracies to the impression. The putty-wash technique was extended to addition silicones after their introduction, even though their polymerization shrinkage is significantly lower.

Manufacturers add coloring agents to the accelerator or base as an aid in determining the thoroughness of the mix. Normally a different color is used for each consistency of a particular product line so one can distinguish the wash (low) consistency from the tray consistency in the set impression. Retarders may be added as well to control working and setting time of the products. Some manufacturers have added flavors to addition silicones.

**Composition and Reactions**

The next two sections describe the general composition and setting reactions of addition-silicone and polyether impression materials. The following section describes their physical properties, permitting a more direct comparison of the various types and their properties.

**ADDITION SILICONE**

Addition silicone (also called polyvinylsiloxane) is available in extra low, low, medium, heavy, and very heavy (putty) consistencies. A representative product line of addition silicones is shown in Fig. 12.11. The base paste of this class of impression materials contains a moderately low-molecular-weight polymeric (polymethylhydrosiloxane) with more than three and up to ten pendant or terminal hydrosilane groups per molecule [see formulas below and addition-silicone formula 1 (AS1)]. The base also contains filler.

![FIG. 12.10 An elastomeric addition-silicone impression. Turquoise material is of a low or injection consistency, and maroon material of a high or tray consistency. (Courtesy Dr. Charles Mark Malloy, Portland, OR.)](image1)

![FIG. 12.11 Various consistencies and types of packaging of an addition-silicone impression material. (Courtesy Coltene/Whaledent Inc, Cuyahoga Falls, OH.)](image2)
The accelerator (catalyst) and the base paste contain a dimethylsiloxane polymer with vinyl terminal groups, plus filler. The accelerator also contains a platinum catalyst of the so-called Karstedt type, which is a complex compound consisting of platinum and 1,3-divinyltetramethyldisiloxane. Unlike the condensation type, the addition reaction does not normally produce a low-molecular-weight byproduct, as indicated in the reaction shown below (AS2).
A secondary reaction can occur, however, with the production of hydrogen gas if –OH groups are present. The most important source of –OH groups is water (H–OH), the reaction of which under consumption of Si–H units is illustrated above (AS3). Another possible source of hydrogen gas is a side reaction of the Si–H units of the polymethylhydrosiloxane with each other, under the influence of the platinum catalyst, also shown above (AS3).

Not all addition-silicone impression materials release hydrogen gas, and because it is not known which do, it is recommended that one wait at least 30 minutes for the setting reaction to be completed before the gypsum models and dies are poured. Epoxy dies should not be poured until the impression has stood overnight. The difference in the delay with gypsum and epoxy is that gypsum products have much shorter setting times than epoxy die materials. Some products contain a hydrogen absorber such as palladium, and gypsum and epoxy die materials can be poured against them as soon as practical. Examples of high-strength stone poured after 15 minutes against addition silicone, with and without a hydrogen absorber, are shown in Fig. 12.12.

Latex gloves have been shown to adversely affect the setting of addition-silicone impressions. Sulfur compounds that are used in the vulcanization of latex rubber gloves can migrate to the surface of stored gloves. These compounds can be transferred onto the prepared teeth and adjacent soft tissues during tooth preparation and when placing tissue retraction cord. They can also be incorporated directly into the impression material when mixing two putties by hand. These compounds can poison the platinum-containing catalyst, which results in retarded or no polymerization in the contaminated area of the impression. Thorough washing of the gloves with detergent and water just before mixing sometimes minimizes this effect, and some brands of gloves interfere with the setting more than others. Vinyl and nitrile gloves do not have such an effect. Residual monomer in acrylic provisional restorations and resin composite cores has a similar inhibiting effect on the set of addition-silicone materials. The preparation and adjacent soft tissues can also be cleaned with 2% chlorhexidine to remove contaminants.

POLYETHER
Polyethers are supplied in low-, medium-, and heavy-body consistency. The base paste consists of a long-chain polyether copolymer with alternating oxygen atoms and methylene groups (O–[CH₂]ₙ) and reactive terminal groups [see polyether 1 (PE1)]. Also incorporated are a silica filler, compatible plasticizers of a nonphthalate type, and triglycerides. In the catalyst paste, the former 2,5-dichlorobenzene sulfonate was replaced by an aliphatic cationic starter as a cross-linking agent. The catalyst also includes silica filler and plasticizers. Coloring agents are added to base and catalyst to aid in the recognition of different material types. Examples of polyether impression materials are shown in Fig. 12.9.

The reaction mechanism is shown (PE2) in a simplified form. The elastomer is formed by cationic polymerization by opening of the reactive terminal rings. The backbone of the polymer is believed to be a copolymer of ethylene oxide and tetramethylene oxide units. The reactive terminal rings open under the influence of the cationic initiator of the catalyst paste and can then, as a cation itself, attack and open additional rings. Whenever a ring is opened, the cation function remains attached, thus lengthening the chain (see PE3). Because of the identical chemical base, all polyether consistencies can be freely combined with each other. A chemical bond between all materials develops during curing.
Setting Properties

Typical values of the setting properties of elastomeric impression materials are presented in Table 12.3. The temperature rise in typical mixes of impression materials was pointed out in the previous section, but Table 12.3 illustrates that the temperature rise is small and of no clinical concern.

VISCOSITY

The viscosity of materials 45 seconds after mixing is listed in Table 12.3. As expected, the viscosity increases for the same type of material from low to high consistencies. Viscosity is a function of time after the start of mixing.

A shearing force can affect the viscosity of polyether and addition-silicone impression materials, as was mentioned in the section on impression techniques. This effect is called shear thinning or pseudoplasticity. For impression materials possessing this characteristic, the viscosity of the unset material diminishes with an increasing outside force or shearing speed. When the influence is discontinued, the viscosity immediately increases. This property is very important for the use of monophase impression materials, and is illustrated for polyether in Fig. 12.13. In the case of polyether, shear-thinning properties are influenced by a weak network of triglyceride crystals. The crystals align when the impression material is sheared, as occurs when mixed or flowing through a syringe tip. The microcrystalline triglyceride network ensures that the polyether remains viscous in the tray or on the tooth but flows under pressure. This allows a single or monophase material to be used as a low- and medium-consistency material. Cooling of the pastes results in substantial viscosity increase. Before using, pastes have to be brought to room temperature.

The effect of shear rate (rotational speed of the viscometer) on the viscosity of single-consistency (monophase) addition silicones is shown in Fig. 12.14. Although all products showed a decrease in viscosity with increasing shear rate, the effect was much more
### TABLE 12.3 Setting Properties of Elastomeric Impression Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Consistency</th>
<th>Temperature Rise (°C)</th>
<th>Viscosity 45 s after Mixing (cp)</th>
<th>Working Time (min)</th>
<th>Setting Time (min)</th>
<th>Dimensional Change at 24 h (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLYSULFIDES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>4–7</td>
<td>7–10</td>
<td>−0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SILICONES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>2.5–4</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>−0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>2–2.5</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>4–6.5</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>4–6.5</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2.5–4</td>
<td>4–6.5</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLYETHERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>2.5–3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 12.13** Demonstration of the mechanism for the property of shear thinning or pseudoplasticity in polyethers. The triglyceride network (A) within the impression material aligns when sheared as with syringing, and (B) to achieve a lower viscosity. Once the shear force is removed, the viscosity increases with randomization of the triglyceride network (C).

pronounced for two products, Ba and Hy, with about an eightfold to eleven-fold decrease from the lowest to the highest shear rate. The substantial decrease in viscosity at high shear stress, which is comparable with the decrease during syringing, permits the use of a single mix of material, with a portion to be used as syringe material and another portion to be used as tray material in the syringe-tray technique.

**WORKING AND SETTING TIMES**

The working and setting times of addition-silicone and polyether impression materials are listed in Table 12.3. In general, for a given class of elastomeric impression materials by a specific manufacturer, the working and setting times decrease as the viscosity increases from low to high. Polyethers show a clearly defined working time with a sharp transition.
This behavior is often called snap-set. This transition from plastic condition into elastic properties is rather short compared with older addition silicones, which was shown in investigations of rheological properties of setting materials (Fig. 12.15).

Note that the working and setting times of the elastomeric impression materials are shortened by increases in temperature and humidity; on hot, humid days this effect should be considered in the clinical application of these materials.

The initial (or working) and final setting times can be determined fairly accurately by using a penetrometer with a needle and weight selected to suit these materials. The Vicat penetrometer, as shown in Fig. 12.16, with a 3-mm diameter needle and a total weight of 300 g, has been used by a number of investigators. A metal ring, 8 mm high and 16 mm in diameter, is filled with freshly mixed material and placed on the penetrometer base. The needle is applied to the surface of the impression material for 10 seconds, and a reading is taken. This is repeated every 30 seconds. The initial set is that time at which the needle no longer completely penetrates the specimen to the bottom of the ring. The final set is the time of the first of three identical nonmaximum penetration readings. When the material has set, the elasticity still allows penetration of the needle, but it is the same at each application.

**DIMENSIONAL CHANGE ON SETTING**

The impression material undergoes a dimensional change on setting. The major factor for contraction during setting is cross-linking and rearrangement of bonds within and between polymer chains.
Impressions can expand if water sorption takes place and an impression can be distorted if seated after the material has set to any degree. Finally, distortion or creep will occur if the material does not recover elastically when the set impression is removed from undercuts. Imbibition is discussed in the section on disinfecting impressions, and creep-induced distortion is discussed under elastic recovery.

Addition-silicone and polyether impression materials undergo shrinkage due to polymerization. The linear dimensional change between a die and the impression after 24 hours is listed in Table 12.3. The addition silicones have the smallest change, about −0.15%, followed by the polyethers at about −0.2%. The contraction is low for these two products because there is no loss of by-products.

The rate of shrinkage of elastomeric impression materials is not uniform during the 24 hours after removal from the mouth. In general, about half the shrinkage observed at 24 hours occurs during the first hour after removal; for greatest accuracy, therefore, the models and dies should be prepared promptly, although in air the elastomeric impression materials are much more stable than hydrocolloid products.

**Mechanical Properties**

Typical mechanical properties of elastomeric impression materials are listed in Table 12.4. The permanent deformation (in the current specification, elastic recovery, which is 100% minus the permanent deformation), strain in compression, and dimensional change are properties used in ANSI/ADA specification No. 19 (ISO 4823) to classify elastomeric impression materials as low, medium, high, or very high viscosity types. The requirements for these properties are given in Table 12.5. Further requirements of the specification for elastomeric impression materials are indicated in Table 12.6. The consistency diameter is used to classify viscosity by measuring the diameter of the disk formed when 0.5 mL of mixed material is subjected to a 5.6-N weight at 1.5 minutes after mixing for 12 minutes. Because the setting times of elastomeric impression materials vary, the consistency diameter is affected not only by the viscosity but also by the setting time. The classification of a material by the consistency diameter may be different from that by a true viscosity measurement.

**ELASTIC RECOVERY**

The order in which the permanent deformation of the elastomeric impression materials is listed in Table 12.4 demonstrates that addition silicones have the best elastic recovery during removal from the mouth, followed by polyethers. A material with a permanent deformation of 1% has an elastic recovery of 99%.

### TABLE 12.4 Mechanical Properties of Elastomeric Impression Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Consistency</th>
<th>Permanent Deformation(^a) (%)</th>
<th>Strain in Compression (%)</th>
<th>Flow (%)</th>
<th>Shore A Hardness</th>
<th>Tear Strength (kN/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLYSULFIDES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>14–17</td>
<td>0.5–2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.5–7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>11–15</td>
<td>0.5–1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.0–7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>9–12</td>
<td>0.5–1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SILICONES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>4–9</td>
<td>0.05–0.1</td>
<td>15–30</td>
<td>2.3–2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>2–5</td>
<td>0.02–0.05</td>
<td>50–65</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.05–0.4</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>0.01–0.03</td>
<td>35–55</td>
<td>1.5–3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>0.05–0.3</td>
<td>2–5</td>
<td>0.01–0.03</td>
<td>50–60</td>
<td>2.2–3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.1–0.3</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>0.01–0.03</td>
<td>60–70</td>
<td>2.5–4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>0.2–0.5</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>0.01–0.1</td>
<td>50–75</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLYETHERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>35–40</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>2.8–4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>40–50</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Elastic recovery from deformation is 100% minus the percent permanent deformation.
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TABLE 12.5 Elastic Recovery, Strain in Compression, and Dimensional Change Requirements for Elastomeric Impression Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viscosity Type</th>
<th>Minimum Elastic Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Strain in Compression (%)</th>
<th>Maximum Dimensional Change in 24 h (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 12.6 Requirements by ANSI/ADA Specification No. 19 (ISO 4823) for the Various Viscosities of Elastomeric Impression Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viscosity</th>
<th>Maximum Mixing Time (min)</th>
<th>Minimum Working Time (min)</th>
<th>Diameter of Consistency Disk (mm)</th>
<th>Reproduction of Detail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.020 0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.020 0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.050 0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.075 0.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


STRAIN IN COMPRESSION
The strain in compression under a stress of 0.1 MPa is a measure of the flexibility of the material. Table 12.4 illustrates that, in general, the low-consistency materials of each type are more flexible than the high-consistency elastomeric impressions. For a given consistency, polyethers are generally the stiffest, followed by addition silicones.

FLOW
Flow is measured on a cylindrical specimen 1 hour old, and the percent flow is determined 15 minutes after a load of 1 N is applied. As seen in Table 12.4, silicones and polyethers have low values of flow.

Typical elastomeric impression materials apparently have no difficulty meeting the mechanical property requirements of ANSI/ADA specification No. 19 (see Table 12.6). Although the flow, hardness, and the tear strengths of elastomeric impression materials are not mentioned in the specification, these are important properties; they are also listed in Table 12.4.

HARDNESS
The Shore A hardness increases from low to high consistency. When two numbers are given, the first represents the hardness 1.5 minutes after removal from the mouth, and the second number is the hardness after 2 hours. The low-, medium-, and high-viscosity addition silicones do not change hardness significantly with time, whereas the hardness of polyethers does increase with time. In addition, the hardness and strain in compression affect the force necessary to remove the impression from the mouth. Low flexibility and high hardness can be compensated for clinically by providing more space for the impression material between the tray and the teeth. This can be accomplished with additional block-out for custom trays or by selecting a larger tray when using disposable trays.

A new variation in polyether provides less resistance to deformation during removal of the impression from the mouth and the gypsum cast from the impression. To achieve this, the filler content was reduced from 14 to 6 parts per unit, thereby reducing the Shore A hardness from 46 to 40 after 15 minutes, and from 61 to 50 after 24 hours. The ratio of high-viscous softener to low-viscous softener was changed to achieve a consistency similar to that of the conventional monophase polyether.

TEAR STRENGTH
Tear strength is important because it indicates the ability of a material to withstand tearing in thin interproximal areas and margins of periodontally involved teeth. The tear strengths listed in Table 12.4 are a measure...
of the force needed to initiate and continue tearing a specimen of unit thickness. As the consistency of the impression type increases, tear strength undergoes a small increase, but most of the values are between 2.0 and 3.9 kN/m. Values for very high consistency types are not listed because this property is not important for these materials. Higher tear strengths for elastomeric impression materials are desirable, but compared with the values for hydrocolloid impression materials of 0.3 to 0.7 kN/m, they are a major improvement.

**CREEP COMPLIANCE**

Elastomeric impression materials are viscoelastic, and their mechanical properties are time dependent. For example, the higher the rate of deformation, the higher the tear strength; and the longer the impressions are deformed, the higher the permanent deformation. As a result, plots of creep compliance versus time describe the properties of these materials better than stress-strain curves. Creep-compliance time curves for low-consistency polysulfide, condensation silicone, addition silicone, and medium-consistency polyether are shown in Fig. 12.17. The initial creep compliance illustrates polysulfide is the most flexible and polyether is the least flexible. The flatness or parallelism of the curves with respect to the time axis indicates low permanent deformation and excellent recovery from deformation during the removal of an impression material; addition silicones and polyethers have the best elastic recovery.

The recoverable viscoelastic quality of the materials is indicated by differences between the initial creep compliance and the creep compliance value obtained by extrapolation of the linear portion of the curve to zero time. As a result, addition silicones have the lowest viscoelastic quality and require less time to recover viscoelastic deformation, followed by the polyethers.

**DETAIL REPRODUCTION**

The requirements of elastomeric impression materials are listed in Table 12.6. Except for the very high-viscosity products, all should reproduce a V-shaped groove and a 0.02-mm wide line in the elastomeric. The impression should be compatible with gypsum products so the 0.02-mm line is transferred to gypsum die materials. Low-, medium-, and high-viscosity elastomeric impression materials have little difficulty meeting this requirement.

**Wettability of Elastomeric Impression Materials**

Wettability may be assessed by measuring the advancing contact angle of water on the surface of the set impression material or by using a tensiometer to measure forces as the material is immersed and removed (Wilhelmy technique). The advancing contact angles for elastomeric impression materials are listed in Table 12.7. Of all the impression materials discussed in this chapter, only alginates can be considered truly hydrophilic. All of the elastomeric impression materials possess advancing and receding contact angles greater than 45 degrees. There are, however, differences in wetting among and within types of elastomeric impression materials. Traditional addition silicone is not as wettable as polyether. When mixes of gypsum products are poured into hydrophobic addition silicone, high contact angles are formed, making the preparation of bubble-free models difficult.

Surfactants have been added to addition silicones by manufacturers to reduce the contact angle,
improve wettability, and simplify the pouring of gypsum models. This class with improved wetting characteristics is most accurately called hydrophilized addition silicone. Most commonly, nonionic surfactants have gained importance in this area. These molecules consist of an oligoether or polyether substructure as the hydrophilic part and a silicone-compatible hydrophobic part (Fig. 12.18A). The mode of action of these wetting agents is believed to be a diffusion-controlled transfer of surfactant molecules from the polyvinylsiloxane into the aqueous phase, as shown. In this manner, the surface tension of the surrounding liquid is altered. (B) This increased wettability allows the addition silicone to spread more freely along the surface. PVS, Polyvinylsiloxane.

To evaluate the ability of impression materials to reproduce detail under wet and dry surface conditions, impressions were made of a standard wave pattern used to calibrate surface analyzers. The surfaces of impressions were scanned for average roughness (Ra) after setting to determine their ability to reproduce the detail of the standard, the value of which is shown with a double line in Fig. 12.19. From a clinical standpoint, most impression materials produced acceptable detail under wet and dry conditions. Polyethers produced slightly better detail than did addition silicones, and were generally unaffected by the presence of moisture, whereas detail decreased for some addition silicones under wet conditions, even if hydrophilized.

**Disinfection of Elastomeric Impressions**

All impressions should be disinfected upon removal from the mouth to prevent transmission of organisms.
Polymerization of elastomeric impression materials continues after the material has set, and the mechanical properties improve with time. Removal too early may result in high permanent deformation; however, excessively long times in the mouth are unacceptable to the patient. The manufacturer usually recommends a minimum time for leaving the impression in the mouth, and this minimum is used for testing the materials according to ANSI/ADA specification No. 19.

Dimensional changes on setting can be compensated for by use of a double-impression or putty-wash technique. When using a double-impression technique, a preliminary impression is taken in the high-or puttylike-consistency material, providing some space for the final impression in a low-consistency material. The preliminary impression is removed, the cavity prepared, and the final impression taken with the low-consistency material, using the preliminary impression as a tray. In this way, the dimensional change in the high consistency or puttylike consistency is negligible, and although the percent dimensional change of the low-consistency material is still large, the thickness is so small that the actual dimensional change is small. The double-impression technique is suitable for use with a stock impression tray, because the preliminary impression serves as a custom tray. With the monophase and simultaneous dual-viscosity technique, a slight improvement in accuracy results when a custom-made tray is used because it provides a uniform thickness of impression material. Several studies have shown, however, that relatively stiff stock plastic or metal trays yield nearly the same accuracy.

Clinical studies have shown that the viscosity of the impression material is the most important factor in producing impressions and dies with minimal bubbles and maximum detail. As a result, the syringe-tray technique produced superior clinical results in the reproduction of fine internal detail of proximal boxes or grooves.

The accuracy of the impression may be affected when the percentage of deformation and the time involved in removing the impression are increased. In both instances, permanent deformation increases, the amount depending on the type of elastomeric impression material.

Because elastomeric impressions recover from deformation for a period after their removal, some increase in accuracy can be expected during this time. However, polymerization shrinkage is also occurring, and the overall accuracy is determined by a combination of these two effects. Insignificant elastic recovery occurs after 20 to 30 minutes; therefore, dies should be prepared promptly after that time for greatest accuracy. Addition silicones that release hydrogen are an exception to this guideline.

FIG. 12.19 Ability of polyether and hydrophilized addition-silicone materials to reproduce detail under dry and wet conditions. The average roughness, $Ra$, of the standard from which impressions were made is shown (double line). Polyethers produced the best detail and were unaffected by moisture. The detail captured by addition silicones decreased slightly in the presence of moisture. (Data from Johnson GH, Lepe X, Berg JC. Effect of moisture on the quality of crown and bridge impressions. J Dent Res. 1998;77(Spec Issue B):798.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Dry</th>
<th>Wet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polysulfides</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethers</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition silicones</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accuracy, the ability to record detail, ease of handling, and setting characteristics are of prime importance in dental impressions.

Silicones generally have shorter working times than polysulfides but somewhat longer times than polyethers. Single-mix materials have some advantage in that, as a result of shear thinning, they have low viscosities when mixed or syringed but higher viscosities when inserted in a tray. The time of placement of an elastomeric impression material is critical, because viscosity increases rapidly with time as a result of the polymerization reaction. If the material is placed in the mouth after the consistency or viscosity has increased via polymerization, internal stresses induced in the impression are released after the impression is removed from the mouth, resulting in an inaccurate impression.

Thorough mixing is essential; otherwise portions of the mix could contain insufficient accelerator to polymerize thoroughly or may not set at the same rate as other portions of the impression. In this event, removal of the impression would cause less elastic recovery and result in an inaccurate impression. Automixing and mechanical mixing systems produce mixes with fewer bubbles than hand mixing, save time in mixing, and result in a more bubble-free impression.
Second pours of gypsum products into addition-silicone impressions produce dies that are not quite as accurate as the first, because the impression can be deformed during the removal of the first die; however, they are usually sufficiently accurate to be used as a working die.

**OCCLUSAL REGISTRATION MATERIALS**

Addition silicones and polyethers have been formulated for use as occlusal registration materials. Most of the products are addition silicones and most are supplied in automix cartridges. Properties of these occlusal registration materials are listed in Table 12.8. These materials are characterized by short working times and the length of time left in the mouth compared with typical elastomeric impression materials. They are also noted for their high stiffness, indicated by the low percent strain in compression, and for their low flow and dimensional change even after 7 days. The property that distinguishes addition silicones from polyethers is their lower dimensional change after removal; however, either is superior to the stability of waxes for making occlusal records.

**IMPRESSION TRAYS**

Custom impression trays provide a nearly constant distance between the tray and the tissues, allowing a more even distribution of the impression material during the impression procedure, and improved accuracy. Light-activated and vacuum-formed polymers are now used more frequently than chemically accelerated acrylic to produce custom impression trays because of the volatility of the acrylic monomer and sensitivity to the monomer reported by dental staff. Vacuum-formed polystyrene is popular with commercial laboratories because the trays can be made rapidly. These trays must be handled carefully because they are more flexible than acrylic trays and can be deformed easily by the application of heat. Prefabricated impression trays are very popular.

These stock trays vary considerably between manufacturers. When a stock tray is chosen, care must be taken to ensure that the tray is well adapted to the tissues and is adequately reinforced to prevent flexing during impression fabrication and removal. All trays require the use of a tray adhesive, which must be allowed to dry before placing the impression material in the tray.

Light-activated tray materials have many advantages over chemically accelerated acrylic. They are similar to light-activated denture base materials but are of a different color. The trays are strong, easy to make, contain no methyl methacrylate, and have negligible polymerization shrinkage in the light chamber. They can be used soon after processing because there is no clinically significant dimensional change after polymerization.

**DIE, CAST, AND MODEL MATERIALS**

Dental stones, plaster, epoxy resin, and refractory materials are some of the materials used to make casts or dies from dental impressions. The selection of one of these is determined by the particular impression material in use and by the purpose for which the die or cast is to be used.

Impressions in alginate hydrocolloid can be used only with a gypsum material, such as plaster, stone, or casting investment. Various elastomeric impression materials can be used to prepare gypsum or epoxy dies. Impression materials can also be digitally scanned to produce printed plastic casts or dies (see Chapter 14).

**Desirable Qualities of a Cast or Die Material**

Cast and die materials must reproduce an impression accurately and remain dimensionally stable under normal conditions of use and storage. Setting expansion, contraction, and dimensional variations in response to changes in temperature must be held to a minimum. Not only should the cast be accurate, but it should also satisfactorily reproduce fine detail and have a smooth, hard surface. Such an

---

**TABLE 12.8  Properties of Elastomeric Impression Materials Used for Occlusal Registrations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Mixing Type</th>
<th>Working Time (min)</th>
<th>Time in Mouth (min)</th>
<th>Strain in Compression (%)</th>
<th>Dimensional Change Flow (%)</th>
<th>1 Day (%)</th>
<th>7 Days (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addition silicone</td>
<td>Automix</td>
<td>0.5–3.0</td>
<td>1.0–3.0</td>
<td>1.0–2.9</td>
<td>0.0–0.01</td>
<td>0.0 to −0.15</td>
<td>−0.04 to −0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition silicone</td>
<td>Hand mix</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyether</td>
<td>Hand mix</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
<td>−0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
accurate cast or die must also be strong and durable and withstand the subsequent manipulative procedures without fracture or abrasion of the surface. Qualities of strength, resistance to shearing forces or edge strength, and abrasion resistance are therefore important and are required in varying degrees, according to the purpose for which the cast or die is to be used. For example, because it will not be subjected to much stress in use, a satisfactory study cast might be formed from dental model plaster in which the aforementioned qualities are at a minimum. However, an elastomeric impression used to produce an indirect inlay could be poured in high-strength stone or epoxy, thereby producing a die in which these qualities are sufficient to withstand the carving and finishing procedures that are a part of this technique.

The color of a cast or die can facilitate manipulative procedures, such as waxing inlay patterns, by presenting a contrast in color to the inlay wax. The ease with which the material can be adapted to the impression and the time required before the cast or die is ready for use are of considerable practical significance.

Dental Plaster and Stone
The chemistry and physical properties of dental plaster, stone, and high-strength stone are discussed later in this chapter. Gypsum materials are used extensively to make casts and dies from dental impressions and can be used with any impression material. Stone casts, which are stronger and resist abrasion better than plaster casts, are used whenever a restoration or appliance is to be made on the cast. Plaster may be used for study casts, which are for record purposes only.

Hardening solutions, usually about 30% silica sols in water, are mixed with stone. The increase in hardness of stone dies poured against impressions varies from 2% for silicones to 110% for polyether. The dimensional change on setting of stones mixed with hardener is slightly greater than when mixes are made with water, 0.07% versus 0.05%. In most instances the abrasion or scraping resistance of mixes of stone made with hardening solutions is higher than comparable mixes made with water. A range of effects in the abrasion resistance of surface treatments of stone has been reported. Model and die sprays generally increase the resistance to scraping, whereas lubricants can decrease surface hardness and resistance to scraping.

High-strength dental stones make excellent casts or dies, readily reproduce the fine detail of a dental impression, and are ready for use after approximately 1 hour. The resulting cast is dimensionally stable over long periods and withstands most of the manipulative procedures involved in the production of appliances and restorations.

When wax patterns constructed on high-strength stone dies are to be removed, some separating agent or die lubricant is necessary to prevent the wax from adhering. The lubricant is applied liberally to the high-strength stone die and allowed to soak in; usually several applications can be made before any excess accumulates on the surface. The excess is blown off with an air blast before proceeding to make the wax pattern.

Epoxy Die Materials
Until recently, epoxy materials were supplied in the form of a paste to which a liquid activator (amine) was added to initiate hardening. Because the activators are toxic, they should not come into contact with the skin during mixing and manipulation of the unset material. Shrinkage of 0.1% has occurred during hardening, which may take up to 24 hours. The hardened resin is more resistant to abrasion and stronger than a high-strength stone die. The viscous paste is not as readily introduced into the details of a large impression as high-strength dental stone is; a centrifugal casting machine has been developed to assist in the pouring of epoxy resins. Fast-setting epoxy materials have been supplied in automixing systems similar to those described for automixing addition silicones. The epoxy resin is in one cartridge, and the catalyst in the other. Forcing the two pastes through the static mixing tip thoroughly mixes the epoxy material, which can be directly injected into a rubber impression. A small intraoral delivery tip may be attached to the static mixing tip if desired for injecting into detailed areas of the impression. The fast-setting epoxy hardens rapidly, so dies can be waxed 30 minutes after injecting into the impression. Because water retards the polymerization of resin, epoxy resins cannot be used with water-containing agar and alginate impression materials, and thus are limited to use with elastomeric impression materials.

Comparison of Impression and Die Materials
High-strength stone dies may be from 0.35% larger to 0.25% smaller than the master, depending on the location of the measurement and the impression material used. In general, occlusogingival (vertical) changes are greater than buccolingual or mesiodistal (horizontal) changes. The shrinkage of the impression material toward the surfaces of the tray in the horizontal direction usually results in dimensions larger than the master. In the vertical direction, shrinkage is away from the free surface of the impression and toward the tray, and dimensions smaller than the master are obtained.
The accuracy of elastomeric impression materials is in the following order from best to worst, regardless of whether stone or metal dies are used: addition silicone and polyether.

Epoxy dies all exhibit some polymerization shrinkage, with values ranging from 0.1% to 0.3%, and as a result the dies are undersized.

Ranking materials by the ability of an impression-die combination to reproduce surface detail produces different results than does ranking by values for dimensional change. If a release agent is not needed on the surface of the impression, epoxy dies are best for reproducing detail (10 μm), followed by high-strength stone dies (170 μm). The silicone-epoxy combination produces the sharpest detail, although not all epoxy die materials are compatible with all silicone impression materials.

Resistance to abrasion and scraping should also be considered. Epoxy dies have good resistance and high-strength stone dies have the least resistance.

GYPSUM PRODUCTS

Gypsum products probably serve the dental profession more adequately than any other materials. Dental plaster, stone, high-strength/high-expansion stone, and casting investment constitute this group of closely related products. With slight modification, gypsum products are used for several different purposes. For example, impression plaster is used to make impressions of edentulous mouths or to mount casts, whereas dental stone is used to form a die that duplicates the oral anatomy when poured into any type of impression. Gypsum products are also used as a binder for silica in gold alloy casting investment, soldering investment, and investment for low-melting-point nickel-chromium alloys. These products are also used as a mold material for processing complete dentures. The main reason for such diversified use is that the properties of gypsum materials can be easily modified by physical and chemical means.

The dihydrate form of calcium sulfate, called gypsum, usually appears white to milky yellowish and is found in a compact mass in nature. The mineral gypsum has commercial importance as a source of plaster of Paris. The term plaster of Paris was given this product because it was obtained by burning the gypsum from deposits near Paris, France. Deposits of gypsum, however, are found in most countries.

Chemical and Physical Nature of Gypsum Products

Most gypsum products are obtained from natural gypsum rock. Because gypsum is the dihydrate form of calcium sulfate (CaSO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O), on heating, it loses 1.5 g mol of its 2 g mol of H\(_2\)O and is converted to calcium sulfate hemihydrate (CaSO\(_4\)·½H\(_2\)O), sometimes written (CaSO\(_4\))\(_2\)·H\(_2\)O. When calcium sulfate hemihydrate is mixed with water, the reverse reaction takes place, and the calcium sulfate hemihydrate is converted back to calcium sulfate dihydrate. Therefore partial dehydration of gypsum rock and rehydration of calcium sulfate hemihydrate constitute a reversible reaction. Chemically, the reaction is expressed as follows:

\[
\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O} + \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

The reaction is exothermic, and whenever 1 g mol of calcium sulfate hemihydrate is reacted with 1.5 g mol of water, 1 g mol of calcium sulfate dihydrate is formed, and 3900 calories of heat are developed. This chemical reaction takes place regardless of whether the gypsum material is used as an impression material, a die material, or a binder in casting investment.

Manufacture of Dental Plaster, Stone, and High-Strength Stone

Three types of base raw materials are derived from partial dehydration of gypsum rock, depending on the nature of the dehydration process. Plasters are fluffy, porous, and least dense, whereas the hydrocal variety has a higher density and is more crystalline. Densite is the densest of the raw materials. These three types of raw materials are used to formulate the four types of relatively pure gypsum products used in dentistry. They are classified as plasters (model and laboratory), low- to moderate-strength dental stones, high-strength/low-expansion dental stones, and high-strength/high-expansion dental stones, or alternatively as types 2, 3, 4, and 5 in ANSI/ADA specification No. 25 (ISO 6873).

Although these types have identical chemical formulas of calcium sulfate hemihydrate, CaSO\(_4\)·½H\(_2\)O, they possess different physical properties, which makes each of them suitable for a different dental purpose. All four forms are derived from natural gypsum deposits, with the main difference being the manner of driving off part of the water of the calcium sulfate dihydrate. Synthetic gypsum can also be used to formulate some products, but is less popular because of higher manufacturing costs.
Plasters are produced when the gypsum mineral is heated in an open kettle at a temperature of about 110 to 120°C. The hemihydrate produced is called β-calcium sulfate hemihydrate. Such a powder is known to have a somewhat irregular shape and is porous in nature. These plasters are used in formulating model and lab plasters. Crystals of model plaster are shown in Fig. 12.20.

If gypsum is dehydrated under pressure and in the presence of water vapor at about 125°C, the product is called hydrocal. The powder particles of this product are more uniform in shape and denser than the particles of plaster. Crystals of a dental stone are shown in Fig. 12.21. The calcium sulfate hemihydrate produced in this manner is designated as α-calcium sulfate hemihydrate. Hydrocal is used in making low-to moderate-strength dental stones.

Types 4 and 5 high-strength dental stones are manufactured with a high-density raw material called densite. This variety is made by boiling gypsum rock in a 30% calcium chloride solution, after which the chloride is washed away with hot water (100°C) and the material is ground to the desired fineness. The powder obtained by this process is the densest of the types. These materials are generally formulated as high-strength/low-expansion dental stone or high-strength/high-expansion dental stone.

Gypsum products may be formulated with chemicals that modify their handling characteristics and properties. Potassium sulfate, K₂SO₄, and terra alba (set calcium sulfate dihydrate) are effective accelerators. Sodium chloride in small amounts shortens the setting reaction but increases the setting expansion of the gypsum mass. Sodium citrate is a dependable retarder. Borax, Na₂B₄O₇, is both a retarder and accelerator. A mixture of calcium oxide (0.1%) and gum arabic (1%) reduces the amount of water necessary to mix gypsum products, resulting in improved properties. Type 4 gypsum differs from type 5 in that type 4 contains extra salts to reduce its setting expansion.

**Chemical Reaction**

The chemical reaction that takes place during the setting of gypsum products determines the quantity of H₂O needed for the reaction. The reaction of 1 g mol of plaster with 1.5 g mol of water produces 1 g mol of gypsum material. In other words, 145 g of plaster requires 27 g of water to react and form 172 g of gypsum or 100 g of plaster requires 18.6 g of water to form 118 g of calcium sulfate dihydrate. As seen in practice, however, model plaster cannot be mixed with such a small amount of water and still develop a mass suitable for manipulation. Table 12.9 shows the recommended mixing water, required water, and excess water for model plaster, dental stone, and high-strength dental stone.

For example, to mix 100 g of model plaster to a usable flowable consistency, use 45 g of water. Note that only 18.6 g of 45 g of water reacts with the 100 g of model plaster; the excess (45 g − 18.6 g = 26.4 g) is distributed as free water in the set mass without taking part in the chemical reaction. When the set material is dried, the excess water evaporates and leaves porosity in the structure, weakening it. Therefore set model plaster is weaker than dental.
stone, which in turn is weaker than high-strength dental stone. If 100 g of model plaster is mixed with 50 g of water, the resultant mass is thinner and mixes and pours easily into a mold, but the quality of the set gypsum is inferior and weaker than when 45 g of water is used. When model plaster is mixed with a lesser amount of water, the mixed mass is thicker, is more difficult to handle, and traps air bubbles easily when it is poured into a mold, but the set gypsum is usually stronger. Thus careful control of the proper amount of water in the mix is necessary for proper manipulation and quality of the set mass.

**Water-to-Powder Ratio of Dental Stone and High-Strength Dental Stone**

The reason for the differences among the recommended amounts of mixing water for model plaster, dental stone, and high-strength dental stone is in the shape and form of the calcium sulfate hemihydrate crystals. Some calcium sulfate hemihydrate crystals are comparatively irregular in shape and porous in nature, as are the crystals in model plaster, whereas the crystals of dental stone and the two high-strength dental stones are dense and more regular in shape, as shown in Figs. 12.20 and 12.21. This difference in the physical shape and nature of the crystals makes it possible to obtain the same consistency with less excess water with dental stone and high-strength dental stones than with model plaster.

When mixed with water, model plaster, dental stone, or high-strength dental stones set to a hard mass of gypsum. The gypsum products known as high-strength dental stone (types 4 and 5) are the strongest, the mass produced as model plaster is the weakest, and dental stone produces an intermediate strength material. Note, however, that all gypsum products have the same chemical formula, and that the chemical nature of the masses produced by mixing them with water is also identical; the differences among them are primarily in their physical properties.

**Mechanism of Setting**

The most important and well-recognized theory for the mechanism of the setting is the crystalline theory. It was originated in 1887 by Henry Louis Le Chatelier, a French chemist. The theory received the full support of Jacobus Henricus van ’t Hoff, a famous Dutch chemist in Berlin at the turn of the century. According to the explanation of van ’t Hoff, the setting reaction of water with calcium sulfate hemihydrate to form calcium sulfate dihydrate is caused by the difference in solubility between these two components. Calcium sulfate dihydrate is less soluble than the hemihydrate form. When the hemihydrate dissolves in water, the dihydrate, being of lower solubility, is then supersaturated and precipitates out of solution from points of nucleation in the form of needlelike crystals. Bonding between contacting crystals results in the final cohesive structure.

**Volumetric Contraction**

Theoretically, calcium sulfate hemihydrate should contract volumetrically during the setting process. However, experiments have determined that all gypsum products expand linearly during setting. As indicated earlier, when 145.15 g of calcium sulfate hemihydrate reacts with 27.02 g of water, the result is the production of 172.17 g of calcium sulfate dihydrate. However, if the volume rather than the weight of calcium sulfate hemihydrate is added to the volume of water, the sum of the volumes will be about 7% less than the volume of calcium sulfate dihydrate. In practice about 0.2% to 0.4% linear expansion is obtained. According to the crystalline theory of Le Chatelier and van ’t Hoff, the expansion results from the thrusting action of gypsum crystals, CaSO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O, during their growth from a supersaturated solution. The fact that the contraction of gypsum is not visible does not invalidate its existence, and when the volumetric contraction is measured by a dilatometer, it is determined to be about 7%. Because of the linear expansion of the outer dimensions, which is caused by the growth of calcium sulfate dihydrate, with a simultaneous true volumetric contraction of calcium sulfate dihydrate, these materials are porous when set.

**Effect of Spatulation**

The mixing process, called spatulation, has a definite effect on the setting time and setting expansion of the material. Within practical limits an increase in the amount of spatulation (either speed of spatulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gypsum</th>
<th>Mixing Water (mL/100 g of Powder)</th>
<th>Required Water (mL/100 g of Powder)</th>
<th>Excess Water (mL/100 g of Powder)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model plaster</td>
<td>37–50</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>18–31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental stone</td>
<td>28–32</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>9–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-strength dental stone</td>
<td>19–24</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0–5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Water-to-powder ratio varies with each product.*
or time or both) shortens the setting time. Obviously when the powder is placed in water, the chemical reaction starts, and some calcium sulfate dihydrate is formed. During spatulation the newly formed calcium sulfate dihydrate breaks down to smaller crystals and starts new centers of nucleation, from which the calcium sulfate dihydrate can be precipitated. Because an increased amount of spatulation causes more nuclei centers to be formed, the conversion of calcium sulfate hemihydrate to dihydrate is accelerated.

**Effect of Temperature**

The temperature of the water used for mixing, as well as the temperature of the environment, has an effect on the setting reaction of gypsum products. The setting time probably is affected more by a change in temperature than by any other physical property. Evidently the temperature has two main effects on the setting reaction of gypsum products.

The first effect of increasing temperature is a change in the relative solubilities of calcium sulfate hemihydrate and calcium sulfate dihydrate, which alters the rate of the reaction. The ratio of the solubilities of calcium sulfate dihydrate and calcium sulfate hemihydrate at 20°C is about 4.5. As the temperature increases, the solubility ratios decrease, until 100°C is reached and the ratio becomes 1. As the ratio of the solubilities becomes lower, the reaction is slowed, and the setting time is increased. The solubilities of calcium sulfate hemihydrate and calcium sulfate dihydrate are shown in Table 12.10.

The second effect is the change in ion mobility with temperature. In general, as the temperature increases, the mobility of the calcium and sulfate ions increases, which tends to increase the rate of the reaction and shorten the setting time.

Practically, the effects of these two phenomena are superimposed, and the total effect is observed. Thus by increasing the temperature from 20 to 30°C, the solubility ratio decreases from 0.90/0.200 = 4.5 to 0.72/0.209 = 3.4, which ordinarily should retard the reaction. At the same time, however, the mobility of the ions increases, which should accelerate the setting reaction. Thus according to the solubility values, the reaction should be retarded, whereas according to the mobility of the ions, the reaction should be accelerated. Experimentation has shown that increasing the temperature from room temperature of 20°C to body temperature of 37°C increases the rate of the reaction slightly and shortens the setting time. However, as the temperature is raised over 37°C, the rate of the reaction decreases, and the setting time is lengthened. At 100°C the solubilities of dihydrate and hemihydrate are equal, in which case no reaction occurs, and plaster does not set.

**Effect of Humidity**

When the relative humidity increases to 70% and above, moisture in the air can cause some conversion of hemihydrate to dihydrate. Because dihydrate crystals can accelerate the reaction by providing more nuclei for crystallization, the initial result is acceleration of setting. However, further contamination by moisture can reduce the amount of hemihydrate remaining to form gypsum and retardation of setting will occur. Therefore all gypsum products should be kept in a closed container and well protected from moisture in the air.

**Effect of Colloidal Systems and pH**

Colloidal systems such as agar and alginate retard the setting of gypsum products. If these materials are in contact with CaSO₄·½H₂O during setting, a soft, easily abraded surface is obtained. Accelerators such as potassium sulfate are added to improve the surface quality of the set CaSO₄·2H₂O against agar or alginate.

These colloids do not retard the setting by altering the solubility ratio of the hemihydrate and dihydrate forms, but rather by being adsorbed on the hemihydrate and dihydrate nucleation sites, thus interfering in the hydration reaction. The adsorption of these materials on the nucleating sites retards the setting reaction more effectively than adsorption on the calcium sulfate hemihydrate.

Liquids with low pH, such as saliva, retard the setting reaction. Liquids with high pH accelerate setting.

**Properties**

The important properties of gypsum products include quality, fluidity at pouring time, setting time, linear setting expansion, compressive strength, hardness and abrasion resistance, and reproduction of detail. Some of these property requirements, described by ANSI/ADA specification No. 25 (ISO 6873), are summarized in Table 12.11.

---

Table 12.10: Solubility of Calcium Sulfate Hemihydrate and Calcium Sulfate Dihydrate at Different Temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>CaSO₄·½H₂O (g/100 g Water)</th>
<th>CaSO₄·2H₂O (g/100 g Water)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Setting Time
DEFINITION AND IMPORTANCE
The time required for the reaction to be completed is called the final setting time. If the rate of the reaction is too fast or the material has a short setting time, the mixed mass may harden before the operator can manipulate it properly. By contrast, if the rate of reaction is too slow, an excessively long time is required to complete the operation. Therefore a proper setting time is one of the most important characteristics of gypsum materials.

The chemical reaction is initiated at the moment the powder is mixed with water, but at the early stage only a small portion of the hemihydrate is converted to gypsum. The freshly mixed mass has a semifluid consistency and can be poured into a mold of any shape. As the reaction proceeds, however, more and more calcium sulfate dihydrate crystals are produced. The viscosity of the mixed mass increases, and the mass can no longer flow easily into the fine details of the mold. This time is called the working time.

The final setting time is defined as the time at which the material can be separated from the impression without distortion or fracture. The initial setting time is the time required for gypsum products to reach a certain arbitrary stage of firmness in their setting process. In the normal case, this arbitrary stage is represented by a semihard mass that has passed the working stage but is not yet completely set. At final setting, the conversion of calcium sulfate hemihydrate to calcium sulfate dihydrate is virtually completed.

MEASUREMENT
The initial setting time is usually measured arbitrarily by some form of penetration test, although occasionally other types of test methods have been designed. For example, the loss of gloss from the surface of the mixed mass of model plaster or dental stone is an indication of this stage in the chemical reaction and is sometimes used to indicate the initial set of the mass. Similarly, the setting time may be measured by the temperature rise of the mass, because the chemical reaction is exothermic.

The Vicat apparatus shown in Fig. 12.16 is commonly used to measure the initial setting time of gypsum products. It consists of a rod weighing 300 g with a needle of 1-mm diameter. A ring container is filled with the mix, the setting time of which is to be measured. The rod is lowered until it contacts the surface of the material, then the needle is released and allowed to penetrate the mix. When the needle fails to penetrate to the bottom of the container, the material has reached the Vicat or the initial setting time. Other types of instruments, such as Gillmore needles, can be used to obtain the initial and final setting times of gypsum materials.

CONTROL OF SETTING TIME
Methods for controlling setting time have been discussed previously. Initially the manufacturer can add various components that act as either accelerators or retarders. The operator can alter setting time by changing the temperature of the mix water and by changing the degree of spatulation. The W/P ratio can also affect setting time; using more water in the mix can prolong the setting time as shown in Table 12.12.

The easiest and most reliable way to change the setting time is to add different chemicals. Potassium sulfate, $K_2SO_4$, is known as an effective accelerator, and the use of a 2% aqueous solution of this salt rather than water reduces the setting time of model plaster from approximately 10 minutes to about 4 minutes. By contrast, sodium citrate is a dependable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Setting Time (min)</th>
<th>Setting Expansion Rate (%)</th>
<th>Compressive Strength (MPa) Minimum</th>
<th>Compressive Strength (MPa) Maximum</th>
<th>Reproduction of Detail (μm) Minimum</th>
<th>Reproduction of Detail (μm) Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Impression plaster</td>
<td>2.5–5.0</td>
<td>0–0.15</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>75 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Model plaster</td>
<td>±20%</td>
<td>0–0.30</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>75 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Dental stone</td>
<td>±20%</td>
<td>0–0.20</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. High-strength/low-expansion dental stone</td>
<td>±20%</td>
<td>0–0.15</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. High-strength/high-expansion dental stone</td>
<td>±20%</td>
<td>0.16–0.30</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aSetting time shall be within 20% of value claimed by manufacturer.
retarder. The use of a 2% aqueous solution of borax to mix with the powder may prolong the setting time of some gypsum products to a few hours.

If a small amount of set calcium sulfate dihydrate is ground and mixed with model plaster, it provides nuclei of crystallization and acts as an accelerator. The set gypsum used as an accelerator is called terra alba, and it has a pronounced effect at lower concentrations. The setting time changes significantly if the amount of terra alba present in the mix is changed from 0.5% to 1%. However, terra alba concentrations above 1% have less effect on the setting time. Manufacturers usually take advantage of this fact and add about 1% terra alba to plaster. Thus the setting time of model plaster is altered less in normal use because of opening and closing the container.

The W/P ratio has a pronounced effect on the setting time. The more water in the mix of model plaster, dental stone, or high-strength dental stone, the longer the setting time, as shown in Table 12.12. The effect of spatulation on setting time of model plaster and dental stone is shown in Table 12.13. Increased spatulation shortens the setting time. Properties of a high-strength dental stone mixed by hand and by a power-driven mixer with vacuum are shown in Table 12.14. The setting time is usually shortened for power mixing compared with hand mixing.

### Viscosity

The viscosities of several high-strength dental stones and impression plaster are listed in Table 12.15. A range of viscosities from 21,000 to 101,000 centipoises (cp) was observed for five different high-strength stones. More voids were observed in casts made from the stones with the higher viscosities. Impression plaster is used infrequently, but it has a low viscosity, which makes it possible to take impressions with a minimum of force on the soft tissues (mucostatic technique).

### Compressive Strength

When set, gypsum products show relatively high values of compressive strength. The compressive strength is inversely related to the W/P ratio of the mix. The more water used to make the mix, the lower the compressive strength.
Model plaster has the greatest quantity of excess water, whereas high-strength dental stone contains the least excess water. The excess water is uniformly distributed in the mix and contributes to the volume but not to the strength of the material. Set model plaster is more porous than set dental stone, causing the apparent density of model plaster to be lower. Because high-strength dental stone is the densest, it shows the highest compressive strength, with model plaster being the most porous and thus the weakest.

The 1-hour compressive strength values are about 12.5 MPa for model plaster, 31 MPa for dental stone, and 45 MPa for high-strength dental stones. These values are representative for the normal mixes, but they vary as the W/P ratio is increased or decreased. The effect of the W/P ratio on the compressive strength of these materials is given in Table 12.16. As shown in Table 12.14, the compressive strength of a high-strength dental stone is improved slightly by vacuum mixing. Evidently, when stone is mixed with the same W/P ratio as model plaster, the compressive strength of dental stone is almost the same as that of model plaster. Similarly, the compressive strength of high-strength dental stone with W/P ratios of 0.3 and 0.5 is similar to the normal compressive strength of dental stone and model plaster.

At 1 or 2 hours after the final setting time, the hardened gypsum material appears dry and seems to have reached its maximum strength. Actually, this is not the case. The wet strength is the strength of gypsum materials with some or all of the excess water present in the specimen. The dry strength is the strength of the gypsum material with all of its excess water driven out. The dry compressive strength is usually about twice that of the wet strength. Notice that as the hardened mass slowly loses its excess water, the compressive strength of the material does not increase uniformly. The effect of drying on the compressive strength of dental stone is shown in Fig. 12.22. Theoretically, about 8.8% of excess water is in the hardened mass of the stone. As the mass loses up to 7% of the water, no appreciable change develops in the compressive strength of the material. When the mass loses 7.5% of the excess water, however, the strength increases sharply, and when all of the excess (8.8%) is lost, the strength of the material is over 55 MPa.

The drying time for gypsum materials varies according to the size of the gypsum mass and the temperature and humidity of the storage atmosphere. At room temperature and average humidity, about 7 days are necessary for an average denture flask filled with gypsum materials to lose the excess water.

**Surface Hardness and Abrasion Resistance**

The surface hardness of unmodified gypsum materials is related in a general way to their compressive strength. A high compressive strength of the hardened mass corresponds to a high surface hardness. After the final setting occurs, the surface hardness remains practically constant until most excess water is evaporated from the surface, after which its increase is similar to the increase in compressive

---

**TABLE 12.16** Effect of Water-to-Powder Ratio on the Compressive Strength of Model Plaster, Dental Stone, and High-Strength Dental Stone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>W/P Ratio (mL/g)</th>
<th>Compressive Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model plaster</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental stone</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-strength dental stone</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All mixes spatulated 100 turns and tested 1 hour after the start of mixing.*

**FIG. 12.22** Effect of loss of excess water on compressive strength of dental stone.
strength. The surface hardness increases at a faster rate than the compressive strength, because the surface of the hardened mass reaches a dry state earlier than the inner portion of the mass.

Attempts have been made to increase the hardness of gypsum products by impregnating the set gypsum with epoxy or methyl methacrylate monomer that is allowed to polymerize. Increases in hardness were obtained for model plaster but not for dental stone or high-strength dental stone. Increases in scratch resistance of 15% to 41% were observed for a high-strength dental stone impregnated with epoxy resins or a light-cured dimethacrylate resin. In general, impregnating set gypsum with resin increases abrasion resistance, but decreases compressive strength and surface hardness.

The idea of drying molds, casts, or dies in an oven to obtain a quick, dry compressive strength and dry surface hardness of a material is not practical, because the gypsum would be dehydrated, which would reduce the strength instead of increasing it. Soaking the gypsum dies or casts in glycerin or different oils does not improve the surface hardness but rather makes the surface smoother, so that a wax carver or other instrument will not cut the stone as it slides over the surface. Mixing high-strength dental stone with a commercial hardening solution containing colloidal silica (about 30%) improves the surface hardness of the set gypsum. The Knoop hardness of two commercial high-strength dental stones was 54 and 77 kg/mm² when mixed with water. When the hardening solution was used, these values increased to 62 and 79 kg/mm², respectively. Increased surface hardness does not necessarily mean improved abrasion resistance because hardness is only one of many factors that can affect wear resistance. Two-body abrasion studies suggest that the commercial hardening solutions do not improve the abrasion resistance of high-strength dental stones. However, the clinical relevancy of the two-body abrasion test on gypsum has not been established. Further studies of abrasion resistance and methods of measurement are needed. As discussed in the chapter on impression materials, gypsum dies abrade more readily than epoxy dies, even though the gypsum dies are harder.

Although the use of disinfectant chemicals on gypsum dies effectively destroys potentially dangerous organisms, some can damage the surface of a die. Surfaces can be eroded, and the surface hardness can be adversely affected by treatment with some commonly used disinfectants. Other disinfectants, including sodium hypochlorite solutions, have very little effect on the surfaces of gypsum dies.

Reproduction of Detail

ANSI/ADA specification No. 25 requires that types 1 and 2 reproduce a groove 75 μm in width, whereas types 3, 4, and 5 reproduce a groove 50 μm in width (see Table 12.11). Gypsum dies do not reproduce surface detail as well as electroformed or epoxy dies because the surface of the set gypsum is porous on a microscopic level (Fig. 12.23). Air bubbles are often formed at the interface of the impression and gypsum cast because freshly mixed gypsum does not wet some elastomeric impression materials (condensation silicones) well. The incorporation of nonionic surfactants in silicone impression materials improves wetting of some elastomeric impression materials (condensation silicones) well. The incorporation of nonionic surfactants in silicone impression materials improves the wetting of the impression by slurry water. The use of vibration during the pouring of a cast reduces the presence of air bubbles. Contamination of the impression in which the gypsum die is poured by saliva or blood can also affect the detail reproduction. Rinsing the impression and blowing away excess water can improve the detail recorded by the gypsum die material.

Setting Expansion

When set, all gypsum products show a measurable linear expansion. The percentage of setting expansion, however, varies from one type of gypsum material to another. Under ordinary conditions, plasters have 0.2% to 0.3% setting expansion, low- to moderate-strength dental stone about 0.15% to 0.25%, and high-strength dental stone only 0.08% to 0.10%. The setting expansion of high-strength/high-expansion dental stone ranges from 0.10% to 0.20%. Typically, over 75% of the expansion observed at 24 hours occurs during the first hour of setting.

The setting expansion may be controlled by different manipulative conditions and by the addition of some chemicals. Mechanical mixing decreases setting expansion. As shown in Table 12.14, a vacuum-mixed high-strength stone expands less at 2 hours than when mixed by hand. Power mixing appears to cause a greater initial volumetric contraction than is
observed for hand mixing. The W/P ratio of the mix also has an effect, with an increase in the ratio reducing the setting expansion. The addition of different chemicals affects not only the setting expansion of gypsum products, but may also change other properties. For example, the addition by the manufacturer of sodium chloride (NaCl) in a small concentration increases the setting expansion of the mass and shortens the setting time. The addition of 1% potassium sulfate, by contrast, decreases the setting time but has no effect on the setting expansion.

If during the setting process, the gypsum materials are immersed in water, the setting expansion increases. This is called hygroscopic expansion. A typical, high-strength dental stone has a setting expansion of about 0.08%. If during the setting process the mass is immersed in water, it expands about 0.10%. Increased expansion is observed when dental stone hardens as it comes in contact with a hydrocolloid impression. A more detailed explanation of hygroscopic expansion is presented later under casting investments with a gypsum binder.

**Manipulation**

When any of the gypsum products is mixed with water, it should be spatulated properly to obtain a smooth mix. Water is dispensed into a mixing bowl of an appropriate size and design (Fig. 12.24). The powder is added and allowed to settle into the water for about 30 seconds. This technique minimizes the amount of air incorporated into the mix during initial spatulation by hand. Spatulation can be continued by hand using a spatula with a stiff blade (Fig. 12.25) with the bowl on a vibrator (see Fig. 12.25) or a power-driven mechanical spatulator (Fig. 12.26). A summary of the effect of various manipulative variables on the properties of gypsum products is presented in Table 12.17.

Spatulation by hand involves stirring the mixture vigorously while wiping the inside surfaces of the bowl with the spatula. Spatulation to wet and mix the powder uniformly with the water requires about 1 minute at 2 revolutions per second.

Spatulation with a power-driven mechanical spatulator requires that the powder initially be wet by the water as with hand mixing. The mix is then spatulated for 20 seconds on the low-speed drive of the mixer. Vacuuming during mixing reduces the air entrapped in the mix. Vibration immediately after mixing and during pouring of the gypsum minimizes air bubbles in the set mass.

Pouring an impression with gypsum requires care to avoid trapping air in critical areas. The mixed gypsum should be poured slowly or added to the impression with a small instrument such as a wax spatula. The mass should run into the rinsed impression under vibration in such a manner that it pushes air ahead of itself as it fills the impressions of the teeth. Commonly, the teeth of a cast are poured in dental stone or high-strength dental stone, whereas the base is poured in model plaster for easier trimming.

Once poured, the gypsum material should be allowed to harden for 45 to 60 minutes before the impression and cast are separated and disinfected. Models can be disinfected by immersion in 1:10 dilution of sodium hypochlorite for 30 minutes or with a spray of iodophor following manufacturer’s instructions.

**CASTING INVESTMENTS**

The adoption of the casting practice in dentistry for making gold alloy inlays, crowns, bridges, and other restorations represents one of the major advances in restorative dentistry. In recent years, alloys with higher melting points, the palladium and base-metal...
alloys, have been cast into crowns, and fixed and removable dental prostheses by using basically the same lost-wax technique used for dental gold alloys. All such casting operations involve (1) a wax pattern of the object to be reproduced; (2) a suitable mold material, known as investment, which is placed around the pattern and permitted to harden; (3) suitable furnaces for burning out the wax patterns and heating the investment mold; and (4) proper facilities to melt and cast the alloy. An **investment** can be described as a ceramic material that is suitable for forming a mold into which a metal or alloy is cast. The operation of forming the mold is described as **investing**. Details of the casting technique are described on the website [http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative](http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative).

**Properties Required of an Investment**

1. **Easily manipulated:** Not only should it be possible to mix and manipulate the mass readily and to paint the wax pattern easily, but the investment should also harden within a relatively short time.

2. **Sufficient strength at room temperature:** The investment should permit ease in handling and provide enough strength at higher temperatures to withstand the impact force of the molten metal. The inner surface of the mold should not break down at a high temperature.

3. **Stability at higher temperatures:** Investment must not decompose to give off gases that could damage the surface of the alloy.

4. **Sufficient expansion:** It must expand enough to compensate for shrinkage of the wax pattern and metal that takes place during the casting procedure.

5. **Beneficial casting temperatures:** Preferably the thermal expansion versus temperature curve should have a plateau of the thermal expansion over a range of casting temperatures.

6. **Porosity:** It should be porous enough to permit the air or other gases in the mold cavity to escape easily during the casting procedure.

7. **Smooth surface:** Fine detail and margins on the casting should be preserved.

8. **Ease of divestment:** The investment should break away readily from the surface of the metal and should not have reacted chemically with it.

9. **Inexpensive.**

These requirements describe an ideal investment. No single material is known that completely fulfills all these requirements. However, by blending different ingredients, one can develop an investment that possesses most of the required qualities. These ideal qualities are the basis for considering the behavior and characteristics of casting investments.

**Composition**

In general, an investment is a mixture of three distinct types of materials: refractory material, binder material, and other chemicals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manipulative Variable</th>
<th>Setting Time</th>
<th>Consistency</th>
<th>Setting Expansion</th>
<th>Compressive Strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase water-to-powder ratio</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase rate of spatulation</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>No effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase temperature of mixing water</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>No effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from 23° to 30°C
Refractory Material

Refractory material is usually a form of silicon dioxide, such as quartz, tridymite, or cristobalite, or a mixture of these. Refractory materials are contained in all dental investments, whether for casting gold or high-melting-point alloys.

Binder Material

Because the refractory materials alone do not form a coherent solid mass, some kind of binder is needed. The common binder used for dental casting gold alloy is α-calcium sulfate hemihydrate. Phosphate, ethyl silicate, and other similar materials also serve as binders for high-temperature casting investments. These latter investments are described later in conjunction with investment for casting high-melting-point alloys.

Other Chemicals

Usually a mixture of refractory materials and a binder alone is not enough to produce all the desirable properties required of an investment. Other chemicals, such as sodium chloride, boric acid, potassium sulfate, graphite, copper powder, or magnesium oxide, are often added in small quantities to modify various physical properties. For example, small amounts of chlorides or boric acid enhance the thermal expansion of investments bonded by calcium sulfate.

Calcium Sulfate–Bonded Investments

The dental literature and patent references describe the quantity and purpose of each of a variety of ingredients in dental casting investments. In general, the investments suitable for casting gold alloys contain 65% to 75% quartz or cristobalite, or a blend of the two, in varying proportions; 25% to 35% of α-calcium sulfate hemihydrate; and about 2% to 3% chemical modifiers. With the proper blending of these basic ingredients, the manufacturer is able to develop an investment with an established group of physical properties that are adequate for dental gold casting practices. A list of specific compositions is of little value, however, because the final product’s properties are influenced by both the ingredients present in the investment and the manner in which the mass is manipulated and used in making the mold.

Investments with calcium sulfate hemihydrate as a binder are relatively easy to manipulate, and more information about the effect of different additives, as well as various manipulative conditions, is available for this type than for other types, such as those that use silicates or phosphates as binders. The calcium sulfate–bonded investment is usually limited to gold castings and is not heated above 700°C. The calcium sulfate portion of the investment decomposes into sulfur dioxide and sulfur trioxide at temperatures over 700°C, tending to embrittle the casting metal. Therefore the calcium sulfate type of binder is usually not used in investments for making castings of high-melting-point metals such as palladium or base-metal alloys.

Properties of Calcium Sulfate–Bonded Investments

ANSI/ADA specification No. 126 (ISO 7490) for gypsum-bonded casting investments applies to two different types of investments suitable for casting dental restorations of gold alloys:

Type 1: For casting inlays and crowns
Type 2: For casting complete denture and removable partial denture frameworks

Both types have calcium sulfate as a binder material. The physical properties included in this specification are appearance of powder, fluidity at working time, setting time, compressive strength, linear setting expansion, and linear thermal expansion. The values allowed for these properties are summarized in the specification. The manipulation of investments in the inlay casting procedure is discussed in detail on the website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

Effect of Temperature on Investment

In casting with the lost-wax process, the wax pattern is invested and placed in an oven at a temperature that melts and removes the pattern from the investment, thereby leaving a mold cavity into which the molten metal is cast. This oven temperature varies from one technique to another, but in no case is it lower than 550°C or higher than 700°C for calcium sulfate–bonded investments. During the heating process, the refractory material is affected differently by the thermal changes than is the gypsum binder.

Effect of Temperature on Silicon Dioxide Refractories

Each of the polymorphic forms of silica (quartz, tridymite, and cristobalite) expands when heated, but the percentage of expansion varies from one type to another. Pure cristobalite expands to 1.6% at 250°C, whereas quartz expands about 1.4% at 600°C, and the thermal expansion of tridymite at 600°C is less than 1%. The percentage of expansion of the three types of silica versus temperature is shown in Fig. 4.41. As seen in Fig. 4.41, none of the three forms of silica expands uniformly; instead they all show a break (nonlinearity) in their thermal expansion curves. In the case of cristobalite, the expansion is somewhat uniform up to about 200°C. At this temperature its expansion increases sharply from 0.5% to 1.2%, and
then above 250°C it again becomes more uniform. At 573°C quartz also shows a break in the expansion curve, and tridymite shows a similar break at a much lower temperature.

The breaks on the expansion versus temperature curves indicate that cristobalite and quartz each exist in two polymorphic forms, one of which is more stable at a higher temperature and the other at a lower temperature. The form that is more stable at room temperature is called the α-form, and the more stable form at higher temperatures is designated as the β-form. Tridymite has three stable polymorphic forms. Thus the temperatures of 220°C for cristobalite, 573°C for quartz, and 105° and 160°C for tridymite are displacive transition temperatures. A displacive change involves expansion or contraction in the volume of the mass without breaking any bonds. In changing from the α-form (which is the more stable form at room temperature) to the β-form (which is stable at higher temperatures), all three forms of silica expand. The amount of expansion is highest for cristobalite and lowest for tridymite.

The quartz form of silica is found abundantly in nature, and it can be converted to cristobalite and tridymite by being heated through a reconstructive transition during which bonds are broken and a new crystal structure is formed. The α-quartz is converted to β-quartz at a temperature of 573°C. If the β-quartz is heated to 870°C and maintained at that temperature, it is converted to β-tridymite. If β-tridymite is cooled rapidly to 120°C and held at that temperature, it is changed to α-tridymite, which is stable at room temperature. Thus the temperatures of 220°C for cristobalite, 573°C for quartz, and 105° and 160°C for tridymite are displacive transition temperatures. A displacive change involves expansion or contraction in the volume of the mass without breaking any bonds. In changing from the α-form (which is the more stable form at room temperature) to the β-form (which is stable at higher temperatures), all three forms of silica expand. The amount of expansion is highest for cristobalite and lowest for tridymite.

The quartz form of silica is found abundantly in nature, and it can be converted to cristobalite and tridymite by being heated through a reconstructive transition during which bonds are broken and a new crystal structure is formed. The α-quartz is converted to β-quartz at a temperature of 573°C. If the β-quartz is heated to 870°C and maintained at that temperature, it is converted to β-tridymite. From β-tridymite, it is possible to obtain either α-tridymite or β-cristobalite. If β-tridymite is cooled rapidly to 120°C and held at that temperature, it is changed to α-tridymite, which is stable at room temperature. By contrast, if β-tridymite is heated to 1475°C and held at that temperature, it is converted to β-cristobalite. Further heating of β-cristobalite produces fused silica, but if it is cooled to 220°C and held at that temperature, α-cristobalite is formed. These transitions are shown in the following equation.

All forms of silica are in their α-forms in the investment, and during the heating process they are converted completely or in part to their corresponding β-forms. This transition involves an expansion of the mass, which helps to compensate for the casting shrinkages.

**Effect of Temperature on Calcium Sulfate Binders**

The binder used for gold alloy casting investments in dentistry is α-calcium sulfate hemihydrate. During the investing process, some of the water mixed with the investment reacts with the hemihydrate and is converted to calcium sulfate dihydrate, whereas the remainder of the water is uniformly distributed in the mix as excess water. During the early stages of heating, the excess water evaporates. As the temperature rises to about 105°C, calcium sulfate dihydrate starts losing water. The investment mass is then heated still further to the proper temperature for casting the metal. In this way, anhydrous calcium sulfate, silica, and certain chemical additives remain to form the mold into which the gold alloy is cast.

It has been observed experimentally that investment expands when it is first heated from room temperature to about 105°C, then contracts slightly or remains unchanged up to about 200°C, and registers varying degrees of expansion, depending on the silica composition of the investment, between 200° and 700°C. These properties are explained as follows. Up to about 105°C, ordinary thermal expansion occurs. Above 105°C, the calcium sulfate dihydrate is converted to anhydrous calcium sulfate. Dehydration of the dihydrate and a phase change of the calcium sulfate anhydrite cause a contraction. However, the α-form of tridymite (which might be present as an impurity) is expanding and compensates for the contraction of the calcium sulfate sufficiently to prevent the investment from registering a serious degree of contraction. At elevated temperatures, the α-forms of silica present in the investment are converted to the β-forms, which cause some additional expansion.

The thermal expansion curves for a currently available hygroscopic type of investment containing quartz (A) and a thermal expansion type of investment containing cristobalite (B) are shown in Fig. 12.27, which illustrates the expected degree of expansion at different temperatures. The expansion of the silica content of the investment must not only be sufficiently high to overcome all the contraction, but should also take place at temperatures close to the temperature at which contraction of the hemihydrate occurs.
Cooling of the Investment

When the investment cools, the refractory and binder contract according to a thermal contraction curve that is different from the thermal expansion curve of the investment (Fig. 12.28). On cooling to room temperature, the investment exhibits an overall contraction compared with its dimensions before heating. On reheating to the temperature previously attained, the investment does not expand thermally to the previous level; moreover, the process of cooling and reheating causes internal cracks in the investment that can affect the quality of the casting.

Setting Expansion of Calcium Sulfate–Bonded Investment

All the calcium sulfate–bonded investments currently available for casting gold alloys have both setting and thermal expansion. The sum of these two expansions results in a total dimensional change that is an essential property of dental casting investments because it provides compensation for the casting shrinkage of the casting alloys. The setting expansion of an investment, like that of other gypsum products discussed earlier in this chapter, is the linear expansion that takes place during the setting of the investment. If the investment is setting surrounded by air, the expansion is referred to as normal setting expansion. On the other hand, if the mixed investment is setting in contact with water, the expansion is substantially greater and is called hygroscopic setting expansion. Such contact with water can be achieved in the commonly used casting techniques of (1) placing a wet liner inside the casting ring in which the investment is poured, or (2) if after investing, the casting ring is placed in a water bath. The presently accepted mechanism for hygroscopic setting expansion also relates to the normal setting expansion that occurs when the investment mix sets in contact with air. The basis for this mechanism lies in the role played by the surface tension of the mix water and can be described as follows. After the investment is mixed, water surrounds the components of the setting investment. As the reaction of the calcium sulfate binder progresses, the surrounding water is reduced and growing gypsum crystals impinge on the surface of the remaining water whose surface tension inhibits outward crystal growth. When the water needed for the reaction is used up and the reaction is virtually completed, the growth of gypsum crystals stops in its inhibited form.

If the investment is poured into a casting ring having a water-filled liner, the gypsum crystals can grow further, but only until the new water surface provided by the additional water in the liner is reached; surface tension then inhibits further growth. If water is supplied to the mixed investment mass by immersing the invested ring in a water bath, no new surface is close enough to provide inhibition of crystal growth. The resulting hygroscopic setting expansion for complete immersion as measured in an unconfined trough (ANSI/ADA specification No. 126) is more than twice that of normal expansion. However, when the investment is setting in a confined ring, hygroscopic expansion is limited by the confinement of the ring. For hygroscopic expansion, the additional water provided must be presented to
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**FIG. 12.28** Thermal expansion and contraction curves for calcium sulfate–bonded investment (thermal expansion type). Curve 1 is first heating, curve 2 is cooling, and curve 3 is reheating.
the investment during setting. This is significantly different than adding more water to the premixture components (i.e., increasing the W/P ratio). Another requirement for hygroscopic expansion is that the additional water be presented before the observed loss of gloss, which is when the setting reaction is not complete and the mix water can still be observed on the surface of the setting investment. This allows the additional water to join the remaining mix water and extend the water surface so that the action of surface tension is either delayed or inactive.

**PARTICLE SIZE OF SILICA**
The particle size of calcium sulfate hemihydrate has little effect on hygroscopic expansion, whereas the particle size of silica has a significant effect. Finer silica produces higher setting and hygroscopic expansions.

**SILICA-TO-BINDER RATIO**
Investments usually contain 65% to 75% silica, 25% to 35% calcium sulfate hemihydrate, and about 2% to 3% of some additive chemicals to control the different physical properties and to color the investments. If the silica/stone ratio is increased, the hygroscopic expansion of the investment also increases, but the strength of the investment decreases.

**WATER-TO-POWDER RATIO**
As with the setting expansion of gypsum products, the more water in the mix (the thinner the mix or the higher the W/P ratio), the less the normal and hygroscopic setting expansions. Less thermal expansion is also obtained with a thinner mix.

**SPATULATION**
The effect of spatulation on the setting and hygroscopic expansion of the investment is similar to that on the setting expansion of all gypsum products.

**AGE OF INVESTMENT**
Investments that are 2 or 3 years old do not expand as much as freshly prepared investments. For this reason, the containers of investment must be kept closed as much as possible, especially if the investment is stored in a humid atmosphere.

**WATER-BATH TEMPERATURE**
For the water-bath immersion technique, the temperature of the water bath has a measurable effect on the wax pattern. At higher water-bath temperatures, the wax pattern expands, requiring less expansion of the investment to compensate for the total casting shrinkage. In addition, higher water-bath temperatures soften the wax. The softened wax then provides less resistance to the expansion of the investment, thus making the setting expansion more effective.

**Thermal and Hygroscopic Casting Investment**
Casting techniques involving gypsum-bonded investments are often classified as thermal or hygroscopic techniques. The thermal technique directs placing the invested ring after setting into the burnout oven set for a relatively high temperature (649°C), whereas the hygroscopic technique directs immersing the invested ring before setting in a water bath and then, after setting, placing the ring into the burnout oven set for relatively low temperature (482°C). Although all gypsum-bonded investments exhibit both thermal and hygroscopic setting expansion, the relative proportion of these two expansions can vary. Investments used in the thermal technique usually contain cristobalite as the refractory ingredient, which has a high thermal expansion. Investments used in the hygroscopic technique usually contain quartz or tridymite, which have lower thermal expansions but higher hygroscopic setting expansions.

**Hygroscopic-Thermal Gold Casting Investment**
There is one gold casting investment on the market that was designed for use with either hygroscopic or thermal type of casting techniques. Fig. 12.29 shows the high thermal expansion of this investment in the range between 482° and 649°C. This expansion is high enough to use the investment with the thermal casting technique, without water immersion. However, when immersed in a water bath, the investment expands hygroscopically (Fig. 12.30). With the
Mix Corporation, Louisville, KY. hygroscopic-thermal gold casting investment. acid monoammonium phosphate (NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$) undergoes an acid-base reaction between water-soluble phosphate ion. The second component possesses a low enough melting point to be cast into a mold at 700°C with a calcium sulfate binder. This alloy is an exception, because base-metal alloys are usually cast into molds at 850° to 110°C. To withstand these high temperatures, the molds require different types of binders, such as silicate and phosphate compounds. This type of investment usually has less than 20% binder, and the remainder of the investment is quartz or another form of silica.

**Investment for Casting High-Melting-Point Alloys**

Most palladium and base-metal alloys used for removable partial denture frameworks and ceramic-metal restorations have high melting temperatures. They should be cast at a mold temperature greater than 700°C. For this reason, calcium sulfate–bonded investments are usually not used for casting these alloys. Only one base-metal alloy for dental applications possesses a low enough melting point to be cast into a mold at 700°C with a calcium sulfate binder. This alloy is an exception, because base-metal alloys are usually cast into molds at 850° to 110°C. To withstand these high temperatures, the molds require different types of binders, such as silicate and phosphate compounds. This type of investment usually has less than 20% binder, and the remainder of the investment is quartz or another form of silica.

**PHOSPHATE-BONDED INVESTMENT**

The most common type of investment for casting high-melting point alloys is the phosphate-bonded investment. This type of investment consists of three different components. One component contains a water-soluble phosphate ion. The second component reacts with phosphate ions at room temperature. The third component is a refractory, such as silica. Different materials can be used in each component to develop different physical properties.

The binding system of a typical phosphate-bonded investment undergoes an acid-base reaction between acid monoammonium phosphate (NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$) and basic magnesia (MgO). The soluble phosphate in water reacts with the sparingly soluble magnesia at its surface, forming a binding medium with filler particles embedded in the matrix. The chemical reaction at room temperature can be expressed simply as follows:

\[
\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4 + \text{MgO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NH}_4\text{MgPO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

The water produced by this reaction at room temperature lowers the viscosity of the mix as spatulation continues.

As the reaction takes place, colloidal particles are formed with a strong interaction among the particles. During setting and burnout, the sequence of chemical and thermal reactions causes various phase changes, providing room-temperature strength (green strength) and high-temperature strength that enable the investment to withstand the impact of high-melting-point alloys. Phases formed at high temperatures include Mg$_5$P$_2$O$_7$ and subsequently Mg$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$. To produce higher expansion, a combination of different particle sizes of silica is used.

These investments can be mixed with water or with a special liquid supplied by the manufacturer. The special liquid is a form of silica sol in water. As shown in Fig. 12.31, phosphate-bonded investments possess higher setting expansion when they are mixed with the silica sol than when mixed with water. With a mix containing silica sol, the investment mass is capable of expanding hygroscopically, whereas if the mix is only water, the hygroscopic expansion of such an investment is negligible. Not all phosphate-bonded investments, however, can expand hygroscopically. Using silica sol instead of water with phosphate-bonded investment also increases its strength considerably. Fig. 12.32 shows thermal expansion curves of two commercial phosphate-bonded investments mixed according to the manufacturers’ recommended liquid-to-powder ratio. Both the setting and thermal expansions must be considered in selecting these investments.

ANSI/ADA specification No. 126 (ISO 9694) for dental phosphate-bonded casting investments specifies two types of investments for alloys having a soli- dus temperature above 1080°C:

Type 1: For inlays, crowns, and other fixed restorations

Type 2: For removable partial denture frameworks

The following properties and their specified values are described by the specification: fluidity, initial setting time, compressive strength, and linear thermal expansion. The setting time must not differ by more than 30% from the time stated by the manufacturer.
The compressive strength at room temperature shall not be less than 2.5 MPa for type 1 investments and 3.0 MPa for type 2 investments. The linear thermal expansion must not differ by more than 15% from the time stated by the manufacturer.

**SILICA-BONDED INVESTMENT**

Another type of binding material for investments used with casting high-melting-point alloys is a silica-bonding ingredient. This type of investment may derive its silica bond from ethyl silicate, an aqueous dispersion of colloidal silica, or from sodium silicate. One such investment consists of silica refractory, which is bonded by the hydrolysis of ethyl silicate in the presence of hydrochloric acid. The product of the hydrolysis is a colloidal solution of silicic acid and ethyl alcohol, which can be written as follows:

\[
\text{Si(OCH}_3\text{)}_4 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{Si(OH)}_4 + 4\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}
\]

In practice, however, the reaction is more complicated, and instead of tetrasilicic acid, which is converted into $\text{SiO}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, a polymerized compound of silicon is formed with the following structure:

This material has an even higher silica content and better refractory properties than the $\text{SiO}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Ethyl silicate has the disadvantage of giving off flammable components during processing, and the method is expensive; thus other techniques and methods have been developed to reduce the use of this material. Sodium silicate and colloidal silica are more common binders of the silica type.

Today this investment is usually supplied with two bottles of special liquid, instead of water, with which the investment powder should be mixed. In one of the

![FIG. 12.31 Effect of silica sol concentration on thermal expansion (solid lines) at 800°C and setting expansion (dotted lines) of two phosphate-bonded investments. A, Thermal expansion type; B, hygroscopic expansion type. (Data from Zarb GA, Bergman G, Clayton JA, MacKay HF, eds. Prosthodontic Treatment for Partially Edentulous Patients. St. Louis: Mosby; 1978.)](image1)

bottles the manufacturer usually supplies a properly diluted water-soluble silicate solution. The other bottle usually contains a properly diluted acid solution, such as a solution of hydrochloric acid. The contents of each bottle can be stored almost indefinitely. Before use, mix an equal volume from each bottle and allow the mixed liquids to stand for a prescribed time, according to the manufacturer’s instructions, so hydrolysis can take place and freshly prepared silicic acid forms.

ANSI/ADA specification No. 126 (ISO 11246) for ethyl silicate casting investments specifies setting time, compressive strength, and linear thermal expansion. The setting time must not differ by more than 30% from the time stated by the manufacturer. The compressive strength at room temperature shall not be less than 1.5 MPa. The linear thermal expansion must not differ by more than 15% from the time stated by the manufacturer.

**Brazing Investment**

When brazing (soldering) the parts of a restoration, such as clasps on a removable partial denture framework, the parts must be surrounded with a suitable ceramic or investment material before the heating operation. The assembled parts are temporarily held together with sticky wax until they are surrounded with the appropriate investment material, after which the wax is softened and removed. The portion to be soldered is left exposed and free from investment to permit wax removal and effective heating before it is joined with solder.

ANSI/ADA specification No. 126 (ISO 11244) for dental brazing investments defines two types of investment:

Type 1: Gypsum-bonded dental brazing investments
Type 2: Phosphate-bonded dental brazing investments

The specification specifies quality, fluidity, setting time, compressive strength, linear thermal expansion, and linear setting expansion. The setting time must not differ by more than 30% from the time stated by the manufacturer. The compressive strength shall be in the range of 2.0 to 10 MPa. The linear setting and thermal expansions must not differ by more than 15% from the time stated by the manufacturer.

The investment for soldering of low-melting-point alloys is similar to casting investments containing quartz and a calcium sulfate hemihydrate binder. For high-melting-point alloys, a phosphate-bonded investment is used.

Soldering investments are designed to have lower setting and thermal expansions than casting investments, a feature that is desirable so the assembled parts do not shift in position during the setting and heating of the investment. Soldering investments are often made of ingredients that do not have as fine a particle size as the casting investment, because the smoothness of the mass is less important. Relatively little information is available in the dental literature on the properties of soldering investments.

**Investment for All-Ceramic Restorations**

Two types of investment materials have been developed recently for producing all-ceramic restorations. The first type is used for the cast glass technique. This investment is provided by the manufacturer of the glass casting equipment and is composed of phosphate-bonded refractories. The second type of investment for making all-ceramic restorations is the refractory die type of material, which is used for all-ceramic veneers, inlays, and crowns. Refractory dies are made by pouring the investment into impressions. When the investment is set, the die is removed, and is heated to remove gases that may be detrimental to the ceramic (degassing). A refractory die spacer may be added to the surface. Next, porcelain or other ceramic powders are added to the die surface and fired. These materials must accurately reproduce the impression, remain undamaged during the porcelain firing, and have a thermal expansion compatible with that of the ceramic (otherwise the ceramic could crack during cooling). These materials are also phosphate-bonded, and they generally contain fine-grained refractory fillers to allow accurate reproduction of detail. ANSI/ADA specification No. 126 (ISO 11245) for phosphate-bonded refractory die materials describes the required properties.
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A large factor in the clinical success of modern esthetic dentistry has been the development of dental adhesives and luting agents. Tooth-colored resin-based direct and indirect composites, metal-ceramic and all-ceramic dental prostheses (e.g., inlays, onlays, veneers, crowns, and bridges), endodontic posts, and resin cores must all be bonded to the remaining tooth structure to function adequately. Advancements in dental adhesive materials have facilitated the growth of conservative dentistry, strengthening and supporting the remaining tooth structure without the need to remove healthy tooth tissue.

Cementation is one of the final steps in the sequence of clinical procedures for indirect restorations. There are two objectives for the cementation, or luting, procedure: to help retain the restoration in place and to maintain the integrity of the remaining tooth structure. Retention may be achieved by friction (or micromechanical interlocking) or by an adhesive joint consisting of the prepared tooth, the cement, and the restoration, or a combination of both mechanisms. An effective interfacial seal depends on the ability of the cement to fill the irregularities between the tooth and the restoration and to resist the solubilizing action of the oral environment, short and long term. Adhesion is also important in this context, because a strong bond between the luting agent and the dental substrates may help prevent bacteria from colonizing the interface and minimizing the transit of fluids that may cause dentin hypersensitivity.

This chapter presents the basic aspects of the application of adhesion science to dentistry and describes the composition, properties, and indications for use of acid-base and resin-based cements. Acid-base cements are easy to use and, when correctly indicated, provide good long-term clinical service. Some release fluoride and bond to tooth structures. Resin cements have a chemistry based on resin composites. They show high bond strengths to tooth structures. Some products also contain monomers or are compatible with primers that enable bonding to metal alloys and ceramics. In general, resin cements have better mechanical properties than acid-base cements, but the cementation process is more technique sensitive.

The fundamental technologies and chemistries used to formulate the various types of adhesives and luting cements are derived from their corresponding restorative materials. However, in most cases modifications have been made to create formulations suitable for a particular clinical application in terms of viscosity and handling characteristics.

Different clinical situations require different luting agents and no one material is indicated for every case. Therefore it is important to differentiate luting cements based on their mechanical properties and overall characteristics to identify the best options available for each clinical situation.

**PRINCIPLES OF ADHESION**

The creation of a strong, durable, and bonded interface with enamel or dentin provides important benefits. It significantly protects the restoration’s interface against penetration of bacteria that may cause secondary caries. It reduces the need for retentive areas in the preparation that would require removal of sound tooth structure. In some cases, bonding may help strengthen the remaining tooth structure. The development of adhesive luting techniques also broadened the application of materials such as low-strength ceramics and indirect composites for crowns, inlays, and onlays as they reduce the risk of crack propagation within the bulk of the restoration, which ultimately may lead to its catastrophic failure.

The term *adhesion* refers to the establishment of molecular interactions between a substrate (*adherent*) and an adhesive brought into close contact, creating an adhesive joint (Fig. 13.1). *Cohesion* is used...
to describe the interaction of similar atoms and molecules within a material, involving primary (i.e., covalent or ionic) or strong secondary forces (i.e., hydrogen bonding).

In dentistry, true chemical bonding between the tooth structure and restorative or luting materials is very difficult to achieve, because of the complex composition of some substrates such as dentin, the presence of contaminants, and the presence of water. Zinc polycarboxylate, glass ionomer, resin-modified glass ionomer, calcium aluminate/glass ionomer, and self-adhesive resin cements are examples of dental materials capable of establishing chemical interaction with hydroxyapatite. However, in daily practice, adhesion is accomplished by micromechanical interlocking between the adhesive and the substrate. It is important to point out that when two materials are in close contact, physical bonding is always present (e.g., van der Waals dipoles); however, it is weak, especially in a wet environment, and does not really contribute significantly to the integrity of the adhesive joint.

A dental sealant attached to enamel is an example of a simple adhesive joint with one interface. Often, however, adhesive joints involve more than one interface (e.g., tooth/adhesive and adhesive/restorative or luting material), which presents an extra challenge because an adhesive does not necessarily bond equally well to different substrates.

The most basic aspect to be observed in creating any adhesive joint is the cleanliness of the substrate. Saliva, biofilm, and other organic debris are always present on the tooth surface. The walls of a cavity preparation are covered with a smear layer. All of these contaminants reduce the surface energy of the bonding substrate and, consequently, its wettability. Therefore it is very important for the surface that will contact the adhesive to be thoroughly clean and, in some cases, for the smear layer to be removed by acid etching. Indirect restorations also need to have their internal surface cleaned and free from films that may impede the penetration of the adhesive.

Wettability is the result of molecular interactions between the adhesive and the substrate, as well as the cohesion forces of the adhesive, particularly its surface tension. Liquids tend to form spheres when placed on a surface because that is the shape with the lowest surface area and, therefore, the minimum surface energy (Fig. 13.2). Wetting is usually evaluated by the contact angle (θ), that is, the internal angle between the liquid and the substrate. Generally, small contact angles are achieved when a low surface tension liquid is placed on a high-energy surface substrate. Contact angles less than 90 degrees indicate a favorable wetting of the surface. Ideal wetting occurs when the liquid spreads over the surface with θ ≈ 0 degrees. Surface roughness increases the wettability of the surface by liquids.

Viscosity influences the contact of the adhesive with the substrate. It should be low enough to allow the adhesive to flow readily and penetrate into the details of the substrate surface, without leaving porosities at the interface. Finally, the adhesive must set sufficiently to create strong interlocks with the substrate microstructure to achieve micromechanical retention.
Adhesive Systems

Classification and Basic Components

Adhesive systems can rely on different approaches to obtain a strong and durable bond to dentin and enamel. They are classified according to the etching strategy as etch-and-rinse or self-etch. Additionally, universal systems (or multimode systems) can be applied following either etching approach. Etch-and-rinse (also referred to as total-etch) systems can be presented as three-step systems, that is, etching, priming, and bonding in separate application steps. Alternatively, two-step systems present primer and bonding resin mixed in a single component. Etching uses 30% to 40% phosphoric acid gels to demineralize the tooth structure. Originally, etching solutions were free-flowing liquids and were difficult to control during placement. Gel etchants were developed by adding small amounts of microfiller or cellulose-thickening agents. These gels flow under slight pressure but not under their own weight.

Primers are hydrophilic monomers, oligomers, or polymers, usually carried in a solvent. The solvents used in primers are acetone, ethanol-water, or primarily water. In some primers, the solvent levels can be as high as 90%. Therefore primers have different evaporation rates, drying patterns, and penetration characteristics, all of which can influence the resulting bond strength. Dimethacrylate oligomers and lower-molecular-weight monomers can be added to the primer in two-step etch-and-rinse systems, or presented as a separate step in three-step systems or in self-etch two-step systems.

Self-etch systems contain ester monomers with grafted carboxylic or phosphate acid groups dissolved in water. According to their aggressiveness, these systems can be divided into strong (pH of 1 or less), moderate (pH between 1 and 2), or mild (pH of 2 or greater). They can be presented as two-step systems, with a hydrophobic bonding resin in a separate bottle (also known as self-etching primers) or single-component systems (all-in-one systems).

Universal systems are so called because they can be used following either an etch-and-rinse, two-step technique or a self-etch (one step) approach, depending on the practitioner’s preference. When used as self-etch systems, universal adhesives can be classified as mild, based on the micromorphology of the bonded interface. These versatile systems were developed to be used with other bonding substrates and contain components such as silanes and acidic monomers to mediate bonding to ceramics and metals.

Most bonding agents are light cured and contain an activator such as camphorquinone and an organic amine. Dual-cured bonding agents include a catalyst to promote self-curing. Although most bonding agents are unfilled, some products contain nanofillers and submicron glasses ranging from 0.5% to 40% by weight. Fillers are described in more detail in Chapter 9. Filled bonding agents may be easier to place on the tooth and may produce higher in vitro bond strengths. Bonding agents may contain fluoride, antimicrobial ingredients, or desensitizers, such as glutaraldehyde. The effectiveness of fluoride and antimicrobial release from a bonding agent has not been demonstrated.

In Vitro Evaluation of Bond Performance

Laboratory tests have been extensively used to compare the bond performance of adhesive systems. Although clinical relevance of in vitro evaluations is questionable, they certainly represent a valuable “screening” tool. In addition, different than clinical studies, laboratory evaluations allow isolation of specific variables that may interfere with bond performance, for example, substrate conditions, contaminants, application procedures, and thermal and mechanical cycling.

Bond strength tests are, by far, the most popular among in vitro methods. ISO/TS11405 (2003) describes test protocols for both shear and tensile bond strength tests (Fig. 13.3). Both tests use relatively large bonding areas (3 to 6 mm in diameter, 7 to 28 mm²). Nominal (average) bond strength
is calculated by dividing the failure load by the specimen cross-sectional area. The high incidence of cohesive failures of the substrate observed with these tests prompted the development of micro bond strength tests (Fig. 13.4), using specimens with much smaller bonding areas (1 mm$^2$). The main limitation of bond strength tests, despite their great popularity, is that results from different studies cannot be directly compared because of the lack of standardization among research groups. In addition, because of the heterogeneous stress distribution along the bonded interface, the nominal bond strength value is far from representative of the true stress that initiated debonding.

The quality of the marginal seal obtained with adhesive systems can be estimated by different methods. Interfacial gaps can be measured under a scanning electron microscope (Fig. 13.5). Microleakage tests use the immersion of a restored tooth in a tracer or dye solution (e.g., methylene blue or silver nitrate). The tooth is sectioned and the extent of dye penetration is evaluated, either qualitatively (using scores) or quantitatively (Fig. 13.6). The term nanoleakage applies to a method in which specimens previously immersed in silver nitrate are observed under a transmission electron microscope. The presence of silver deposits demonstrates the presence of gaps and voids at the bonded interface.

Other in vitro methods for evaluating the performance of bonding systems are fracture toughness tests that quantify the critical stress level responsible for initiating debonding, and fatigue testing in which the cyclic fatigue resistance after a predetermined number of loading cycles (usually $10^5$ cycles) is calculated.

**Biocompatibility**

Solvents and monomers in bonding agents are typically skin irritants. For example, 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) may produce local and systemic reactions in dentists and dental assistants sufficient to preclude their further use in the dental office. It is critical that dental personnel protect themselves from recurring exposure. Protective techniques include wearing gloves, immediately replacing contaminated gloves, using high-speed suction, keeping all bottles tightly closed or using unit-dose systems, and disposing of materials in such a way that the monomers cannot evaporate into the office air. Even with double gloves, contact with aggressive solvents and monomers will produce actual skin contact in a few minutes. All reasonable precautions should be followed, and if unwanted contact occurs, affected areas should be flushed immediately with copious amounts of water and soap. Once the materials are polymerized, there is very little risk of side effects. Although patients should be protected during bonding operations, properly polymerized materials have not been shown to be hazardous to the patient.
American Dental Association guidelines require adhesives to be tested in restorations for nonretentive class 5 lesions. The lesions, which may be saucer or notch shaped, have enamel along the coronal margin and dentin along the apical margin. The success of a bonding agent is evaluated indirectly by examining the performance of the restorations for (1) postoperative sensitivity, (2) interfacial staining, (3) secondary caries, and (4) retention or fracture followed for 18 months. These clinical trials test short-term retention and initial sealing.

Most commercial adhesive systems are successful in clinical trials. However, these clinical trials generally combine enamel and dentin bonding. There is no acceptable clinical regimen for critically testing only dentin bonding in nonretentive preparations. Because clinical trials are usually highly controlled, they are often not predictive of routine clinical use in general practice. Longevity of the bond in general practice may be only 40% of that achieved in clinical trials. While long-term clinical performance is not known for all bonding systems, there are reports of studies of longer than 10-year duration showing excellent results for some two-step self-etch and three-step etch-and-rinse materials.

Sites of failure for most bonded restorations occur along cervical margins where the bonding is primarily to dentin. Studies of bonded composites in class 2 restorations have shown that 95% of all secondary caries associated with the composite restoration is in the interproximal area. These margins are the most difficult to seal during placement of the restoration because they are typically bonded to dentin and cementum rather than enamel, and are hard to access with a light guide for adequate polymerization.

Based on the most extensive clinical evidence, the three-step etch-and-rinse systems as a group remain the “gold standard” for adhesive systems, in both laboratory and clinical evaluations.

**Enamel Bonding**

Bonding to enamel occurs by micromechanical retention after acid etching is used to preferentially dissolve hydroxyapatite crystals in the enamel outer surface (Fig. 13.7). Fluid adhesive constituents penetrate into the newly produced surface irregularities and become locked into place after polymerization of the adhesive.

Gel etchants (typically phosphoric acid) are dispensed from a syringe onto tooth surfaces. Etching times for enamel vary depending on the type and quality of enamel. Generally, a 15-second etch with 30% to 40% phosphoric acid is sufficient to reach the characteristic clinical endpoint of a frosty enamel appearance. Deciduous unground enamel generally contains some prismless enamel that has not yet worn away and requires longer etching times (20 to 30 seconds) to create a retentive pattern. Enamel may have been rendered more insoluble as a result of fluorosis. In those cases, extended etching times (15 to 30 seconds) are required to ensure that sufficient micromechanical bonding can occur. The only caution is that dentin should not be etched for the same extended time because fluorotic dentin is more susceptible to acid than regular dentin and extensive dentin demineralization should be avoided.

After the intended etching time, the acid gel is rinsed away and the tooth structure is dried to receive the bonding resin. If a hydrophilic primer or a two-step etch-and-rinse system is used, the surface can be left moist for the next stage of bonding. Then, primer can be flowed onto the surface to penetrate into the available surface irregularities. After curing, primer and adhesive produce resin macrotags...
and penetrate its structure. In etch-and-rinse systems, etching with phosphoric acid removes the mineral content, creating microporosities within the collagen network. Once the hydroxyapatite component of the outer layer of dentin is removed, dentin contains about 50% unfilled space and about 20% remaining water. After acid is rinsed, drying of dentin must be done cautiously. Even a short air blast from an air-water spray can inadvertently dehydrate the outer surface and cause the remaining collagen scaffold to collapse onto itself. Once this happens, the collagen mesh readily excludes the penetration of primer and bonding will fail. However, excess moisture tends to dilute the primer and interfere with resin interpenetration. The ideal dentin moisture level varies according to the solvent present in the adhesive. One advantage of self-etch systems is the elimination of this rather subjective step of the bonding procedure.

The infiltration of resin within the collagen scaffold is termed hybridization (Fig. 13.8). The result of this diffusion process is called resin-interpenetration zone or resin-interdiffusion zone or simply hybrid layer. Concurrent with hybrid layer formation is the penetration of primer into the fluid-filled dentinal tubules. This may generate long resin tags, though these appear to be of little value to overall bonding. This material is generally undercured and behaves as soft flexible tags. If dentin is dehydrated before priming and bonding, these resin tags are more likely to penetrate even deeper.

Primers contain solvents to displace the water and carry the monomers into the microporosities in the collagen network. During application of the primer, most of the solvent evaporates quickly. Thus several layers usually must be applied to ensure a complete impregnation. The rule of thumb is that one should apply as many layers as are necessary to produce a persisting glistening appearance on dentin.

The thickness of a hybrid layer is not a critical requirement for success. Dentin bond strength is probably proportional to the interlocking between resin and collagen, as well as to the “quality” of the hybrid layer, not to its thickness. Effective etching of dentin does not require long times to produce acceptable dentin bond strengths. Usually 15 seconds is employed. If etching time is too long and the etched zone is too deep, the decalcified dentin may not be fully impregnated. The etched but not impregnated space may reside as a mechanically weak zone and promote nanoleakage. Although this zone has been detected in laboratory experiments, the clinical results of this process have never been demonstrated to be a problem.

After priming the surface, an adhesive is applied and light cured. Surfaces of the cured bonding agents are initially air inhibited and do not immediately react. However, as composite is placed against the surface, much of the air is displaced and copolymerization occurs.
Self-etch systems have the great advantages of eliminating the risk of incomplete primer/adhesive penetration into the collagen scaffold and also eliminating the subjectivity when determining the ideal amount of moisture on the dentin surface for primer diffusion. With these systems, the smear layer is dissolved and incorporated into the hybrid layer. The bonding mechanism for strong self-etch adhesives is very similar to that of etch-and-rinse systems. Their bond strength, particularly for all-in-one systems, is relatively low, probably because of their high initial acidity and high water content. Mild self-etch systems demineralize dentin only superficially (a few micrometers) and leave residual hydroxyapatite attached to collagen fibrils. Although the main bonding mechanism is the interlocking between collagen fibrils and the polymerized resin, monomers such as 4-methacryloxyethyl trimellitic anhydride (4-META) and 10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate (10-MDP) may bond chemically to this residual hydroxyapatite. In addition, the presence of hydroxyapatite may help protect the collagen against
degradation, which weakens the bonded interface. Mild self-etch systems may present relatively low bond strength values when applied to sclerotic dentin. Another drawback associated with all-in-one systems is that, due to their high water content, the polymers formed from these adhesives behave as semipermeable membranes, which increases degradation by hydrolysis.

**Universal Bonding**

Universal adhesives have been designed by manufacturers to be a single adhesive system, which can be used for bonding to all surfaces relevant to restorative dentistry. Ideally a universal adhesive should be a single-bottle no-mix adhesive that can be used reliably in total-etch, self-etch, and selective-etch (i.e., phosphoric etch of enamel only) modes according to the clinicians' needs for all direct and indirect restorations. Thus it should bond to tooth surfaces (enamel and dentin), direct restoratives (methacrylate resin-based and glass ionomer or resin-modified glass ionomer), and indirect restoratives (e.g., metals, glass-ceramics, and high-strength alumina and zirconia without the need for an extra primer step). Furthermore, it should be compatible with self-cure, light-cure, and dual-cure resin-based cements. Care should be taken in the choice and use of such adhesives because in actuality, many commercial universal adhesives still require an additional component or step. Thus certain products require a separate “activator” to be used for self-cure or dual-cure resin cements. Others may need two components to be mixed prior to use, whereas for some sequential application of two separate components is essential.

The key to the successful performance of a universal adhesive is to have the right hydrophilic-hydrophobic balance in the formulation. Hydrophilicity is needed to properly wet the dentin while hydrophobicity is essential after polymerization of the adhesive to minimize hydrolysis and water absorption with time. The adhesive should have adequate acidity to be effective in etching all substrates yet not be so acidic that it deactivates the initiators needed for the polymerization of self-cured and dual-cured cements. The best performance is seen for such adhesives when they are in the pH range of 2.2 to 3.2. Optimal cohesive strength is also necessary to withstand the stresses due to polymerization shrinkage of resin restoratives.

Most universal adhesives contain a polymerizable phosphate ester as the primary functional monomer. The acidic phosphate group can etch tooth and other substrates and at the same time bond to hydroxyapatite through the formation of soluble Ca$^{2+}$ salts. Most modern universal adhesives use 10-MDP as the acidic monomer. One commonly used commercial product uses a proprietary methacrylate-functionalyzed polycarboxylic acid copolymer (also used in some popular resin-modified glass ionomers, see Chapter 9) in the formulation to provide consistent adhesive strength under the varying humidity conditions often encountered in clinical situations. Like the other types of adhesives these materials also contain common monomers such as bisphenol A-glycidyl methacrylate (Bis-GMA), urethane dimethacrylate (UDMA), and HEMA to control the hydrophilic-hydrophobic balance. Photoinitiators and stabilizers are used as with other materials. Water and alcohol are used as carrier solvents. Some universal adhesives also contain silanes to provide bonding to silica-based ceramic restorations; however, the use of zirconia primers (see later) is advisable for zirconia-based restorations.

**Bonding to Other Substrates**

**Cast Alloys**

Sandblasting with aluminum oxide is the most commonly used method to prepare metal substrates for receiving bonding resins or resin cements. It creates a microretentive, high-energy surface.

Electrolytic etching can be used with base metal alloys, but is not as effective with noble alloys because of its more homogeneous microstructure. Tin plating can be used to improve the retention of noble alloys to resin cements. Commercial systems using silica coating at high temperatures or tribochemical application of a silica layer using aluminum oxide modified by silicic acid have also been available for many years. In both cases, a silane solution is applied to the treated metal to create a surface capable of bonding to dimethacrylate-based resins.

Monomers such as 10-MDP and 4-META are used in formulations of resin cements to improve retention of cast alloy restorations. They seem to be more effective with base-metal alloys, compared to noble alloys. Metal primers developed for improving the bond strength between alloy and resin cements are also available. However, research results are inconsistent.

**Silica-based Ceramics**

Silica-based ceramics have been successfully bonded to resin cements by etching the restoration’s inner surface with a hydrofluoric acid solution, followed by the application of a silane primer (Fig. 13.9). Different acid concentrations are commercially available, from 2.5% to 10%, in liquid or gel forms, and recommended etching times vary from 1 to 4 minutes. Hydrofluoric acid attacks the glass phase of ceramics, to the point where crystals are removed, leaving a microretentive honeycomb-like,
Silane application improves the wettability of the resin cement on the ceramic surface and establishes covalent bonds with both the ceramic surface (via siloxane bonds, –Si–O–Si–) and the resin cement (by carbon double bond polymerization). Hydrolysis of the silane molecule is necessary to convert the methoxy groups (–OCH3) to silanol (–Si–OH). Silanes are presented in a nonhydrolyzed form (two bottles) or prehydrolyzed (one bottle).

**Zirconia Ceramics**

The clinical success of indirect ceramic restorations is highly dependent on the cementation procedure employed. In recent years there has been an increase in the popularity of zirconia-based prosthetics such as crowns and bridges due to the material’s superior mechanical strength, esthetic properties, versatility of clinical indications, and ability to be used in digital procedures involving computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing milling. However, conventional adhesive cementation procedures involving hydrofluoric acid treatment and application of silane primers do not work for zirconia restorations. This is because zirconia is a polycrystalline material with no amorphous silica glass component, thus making it resistant to acid etching by hydrofluoric acid and also unreactive toward silane primers.

Several strategies have been employed for formulating commercial zirconia primers. The primary approach has been to roughen the bonding surface of the zirconia by sandblasting and then use a specially formulated zirconia primer containing phosphate or phosphonate monomers as the key reactive ingredient. These acidic groups are believed to form a stable Zr–O–P bond with the surface of the zirconia. In addition to the phosphate or phosphonate functionality, these monomers contain a hydrophobic backbone and a methacrylate group that can copolymerize with the adhesive resin cement upon initiation to build up cohesive strength. The most widely used monomer in zirconia primers is 10-MDP. It has also been reported that combining phosphate/dithione and phosphate/carboxyl monomers produces a synergistic effect in bonding. Some manufacturers also incorporate a silane component in addition to the organophosphate monomer in the primer in order to expand their use to both zirconia and porcelain surfaces. However, the shelf stability of the silanes in the acidic environment of these primers has been questioned and refrigerated storage of the primers is indicated. In another approach, laboratory or chair-side air abrasion with 35 to 110-μm silica-coated aluminum particles have been used on the interior surface of the zirconia device to create a siliceous surface followed by the treatment with conventional silane-based primer (tribochemical bonding). This technique has given mixed results.

Bonding glass-infiltrated or densely sintered alumina, as well as yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia polycrystalline (Y-TZP) ceramics, remains a subject of debate among clinicians and researchers. Hydrofluoric acid etching is not efficient in highly crystalline ceramics. Therefore other methods such as airborne particle abrasion with 35 to 110 μm silica are indicated to increase surface roughness. Tribochemical coating of Y-TZP surfaces using silica-modified alumina particles followed by silanization is also efficient. Organophosphate monomers such as 10-MDP, present in primers developed specifically for zirconia bonding, universal adhesive systems,
and self-adhesive resin cements, were shown to form stable Zr–O–P bonds on the zirconia surface and improve its bond strength to other substrates, particularly when the surfaces were previously modified by air abrasion with alumina.

**Indirect (Laboratory) Composites**

A microretentive bonding surface is obtained with alumina sandblasting. Etching with 37% phosphoric acid is used to clean debris from the surface prior to the application of the resin cement. Etching with hydrofluoric acid is not recommended, because it causes degradation of the composite surface by etching away the silica glass and leaving a weak and porous polymer matrix.

**Amalgam**

Adhesive systems, filled adhesives, and resin cements can be used in association with amalgam in the so-called bonded amalgam restoration. The purpose of this technique is to reduce the need for macromechanical retention, which would save tooth structure, and reinforce the remaining structure by creating a bonded interface between the restorative material and the cavity walls. The bonding between the adhesive and the amalgam is achieved by the establishment of an interpenetration zone. Although laboratorial studies show better results for bonded amalgams compared to conventional, nonbonded amalgam in terms of bond strength, microleakage, and retention, these findings are not supported by clinical data, which show no difference between bonded restorations and those retained by mechanical undercuts.

**Fiber Posts**

Bonding fiber-reinforced resin posts to dentin is one of the most challenging situations faced by the clinician. Adhesive application is critical, because it is virtually impossible to control moisture inside the root canal. The use of self-etching adhesives systems is not indicated, because their acidity would impede the chemical activation of the resin cement. The use of self-adhesive resin cements (see later) has shown promising results in laboratory evaluations. The surface treatment of the post has also been debated. Silanization, sandblasting, or the association of both treatments are often quoted as being effective procedures to improve the bonding between the resin cement and the fiber post.

**Repair of Composite, Ceramic, and Ceramic-Metal Restorations**

Repair of fractured restorations is indicated when the extent of the fracture is not severe enough to warrant the replacement of the restoration or when there are other factors taken into consideration, such as conservation of the tooth structure, cost, time, or in case of fixed prostheses, the replacement of multiple units.

Aging of composite restorations in the oral environment severely decreases the composite-to-composite bond strength. Therefore the use of adhesive systems to mediate the bond between the aged and the fresh composite is recommended. The surface can be roughened with the use of intraoral sandblasters or a diamond bur, followed by phosphoric acid application for cleaning, prior to adhesive application.

The repair of chipped ceramic restorations includes conditioning with hydrofluoric acid, silanization, application of a bonding resin, and restoration with a resin composite. Intraoral use of hydrofluoric acid gel must be performed with rubber dam isolation because of its caustic effect on soft tissues.

Repair of metal-ceramic restorations includes bonding to different substrates. When there is a large area of the metal infrastructure exposed, sandblasting with alumina or alumina modified with silicic acid is recommended, followed by the application of silane and adhesive resin, prior to composite application. Fractured porcelain surfaces can be sandblasted and etched with hydrofluoric acid gel prior to silanization, adhesive application, and restoration with composite.

### Classification and Characteristics of Luting Agents

**Classification**

Luting agents can be classified according to the length of time they are expected to stay in function as provisional or definitive. Provisional (temporary) cements are indicated for fixation of temporary restorations used between the clinical appointments necessary to finish the definitive restoration. Because temporary restorations often need to be removed during treatment, provisional cements must have a relatively low strength and be easily handled. In addition, they must not irritate the pulp. Examples of temporary luting agents are zinc oxide-eugenol (ZOE) and noneugenol cements and calcium hydroxide pastes. Definitive cements are supposed to remain in function for the longest time possible and therefore must have sufficient properties.

According to setting mechanism, luting agents are divided into those presenting an acid-base reaction (which include glass ionomer, resin-modified glass ionomer, ZOE, zinc polycarboxylate, and zinc phosphate), those that set by dissolution and precipitation in aqueous medium (calcium aluminate, and...
cements), and those setting by polymerization (resin cements, compomers, and self-adhesive resin cements). In some cases, this classification refers to the predominant setting mechanism because resin-modified glass ionomers contain polymerizable groups, whereas compomers and self-adhesive resin cements may have an acid-base reaction.

The physical requirements of luting cements are described in the following standards from the International Association for Standardization: ISO 3107:2004 (ZOE and noneugenol cements), ISO 9917-1:2007 (powder/liquid acid-base cements), ISO 9917-2:2010 (resin-modified cements), and ISO 4049:2009 (polymer-based cements) standards (Box 13.1). Those requirements, along with other important characteristics, are described below.

**Biocompatibility**

Luting agents are often placed in contact with large areas of exposed dentin. Also, remaining dentin thickness can be insufficient to protect the pulp tissue from external stimuli. The majority of the luting agents show cytotoxicity in vitro to different degrees. Histological studies also show an early inflammatory reaction to cements placed close to connective tissue. Such responses are usually associated to the initial low pH of some acid-base cements and self-etch resin cements or monomers present in resin-modified glass ionomers and resin cements.

**Interfacial Sealing and Anticariogenic Activity**

The perfect sealing of the tooth/restoration interface is important to prevent bacterial penetration that may lead to secondary caries and also, when dentin is involved, prevent excessive fluid movement in the dentinal tubules that may cause hypersensitivity. Sealing is related to the ability of the cement to penetrate in the irregularities of both substrates and establish an intimate contact with them. Ideally, luting cements should not shrink upon setting, or voids may develop at the interface. Adhesion also contributes for a good interfacial sealing. Fluoride-containing cements are supposed to inhibit bacterial activity, however, the clinical significance of fluoride release from luting cements is yet to be confirmed.

**Adhesion**

Lack of retention is a common cause of failure of indirect restorations. The use of adhesive materials may reduce the risk of displacement. In some instances (e.g., porcelain crowns), bonding may reduce the risk of restoration fracture. Adhesion may also help improve interfacial sealing. Adhesion may occur by chemical or physical bonding, micromechanical interlocking, or friction. Some acid-base cements and self-adhesive resin cements bond to dental tissues by chelation involving metal ions and carboxylic or phosphate groups. Resin cements require the use of adhesive systems to establish a strong bond to dentin and enamel.

**Mechanical Properties**

Mechanically, luting cements are described in terms of strength (usually in compression or in flexural mode), elastic modulus, fatigue resistance, fracture toughness, and wear. Wear is less of a problem when the cement line is not exposed to masticatory forces (e.g., a full crown). Fatigue strength is usually considered more representative of the type of loading cements must endure in the clinic. However, fatigue tests are much more time consuming than static strength tests. Elastic modulus expresses the amount of elastic (recoverable) deformation the cement presents relative to a stress state caused by external loading. Fracture toughness describes the resistance to unstable crack propagation that will cause catastrophic failure of the material.

Permanent luting cements must have high strength (both static and fatigue) and fracture toughness and good wear resistance. Ideal values for elastic modulus are debatable, and values can vary substantially even among permanent cements. Temporary cements, by contrast, must have a relatively low strength, or removal of temporary restoration can become a difficult task.

**Handling Properties and Radiopacity**

Ease of use, long working time, and short setting time are desirable characteristics of luting cements. Along with powder and liquid materials, recent products are encapsulated or presented as two-paste, self-dispensing systems, which makes proportioning and mixing faster and less prone to error.

**BOX 13.1**

**ISO STANDARDS FOR DENTAL CEMENTS**

ISO 3107:2004 (zinc oxide-eugenol and noneugenol cements)
ISO 9917-1:2007 (powder/liquid acid-base cements)
ISO 9917-2:2010 (resin-modified cements)
ISO 4049:2009 (polymer-based cements)
A long working time is important to make sure the cement presents low viscosity while the restoration is seated. Otherwise, adaptation may be compromised. For some materials (e.g., resin cements), removal of excess cement also needs to be done before setting. Radiopacity is important to allow radiographic diagnostic.

Viscosity and Film Thickness
A low film thickness is important to allow for the correct seating of the restoration. Film thickness is usually determined by the cement average particle size and its viscosity. Some cements are pseudoplastic, looking excessively thick at the end of the mixing period, but flowing well under seating pressure. Overall, if handled properly and applied within the recommended working time, all currently available materials are able to reach a thickness below that required by the ISO standards. However, some cements may show a sudden increase in viscosity and film thickness in short intervals after the end of the recommended working time.

Solubility
Solubility refers to the resistance to disintegration and dissolution when the cement is immersed in water or other solutions. It affects the marginal integrity of the indirect restoration, which may increase plaque accumulation. Resin-based cements present much lower solubility than acid-base cements.

Esthetics
When used with translucent materials or when restoration margins are exposed, shade and translucency of the cement are important aspects to be considered because they may affect the final esthetic result of the restoration. It is particularly critical with porcelain laminate veneers. Resin cements are the most esthetic luting materials available. Glass ionomer, resin-modified glass ionomer, compomer, and self-adhesive resin cements also have good esthetics. Zinc polycarboxylate, ZOE, and zinc phosphate are opaque.

**ACID-BASE CEMENTS**

Zinc Oxide-Eugenol and Noneugenol Cements
The reaction between zinc oxide and eugenol has several applications in dentistry, such as endodontic sealers and root-end filling materials, periodontal dressings, inelastic impression materials, cavity bases, and temporary restorations. For luting purposes, different formulations of ZOE cements are available for both temporary cementation and permanent fixation of metallic and metalloceramic crowns and bridges. Due to an inhibitory effect of eugenol on polymerization of methacrylate-based resins and luting composites, temporary cements using nonphenolic components are often preferred over conventional formulations. Their popularity is justified by their ease of use, antibacterial action, and anodyne effect on dental pulp.

**Composition**
The powder is basically zinc oxide, with up to 8% of other zinc salts (acetate, propionate, or succinate) as accelerators. Rosin (abiatic acid) is added to reduce brittleness and increase working time and strength. The liquid contains eugenol (4-allyl-2-methoxy phenol), a weak acid. Acetic acid (up to 2%) is added as accelerator. In two-paste materials used for temporary cementation, one paste contains zinc oxide mixed with mineral or vegetable oils, whereas fillers are incorporated into eugenol to form the other paste. Noneugenol materials use long-chain aliphatic acids or aryl-substituted butyric acid to react with zinc oxide particles. Other oils can be added to adjust paste consistency.

An important improvement of ZOE cements was the development of materials in which the liquid is a mixture of 2-ethoxybenzoic acid (EBA) and eugenol, roughly in a 2:1 proportion. Rather than forming a stronger matrix, the addition of EBA allows for the use of very high powder-to-liquid ratios (6:1) which, per se, increases the strength of the set cement. In these materials, alumina (30%) was added to the powder as a reinforcing agent. The incorporation of 20% poly(methyl methacrylate) particles is also used to improve mechanical properties in some products.

**Setting Reaction and Structure**
The reaction of zinc oxide with eugenol results in the formation of a zinc eugenolate chelate, that is, a complex in which one zinc (Zn) atom binds to two eugenolate molecules. In addition, as mentioned earlier, the dissociation constant of eugenol is small. Therefore reaction rate is increased with the use of more reactive oxides, along with the presence of accelerators. The acid-base reaction does not take place in an aqueous medium; however, water plays a very important role in the reaction, because it reacts with zinc oxide forming ZnOH⁺ ions that dissociate in Zn²⁺ and OH⁻. The zinc cations then react with the eugenolate, whereas the hydroxyl anions react with the H⁺ forming water. Because water is present as reagent and final product, the reaction is autocatalytic. The presence of acetic acid eliminates the need of water to initiate the reaction.
The structure of the set cement is represented by zinc oxide particles bound together by an amorphous zinc eugenolate matrix. EBA also forms a chelate with zinc oxide, and crystalline phases have been identified within the matrix of EBA-eugenol cements.

Manipulation, dispensing, and mixing considerations can be found on the book’s website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

**Properties**

ZOE cements are considered biocompatible because of their neutral pH, antibacterial action, and anodyne effect on hyperemic pulpal tissue. Their antibacterial activity in vitro was shown to be more efficient than those displayed by conventional and resin-modified glass ionomers. That characteristic associated with a good marginal seal favors the recovery of the pulp. Eugenol released from the salt matrix may contribute to pain relief in preparations with little remaining dentin thickness. However, in high concentrations or when placed directly in contact with connective tissue, it may increase the inflammatory response because of its cytotoxicity.

The low strength displayed by ZOE cements makes them a suitable material for temporary cementation. The ISO 3107 standard (2004) establishes a maximum 24-hour compressive strength of 35 MPa for type 1 materials (i.e., intended for temporary cementation). An important aspect related to the mechanical behavior of ZOE cements is that their properties are very sensitive to temperature. For example, compressive strength at 37°C may represent only 20% of what was found at 23°C. EBA-eugenol cements can be several times stronger than the basic formulation (72 MPa vs. 26 MPa, in compression at room temperature). EBA-alumina cements can present 20% higher strength compared to EBA-eugenol materials. Even though these values are above the minimum compressive strength required for type 2 materials (i.e., permanent luting cements) of 35 MPa, both reinforced ZOE cements are the weakest among luting agents used for permanent cementation. The elastic modulus of EBA-eugenol cements (determined at room temperature) is 3 GPa.

ZOE cements present increased plasticity even after set and flow under load. Plastic strain at fracture was shown to be above 15% at 37°C, against a maximum value of 4% presented by other acid-base and resin cements. Creep behavior may explain the good marginal seal achieved with these materials, even considering their setting shrinkage. Linear shrinkage values of wet samples after 24 hours were shown to be 0.31% for the basic ZOE formulation, 0.38% for EBA-eugenol, and 0.12% for EBA-eugenol/alumina cements.

Film thickness measured according to the ISO standard ranges between 16 and 28 μm for EBA/alumina materials; therefore it is close to the maximum value allowed. Simulated crowns cemented with a basic formulation and EBA-eugenol cement showed similar film thicknesses at the occlusal surface (20 to 25 μm), whereas for the EBA-alumina cement, film thickness was higher (57 μm).

The zinc-eugenolate matrix chelate is very unstable in water. Its hydrolysis forms eugenol and zinc hydroxide, releasing the zinc oxide particles exposed in the process. In vitro studies showed that zinc 2-ethoxybenzoate matrix formed in EBA-eugenol cements is even more prone to hydrolysis than the zinc eugenolate. In vivo, material loss after 6 months was three to seven times higher for an EBA-alumina cement compared to other acid-base cements. Clinically, fixed prostheses cemented with EBA-alumina cement showed a success rate of 92% after 2.5 years, whereas for zinc poly(carboxylate), it was 95%. Zinc phosphate, for many decades the “gold standard” for permanent luting agents, showed a success rate of 98%.

The inhibitory effect of methoxyphenols such as eugenol on the polymerization of methacrylate resins is of clinical importance. Eugenol is considered a free-radical scavenger, due to the presence of the allyl group in its structure acting as a degradative chain-transfer agent (i.e., when activated, it preferably undergoes primary radical termination, rather than propagation). Temporary cements containing eugenol may negatively affect the polymerization of methyl methacrylate used in provisional restorations. If the final restoration will be bonded to the prepared tooth, the polymerization of both the adhesive system and the resin cement may be inhibited, increasing the risk of debonding, or even fracture in case of low-strength, silica-based ceramics or indirect composite restorations. In fact, in ZOE cement/composite interfaces, composite mechanical properties were reduced up to a 100 μm away from the interface, which may be relevant if the resin cement is applied to a eugenol-contaminated surface. However, several in vitro investigations have shown that bond strength to dentin is not adversely affected if the surface is thoroughly cleaned prior to adhesive application.

**Glass Ionomer**

Glass ionomer (or glass polyalkenoate) cement is arguably one of the most popular materials for permanent cementation used in the clinic, along with resin cements. The chemistry that is the basis for these cements is similar to that in glass ionomer restorative, lining, and preventive materials (see Chapter 9), although there are some important
differences in working and setting behavior to fit the needs of luting agents. Besides good physical properties, glass ionomers adhere to the tooth structure and metals and, most importantly, release significant amounts of fluoride, which increase the resistance of enamel and dentin to acid dissolution and act as a bacteriostatic agent. Fluoride release makes this material the first choice for cementation of orthodontic bands. It is indicated for cementation of metallic and metal-ceramic restorations, as well as high-strength ceramic crowns and fixed prostheses. Its setting reaction is sensitive to moisture conditions, and for this reason, it is extremely important to protect the cement against gain and loss of water during the first 24 hours.

Composition
Details of chemical composition and setting reaction are provided in Chapter 9. The powder is a calcium fluoroaluminosilicate glass with maximum particle size of 15 μm. Other glasses can be formulated in which calcium is replaced by strontium or lanthanum to increase radiopacity. The basic character of the glass is defined by its alumina-to-silica ratio and, in order to react with acids, it must exceed 1:2 by mass. Large amounts of fluoride are incorporated in the glass by adding calcium and sodium fluoride to the other oxides. Fluoride is an important component, because it lowers the melting point and enhances the translucency of the powder and improves the consistency of the mixing paste and the strength of the set material. The presence of electropositive ions, such as Ca²⁺ and Na⁺, is important to balance the ionic charges in the basic aluminosilicate lattice. Zinc oxide and barium glasses can be added to the powder to increase radiopacity.

The liquid contains homopolymers of acrylic acid or copolymers of acrylic, itaconic, maleic, and tricarboxylic acids. Overall, high molecular weights and increased acid concentrations improve physical properties of the set cement, but they also increase the viscosity of the liquid. Therefore commercial materials usually employ polyacids with average molecular weight of 10,000 g/mol and concentrations around 45% by mass. Tartaric acid (around 5% by mass) is an important component of the liquid, because it accelerates the setting without shortening working time. Its presence increases cement strength and allows for the use of glasses with higher fluoride content and, therefore, higher translucency.

To avoid the increase in viscosity of the liquid after prolonged storage, some products contain the polyacid in a freeze-dried form added to the powder. In this case, the powder is mixed with distilled water or a tartaric acid solution. Such presentation adds the advantage of allowing the use of polyacids with higher molecular weight and in higher concentrations, which increases the strength of the set material.

Setting Reaction and Structure
When the powder is brought in contact with the acid solution, H⁺ from the acid attacks the glass, releasing metal ions (Al³⁺, Ca²⁺, Na⁺, and F⁻) and silicic acid (general formula: [SiO₄(OH)]₄₋(HSiO₃)ₓ⁻) (see Chapter 9). The silicic acid condenses on the ion-depleted outer layer of the particle forming a layer of silica gel. Calcium ions are preferentially released from the glass. As the concentration of ions in the solution increases, the pH rises and metal ions begin to condense among the poly(acrylic acid) chains precipitating the polyacrylate salts, initially in a sol state (initial set) and latter turning into a gel. The precipitation of aluminum salts initiates after a few hours into the reaction because it is released from the glass as a strong complex with fluoride. The reaction continues until all the ions are bound to the polyacrylate. From a clinical standpoint, the fact that ions remain in solution for extended periods before reacting with the polyanions, even after the initial set, is critical. If ions are washed out from the cement, the structure of the polysalt matrix is irreversibly compromised. In addition, calcium polyacrylate is more vulnerable to dissolution in water than the aluminum polyacrylate formed at later stages. By contrast, water plays a very important role in the reaction and in the set cement, because it remains tightly bound to the cement structure, hydrating the silica gel layer and involving the cation-polyacrylate bonds. Therefore a high relative humidity (approximately 80%) is the ideal condition for the setting reaction to take place.

The tartaric acid is stronger than poly(acrylic acid) and therefore reacts first with the glass and can form complexes with metal cations at lower pH. Its presence extends working time by delaying the formation of calcium polyacrylate, and upon gelation it accelerates setting by increasing the deposition rate of aluminum polyacrylate.

The set cement is constituted by a hydrogel of calcium, aluminum, and fluoroalumino polyacrylates involving the unreacted glass particles sheathed by a weakly bonded siliceous hydrogel layer. About 20% to 30% of the glass is dissolved in the reaction. Smaller glass particles may be entirely dissolved and replaced by siliceous hydrogel particles containing fluorite crystallites. The stability of the matrix is given by an association of chain entanglement, weak ionic cross-linking, and hydrogen bonding.

Manipulation considerations can be found on the book’s website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.
Properties

Glass ionomer cements are considered mild to the pulp compared to their predecessors, which used phosphoric acid solutions. However, inflammatory response is more intense than that associated with ZOE cements. In fact, the biocompatibility of glass ionomers is controversial, and in vitro results seem to vary according to the commercial brand tested. In general, there is some consensus regarding the fact that freshly mixed cements may present different degrees of cytotoxicity and cause a mild transient inflammatory response when placed in contact with pulpal connective tissue, but such response is greatly attenuated if at least 1-mm thickness of dentin is present. Besides a low remaining dentin thickness, the risk of postoperative sensitivity increases if a faulty technique is used. Thin mixtures seem to increase the risk of sensitivity. Rather than pulpal irritation, it is possible that postoperative pain may be related to the hydrostatic pressure exerted by the cement through the dentin tubules.

Glass ionomer cements release significant amounts of fluoride, both in the short and long term, which was shown to have an important antiangiogenic effect. Fluoride release increases the resistance of enamel to acid dissolution, by inhibiting bacterial growth and interfering with the metabolism of the dental plaque. The use of glass ionomer for orthodontic bonding reduced the risk of white spots formation almost by half compared to a resin cement. However, when patients were exposed to fluoride-containing toothpaste, no differences in enamel or dentin demineralization under accumulated plaque were observed in the short term between an ionomeric and a resin cement. It must be emphasized that the surface area of the luting cement exposed to the oral environment is small. Therefore the amount of fluoride released to the adjacent structures, as well as the fluoride recharging ability of the cement layer, may be less clinically relevant compared to direct glass ionomer restorations.

Bonding to tooth structures is one of the main characteristics of glass ionomers. It helps to enhance the marginal sealing of the restoration compared to nonadhesive cements, but is not high enough to significantly increase retention. The proposed adhesion mechanism is twofold: it occurs by the displacement of phosphate and calcium ions from the hydroxyapatite by carboxylic ions pendant from the polyacid chains and the incorporation of these carboxylate groups into the hydroxyapatite structure, and also by micromechanical interlocking achieved by a shallow hybridization of the partially demineralized dentin. Dentin surface treatment with 10% to 20% polycrylic acid for 5 to 20 seconds (shorter times for higher concentrations) significantly increases bond strength by removing the smear layer, partially demineralizing the surface—creating microporosities for micromechanical interlocking, and enhancing the chemical interaction of poly(alkenoic acid) with the hydroxyapatite. A solution containing 3% to 10% citric acid is also effective because Fe³⁺ ions deposited on the dentin surface increase the interaction with the cement. Bond strength of glass ionomer to dentin and enamel varies according to commercial product tested, but when loaded in shear it is around 2 to 5 MPa. Glass ionomer cements bond well to stainless steel, noble, and nonnoble alloys and titanium, but not to high-strength core ceramics.

Its mechanical properties are superior to other acid-base cements and increase significantly over long periods. Compressive strength is between 100 and 150 MPa; therefore it is far beyond the minimum of 70 MPa specified in the ISO 9917 standard. These cements are much weaker in tension because of their brittle nature, with diametral tensile strengths of about 6 MPa. Elastic modulus is around 15 GPa. Film thickness is below the maximum allowed by the ISO standard (25 μm) and should not prevent the correct seating of the restoration, if the cement is properly handled.

Erosion tests in vitro using citric acid showed that the fully set glass ionomers are more resistant than nonadhesive acid-base luting cements and erode at levels similar to those shown by enamel and dentin. Such behavior may be related to the silica gel layer that envelops the glass particles.

Resin-Modified Glass Ionomer

Resin-modified (or hybrid) glass ionomers set by both an acid-base and a polymerization reaction. The technology was originally developed for direct restoratives, but as with resin cements, the chemistry was adapted to formulate materials for luting cements. Although details of chemistry and setting reactions of resin-modified glass ionomer systems are provided in Chapter 9, this section gives a brief overview pertinent to luting cements. This class of cements does not show the early sensitivity to moisture conditions presented by conventional glass ionomers because of the presence of a polymeric phase, which prevents the loss of water and metal ions from the immature polysalt matrix. Available as powder-liquid, capsules, and paste-paste hand-mix and automix systems, resin-modified glass ionomer luting cements are indicated for permanent cementation of ceramic-metal crowns and fixed prostheses (e.g., metal inlays, onlays, and crowns; prefabricated or cast posts; porcelain fused to metal crowns and bridges, high-strength core zirconia all-ceramic crowns, and bridges; and luting of orthodontic appliances). Traditionally, these cements were self-cure...
only but the more recent versions have a photoinitiator so that they can be briefly light activated (i.e., “tack cured”) to speed up the placement.

**Composition**

The chemistry of resin-modified glass ionomers is more complex than that of conventional glass ionomers (see Chapter 9). In powder-liquid systems, the powder contains fluoroaluminosilicate glass particles similar in composition to those found in conventional glass ionomers. Catalysts for the self-cure (redox) polymerization are added to the powder. The liquid may contain poly(acrylic acids) modified with pendant methacrylate groups replacing a small part of the carboxylic radicals, HEMA, water, and tartaric acid. HEMA replaces part of the water and is a small molecule (molecular weight: 130 g/mol) soluble in water due to the presence of a hydroxyl group in its structure. Another liquid formulation contains similar concentrations (around 25% to 30% each) of a copolymer of poly(acrylic acid), HEMA, and water, and smaller amounts of low-viscosity dimethacrylate resins (such as urethane or triethylene glycol dimethacrylates). Initiators for the light-cured polymerization, if present, are found in the liquid.

Formulations of paste-paste materials are brand specific. Basically, one of the pastes contains the glass particles, HEMA, and a dispersing agent. Water and the reducing agent of the self-cure activation may be present, or a UDMA. The other paste contains the modified poly(acrylic acid), water, the oxidizing agent of the activation system, and fillers, and may present HEMA or a high-viscosity dimethacrylate monomer, such as Bis-GMA.

**Setting Reaction and Structure**

The setting reaction of resin-modified glass ionomer cement comprises two different mechanisms. The initial set is the result of either a light-cured or self-cured polymerization reaction of the methacrylate groups, present as pendant groups in the poly(acrylic acid) chain, in the HEMA molecule, or in the dimethacrylate monomers. Refer to Reactions in Chapter 9. The acid-base reaction, described in the previous section, is slower than in conventional glass ionomers because of the lower water content. The HEMA polymer and the polysalt are linked by hydrogen bonds. However, phase separation between the two matrices formed may occur. The use of a modified poly(acrylic acid) prevents phase separation, because the carbon double bond in the HEMA structure may polymerize with the pendant methacrylate from the polyacid chain. As a result, the cement matrix is formed by both ionic and covalent crosslinks. For further discussion, refer to Chapter 9.

Manipulation considerations can be found on the book’s website: http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.

**Properties**

Resin-modified glass ionomers are probably the most popular type of luting cements used clinically due to several beneficial properties, which include very low postoperative sensitivity; convenient procedure, especially ease of cleanup; minimal solubility; good bond to tooth structure; and extended fluoride release. This category is considered less biocompatible than conventional glass ionomers because of the presence of HEMA. Besides its already mentioned allergenic effect, it is a potential source for adverse reactions in the pulp. The largest amounts of HEMA are released in the first 24 hours, but release continues for several days. The release of free HEMA from the cement is higher in undercured materials. Therefore a correct mixing technique must be used to optimize the polymerization. In light-cured materials, the recommended exposure must be followed. In general, clinical results with these materials that have been reported to date are generally positive and this class of cements is by far the most popular class of luting cements preferred by clinicians.

In vitro fluoride release by conventional and resin-modified luting glass ionomers was found to be similar over a 180-day period, ranging from 99 to 198 ppm. Mineral loss and lesion depth around orthodontic brackets in vitro were significantly lower with resin-modified glass ionomers, compared to one resin cement and one luting compomer. Fluoride release is higher after 24 hours, stabilizing after 2 weeks.

Resin-modified glass ionomer cements show shear bond strength to conditioned dentin (10% citric acid, 2% ferric chloride for 20 seconds) or enamel (10% polyacrylic acid solution for 20 seconds) in the range of 8 to 12 MPa, although the popular products are self-adhesive and do not require additional dentin treatment. The bonding mechanisms are the same as described for conventional glass ionomers. The lower bond strength to enamel compared to resin cements may actually facilitate orthodontic bracket debonding. They bond to metal alloys and high-strength ceramics, with initial shear bond strengths of 2 to 5 MPa. Bond strength to metal alloy is significantly increased with the use of metal primers. Because of the presence of methacrylate groups, these cements bond well to resin composites.

Retention of metal-ceramic crowns to prepared teeth may vary significantly between the two-paste and the powder:liquid versions of the same product, and it is usually higher in the latter.

In terms of mechanical properties, resin-modified glass ionomer cements show compressive strength similar to conventional glass ionomers, between 90 and 140 MPa, and lower elastic modulus (3 to 6 GPa). Flexural strength may vary between 15 and 30 MPa. Film thickness determined at room temperature 2 minutes after the start of mixing may vary between
Resin-Based Cements

Resin Cements

Overview

Resin cements are low-viscosity composite materials with filler distribution and initiator content adjusted to allow for a low film thickness and suitable working and setting times. They have a wide range of applications, from inlays to fixed bridges, prefabricated posts, and orthodontic appliances. They are mandatory materials for luting low-strength ceramic and laboratory-processed composite restorations, but can also be used with cast restorations, particularly in cases where extra retention is needed. The ISO specification 4049 (2009) classifies resin cements according to curing mode as class 1 (self-cured), class 2 (light-cured), or class 3 (dual-cured). Most of the commercial products are dual-cured, combining chemical- and light-activation mechanisms. These materials show a comfortable working time and cure on command characteristic of light-cured composites, and also the security of high degrees of conversion even in areas not reached by the light. Class 1 and class 3 materials are typically hand-mixed or automated two-paste systems (base and catalyst). Self-cured and dual-cured materials can be opaque or translucent, and those indicated for cementation of ceramic restorations are usually provided in several shades. Light-cured materials are indicated for bonding of laminated ceramic veneers (esthetic cements) or orthodontic brackets. Some esthetic resin cements used for cementation of veneers include glycerin-based, water-soluble “try-in” pastes to help with shade selection.

Calcium Aluminate/Glass Ionomer Cement

This material contains a mixture of monocalcium aluminate (CaOAl₂O₃), inert glasses and, in some cases, glass ionomer particles. The liquid can be either a neutral polycarboxylic acid solution or a mixture of polyacrylic, tartaric, and neutral polycarboxylic acids. This material does not set solely by acid-base reaction, but also by dissolution and reprecipitation of the monocalcium aluminate particles. In contact with water, the monocalcium aluminate dissolves and reprecipitates as katoite [Ca₃Al₂(SiO₄)₃·5(OH)₆] and aluminum hydroxide [Al(OH)₃, or gibbsite]. The new crystals attach to the tooth structure, which associated to its alkaline pH could reduce the risk of postoperative sensitivity. Because of its calcium content and high pH, these cements were shown to be bioactive, promoting hydroxyapatite precipitation in vitro. Its performance in terms of mechanical properties and crown retention (metal and ceramic) is similar to self-adhesive cements. Because the aluminum hydroxide initially forms as an amorphous gel, excess removal is facilitated.

A description of zinc polycarbonate (or zinc polycarboxylate) and zinc phosphate, materials that are no longer commonly used in the United States, can be found on the book’s website http://evolve.elsevier.com/sakaguchi/restorative.
of free-radical production. Aromatic amines (such as ethyl 4-dimethylaminobenzoate) are considered more efficient than aliphatic amines [such as 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate]. The presence of amine in the composite matrix poses some clinically relevant concerns. First, amines are known to degrade over time, altering the shade of the cement. Second, they become inactive when in contact with acidic adhesive systems, and when cement polymerization takes place in the absence of light activation, the deleterious effect on degree of conversion may increase the risk of restoration debonding. It is important to point out that the relative amounts of self-cure and light-cure initiators vary significantly among commercial brands. Consequently, some materials are more dependent on light activation to achieve a high degree of conversion. Likewise, some commercial materials cure more promptly in the absence of light than others.

**Setting Reaction and Structure**

Resin cements set by free-radical polymerization, resulting in the formation of a densely cross-linked polymer structure surrounding the filler particles. Free radicals are generated by light activation, in which camphorquinone in the excited state combines with an amine molecule to generate a free radical. In the absence of light, free radicals are formed by redox reaction of the amine-peroxide system. A crosslink is formed when a propagating chain encounters an unreacted carbon double bond in a different polymer chain. Polymerization proceeds until the mobility of the reactive species becomes restricted by the increasing viscosity of the material and free radicals cannot propagate further, becoming entrapped in the polymer. Final degree of conversion is around 70% and depends on matrix formulation, initial viscosity, and curing mode. Conversion is usually higher in cases where the cement is dual-cured, compared to self-cured.


**Properties**

Monomers released from resin cements are known to produce cytotoxic effects on mammalian cells. Dual-cured resin cements show higher cytotoxicity at early setting stages when tested in self-cure mode, compared to specimens exposed to light curing. After 7 days of incubation, Bis-GMA-based dual-cured cements are less cytotoxic than zinc polycrylate, resin-modified glass ionomer, and a resin cement containing MDP monomer.

Mechanical properties of resin cements are defined by their filler content and degree of conversion reached by the organic matrix. As a rule of thumb, higher filler levels and higher conversion correspond to higher mechanical properties. Degrees of conversion of dual-cured cements are between 50% and 73% in the self-cure mode and 67% and 85% when light-cured. Compressive strengths of dual- and light-cured resin composite cements have been reported from 180 to 300 MPa, therefore much superior to acid-base cements. Flexural strength is between 80 and 100 MPa, higher than the minimum value required by the ISO 4049 standard (50 MPa). Elastic modulus may vary significantly among commercial brands, being between 4 and 10 GPa, values comparable to other cements. For dual-cured cements, mechanical properties are slightly higher when the cement is light-cured.

Film thicknesses measured according to ISO standards are between 13 and 20 μm, therefore within the maximum of 50 μm required by the ISO 4049. Water sorption and solubility of resin cements are much lower than those of resin-modified glass ionomer cements. However, the cement line may become apparent after a prolonged period of clinical use because of discoloration. Shrinkage of resin cements varies between 2% and 5%.

Immediate shear bond strength of resin cements to dentin varies between 12 and 18 MPa. MDP can bond to tooth structures, ceramics, and cast alloys by the reaction between its phosphate groups and calcium or with metal oxides. The integrity of the bonded interface is challenged by polymerization stress development. Polymerization stresses arise because of resin cement polymerization shrinkage, associated with the development of elastic behavior. In general, dual-cured cements develop higher polymerization stress values when light-cured because the curing reaction is faster than the self-cure reaction, allowing less time for viscous flow to accommodate the shrinkage before the resin composite reaches the vitrification stage. After the resin cement reaches the degree of conversion corresponding to the vitrification point of the organic matrix, all the shrinkage will contribute to stress buildup. When stresses at the interface surpass the bond strength of the adhesive layer to dentin or enamel, debonding and the formation of a contraction gap may occur.


**Self-Adhesive Resin Cements**

Self-adhesive resin cement is a class of resin-based cements that incorporates the etching, priming, and bonding chemistry in a single material. This should obviate the need for separate etching and bonding steps (and products), thus greatly simplifying the
placement of indirect restorations. The first generation of this class of products was supplied as powder-liquid formulations that had to be mixed either by hand or via triturable capsules. The newer generations are provided as two-paste systems that can be automixed through a static mixer. The mixed materials provide some initial fluoride release and produce low postoperative sensitivity. These materials are indicated for cementation of cast alloy single restorations and bridges, ceramic-metal crowns and bridges, ceramic (except veneers), and indirect composite restorations. Good results are also obtained with luting of prefabricated posts and high-strength ceramics.

**Composition**

The distinguishing feature of these two-part self-adhesive resin-cements over the classical resin cements is the inclusion in one of the parts of one or more acid-functional monomer whose role is to etch the tooth tissue while bonding to other monomers to build up cohesive strength. Most commercial products contain polymerizable monomers based on phosphates and phosphonates. Examples are 2-methacyrloyloxyethyl phenyl hydrogen phosphate (Phenyl-P), 10-MDP, Bis(2-methacyrloyloxyethyl) acid phosphate, and dipentaerythritol pentaacrylate monophosphate (Penta-P)(meth)acrylate. In addition, monomers with carboxylic acid groups such as 4-META and pyromellitic glycerol dimethacrylate are used by some manufacturers. In addition, common methacrylate monomers such as Bis-GMA, glycerol dimethacrylate, UDMA, and HEMA are present in varying proportions. Inert filler is included along with photoinitiators.

The other part contains nonacidic polymerizable resins, and a small amount of an acid-neutralizing filler such as fluoroaluminoisilicate glass (found in glass ionomers). Nonreactive fillers and photoinitiators may also be included depending on the particular product. The total filler content of the mixed cement is about 70% by mass (approximately 50% by volume), which is significantly lower than in composite restoratives.

**Setting Reaction and Structure**

The primary curing mechanism is via free-radical polymerization, either self-activated or dual-cured. The initial pH of the mixed cement is about 2 so that it can provide etching of the tooth mineral. In vitro studies have also shown that the acidic groups (phosphate and carboxylate) can bind with calcium in the hydroxyapatite to form a stabilizing attachment between the methacrylate network and the tooth. At later stages, the remaining acidity is neutralized in some cements by the reaction between phosphoric and carboxylic acid groups and the alkaline glass. The structure of the set material is mainly a cross-linked polymer, covalently bonded to filler particles by the silane layer. Some ionic bridging between carboxylic groups and ions released by the glass may also be present.


**Properties**

In terms of biocompatibility, self-adhesive resin cements present higher cytotoxicity than resin cements and acid-base cements. Cytotoxicity is reduced when cements are used in dual-cure mode. Their mechanical properties vary among commercial materials but, in general, are somewhat lower than those of conventional resin cements.

A significant advantage clinically while using the self-adhesive cements is the low incidence of postoperative sensitivity reported with these products. This is thought to be due to the fact that the dentin does not need to be etched with phosphoric acid.

The overall examination of the strength, hardness, and wear of several popular resin cements reported in studies suggests that their resistance to fracture and wear may be similar to, or perhaps slightly lower than, that of conventional resin cements. Flexural strength is in the 50 to 100 MPa range and compressive strength is between 200 and 240 MPa. Values in the lower range are usually associated with the cement tested in self-cure mode, whereas higher values are obtained with light activation. Film thickness is between 15 and 20 μm. Bonding to the tooth structures are supposed to occur by micromechanical interlocking and chemical interaction between the acidic groups and the hydroxyapatite. Initial shear bond strength to enamel varies from 3 to 15 MPa, intermediate between resin cements and glass ionomers. On dentin, some products have bond strengths comparable to resin cements. The self-adhesive resin cements were designed specifically to interact with the dentin substrate with minimal additional surface preparation. However, the bond to enamel is not as strong as with the use of phosphoric acid etchants. If substantial enamel margins are present, it is often recommended to etch with phosphoric acid even while using these cements. They show good bond strength values to metal alloys and high-strength ceramics.

The presence of unreacted acid groups increases water sorption, in comparison to conventional resin cements. Their fluoride content is low (around 10%) and, unlike the glass ionomer and resin-modified glass ionomer cements, the release of fluoride ions decreases rapidly with time. The beneficial effects of fluoride in the self-adhesive resin cements have not been clinically proven.
Resin Cements for Provisional Restorations

These provisional cements are paste-paste systems, which can be dual- or light-cured. They are useful for cementation of interim restorations in the esthetic zone of the mouth because they are tooth colored and fairly translucent. They are easy to clean, and some release fluoride. Resin cementation of provisional restorations is useful when the final cement will also be resin because there is no eugenol present to potentially impair polymerization of the final cement. Composite cements used for cementation of provisional restorations have a substantially lower compressive strength than composite cements used for permanent cementation (25 to 70 MPa and 180 to 265 MPa, respectively).
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13. MATERIALS FOR ADHESION AND LUTING


DENTAL CAD/CAM SYSTEMS

CAD/CAM, the abbreviation for computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing, describes a process in which digital images or models of objects are created and used for the design and fabrication of prototypes or final products using computer numerical control (CNC) or other fabrication methods such as three-dimensional (3D) printing. This process has been used for decades in a variety of industries and has become a popular method in restorative dentistry for creating impressions, cast and dies, and provisional and final restorations. Reports of 10-year follow-up studies for one system have shown good outcomes that are improving with each technological enhancement.

Dental CAD/CAM systems consist of three components:

1. A scanner or digitizing instrument that transforms physical geometry into digital data.
2. Software that processes the scanned data and creates images of the digitized object. Some systems then enable restorations to be designed for the digitized object.
3. Fabrication technology that transforms the digital data of the restoration into a physical product. Different systems place the fabrication technology in the dental office, dental laboratory, or centralized facility.

The two types of CAD/CAM systems for dental offices are acquisition (digital impression) only, and scan and mill. Acquisition-only systems create digital impressions by capturing images of the preparation and then sending the digital file to a center where either (1) a model is made upon which a laboratory technician can fabricate the final restoration or (2) the digital file is used to fabricate the restoration without a model. A scan and mill system adds an in-office restoration fabrication device to the digital impression instrument, enabling a restoration to be designed, fabricated, and delivered in one appointment. For the acquisition-only system, multiple appointments are required as in conventional indirect restorative care, and a provisional restoration is placed in the interim while the restoration is being fabricated by a laboratory technician. Scan and mill systems offer the convenience of one appointment preparation, impression, fabrication, and delivery, but include a waiting period while the restoration is milled and the additional cost of the milling machine.

Dental CAD/CAM systems have the following benefits:

- Provide improved precision and consistency
- Allow the clinician to visualize the preparation on a computer display from many perspectives
- Allow the clinician to design the restoration on a computer while visualizing the opposing dentition
- Provide a clean and streamlined impression method without the complexity of the many materials required for conventional elastomeric impressions
- Offer instant display and feedback for making corrections immediately
- Reduce the environmental impact of disposing the materials required for conventional impressions.

There are several digital impression systems currently on the market (Table 14.1). These systems (iTero, Fig. 14.1A; 3M True Definition Scanner, Fig. 14.1B; and TRIOS) produce digital impressions that require design and milling at a dental laboratory or milling center.
There are several dental CAD/CAM systems currently on the market (Table 14.2). These systems (CEREC AC, Fig. 14.2A, and PlanScan, Fig. 14.2B) offer the option of in-office design and milling but also allow design and milling by dental technicians. All of these systems can produce models from their digital files and may have the option for in-office design.

**DIGITAL IMPRESSIONS**

After the tooth preparation is complete and the tissues are retracted to visualize the tooth margins, the tooth is dried and readied for scanning. Some scanning systems require the use of an oxide powder on the tooth to remove optical highlights from the surface of the preparation and to enhance the scan.

### TABLE 14.1 Digital Impression Systems Without In-Office Milling Option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scanner</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Powder Required</th>
<th>Ortho and Implant Integration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3M True Definition Scanner</td>
<td>3M Company (St. Paul, MN)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(compatible with TS 150 and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PlanMill 40 milling machines)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIOS and TRIOS Color</td>
<td>3Shape (Stoneham, MA)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iTero</td>
<td>Align Technologies (San Jose, CA)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### TABLE 14.2 Digital Impression Systems With In-Office Milling Option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scanner</th>
<th>Mill</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PlanScan Restorative System</td>
<td>PlanMill 40</td>
<td>Planmeca (Roselle, IL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEREC AC and CEREC Omnicam</td>
<td>CEREC MC, MCX, MCXL</td>
<td>DENTSPLY/Sirona (Charlotte, NC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS 3500</td>
<td>CS 3000</td>
<td>Carestream Dental (Atlanta, GA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

quality. Scanners use either a series of static images or a stream of video images to capture the geometry of the tooth preparation.

CEREC AC with the CEREC Bluecam has a blue light-emitting diode (LED) and camera system, and uses active triangulation to create images of the tooth surface. Static images of the tooth are stitched together to create a single 3D model. The PlanScan uses a high-speed swept laser beam combined with a camera to obtain a series of 3D scans of the tooth using the principle of laser triangulation. Laser utilization allows scanning of a variety of different surface types and colors without the need for a contrast agent (powder). These scans are registered together to form a single 3D model. The iTero Element uses confocal imaging with 6000 frames per second and approximately 32,000 confocal spots to produce a single height-map. Over 20 such height-maps are produced per second, whereby a total series of a several hundreds of such height-maps record the preparation and the occlusal relationship.

**DESIGN SOFTWARE**

Each system includes proprietary software for the visualization of the scanned data and design of restorations (Fig. 14.3). A wide variety of restorations can be designed, including inlays, onlays, crowns, veneers, customized implant scanbodies, and fixed dental prostheses. The digital cast and dies can be visualized from any perspective, with or without the opposing dentition. Restorations are designed interactively by the clinician and computer, adapting the contours to harmonize with the adjacent and opposing teeth. A virtual “clay” is used to mold the restoration to the correct emergence profile, interproximal contact, and occlusal scheme. The opposing dentition can be moved through excursive paths to further develop a functional occlusal profile. Some systems allow for pre-preparation scanning.
PROCESSING DEVICES

Milling centers and dental laboratories produce restorations directly from the digital impression and restoration design data. Restorations can be milled from a variety of materials such as resin composites, acrylic polymers, leucite-reinforced ceramic, lithium silicate and lithium disilicate ceramics, and zirconia (Table 14.3). Wax patterns and acrylic provisional restorations can also be milled. The digitally produced models can be used to produce restorations by traditional methods in the dental laboratory.

Milling devices are distinguished by the number of milling axes. The quality of the final product does not necessarily depend on the number of milling axes, but it does affect the level of geometric complexity that can be produced. Three-axis devices are capable of movement in three spatial directions. They are not capable of milling axis divergences and convergences. Three-axis devices can turn the material block used for milling by 180 degrees during processing. Four-axis devices add the ability to rotate the material block infinitely. This enables the fabrication of a fixed prosthesis with a large vertical height difference. Four-axis devices add the ability to rotate the milling spindle so complex geometries can be milled in sections. This enables geometries such as converging abutment teeth to be accommodated.

Metals, resins, composites, and ceramics can be milled by the processing devices. Commercially pure titanium, titanium alloys, and cobalt-chromium alloys are metals commonly used in the devices. Resins can be milled to create lost wax frames for casting and also for long-term provisional prostheses. Composite blanks that are prefabricated to mimic enamel and dentin in their translucency and color can be milled to create final anterior restorations. Zirconia, described in Chapter 11, is a high-performance ceramic with excellent mechanical characteristics. It is used in milling devices for crowns, fixed partial prostheses, and implant abutments.

CLINICAL OUTCOMES

The performance of restorations produced in CAD/CAM systems has improved dramatically in the last decade. An older perception of poor marginal integrity associated with CAD/CAM restorations is no longer true. Enhancements in image capture, design software, and milling technology along with improvements in materials have all contributed to superior clinical outcomes. Patient selection and attention to margin design and tissue retraction are important factors, as they should be for all restorative procedures.

In recent studies of zirconia-based restorations, digital impressions resulted in better quality of contacts, better fit, and better occlusion than elastomeric impressions (Table 14.4). Digital impressions typically result in 33% shorter seating/adjustment time and fewer incidents of remakes when compared to elastomeric impressions. In a 10-year study of 308 ceramic restorations placed in 74 patients between 1991 and 1994, the restoration survival rate was 94.7% after 5 years and 85.7% after 10 years, which is comparable to the survival rates of cast gold restorations. A systematic review of four studies that reported on implant-supported CAD/CAM fabricated restorations found a cumulative 5-year survival rate of all-ceramic single crowns of 100% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 92.4% to 100%). A systematic review of studies that reported on single-tooth restorations fabricated with CAD/CAM technology from 1985 to 2007 revealed a failure rate of 1.75% per year, calculated per 100 restoration years from a total of 1957 restorations and a mean exposure time of 7.9 years. The review estimated a total 5-year survival rate of 91.6% (95% CI: 88.2% to 94.1%). The long-term survival rates for CAD/CAM single-tooth restorations were found to be similar to restorations fabricated with conventional methods.

### TABLE 14.3 In-Office Mills: Choice of Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mill</th>
<th>Resin Composite</th>
<th>Acrylic Polymer</th>
<th>Resin Ceramic</th>
<th>Lithium Disilicate</th>
<th>Leucite-Reinforced Glass Ceramic</th>
<th>Zirconia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEREC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (via CEREC Connect)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PlanMill</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (via PlanScan)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-150 (Glidewell Laboratories)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lithium Silicate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (open .stl file)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS 3000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (via CS Connect)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 14.4
Comparison of Restorations Made by Digital Impression Versus Elastomeric Impressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Digital Impression (% Perfect)</th>
<th>Elastomeric Impression (% Perfect)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of contacts</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Dental and Orofacial Implants

The practice of restorative dentistry seeks to replace the form and function of missing tooth structure. It was therefore expected that dentistry would follow orthopedic medicine in the use of implants to anchor prosthetic devices and as expected, that has happened. Global dental implant sales are expected to reach over $4.5 billion by 2022 at a compounded annual growth rate of 6.1%. In 2017 Europe exhibited the largest market share, followed by North America and the Asia-Pacific region. The Asia-Pacific region is expected to see the highest growth rate between 2017 and 2022.

Dental implants are fixtures that serve as replacements for the root of a missing natural tooth. Implants may be placed in the mandible or maxilla. When properly designed and placed, dental implants bond with bone over time and serve as an anchor for dental prostheses. Dental implants are used to replace a single missing tooth or many teeth, or to support a complete removable denture.

Worldwide, modern single-tooth implants have a success rate of nearly 95% survival at 15 years. Implants are permanent devices, surgically anchored in the oral cavity, that often provide significant advantages over other fixed or removable prosthetics. Implants are more conservative than traditional fixed partial dentures because they conserve tooth structure by eliminating the need for reduction of adjacent abutment teeth and they support the maintenance of healthy bone in the region.

Endosseous Implant

Endosseous implants are by far the most common type of implant placed today. Implants are placed directly into the mandible or maxilla (Fig. 15.1). A pilot hole is drilled into the alveolar or basal bone beneath (in cases in which the alveolar bone has been partially or completely resorbed), and the implant body is inserted into this site. The top of the implant is positioned so that it either protrudes slightly through the cortical plate or is flush with the surface of the bone. Typically a superstructure containing a prosthetic tooth or teeth connects to the implant body through an abutment that is screwed into the body directly through the mucosa.

OSSEOINTEGRATION AND BIOINTEGRATION

A major issue for implant design is the development of materials that are physically and biologically compatible with alveolar bone. Ideally, bone should integrate with the material, substance, or device and remodel the bone structure around it, rather than responding to the material as a foreign substance by encapsulating it with fibrous tissue. Under optimum circumstances, bone differentiation occurs directly adjacent to the material (osseointegration). Ideally, this osseointegration provides a stable bone-implant connection that can support a dental prosthesis and transfer applied loads without concentrating stresses at the interface between bone and the implant.

Historically, dental implants have been classified according to their design. This design was in turn based on the way in which they are surgically implanted. The three types of implants commonly used for the past 40 years are the subperiosteal implant, the transosteal implant, and the endosseous implant (Table 15.1).
successful implant-supported dental prosthesis. To achieve osseointegration between an implant and bone, a number of factors must be correct. The bone must be prepared in a way that does not cause necrosis or inflammation. The implant must be allowed to heal for a time without a load. Finally, the proper material must be implanted, because not all materials will promote osseointegration.

In recent years, various surface configurations have been proposed as means of improving the cohesiveness of the implant-tissue interface, maximizing load transfer, minimizing relative motion between the implant and tissue, minimizing fibrous integration and loosening, and lengthening the service life of the construct. Because of the necessity of developing a stable interface before loading, effort has been placed on developing materials and methods to accelerate tissue apposition to the implant surface. Surface-roughened implants and ceramic coatings have been implemented into clinical practice. Other, more experimental techniques include electrical stimulation, bone grafting, and the use of growth factors and other tissue engineering approaches described in Chapter 16.

The application of bioactive ceramics as implant materials was traditionally limited to their use as bone bonding and augmentation materials. There has been interest in coating titanium alloys with bioactive materials to promote an implant bone connection. Bioactive ceramic materials are more than just biocompatible. The use of the term bioactive implies that they have the ability to elicit a favorable tissue response when implanted in vivo. These ceramics form a direct chemical bond with natural tissues and are most often designed to bioresorb or biodegrade, having high solubility. Commonly implanted dental ceramics include the calcium phosphates with various calcium-to-phosphorus ratios [e.g., hydroxyapatite (HA) and tricalcium phosphate], bioactive glasses (mixtures of SiO$_2$, CaO, P$_2$O$_5$, and sometimes Na$_2$O and MgO), and glass ceramics.

Important examples of bioactive glasses and glass ceramics include Bioglass (a glass containing a mixture of silica, phosphate, calcia, and soda); Ceravital (which has a different alkali oxide concentration compared to Bioglass); Biogran (which has a different physical conformation compared to Bioglass); and glass ceramic A-W (a glass ceramic containing crystalline oxyapatite and fluorapatite [Ca$_{10}$[PO$_4$]$_6$[O,F$_2$]] and β-wollastonite [SiO$_2$CaO] in a MgO-CaO-SiO$_2$ glassy matrix). In addition, many other glass and glass-ceramic compositions, based on recently developed sol-gel synthesis methods, are being developed. Calcium phosphate ceramics vary in composition, depending on processing-induced physical and chemical changes. Among this group are the apatite ceramics, and of particular interest is HA. This is the synthetic version of the inorganic phase found in tooth and bone and is the bioactive ceramic material that has been most extensively investigated.

The impetus for using synthetic HA as a biomaterial stems from the perceived advantage of using a material similar to the mineral phase in natural

### TABLE 15.1 Implant Design Classification Scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implant Design</th>
<th>Contact With Bone</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Location Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subperiosteal</td>
<td>Directly on bone surface under the gingival tissues; no bone penetration</td>
<td>Co-Cr-Mo (Vitallium)</td>
<td>Maxilla and mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transosteal</td>
<td>Completely through the bone, penetrating the cortical wall twice</td>
<td>Titanium or Ti alloy</td>
<td>Mandible only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosteal</td>
<td>Within the bone, penetrating the cortical wall once</td>
<td>Titanium or Ti alloy</td>
<td>Maxilla and mandible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 15.1 Endosteal implant design.** Shown here are three different endosseous implant designs. Notice that all of the designs are implanted directly within the bone. Although the blade design has fallen out of use, the cylinder and screw-shaped versions continue to be the most widely placed implant designs in use today.
tissues for replacing these materials. Because of this similarity, better tissue bonding is expected. Additional perceived advantages of HA and other bioactive ceramics include low thermal and electrical conductivity, elastic properties similar to those of bone, control of in vivo degradation rates through control of material properties, and the possibility of the ceramic functioning as a barrier to metallic corrosion products when it is coated onto a metal substrate.

However, temperature-induced phase transformations while processing HA provoke considerable changes in its in vitro dissolution behavior, and the altered structure changes the biological reaction to the material. Given the multitude of chemical compositions and structures resulting from processing bioactive ceramics and the resultant fact that pure HA is rarely used, the broader term calcium phosphate ceramics has been proposed in lieu of the more specific term hydroxyapatite. Each individual calcium phosphate ceramic is then defined by its own unique set of chemical and physical properties.

Although calcium phosphate ceramics are too brittle and too stiff to serve as stand-alone dental implants for prosthetic tooth replacement, there has been continuing interest in using a thin (50 to 75 μm) layer of ceramic materials to coat the surface of metallic implants. This provides the beneficial osseo-integration characteristics of the ceramic combined with the high strength of the metallic alloy. Most manufacturers provide implants coated with calcium phosphate ceramic for use in sites where poor bone quality exists. A major limitation in using this concept in all clinical situations, however, has revolved around the inability to predict and maintain the bond strength of the coating to the metal. When the bioactive ceramic material resorbs in vivo, an unpredictable change occurs in the implant-bone interface, and implant micromotion and loosening may occur. This makes the long-term stability of these implants uncertain.

If successful, the ceramic coating becomes completely fused with the surrounding bone. In this case, the interface is called biointegration rather than osseointegration, and there is no intervening space between the bone and the implant (see Fig. 15.2). A number of ceramic coatings have been used in this manner.

Typically, these coatings have been applied to the surface of an implant via a plasma-spray deposition process. This results in a complex mixture of HA, tricalcium phosphate, and tetracalcium phosphate in the coating, rather than a recapitulation of the starting powder mixture. Physical properties of importance to the functionality of calcium phosphate ceramics include powder particle size, particle shape, pore size, pore shape, pore-size distribution, specific surface area, phases present, crystal structure, crystal size, grain size, density, coating thickness, hardness, and surface roughness.

The long-term integrity of the ceramic coating in vivo is not known, but evidence indicates that these coatings will resorb over time. In addition, results of ex vivo push-out tests indicate that the

---

**FIG. 15.2 Osseointegration and biointegration.** (A) In osseointegration, the implant material (left) and the bone (right) closely approximate one another. This approximation must be closer than 10 nm (arrows). In the intervening space, there can be no fibrous tissue. (B) In biointegration, the implant and bone are fused and continuous with one another. Osseointegration commonly occurs with titanium alloys, whereas biointegration occurs with ceramics and ceramic-coated metallic implants.
ceral-metal bond fails before the ceramic-tissue bond and is the weak link in the system. Thus the weak ceramic-metal bond and the integrity of that interface over a lengthy service life of functional loading is reason for concern.

**FACTORS AFFECTING THE ENDOSTEAL IMPLANT**

**Geometry**

Two primary objectives influence a patient’s decision to pursue dental implant treatment: aesthetics and function. To fulfill these objectives over an extended period, a dental implant must be capable of withstanding the occlusal stresses generated in the oral environment and in turn transfer this load to the supporting tissues. Not only must loads be transferred, they should also be of an appropriate direction and magnitude so tissue viability is maintained. In this respect, the implant principally acts to minimize and distribute the biomechanical forces. The forces are characterized by their magnitude, duration, and type. The ability to transfer force largely depends on attaining interfacial fixation. The interface between the implant and bone must stabilize in as short a time as possible postoperatively, and once stable, must remain stable throughout its service life. Designing an “optimal” implant that meets all the foregoing objectives requires the integration of material, physical, chemical, mechanical, biological, and economic factors.

**Magnitude of the Force**

The amount of load applied during normal chewing varies greatly, depending on location and state of the patient’s dentition. Bite force values reported in the literature range from about 40 to 1250 N. The magnitude of force is greatest in the molar region because this area acts like the hinge of a lever (Fig. 15.3). The incisor region, in comparison, experiences about 10% of the magnitude seen in the posterior segment. This difference in load borne by the teeth and supporting bone dictates differences in mechanical requirements between anterior and posterior implants. Because stress depends not only on the applied load, but also on the area over which this load is distributed, the loss of some teeth by a patient will greatly increase the stresses applied to the remaining teeth and implants in partially edentulous patients.

A prime requirement for any dental implant is adequate supporting bone height, width, and density. It is well established that bone grows in response to strain, and the presence of an increasing magnitude of stress applied to the bone will result in an increasing magnitude of resorption or loss of bone.

However, in the absence of a critical level of strain for normal bone maintenance, the bone will also resorb. Therefore if the patient has been edentulous for a prolonged time, the underlying bone will have resorbed and become less dense. It is common to place implants preferentially in the anterior mandible, because this region has the greatest trabecular bone density when compared with the premolar or molar regions in both dentate and edentulous patients. When planning implant treatment, careful consideration must be given to the load distribution.

A great majority of the materials considered to be biocompatible are not suitable for use as implants, because their ultimate strength is not high enough to withstand the forces to which they are subjected during normal function. However, in order to survive and continue to function effectively, it is not only the ultimate strength, but also the modulus of elasticity (or stiffness) of the material that must be considered. Unless the bone experiences at least 50 microstrain on a routine basis, it will begin to resorb. Most ceramic materials are extremely stiff; for example, polycrystalline aluminum oxide has a modulus of elasticity \( \approx 372 \text{ GPa} \). This stiffness is too high to transfer an adequate amount of an applied force to the bone. Instead, the stiffer implant material will carry a disproportionate amount of the load, causing stress shielding of the bone. By contrast, titanium has a modulus of elasticity \( \approx 100 \text{ GPa} \); still too high to be ideal, but much closer to that of bone (\( \approx 20 \text{ GPa} \)). It will permit normal physiological loading of the bone.

**Duration of the Force**

When considering repetitive loading such as that occurring during mastication, it is more appropriate to consider the endurance limit of a material rather than its ultimate strength. The endurance limit is the highest
amount of stress to which a material may be repeatedly subjected without failing. This limit is typically only about half of the ultimate strength for the material.

The tooth root-form implant is designed to be loaded parallel to the long axis and is vulnerable to fatigue failure from cyclic bending loads. These bending loads often result from premature contact, bruxism, inappropriate occlusal schemes, and the use of angled abutments. Off-axis loading should be avoided in design of the implant superstructure.

**Type of Force**

An implant experiences three types of loads in function: tensile forces, compressive forces, and shear forces. As discussed, a well-designed implant transfers and distributes these forces to the supporting bone. Bone is composed of both inorganic and organic constituents, and the inorganic components render it strongest when loaded in compression. Bone is about 30% weaker when placed in tension, and nearly 70% weaker when subjected to shear forces. Therefore occlusion is a crucial consideration in designing the implant loading.

Smooth-sided cylindrical implant designs place the interface between the implant and the bone in nearly pure shear, the weakest possible loading scenario. These implant designs rely either on microscopic texturing of the implant body to offer some mechanical interlocking and provide retention, or on a coating. If the coating on these fails or resorbs, bone loss usually results from the lack of load transfer.

By contrast, screw-shaped implants have threads to engage the bone in compression and transfer the applied load. The thread designs have been extensively researched to provide a minimum of shear forces and maximal compression to the bone. This allows for the most favorable bone response. A number of recent thread designs have been introduced that use rounded thread tips (to reduce shear forces at the tip), changes in the thread angle (to maximize compression), two or more thread profiles on the same implant (which will cut at different locations in the osteotomy, thereby increasing the contact area), and/or a reduced thread height accompanied by an increased thread pitch (spreading the implant loading over a greater contact area while simultaneously increasing the strength of the implant body).

The concept of osseointegration around cylindrical or screw-shaped implants represents a situation of bone ongrowth. An alternative method of implant fixation is based on bone tissue ingrowth into roughened or three-dimensional porous surface layers. Such designs incorporating sintered beads or a sintered wire mesh are typical of orthopedic implants. Recently, implants with porous metal bodies have been developed and marketed. These new designs incorporate macroscale porosity or porous cellular structures, resembling cancellous bone. This results in a modulus of elasticity close to that of bone, thereby reducing the resulting stress shielding. Such retention systems have been shown to have higher bone/metal shear strength than other types of fixation. Increased interfacial shear strength results in a better stress transfer from the implant to the surrounding bone, a more uniform stress distribution between the implant and bone, and lower stresses in the implant. In principle, the result of a stronger interfacial bond is a decreased propensity for implant loosening. The theoretical progression of macro-scale surface effects from the lowest implant/tissue shear strength to the highest is as follows: smooth, textured, screw threaded, plasma sprayed, and porous coated, porous body design.

**Implant Diameter**

An increase in implant length or diameter increases the total surface area of the implant. As a consequence, the area for distribution of the occlusal forces is increased and the stress on the bone is decreased. The bending fracture resistance (and hence rigidity) of the implant increases greatly as the implant width increases and is related to the implant radius raised to the fourth power. This dramatic increase can be deleterious if the diameter chosen causes stress shielding by reducing bone strain to subphysiological levels.

**Implant Length**

As with increases in the width, increases in implant length also increase the surface area and reduce the bone stress. However, a careful consideration of the bone quality is advised. In the highly dense type of bone usually found in the anterior mandible, overheating of the bone while drilling is a major cause of future failure. Preparation of an extra-long implant site tends to increase heating in this type of bone. Any immediate stability advantages provided by this long implant are transitory, because once the implant osseointegrates, the apical region of the implant receives minimal stress transfer. Most of the stresses are still concentrated around the upper cortical plate through which the implant emerges. Conversely, in regions of poor bone quality, typically found in the posterior mandible and maxilla, anatomical considerations dictate the length of implant placed.

**SURFACES AND BIOCOMPATIBILITY**

In analyzing an implant/tissue system, three aspects are important: (1) the individual constituents, namely
the implant materials and tissues; (2) the effect of the implant and its breakdown products on the local and systemic tissues; and (3) the interfacial zone between the implant and tissue. Regarding the ultrastructure of the implant-tissue interface, it is important to understand that, although this zone is relatively thin (on the order of 0.1 nm), the constituents of the zone (heterogeneous metallic oxide, proteinaceous layer, and connective tissue) have a substantial effect on the maintenance of interfacial integrity. Furthermore, interfacial integrity depends on material, mechanical, chemical, surface, biological, and local environmental factors, all of which change as functions of time in vivo. Thus implant success is a function of biomaterial and biomechanical factors, as well as of surgical techniques, tissue healing, and a patient’s overall medical and dental status.

**Ion Release**

Implant materials may corrode or wear, leading to the generation of particulate debris, which may in turn elicit both local and systemic biological responses. Metals are more susceptible to electrochemical degradation than are ceramics. Therefore a fundamental criterion when choosing a metallic implant material is that it must not elicit significant adverse biological response. Titanium alloys are well tolerated by the body because of their passive oxide layers. The main elemental ingredients, as well as the minor alloying constituents, are endured by the body in trace amounts. However, larger amounts of any metal cannot be tolerated. Therefore minimizing mechanical and chemical breakdown of implant materials is a primary objective.

Titanium and other implant metals are in their passive state under typical physiological conditions, and breakdown of passivity should not occur. Both commercially pure titanium (CP Ti) and the titanium alloy Ti-6Al-4V possess excellent corrosion resistance for a full range of oxide states and pH levels. It is the extremely coherent, conformal oxide layer and the fact that titanium repassivates almost instantaneously through surface-controlled oxidation kinetics that renders titanium so corrosion resistant. The low dissolution rate and near chemical inertness of titanium dissolution products allow bone to thrive and therefore osseointegrate with titanium. However, even in its passive condition, titanium is not inert. Titanium ion release does occur as a result of the chemical dissolution of titanium oxide.

**Surfaces**

Analysis of the implant surface is necessary to ensure a twofold requirement. First, implant materials cannot adversely affect local tissues, organ systems, or organ functions. Second, the in vivo environment cannot degrade the implant and compromise its long-term function. The interface zone between an implant and the surrounding tissue is therefore the most important entity in defining the biological response to the implant and the response of the implant to the body.

The success of any implant depends on its bulk and surface properties, the site of implantation, tissue trauma during surgery, and motion at the implant-tissue interface. Surface analysis in implantology therefore aids in material characterization, determining structural and composition changes occurring during processing, identifying biologically induced surface reactions, and analyzing environmental effects on the interfaces.

The surface of a material is always different in chemical composition, form, and structure from the bulk material, because the atoms at the surface are fundamentally different from those in the bulk of the implant metal. The surface of a metal can be considered as an abrupt cessation of the orderly stacking of atoms below. As such, the coordination number of these atoms differs and hence their physical and chemical properties are different from atoms deeper in the bulk, arising from their molecular arrangement, surface reactions, and potential contamination with other species. These differences may lead to changes in the interaction of the implant with the biological system.

In this regard, interface chemistry is primarily determined by the properties of the metal oxide and not as much by the metal itself. Little or no similarity is found between the properties of the metal and the properties of the oxide, but the adsorption and desorption phenomena can still be influenced by the properties of the underlying metal. Therefore characterization of surface composition, binding state, form, and function are important in the analysis of implant surfaces and implant-tissue interfaces.

**Surface Alterations**

In efforts to improve the in vivo performance of dental implants, considerable research has been, and continues to be, conducted to investigate the effects of macro-, micro-, and nano-scale surface features on osseointegration. Many of these research reports are contradictory; for example, Ti and hydroxylapatite surfaces are highly cyto-compatible, yet particles of these materials of a certain size cause cell death in culture. Therefore few absolutes have been identified, but some overarching guiding principles have been slowly emerging. The first of these is that cells in vitro (and possibly in vivo) undergo contact guidance on the implant surface. In other words, cells grow preferentially in and along nanometer- to micrometer-sized groove and ridge patterns on the surface of an
implant. These minute grooves influence cell behavior by causing the cells to align themselves in the direction of the groove and migrate guided by the surface grooves (Fig. 15.4). Interestingly, very deep nano-scale grooves enhance cell guidance, while increasingly wider grooves decrease this effect. Furthermore, modification of the implant surface to incorporate these micro- and nano-scale surface features on top of macrotexturing increases the bone-implant contact area and the biomechanical interaction between implant and anchoring bone, especially in the immediate term, after implantation. Cell shape, proliferation rate, and differentiation rate also depend on the texture of the implant surface to a great extent.

Nanometer-scale surface textures, smaller than the cell itself, particularly influence nonguided cell adhesion, migration, and proliferation on the surface of implants. This scale of feature is similar to the size and topography of proteins normally found in the extracellular matrix of bone. It is likely that it is the influence of these features on serum protein adsorption that facilitates cell interaction with the implant surface. An adsorbed protein layer on the surface permits effective cell integrin interaction with the underlining topography. Those proteins that have dimensions similar to the nano-scale textural features will not have their three-dimensional conformation changed by adsorption to the surface, while surface features smaller or larger than the proteins tend to alter protein conformation and activity during adsorption. However, conclusive evidence of the mechanism by which topographic effects are recognized by focal adhesions, and how this information is transferred and interpreted by the cells, remains an area of intense investigation.

A second principle is that varying the macro-scale surface texture of an implant material significantly affects the interface between the implant and bone in vivo. In general, rough-surfaced implants exhibit greater shear bond strengths to bone than corresponding smooth-surfaced implants. Upon microscopic examination, rough implants exhibit apparent direct bone apposition, whereas smooth implants exhibit various degrees of fibrous tissue encapsulation. Because this direct bone apposition is the method by which dental implants are retained in the jaw, they appear to be significantly affected by macroscale surface texture. Not all surface roughness, however, has the same desirable effects. The exact dimensions or degree of roughness that is optimal remains ambiguous at this time.

A third defining principle is that the molecular events which occur in cells when they encounter the surface of a material likely dominate all subsequent cell interactions with that material. These interactions are highly specific, mediated by proteins at the surface of the material and the cell, and are extremely dynamic in nature. Osteoblasts use integrin receptors to bind to specific surface-adsorbed proteins, and these proteins change over time and maturity of the cell. These attachment proteins may be present in physiologic serum, or they may be expressed directly by the cells themselves. As a result of these interactions, transmembrane integrin receptors will alert the cell of the nature of its substrate and modify its attachment to the material. Therefore fundamental properties of materials such as pH, pI, surface charge, zeta potential, wettability, and van der Waal’s forces may play significant roles in cell-material interaction.

As a result of these observations, during the last 30 years, implant surfaces have changed dramatically. Once, machined surfaces were the norm. Now, surfaces that are prepared by grit blasting, followed by either acid etching or coating to enhance the topography and/or remove embedded grit particles, dominate the marketplace. The resulting micro-scale retentive features not only roughen the implant surface, creating a greater implant-bone contact area, but also play a role in activation of key biochemical sequences that ultimately accelerate the wound healing process and encourage osteogenesis.

It is quite clear that the chemistry of the implant surface plays a significant role in osseointegration and anchorage of the implant within the bone. This remains an area of intense commercial research. Recently, a surface modification technique that incorporates grit blasting, followed by acid etching with a solution that results in fluoride ions on the implant surface, has been brought to the market. This preparation procedure results in a surface with varied chemical formulae within the surface oxide layer. This fluoride-containing surface has been shown
to enhance gene expression and lead to enhanced osteogenesis.

Other new technologies to alter surfaces are also emerging on the market. These methods hold the promise to simultaneously alter an implant oxide layer thickness, chemistry, and/or structure. Additional surface treatments being explored include chemical surface hydroxylation to enhance the hydrophilicity of the implant surface and laser micromachining to create micro- and nano-structured surface roughness in hard-to-reach areas such as inside implant threads. Finally, other techniques such as electrochemical anodization processes can grow thick porous oxide layers with varied chemistries while creating microscale open pores on the surface. Integrating phosphorus into the growing anodization oxide layer has even been shown to promote early molecular adhesion on the implant surface. There is a growing awareness that the type of metallic oxide at the implant surface dictates cellular and protein binding onto that surface. Comprehension of this phenomenon is moving these surface technologies rapidly forward. However, these new surface oxides are continually altered by the inward diffusion of oxygen, and by hydroxide formation and the outward diffusion of metallic ions. Thus an ideal, single-oxide stoichiometry rarely exists.

Surface Coatings

The use of an additional surface coating treatment warrants additional discussion. Calcium phosphate–based coatings have traditionally been the most heavily investigated. These materials are commonly applied to the implant surface as a plasma-spray coating. In this high-temperature process, a 25–50-μm thick layer of material is deposited onto the roughened implant surface and allowed to cool. These coatings rely on relatively weak mechanical interlocking between the coating and the roughened surface to maintain adhesion to the metallic surface. Furthermore, the rapid cooling of the molten ceramic sprayed onto the surface produces uncontrollable crystallinity changes within the material and results in large-scale cracking of the coating. Although research studies have noted enhanced bioactivity with these types of coatings, their use has been limited in the dental profession because the coating ultimately provides additional interfaces that may undergo stress-induced failure that leads to uncertainty as to their service life, as discussed previously.

Another coating approach under investigation involves the attachment of biological mediators to the implant surface. In particular, the immobilization of short peptide sequences onto the implant surface has been demonstrated to influence cell response in vitro. Cell integrins, which bind to specific short peptide sequences, are responsible for these cell responses. In particular, the tripeptide sequence L-arginine, glycine, and L-aspartic acid (RGD) plays an important role in cell adhesion. This sequence is present in many extracellular matrix proteins, including fibrin, collagen, vitronectin, fibronectin, and osteopontin, and helps to mediate cell adhesion to surfaces. The covalent bonding of recombinant forms of these sequences, via silane chemistry, onto an implant surface may stimulate mesenchymal cell attachment and provoke osteoblast proliferation and differentiation at the site. Additional peptide sequences under investigation include the following: YIGSR, IKVAV, and KRSR (to improve cell adhesion), and FHRRIKA (to increase osteoblast mineralization).

In addition to small peptide sequences, the use of entire recombinant proteins on the implant surface has been investigated. The use of immobilized cytokine growth factors such as bone morphogenetic protein, transforming growth factor-β, fibroblast growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor, and platelet-derived growth factor has been shown to positively increase the regeneration of tissues around an implant. However, homogeneous coating of the implant surfaces and the release of these proteins into the surrounding tissues are rather unpredictable and uncontrolled with respect to both duration and dosage. Although further research is needed to illuminate these unresolved issues, this treatment holds promise as a future therapy.

IMPLANT MATERIALS AND PROCESSING

In general, two basic classes of materials (ceramics and metals) are used as implants, either alone or in hybrid fashion. Metallic implant materials are largely titanium based: either CP Ti or Ti-6Al-4V. However, it is essential to note that synergistic relationships between processing, composition, structure, and properties of the bulk metals and their surface oxides effectively leave more than two metals. Casting, forging, and machining, to form near-net-shaped end products, alter the bulk microstructure, surface chemistry, and properties. Similarly, densification of ceramics and deposition of ceramic and metal coatings by hot isostatic pressing or sintering can change bulk and surface composition, structure, and properties. Thus the many material processing sequences necessary to yield the end-stage dental implant have a strong influence on the properties and functionality of the implant, primarily through temperature and pressure effects.

Metallic dental implants are almost exclusively titanium-based alloys, although cobalt-based alloys have been used historically and experimentally in
dentistry. The attributes of titanium, namely, corrosion resistance and high strength, are discussed in Chapter 10.

The initial rationale for using ceramics in dentistry was based on the relative biological inertness of ceramics compared with that of metals. Ceramics are fully oxidized materials and therefore chemically stable. Thus ceramics are less likely to elicit an adverse biological response than are metals, which oxidize only at their surface. As discussed previously, a greater emphasis has been placed recently on bioactive and bioresorbable ceramics, materials that not only elicit normal tissue formation, but that may also form an intimate bond with bone tissue and even be replaced by tissue over time.

CHALLENGES AND THE FUTURE

Although there is no consensus regarding methods of evaluating dental implants and what criteria are most important, clinical evaluations have generally shown that dental implants are successful in about 95% of cases, 5 years after implantation. Despite advances in synthesis and processing of materials, surgical technique, and clinical protocols, clinical failures occur at rates of approximately 2% to 5% per year. Causes of failure and current problems with dental implants include (1) early loosening from a lack of initial osseointegration; (2) late loosening, or loss of osseointegration; (3) bone resorption; (4) infection; (5) fracture of the implant or abutment; and (6) delamination of the coating from the bulk implant in the case of coated implants. The most common failure mechanism is alveolar crest resorption due to overloading. This inevitably leads to progressive periodontal lesions, decreased areas of supporting tissues, and ultimately to implant loosening. Aseptic failures are most often the cumulative result of more than one of the aforementioned factors.

Changes in implant materials and design will be accomplished by groups composed of dental researchers and the implant manufacturers themselves. They will continue to perform careful, multiinstitutional clinical trials and prospective studies of compatibility, stress shielding, and bone loading among other factors. These three areas in particular present a major motivation for change in implant materials. Although very biocompatible, the current alloys suffer from a large elastic modulus mismatch with the supporting bone. Research work is under way to create composite materials that are biologically compatible and have the same modulus of elasticity as bone. Similar moduli will result in a stress distribution that more closely mimics that seen physiologically. In addition, the work being performed to investigate implant texture effects is sure to reveal additional fundamental principles that will incrementally improve implant designs.

However, in spite of these new research directions, the future of implant dentistry lies in the hands of the restorative dentist. After an implant has been placed and healed, it is the restorative dentist who is responsible for designing and delivering the restoration or prosthesis to the patient. Patient satisfaction of the function and esthetics of the implant-supported prosthesis defines the success, or failure, of an implant case. Implants are in the mainstream of routine dental care in many countries. Their clinical success justifies the offering of implants along with more traditional therapies of fixed and removable partial prostheses for restoring edentulous spaces.
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Tissue Engineering

*Tissue engineering* is a term coined at a meeting sponsored by the National Institutes of Health in 1987. This discipline is a rapidly developing multidisciplinary branch of science that combines many of the basic principles of biology, medicine, and engineering. The primary goal of tissue engineering is the restoration, maintenance, or enhancement of tissue and organ function.

In addition to having therapeutic applications, in which a particular organ is custom grown to replace a failing or missing body part, tissue engineering has diagnostic applications in which tissues are fabricated in vitro and used for in vitro biocompatibility testing of compounds. Examples include application in drug metabolism and uptake, toxicity, or pathogenicity. Tissue engineering research, therefore, translates fundamental knowledge in physics, chemistry, and biology into materials, devices, and strategies. It also integrates biomaterials, cell biology, and stem cell research; engineering characteristics of threedimensional structures and mass transport issues; biomechanical characteristics of native and replacement tissues, biomolecules, and growth factors; and bioinformatics to support gene/protein expression and analysis.

Tissue engineering as a discipline grew out of the pressing need for replacement tissues and organs. During 2016, in the United States alone, over 33,500 solid organs (heart, lung, intestine, kidney, pancreas, and liver) were transplanted. More than three quarters of those organs came from deceased donors. Meanwhile, during this same period, 58,851 new potential recipients were added to the waiting list and nearly 6200 patients died while waiting for a transplant (Box 16.1). Clearly, the demand for organs greatly exceeds the supply. Enthusiasm for tissue engineering comes from the promise of making transplants easier and more common. In dentistry, the disciplines of periodontology and oral and maxillofacial surgery often use bone tissue transplant materials to repair defects.

Today, there are four primary classes of tissue/organ transplants: autograft, allograft, xenograft, and alloplast (Box 16.2).

**AUTOGRAFT**

An autograft is a tissue or organ that is transferred from one location to another within a single individual (Fig. 16.1). It is common to transplant tissues such as hair, blood, and even limited amounts of skin and bone. These tissues regenerate to some extent, repairing the void left after their removal. This method of transplantation avoids immunologic complications and is considered the “gold standard” for success.

**ALLOGRAFT**

Allografts are tissues or organs that are transplanted from one individual to another within the same species (Fig. 16.2). Routinely, tissues and organs are removed from deceased individuals (as well as living donors) and transferred to a different individual. Blood, bone, skin, corneas, ligaments, and tendons are collected in banks and frozen, to be used in future surgical procedures.

**XENOGRAFT**

The Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) is the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) branch that oversees and regulates human tissue transplants. They define xenotransplants as “transplantation, implantation, or infusion into a human recipient of either (a) live cells, tissues, or organs from a nonhuman animal source or (b) human body fluids, cells, tissues, or organs that have had ex vivo contact with live nonhuman animal cells, tissues, or organs.” This therapeutic regimen has been used
experimentally to treat neurodegenerative disorders, liver failure, and diabetes, when compatible human materials are not widely available.

Xenografts are now common in dentistry. One example is Bio-Oss (a product derived from cow bone) that is used to augment defects in the maxilla and mandible (Fig. 16.3).

**ALLOPLASTS**

Alloplasts are the newest type of grafting procedure materials. These grafts are fabricated completely from synthetic materials, making them quite different from the other three types of grafts, because no living component is used. Alloplastic materials, such as dental implants, are becoming increasingly common in dentistry. Dental implants fabricated from metals and ceramics are considered routine restorative treatment in many countries. These materials integrate with bone and help restore function for the patient, with excellent long-term success.

Bone grafting alloplasts are also common (Figs. 16.4 and 16.5). Autograft bone placed in the reconstruction of craniofacial structures can be augmented with ceramic and bioactive glasses. These alloplasts are available in nearly unlimited quantity with no adverse immunological reaction. An important benefit is that they do not pose the risk of transmitting disease from one individual to another.

### Injection of Cells

With the cell injection method, disaggregated cells at an undifferentiated stage of development are injected into the recipient. Considerable research had been conducted into the use of this method to combat systemic diseases such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease, as well as juvenile-onset diabetes and multiple sclerosis. It also holds potential for treating damaged nerve and muscle sites. Commonly referred to as stem cells, the injected cells are more appropriately termed undifferentiated or progenitor cells (see later discussion in the section on Stem Cells). These cells
are capable of forming new tissue with one or more phenotypes. As a therapeutic regimen, the cells are injected into the vicinity of the site in which they are intended to propagate, and they migrate to the area of injury and begin to replicate and replace the lost tissue, or produce a desired compound such as insulin (Fig. 16.6). This strategy has already been successful in regenerating small areas of cartilage in temporomandibular joints.

Guided Tissue Regeneration

Guided tissue regeneration (GTR) is a surgical procedure for regenerating tissue by enhancing the opportunity for one cell type to populate an area while providing contact guidance to the developing cells. The desired cell types can then populate an area without competition because unwanted cell types are excluded. In the laboratory, the method has been successful in creating a biodegradable polymer conduit through which nerve cell regeneration and reconnection can occur. GTR is commonly used in periodontal treatment to regenerate lost periodontal tissues such as the bone, periodontal ligament (PDL), and connective tissue attachment that support the teeth. The procedure involves placement of a membrane under the mucosa and over the residual bone (Fig. 16.7). The barrier helps to exclude the faster-growing epithelium and gingival connective tissues during the postsurgical healing phase, allowing the slower-growing PDL and bone cells to migrate into the protected areas.

Cell Induction

In the last decade, there has been explosive growth in understanding the role of cytokines, developmental...
proteins, and growth factors in molecular biology. Many of these growth factors are available as recombinant human lyophilized proteins. There have been tremendous advances in administering various mixtures of these growth factors directly to tissues to force them down a particular differentiation lineage. Often these growth and differentiation factors can be simply injected into the site. This technique,

**FIG. 16.4** OsteoGen (left) is a highly crystalline osteoconductive, bioactive, and resorbable bone graft material that is a biphasic mixture of \( \beta \)-tricalcium phosphate and hydroxyapatite. The Ca:P ratio is designed to mimic that of human bone (original magnification x50 for inset image); SynthoGraft (right) is a popular pure, single-phase \( \beta \)-tricalcium phosphate alloplast that is resorbable and has nanometer-scale porosity (original magnification x100 for inset image). (Courtesy John C. Mitchell, Midwestern University College of Dental Medicine-Arizona, Glendale, AZ.)

**FIG. 16.5** Bioactive glasses are receiving increased attention because of their surface bioactivity. Shown here is PerioGlas (original magnification x75 for inset image). (Courtesy John C. Mitchell, Midwestern University College of Dental Medicine-Arizona, Glendale, AZ.)

**BOX 16.3** STRATEGIES FOR TISSUE ENGINEERING

**Injection of cells:** Undifferentiated cells (usually not from the patient) are injected directly into the vicinity of injury.

**Guided tissue regeneration:** Undesired cells are excluded from repopulating a defect or injury site by placing a physical barrier to prevent their migration. Desirable cells are able to enter the site from the surrounding tissue.

**Cell induction:** Growth and differentiation factors are injected (or implanted with a time-release substrate) within the injury or defect site. Circulating cells are induced to differentiate and populate the site with a desirable phenotype. Alternatively, gene vectors are injected and cause the growth/differentiation factors to be produced endogenously.

**Cells in a scaffold matrix:** Preformed scaffolds are seeded with cells from a patient. This construct is grown in vitro to expand the number of cells and to allow the cells to begin to produce a matrix. After a suitable growth interval, the construct is implanted back into the patient. As the cells grow and develop into tissues, the scaffold slowly resorbs, leaving no trace of its former presence.
as a tissue-engineering regimen, targets local connective tissue progenitors already present in the region where new tissues are desired and induces those cells to generate the desired tissue. Some of the injected proteins may serve as mitogens in recruiting cells to migrate into the area, where other growth factors cause them to differentiate. Alternatively, they can be delivered by a substrate that releases them over time.

Gene therapy can be used with cell induction methods. In this application, a gene sequence that encodes for production of a specific growth factor or therapeutic compound is inserted wholly into the genome of the recipient cell. Insertion is achieved by a carrier, called a vector, to deliver the therapeutic gene to the patient’s target cells. The most common vector is a genetically altered virus that has been modified to carry human DNA. Because viruses have evolved a way of encapsulating and delivering their genes to human cells, they can be manipulated to insert these therapeutic genes into the recipient cells. Therefore vectors are injected into a site along with an initial bolus of the therapeutic compound, and the vectors then upload their genes into resident cells. After incorporating this DNA into their genome, the newly transfected cells begin to replicate the desired growth factor endogenously. This method promotes continuous protein production at the site long after the initially injected growth factors diffuse from their target tissues or degrade enzymatically.

Cells Within Scaffold Matrices
Three-dimensional porous scaffolds can be used with cells to provide many of the advantages of the aforementioned methods. These preformed scaffolds are usually made of bioresorbable materials. The scaffolds promote new tissue formation by providing a surface and void volume that encourages attachment, migration, proliferation, and the desired differentiation of connective tissue progenitors throughout the region where new tissue is required. Typically, the scaffold is seeded with progenitor cells that are allowed to attach and proliferate in vitro. The cell constructs are often grown in a nutrient media supplemented with
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**FIG. 16.6** Cell injection therapy. May involve the use of scaffold into which the cells are expanded, or they may be directly injected into their target site without a substrate.
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**FIG. 16.7** Guided bone regeneration (GBR). GBR is being used to isolate the site being regenerated from the overlying tissues by means of a titanium-reinforced membrane barrier (arrowheads). The newly forming bone may be augmented by the addition of particulate grafting material. The barrier is securely attached to the margins of the defect to prevent displacement during healing. Notice that decortication of the underlying bone bed allows invasion of the site by osteogenic precursor cells from the bloodstream and surrounding bone.
growth factors necessary for cell and tissue development. During the growth phase, a static or dynamic mechanical load may be applied to the construct, to align the cells in response to the load. The aligned cells tend to produce a highly organized extracellular matrix that results in improved tissue structure and function. After a suitable time in vitro, the entire construct is then implanted in vivo, where the tissue must continue to develop while forming a connection with the existing vascular system. The scaffold gradually degrades until it is completely replaced by new tissues. As the scaffold degrades, the developing tissues gradually experience higher fractions of the loads on the tissue and begin to function as native tissues.

The scaffold can therefore serve a dual function, as both a rigid substrate for cell growth and as a delivery vehicle for the release of therapeutic regulatory compounds in vivo. Release of bioactive molecules that are attached to the scaffold surface or encapsulated within the scaffold matrix can change the function of connective tissue progenitor cells (activation, proliferation, migration, differentiation, or survival) to create new or enhanced tissues (Fig. 16.8). There are several critical variables in design and function of the scaffold design. Variables include the composition of the scaffold; its three-dimensional architecture; surface chemistry; mechanical properties; and the physical, chemical, and biological environment in the area surrounding the scaffold during its functional lifetime, which is often determined by its degradation characteristic. All cells require access to metabolic molecules (oxygen, glucose, and amino acids) and removal of cellular waste products (carbon dioxide, nitrogen compounds, and salts).

There also must be a balance between consumption and delivery of these molecules if cells are to survive. Design of the scaffold must accommodate these issues. Eventually a rich blood supply will perform these tasks, but such a circulatory system takes time to mature.

Patients who receive allogeneous tissue and organ transplants are often treated with immunosuppressive drugs for their lifetime to prevent rejection of the grafted tissue. These drugs can have severe side effects. The ideal source of cells for tissue engineering therapies is the patient. Autograft tissue eliminates the potential for adverse immunological reaction. However, autograft tissues usually require an additional surgical site and the associated expense, discomfort, and healing time.

**STEM CELLS**

Stem cells are particular cells within the body that are unspecialized, and capable of division and self-renewal prior to differentiation into a specialized cell type. They are commonly used in tissue engineering therapies because they are not terminally differentiated and can migrate within the body to sites requiring repair or replacement. Stem cells are able to produce progeny cells with multiple phenotypes. There are different types of stem cells within the body: totipotent cells are single cells that can divide to generate any cell type, including extraembryonic tissues; pluripotent cells can become any of the over 200 types of cells in the body, but they lack the capability to generate extraembryonic tissues such as a placenta; multipotent cells are capable of forming

![FIG. 16.8 Scaffold systems. These systems may serve as delivery substrates for various therapeutic compounds, in addition to providing the cells with a suitable surface on which to grow. Multiple factors may even be delivered with different release profiles by varying the method in which they are incorporated into the substrate. In this example, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is incorporated largely near the surface of the scaffold, and is subject to rapid release in vivo. In contrast, the preencapsulated platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) is more uniformly incorporated throughout the scaffold and is subject to release regulated by the degradation of the matrix polymer. (Modified from Richardson TP, Peters MC, Ennett AB, et al. Polymeric system for dual growth factor delivery. Nat. Biotechnol. 2001;19(11):1029–1034.)](image-url)
one of a number of specific tissue types; oligopotent cells can take on only two or at most three different functions; and finally a unipotent cell can divide and migrate, but is restricted to forming only a single cell or tissue type.

Until very recently, most stem cell researchers worked with only two types of cells: embryonic stem cells (which are pluripotent) and somatic or “adult” stem cells (usually considered to be multipotent progenitor cells). Besides their origin, there are important differences between these stem cell types. For example, adult mesenchymal (or stromal) stem cells have been shown to only be capable of transdifferentiation into neural tissue, cartilage, bone, and fat. Therefore these “adult” cells were thought to be restricted to developing into one of only a limited number of cell types. Mesenchymal cells are found in small numbers in bone marrow and are also found circulating in the bloodstream. Isolating these cells from mature tissues is difficult, and routine methods to multiply them in vitro have not yet been perfected.

Embryonic stem cells, by contrast, can be grown relatively easily in culture. Because a large number of cells are needed for successful stem cell replacement therapy, the choice of cell type is important.

Despite being easier to culture, embryonic stem cells are prone to immunogenic rejection. This is a significant drawback. By contrast, adult stem cells, and tissues derived from them, are less likely to induce rejection because the origin of the cells is the patient. In that case, the patient’s own cells could be multiplied in culture, induced to differentiate into the specific cell type needed, and then reimplanted.

Recently, additional stem cell types have been investigated. One previously unknown type has been isolated from the pulp of normally lost deciduous teeth. These cells have been termed stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth, or SHED cells. SHED cells appear to have greater proliferative capabilities than adult stem cells and they also maintain the ability to produce the same range of progeny as mesenchymal stem cells (Fig. 16.9). Stem cells can also be obtained as the mesenchymal-derived cells inside permanent teeth. These cells have proven to be multipotent and provide for regeneration of osteoblasts, chondrocytes, myocytes, neurons, and adipocytes. They are frequently referred to, in general, as dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs).

Additional types of tooth-derived stem cells include periodontal ligament stem cells (which have been shown to differentiate into osteoblasts, cementoblasts, adipocytes, and chondrocytes), stem cells from the apical papilla (which can differentiate into osteoblasts, adipocytes, chondrocytes, and neurons), and dental follicle progenitor cells (which differentiate into osteoblasts, adipocytes, neuronal cells, and chondrocytes).

Even more recently, culture conditions and viral vectors were found in which differentiated adult cells can be “re-programmed” to take on an embryonic stem cell-like state. The development of these technological advances led to receipt of the 2012 Noble Prize for Physiology or Medicine “for the discovery that mature cells can be reprogrammed to become pluripotent”. These new types of stem cells are called induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). They are capable of generating cells that have the characteristics of all three germ layers and can differentiate into many different tissue types; for example, neural tissues, cardiac tissues, liver tissues, pancreatic tissues, or even blood cells. Because these cells and tissues are patient derived, immunogenic rejection is unlikely. To reduce the potential of cancer development from viral vectors during reprogramming, non-viral delivery methods are being heavily researched, mostly involving various types of material nanoparticles as the new delivery systems.

**FIG. 16.9** Differentiation pathways of mesenchymal cells. A circulating mesenchymal cell may become one of any of the cell types shown here. The pathway down which it travels is influenced by both local and systemic factors.
Compared to other tissues, cells from dental-derived tissues are readily obtainable and easy to harvest. They can be obtained from gingival tissues and the oral mucosa by swiping with a cotton swab, or by removal of pulpal tissues from extracted permanent or deciduous teeth. Thus these iPSC types currently hold the greatest promise for future success with stem cell therapies.

An interesting facet of iPSC technology has emerged in the last few years: epigenetic memory of these cells seems to preferentially differentiate them back to their original cell type. This might provide an advantage in the differentiation of cells into hard tissues, but could also be a shortcoming in the ability to repair other tissues. For this reason, DPSC-derived iPSCs have been mainly chosen as the starting cells for most oral tissue repair (bone, blood vessel, periodontium, nerve, and teeth).

Research into stem cell differentiation has led to significant discoveries, but the ultimate cause of differentiation remains unclear. Left in a cell culture plate alone, several tissue types will result from a single group of stem cells. However, with suitably timed administration of the appropriate growth factors, a single cell type emerges and begins to organize into a tissue. The fate of a cell is also controlled by changes in the migration, proliferation, differentiation, or survival of their progeny. Transplanted stem cells may even fuse with existing cells in a body and assume the characteristics of that tissue. Tactile stimulus or other factors to upregulate or downregulate genes to initiate these changes are being studied.

**BIOMATERIALS AND SCAFFOLDS**

Three types of biomaterials have been studied as scaffolds and carrier systems: (1) natural (or biological) materials, (2) ceramic or glass materials, and (3) polymeric materials. Each type has advantages and disadvantages in particular locations and tissues to be regenerated. All scaffolds must be nontoxic and nonimmunogenic, biodegradable, sterilizable, able to withstand mechanical loads, sufficiently porous to permit migration and growth of cells into their interior, and be supportive of a new fractal circulatory system to promote the exchange of metabolic constituents.

**Biological Materials**

Natural materials such as collagen, lyophilized bone (both allogenous and xenogenous), and coral have been used as tissue engineering substrates. Collagen has been extensively tested as a scaffold for bone regeneration. One of the first materials used for bone tissue engineering was the insoluble collagenous matrix obtained after extraction of the bone matrix with various chemical agents. This collagenous matrix, with freeze-dried bone, formed new endochondral bone when used with growth factors in vivo. Coral, based on calcium carbonate, is strikingly similar to the structure of alveolar bone. When coral is treated with phosphoric acids, the resulting calcium phosphate is very strong and biocompatible. Many patients prefer nonbiological implantable substrates because of the high potential for viral, prion, and disease transmission from these biological materials.

**Ceramic and Glass Materials**

Three decades of research have shown that certain types of glasses, glass-ceramics, and pure ceramics can bond tightly with living bone tissue. Hydroxylapatite, the major inorganic (ceramic) constituent of bone, was one of the first alloplastic materials used as a bone augmentation scaffold. Ceramic and glass-ceramic materials are generally biocompatible and perform adequately when biomechanical loads are applied. Their use as scaffolds is limited because of their long degradation times in vivo and lack of native porosity.

Some of these limitations might be overcome in the near future with recent advances in sol-gel synthesis methods for nanoporous and nanoparticulate glasses. These newer materials are more bioactive and resorbable than materials fabricated by “melt-derived” processes. In general, the sol-gel process converts a colloidal liquid, sol, into a solid gel of particles with entrapped pore liquids. The starting precursors are usually metal organic compounds, or alkoxides M(OR)₅, in which M is a metal network former and R is an alkyl group. These liquid precursors are mixed and hydrolyzed. This forms silanol groups that condense to create an interconnected network of siloxane bonds, with water and alcohols as by-products. Precise control of the synthesis and drying steps results in a final glassy solid with a very large degree of interconnected mesoporosity (pore diameters in the range of 2 to 50 nm) and high specific surface area. This nanoporous glass is an outstanding matrix for entrapping and adsorbing cytokines and drugs, for example. As the glassy matrix resorbs, these compounds are released in an activated form.

In addition, these bioactive glasses chemically bond to both hard and soft tissues, and they stimulate the formation of new bone in vivo. Bioactive glasses exchange ions with surrounding fluids within seconds of immersion into the body or media. In brief, the processes on the glass surface are characterized by this rapid ion exchange, followed by dissolution of the glass network and reprecipitation and growth of a silica gel layer on the surface, which in turn precipitates a calcium-deficient carbonate apatite [hydroxyl carbonate apatite (HCA)] layer onto its surface. This layer reorganizes and quickly results in the formation of a crystalline HCA layer on the glass surface. As these layers are forming and growing outward from the surface,
extracellular proteins become entrapped in the growing layers and invoke subsequent cellular reactions including cell attachment and colonization, proliferation, and differentiation into relevant progenitor cells (Fig. 16.10).

The interaction of bioactive glasses with living tissue, in particular forming strong chemical bonds to a tissue, is called bioreactivity or bioactivity. It is now believed that a biologically induced active apatite surface layer must form at the interface between the material and the bone to create a material bond with bone. In addition, the ionic species released from the reacting glasses upregulate at least seven families of genes found in mesenchymal cells and osteoblasts.

**Polymeric Materials**

Polymers are by far the most common materials used for tissue-engineering scaffolds. Polylactic acid and polyglycolic acid (and copolymers of these two) as well as polycaprolactone are common examples. These polymers are metabolized in vivo, and their acidic degradation products are easily removed from the body. They can easily be cast into a mesh or other desired
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FIG. 16.10 *The in vivo reactions that occur when a bioactive glass is implanted.* Ca, Calcium (green); P, phosphorus (purple).
shape or can simply be extruded as fibers, which are used to loosely pack an anatomically designed mold. The same material from which the scaffold is designed can be used to encapsulate growth factors to provide a timed release of the protein as the capsule degrades. Polymers release acidic and toxic products when they degrade that create inflammation around the implantation site. Their survival time in the body is difficult to control, and they become stiff as they degrade.

**CELL CULTURE METHODS**

Cells can be grown as a monolayer (or sheet) on a polystyrene growth plate treated to optimize cell attachment and proliferation. Culturing cells on three-dimensional scaffolds for later implantation is more difficult. Scaffolds thicker than 1 mm often produce a shell of viable cells and new extracellular matrix surrounding a necrotic core. Some type of perfusion bioreactor system (Fig. 16.11) must be used to more closely mimic the mass transport in vivo environment. Alternatively, the tissues can be fabricated in a well-vascularized region, in vivo. This allows a circulatory system to develop along with the cells.

Optimal culture conditions for tissue-engineering scaffolds require high seeding efficiency to minimize growth time and a homogeneous cell distribution in the scaffolds to ensure a uniform, organized tissue. Mechanical loads can improve tissue organization if they are applied to the developing cells as the cells begin to embed themselves within their extracellular matrix. Physiological loads are continually applied to natural tissues during development and use, so some loads applied in vitro begin to prepare the cells and tissues for implantation. Early loading aligns the cells into a stronger, more organized matrix, which in turn creates improved tissue function.

**TISSUE-ENGINEERED DENTAL TISSUES**

A great amount of research has been done to develop methods for regenerating tooth structure and its supporting tissues. The FDA has already approved two tissue-engineered living skin products and these are commercially available. It is probable that tissue-engineered bone and cartilage will soon follow.

Two approaches are being used to fabricate PDL. The first harvests existing PDL cells from the patient. The cells are grown and expanded in vitro (Fig. 16.12). They are then cultured as a monolayer without any substrate. Once the layer is continuous, with tight intercellular junctions, the sheet of cells is released from the culture plate and placed in situ on the tooth surface to repair the periodontal defect.
The second approach is a cell and scaffold method in which cells are again harvested from the PDL of the patient. The cells are then seeded onto a three-dimensional polymer matrix. This is grown in vitro and eventually implanted back into the patient’s periodontal defect (Fig. 16.13).

Another oral tissue being targeted by considerable research is a tissue-engineered salivary gland. The technique uses human parotid cells grown in vitro to develop an orally implantable, functioning, fluid-secreting tissue.

Finally, several research groups announced in 2004 that they had succeeded in growing primitive teeth using tissue-engineering methods. They created a tooth-shaped porous scaffold of bioresorbable polymer and seeded it with individual cells taken
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**FIG. 16.13** A more classical approach to periodontal tissue regeneration being developed. (A) The support scaffold is developed and shaped to the desired geometry. (B) In this method, cells are again harvested from the patient’s periodontal ligament (PDL) and seeded into a scaffold, where they are expanded in vitro. (C) The cells are allowed to grow for a time to allow synthesis of an appropriate matrix for implantation. (D) A periodontal defect is shown. (E) The tissue-engineered matrix is implanted into the defect site, leading to a regenerative response. (F) In this method the fully regenerated periodontal defect is expected to be indistinguishable from other sites in the patient’s mouth. (Modified from Bartold PM, McCulloch CA, Narayanan AS, et al. Tissue engineering: a new paradigm for periodontal regeneration based on molecular and cell biology. Periodontol 2000. 2000;24:253–269.)
from a tooth bud. This cell-seeded construct was implanted into the omentum of a rat to provide the fluid and nutrient transfer during growth and development. A mixture of cell types from the tooth bud migrated to the appropriate region during growth, and differentiated to form pulp tissue, dentin, and enamel in the correct anatomical relationships and ratios. Although these initial experiments have only produced teeth that were about 2 mm wide, they have shown that the concept will work to create entire teeth de novo.
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Conversion of Units

This appendix presents several tables that will assist the reader in converting units.

**TABLE OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES**

**LENGTHS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Conversion Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 millimeter (mm)</td>
<td>= 0.001 meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 centimeter (cm)</td>
<td>= 0.01 meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 meter (m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 yard (yd)</td>
<td>= 0.9144 meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 inch (in)</td>
<td>= 2.54 centimeters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 micrometer (μm)</td>
<td>= 0.001 millimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 micrometer (μm)</td>
<td>= 10,000 Angstrom units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Angstrom unit (Å)</td>
<td>= 0.1 nanometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 nanometer (nm)</td>
<td>= 0.001 micrometer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WEIGHTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Conversion Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 milligram (mg)</td>
<td>= 0.001 gram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 gram (g)</td>
<td>= 0.0022 pound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 gram (g)</td>
<td>= 0.035 ounce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 kilogram (kg)</td>
<td>= 1000 grams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ounce (oz)</td>
<td>= 28.35 grams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 pound (lb)</td>
<td>= 453.59 grams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 pennyweight (Troy dwt)</td>
<td>= 1.555 grams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 grain (gr)</td>
<td>= 0.0648 gram</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FORCES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Conversion Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 newton (N)</td>
<td>= 0.2248 pound force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 dyne (d)</td>
<td>= 0.00102 gram force</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX A  CONVERSION OF UNITS

CAPACITY (LIQUID)

1 milliliter (mL) = 1 cubic centimeter = 0.0021 pint
1 liter (L) = 1000 cubic centimeters = 1.057 quarts
1 quart (qt) = 0.946 liter = 32 ounces
1 ounce (oz) = 29.6 milliliters
1 cubic foot (cu ft) = 28.32 liters

AREA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sq in (in²)</th>
<th>sq ft (ft²)</th>
<th>sq mm (mm²)</th>
<th>sq cm (cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0694</td>
<td>645.16</td>
<td>6.4516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>92,903</td>
<td>929.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00155</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VOLUME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cu in (in³)</th>
<th>cu mm (mm³)</th>
<th>cu cm (cc, cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16,387</td>
<td>16.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0000610</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0610</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONVERSION TABLES

CONVERSION FACTORS (LINEAR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millimeters (mm)</th>
<th>Centimeters (cm)</th>
<th>Inches (in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Angstrom unit (Å)</td>
<td>0.0000001</td>
<td>0.0000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 nanometer (nm)</td>
<td>0.000001</td>
<td>0.000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 micrometer (µm)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONVERSION FACTORS (FORCE PER AREA)

To change kilograms force per square centimeter (kgf/cm²) to pounds force per square inch (lbf/in²), multiply by 14.223 (1 kgf/cm² = 14.223 lbf/in²).
To change kilograms force per square centimeter (kgf/cm²) to megapascals (MPa), multiply by 0.0981 (1 kgf/cm² = 0.0981 MPa). **Note:** 1 MN/m² = 1 MPa.
To change kilograms force per square millimeters (kgf/mm²) to gigapascals (GPa), multiply by 0.00981.
To change pounds force per square inch (lbf/in²) to megapascals (MPa), multiply by 0.00689.
To change meganewtons force per square meter (MN/m²) to pounds force per square inch (lbf/in²), multiply by 145 (1 MN/m² = 145 lbf/m²).
To change meganewtons per square meter (MN/m²) to gigapascals (GPa), divide by 1000.

CONVERSION OF THERMOMETER SCALES

Temperature Fahrenheit (°F) = (9/5 temperature Celsius) + 32°
Temperature Celsius (°C) = 5/9 (temperature Fahrenheit – 32°)
CONVERSION FACTORS (MISCELLANEOUS)

1 foot-pound (ft-lb) = 13,826 gram-centimeters = 1.356 newton-meters
1 radian = 57.3 degrees
1 watt = 14.3 calories/minute

CONVERSION OF EXPONENTIALS TO DECIMALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exponential no.</th>
<th>Decimal no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-5}$ (or $10^{-5}$)</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^0$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^1$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^7$ (or $10^7$)</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMPARATIVE TABLE OF TROY, AVOIRDUPOIS, AND METRIC WEIGHTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain</th>
<th>Troy dwt</th>
<th>Troy oz</th>
<th>Avoirdupois oz</th>
<th>Avoirdupois lb</th>
<th>Gram g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.00228</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0548</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>1.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>0.0686</td>
<td>31.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437.5</td>
<td>18.23</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>28.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>291.67</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>453.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PREFIXES AND SYMBOLS FOR EXPONENTIAL NUMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^{18}$</td>
<td>exa</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{15}$</td>
<td>peta</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{12}$</td>
<td>tera</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>giga</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^6$</td>
<td>mega</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>kilo</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^2$</td>
<td>hecto</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>deca</td>
<td>da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-1}$</td>
<td>deci</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-2}$</td>
<td>centi</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>milli</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-6}$</td>
<td>micro</td>
<td>μ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>nano</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-12}$</td>
<td>pico</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-15}$</td>
<td>femto</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-18}$</td>
<td>atto</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Calcium hydroxide bases, 131
cavity liners, 103–104, 130–131
Calcium phosphate ceramics, 303
Calcium phosphate formulations, 131–132, 132f
Calcium sulfate binders, temperature effects on, 263
Calcium sulfate-bonded investments, 262
properties, 262
setting expansion of, 264–265, 264f
thermal expansion, 264f–265f
Calcium sulfate dihydrate, 255, 255t
Calcium sulfate hemihydrate, 252, 255, 257t, 262
Camphorquinone, 289–290
Candida species, 19–20
Capacity, 328, 328t
Carat, 181
Carcinogenesis, 94
Caries
formation, 19
glass ionomers and, 127–128
remineralization treatments and, 10
Cariogenic organisms, 19
Caries lesions, 14f
Carnauba wax mixture, 57f
Casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP-ACP), 131–132
Cast alloys, 280
Cast base-metal alloys, microstructure of, 192–193, 193f
Cast titanium, 198–200
Casting alloys, 101–102, 107
relicating materials, 229–272
Casting investments
composition, 261–262
gypsum products, 260–268
properties required, 261
Casting materials, 250–252
biocompatibility, 187–188
density, 180t, 186, 186t
desirable qualities of, 250–251
elements in, 180–184
elongation, 186, 187
grain size, 185–186
hardness, 186t, 187
mechanical properties of
ANSt/ADA specification, 179t
types and composition of, 178–180, 179t
melting range, 186, 186t
properties, 186–188
strength, 180t, 186–187, 186t
Cavity configuration factor (C-factor), 84–85, 85f
Cavity liners, 103–104, 130–131
calcium hydroxide, 103, 130–131
resin-modified glass ionomers, 130
thermal conductivity, 58
C-C bonds, 118
Cell
culture methods, 322, 322f
death, 93
function tests, 93
induction, 315–317
lines, 92–93
metabolism tests, 93
in vitro assays, 92–93
Cell injection method, 317f
gene therapy, 317
tissue engineering, 314–315
Cell integrins, 308
Cementation, 273
Dental materials (Continued)
dynamic mechanical properties, 42–43
elastic modulus of, 36t
electrical properties, 60–63
fracture toughness of, 31f, 38–39
hardness of, 43–44
heat of fusion of, 58t
optical properties, 50–56
properties, 63–65
resilience, 37
saliva, contact angles of, 48
specific heat, 59t
surface mechanical properties, 43–45
tarnish, 63–64
testing of, 69–90
thermal conductivity, 58, 58t
toughness of, 38
transition temperatures, 56–57
water on, contact angles of, 48, 49t
wear, 45, 45f, 78
Dental pulp irritation tests, 95
Dental restorations
digital imaging, and processing, for
restorations, 295–300
masking ability, 56
physical properties of, 65
replacement of, 20
surface finish, 52–53
surface thickness, 52–53
thermal properties, 56–60
yield strength, 34
Dental stone
alginate impression material, 236f
chemistry and physical properties, 251
compressive strength of, 258f, 258t
crystal structure, 253f
manufacture of, 252–253, 252f–253f
water-to-powder ratio of, 254
Dental structure design, stress analysis and, 85–86
Dentin, 5, 5f, 9–17
acid etching of, 10, 13f
adhesion to, 279f
barrier effect, 95–96
bonding, 99–100, 278–280
bonding agents, 100–101, 101f
composites and, 151, 151f
cut, 100f
demineralized, 11, 12f–13f
dielectric constant, 61t
difficulties in testing, 12–15
formation, 104
fracture surface, 10f
intratubular, 9
physical and mechanical properties of, 12–15
primary, 9
properties of, 15t
remineralization, 103–104
Dentin (Continued)
scanning electron microscopy
image of, 9f
sclerotic, 12
transparent, 14f
Dentin bridge, 103f
Dentin disk barrier test method, 94f
Dentin-enamel junction (DEJ), 5, 9, 15–17, 16f
Dentures
adhesive, soft-tissue responses to, 107
base materials, immune
toughness, 38–39
Dentin (Continued)
hypoesthesia reactions, 107
biofilms and, 19–20
Deoxidizing agent, 184
Design software, 297, 297f
Detergents, surface tension and, 47, 47f
Digital impression systems, 296–297, 296f, 296t
Digital imaging, and processing, for
restorations, 295–300
Digital mechanical analysis (DMA), 57, 57f, 78–80
Dynamic mechanical mixing, 238
Dynamic modulus (E'), 42–43, 44t
Dynamic resilience, 43, 44t
Differential thermal analysis (DTA), 80
Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), 80
Differential thermal analysis (DTA), 56–57, 57f
Diffusion, 46
Digital imaging, and processing, for
restorations, 295–300
Digital impression systems, 296–297, 296f, 296t
compared to elastomeric impressions compared to, 251–252
Dielectric constant, 61, 61t
Differential scanning calorimetry
(DSC), 80
Differential thermal analysis (DTA), 56–57, 57f
Diffusion, 46
Digital imaging, and processing, for
restorations, 295–300
Digital impression systems, 296–297, 296f, 296t
compared to elastomeric impressions compared to, 251–252
Dielectric constant, 61, 61t
Differential scanning calorimetry
(DSC), 80
Differential thermal analysis (DTA), 56–57, 57f
Diffusion, 46
Digital imaging, and processing, for
restorations, 295–300
Digital impression systems, 296–297, 296f, 296t
compared to elastomeric impressions, 299t
in-office milling option, 296t
processing devices, 298
Dilatant fluid, 40, 40f
Dimensional change, 175t, 176
elastomeric impression materials, 246t
Dimensional stability, alginate impression material, 237
Direct metal laser sintering, 196–197
Disinfection
alginate impression, 237
elastomeric impressions, 248–249
Dislocations, 115–116, 116f
DMA. see Dynamic mechanical analysis
DNA synthesis, 93
DSC. see Differential scanning calorimetry
DTA. see Differential thermal analysis
Dual-curing composite, 148f
Ductility (of dental material), 36–37
Duplicating materials, 229
Durometer, 77
Dynamic elastic modulus (E'), 79–80
Dynamical elastic modulus analysis (DMA), 238
Dynamic mechanical mixing, 238
Dynamic modulus (E'), 42–43, 44t
Dynamic resilience, 43, 44t
E
EDTA. see Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
Elastic behavior
concepts of, 33
doing, 40f
Elastic deformation, 34
Elastic limit, 33
Elastic modulus, 35–36, 189, 195
of dental materials, 36t
Elastic recovery, 245, 246t
Elastic region, 33
Elastomeric addition-silicone impression, 239f
creep compliance, 247, 247f
detail reproduction, 246f, 247t
dimensional change, 246t
elastic recovery, 245, 246t
hydrophilization, 247–248, 248f
mechanical properties, 245–247, 249t
clinical application and, 249–250
strain in compression, 245f, 246
wettability, 247–248, 247t
Elastomeric impressions
digital impression systems compared to, 299t
disinfection of, 248–249
materials, 237–250
consistencies, 237
impression techniques, 238–239
mixing systems, 238
setting properties, 242–245, 243t
viscosity, 242–243, 243f
Electrical conductivity, 60–61
Electrical resistivity, 60–61
Electrochemical corrosion, 63
Electrolyte etching, 280
Electromotive force, 61–62
Electrolyte diffusion, 46
Embryonic stem cells, 319
Enamel, 5–8, 5f
acid etching, 6f, 7–8
apatites, carbonate contents, 8–9
bonding, 277–278
composites and, 151
cracks in, 16f
difficulties in, 12–15
nanoindentation mapping, 8f
prisms, 5
properties of, 15t
rods, 5
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Enamel (Continued)
- sealant adhesion to, 124
- structural variations of, 8
- surface, for sealant preparation, 125, 125f
Endodontontology, advances in, 2
Endosteal implant, 301, 302f
Endosteal implant
diameter of, 305
- factors affecting, 304–305
- force duration, 304
- length of, 305
Epoxy die materials, 251
Epoxy dies, 251
Esthetics, 2, 26, 284
Etched enamel
- for sealant, 126f
- sealant penetration of, 126f
Etched porcelain, 281f
Ethyl silicate casting investments, 267f, 268
Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 104
Evidence-based dentistry, 23–24, 23f
- hierarchy of, 23–24
- integration of, 23
- patient evidence, 23
- scientific evidence, 23–24
Exponential numbers, prefixes and symbols for, 329, 329t
Exponentials to decimals, conversion of, 329, 329t
F
Fabrication, permanent bending, 71
Face-centered cubic (FCC) array, 113–115
Fatigue strength, 73
Federal Specifications and Standards, 87–88
Feldspar, 223
Feldspathic porcelain, microstructure of, 224, 224f
Ferritic stainless steels, 202
Fiber posts, bonding of, 282
Fillers, 139
types of, 139f
Final setting time, 79, 256
Fineness, 181
Finite element analysis, 86, 212
Fissure
- incompletely filled, 125f
- stained, 124f
Fixed dental prostheses, 210–211
Fixed prosthodontics, base-metal alloys for, 195–196, 196t
Flexibility, alginate impression material, 235
Flexural fatigue curve, alloy, 73f
Flexural strength, 69–70
- of dental ceramics, 213t
- of selected dental materials, 71t
- test, photo-elastic analysis, 71f
Flexure, 69
Flow, elastomeric addition silicone impression, 246
Flowable composites, 155
- as sealants, 126–127, 127f
Fluids, 40f
- behavior, 39–40
- classification of, 40
- pseudoplastic, 40f
- shear rate, 40f
Fluorescence, 215
dental restoration, 52–53
Fluoride, 2
- in plaque, 130f
- release, 129f
- reuptake and rerelease, 129f
varnishes, 131
Fluoride ion release, 162–163, 163f
Fluoride-releasing materials, 20
Fluorine ion, 8
Focal necrosis, 104
Force, 29–30
- duration of, 304–305
- geometry, 304
- magnitude of, 304, 304f
Force-deformation, characteristics of, 32f
Forces, 327, 327f
- and wear, 26
Fourier-transformed infrared spectroscopy, 80–81
Fractography, 73–74, 74f, 212
Fracture determination, in ceramic restoration, 75f
Fracture strength, 35
Fracture stress, 35
Fracture toughness, 73, 213
- of dental materials, 38–39, 38t
- stress-strain curves, 38f
Free-radical addition polymerization of corresponding methacrylate monomers, 144
Free-radical scavenger, 285
Friction, 44
Frictional force Fn, 44, 45f
Frit, 223–224
 Fusobacterium nucleatum, 17–18
G
Gallium (Ga), 184
Galvanism, 62–63
Gel etchants, 275, 277
Gel point, 80
Gels, 46
Gene therapy, cell injection method, 317
Geometry, endosteal implant, 304
Gingival usage tests, 95
Glass ceramic A-W, 302
Glass ionomers, 102–103, 156–163, 285–287
- caries and, 127–128
Glass ionomers (Continued)
cement, 289
- fluoride release from, 129f
- fluoride reuptake and rerelease from, 129f
- clinical applications, 161
- composition of, 286
- and reaction, 127
- erosion tests of, 287
- materials, 20
- packaging of, 161, 162f
- properties of, 127–128, 287
- ranking of, 128f
- resin-modified, 287–289
- setting reaction and structure of, 286
- uses of, 127f
Glass materials, living bone tissue, 320–321, 321f
Glass transition temperature, 79–80
Glazing, 212
3-Glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane, structure of, 142f
Gold (Au), 181, 181t
Gold alloys, 63
Grafted tissue, immunosuppressive drugs and, 318
Grafting, tissue sources for, 314b
Grain size, casting alloy, 185–186
GTR, see Guided tissue regeneration
Guided tissue regeneration (GTR), 315, 317f
Gypsum
casts, 231
- compatibility, alginate impression material, 236–237, 236f
- materials
  - abrasion resistance, 258–259
  - surface hardness, 258–259
- pH, 255
- products, 252–260
  - casting investments, 260–268
  - chemical and physical nature, 252–255
  - chemical reaction, 253–254
  - effect of spatulation, 254–255
  - effect of temperature, 255
  - manipulation, 260, 260f
  - measurement, 256
  - mechanism of setting, 254
  - properties, 255–260
  - manipulative variables, 261t
- property requirements, 256t
- reproduction of detail, 259
- required and excess water from, 254t
- setting expansion, 259–260
- setting time, 256–257
- volumetric contraction, 254
Gypsum stone cast, 230f
Hardening solutions, 251
Hardness
  casting alloy, 186t, 187
  elastomeric addition silicone impression, 246
test, 44f
Heat of fusion, 57–58, 58t
Heat-pressed all-ceramic materials, 216–217
Heavy-bodied agar hydrocolloid impression materials, properties of, 235t
HEMA. see Hydroxyethyl methacrylate
High-copper alloy, composition, 172t
High-melting-point alloys, casting, investing for, 266–268
High-strength dental stone chemistry and physical properties, 251
  compressive strength of, 258t
  manufacture of, 252–253, 252f–253f
  properties of, 257t
  scanning electron photomicrograph, 259f
  viscosity, 257, 257t
  water-to-powder ratio, 254
High-strength stone dies, 251
High temperature alloys, 223t
Homopolymers, 119f
Hooke’s law, 35
Humidity, gypsum products, 255
Hybrid composites, 139, 139f
Hybridization, 278, 279f
Hydrated aluminosilicate, 209
Hydrocal, 252
Hydrofluoric acid, 280–281
Hydrophilicity, 280
Hydrophilization, elastomeric addition-silicone impression, 247–248, 248f–249f
Hydroxyapatite, 303, 320
  formula, 8
Hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA), 101
Hygroscopic casting investment, 265–268
Hygroscopic setting expansion, 264
Hygroscopic-thermal gold casting investment, 265–266
  setting and hygroscopic expansion, 266f
  thermal expansion, 265f
I
IdenAlloy certification program, 188, 188f
Immune hypersensitivity reactions, 107
Immunosuppressive drugs, grafted tissue and, 318
Implant supported restoration, stress distribution, 31f
Implantation tests, 94, 102
Implants
  alloys, reactions to, 108
  in bone, 95
  ceramic materials, bone tissue and, 320
  challenges and future, 309
  design
  biointegration, 301–304
  classification, 301, 302t
  osseointegration, 301–304
  materials
  bone reaction, 107
  ceramic, reaction to, 107–108
  processing, 308–309
  soft tissue, 107
  metals, reactions to, 108
  screw-shaped, 305
  surface, 305–308
  alterations, 306–308
  coatings, 308
  diameter, 305
  length, 305
  patterned, 307f
  titanium and, 20
Implant/tissue system, surfaces and biocompatibility, 305–306
Impression materials
  chemistry, 231–233
  compared to die materials, 251–252
  composition, 231–233
  desirable qualities, 229–230
  flexible, stone models, wettability and castability of, 49f
  proportioning and mixing, 234
  purpose of, 229
  types of, 231–250
Impression plaster, viscosity, 257
Impression trays, 229, 230f, 250
In vitro, animal, and usage tests, together, 96–97
In vitro assays
tests correlation, 95–96
types of cells, 92–93
Index of Federal Specifications and Standards, 88
Index of refraction, 53, 53t
Indirect composites, bonding of, 282
Indirect tests, 93
Indium (In), 184
Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), 319
In-office mills, choice of materials, 298t
Inorganic oxides, 143
Interfacial bond strength, 82
Interfacial sealing, of luting agents, 283
Intermediary dental materials, 123–134
Intratubular dentin, 9
Investment
  age of, 265
  cooling of, 264
Ion release, implant materials and, 306
iPSCs. see Induced pluripotent stem cells
Iridium (Ir), 182
Irreversible hydrocolloids, 231
ISO 10993, 98
ISO standards, for dental cements, 283b
J
Jaw position, bite force and, 304f
K
Kaolin, 209
Karstedt type, 240
Kinetic friction, 44
Knoop hardness
  composites, 151
test, 76, 77f, 77t
L
Laboratory composites, 155
Lactobacilli, 17
Laminate veneer, 211
Latex gloves, addition silicone impressions and, 241
Lathe-cut alloys, 176
Lattice structures, 114f
Lengths, 327, 327f
Leucite-based ceramic, 216
  structures of, 224f
  Leucite-reinforced ceramic, 215
  Light
  contact angle of, 48f
  interactions with solid, 54f
  reflectivity, 55
  transmission, of porcelains, 214t
  Light-activated tray materials, 250
  Light-cured composites, 147, 152
  Light-curing units, 164, 165f
  Linear coefficient of thermal expansion, 59, 60t
  Liners, 103–104, 103f
  Liquid, 166
  surface tension of, 47, 47f
  Lithium disilicate-based materials, 216–217
  Load-deflection curve, for Ni-Ti orthodontic wire, 37f
  Lost-wax process, temperature effect on, 262
  Low-copper alloy, composition, 172t
  Low-shrink methacrylate monomers, 138
  Low-shrink silorane composite, 142
  Luting agents
  adhesion of, 283
  anticariogenic activity of, 283
  biocompatibility of, 283
Luting agents (Continued)
classification of, 282–283
handling properties and radiopacity
of, 283–284
interfacial sealing of, 283
materials for adhesion, 273–294
mechanical properties of, 283
physical requirements of, 283
solubility of, 284
viscosity and film thickness of, 284

Metals (Continued)
chemical and atomic structure of, 113–116
corrosion properties of, 115
crystal lattice unit cells in, 115f
heat fusion of, 58t
physical properties of, 115–116
restorative materials, 171–208
surface tension of, 49t
Metamerism, 52, 52f
Methacrylate composites, 143
polymerization of, 144–146
Methacrylate resin, polymerization
contraction of, 145–146, 146f
3-Methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane, structure of, 142f
Methyl methacrylate, 117f
Microbond strength tests, 276f
Microcracks, 120
Molar, 124f
Molecular weight, 118
Metal ceramic systems
failure in, 222f
requirements for, 220–221
Metal ceramic bonding, 221–222
Metal ceramic crown
keramics for, 209
cross-section, 220f
and fixed dental prostheses, 210–211
Metal ceramic restorations, 209, 219–225
ceramics for, 222–224
design effect on, 224–225
failure and repair of, 225
Metal ceramic systems
failure in, 222f
requirements for, 220–221
Metallic crystalline array, 113–115
Metalloids, 113
Metals, 113, 171–208
and alloys, 26
atomic structure, 113–115, 114f
Nanomers, 141f
Nanometer-scale surface textures, 307
Nanotechnology, 3
Newton (N), 116
Newtonian fluid, 42
viscosity, 40, 40f
Nickel (Ni), 184
Nickel-chromium (Ni-CR) alloys, 195–196
Ni-Ti orthodontic wire, load
deflection curve for, 37f
Noble alloys, 184–190
formulation of, 185–186
hardening of, 181t, 184–185
phase structure of, 184, 185f
Noble dental casting alloys, compositions, 186f
Noble metals, 180–182
classes of, 180–181
Noncarious cervical lesions, 11–12
Noneugenol cements, 284–285
Nonresin cements, 103–104, 103f
Normal setting expansion, 264
Notch lesions, 11–12
Occlusal forces, 29–30
Occlusal registration materials, 250
properties used, 250t
Opacity, 53
Opalescence, 53, 53f, 214–215
Optical constants, 53–56, 55f
Optical properties, of dental ceramics,
214–215
Oral bacterial colonization, 18f
Oral environment, 5–22
Oral lesions, amalgam sites, 105–106
Oral soft tissues, restorative materials
and, 105–108
Orofacial implants, 301–312
Osmotic pressure, 46
Ossointegration, 301–304, 303f
OsteoGen, 316f
Oxidation-reduction potentials, for
corrosion reactions, water and
salt water, 62t
Packable composites, 151
Pain, amalgams and, 102
Palladium (Pd), 182
allergy, 107
Paraffin mixture, thermograms of,
56–57, 57f
Particulate-reinforced polymer
composite, 135
Passivation, 202
Patient evidence, 23, 23f
PD-AG alloys, 190
PD-CU alloys, 190
Pellicle, 17, 18f
Penetration coefficient, 49
Restorative materials (Continued)
oral soft tissues and, 105–108
specifications for, 87–88
Restorative procedures, dentin
alteration and, 11
Retarder, 233
Retention, 273
Rheology, 80
Rhodium (Rh), 182
Rockwell hardness test, 76–77
Root dentin, 15
Ruthenium (Ru), 182
Saliva
contact angles of, 48, 48f
synthetic, 64f
Sandblasting, with aluminum oxide, 280
Scaffold matrices with cells, tissue
engineering, 317–318, 318f
Scaffold systems, 318f
Scanning electron microscope, 276,
277f–278f
Scanning electron microscopy image
(SEM image), 74f
Scattering coefficient, 54, 54f
Sciences, various, application of, 2
Scanning electron microscopy image
(SLM image), 74f
Scanning electron microscope, 276,
277f–278f
Scanning electron microscopy image
(STEM image), 74f
Screening tests, 96t
Secondary optical constants,
calculated, 54
Self-adhesive resin cements, 282,
290–291
composition of, 291
properties of, 291
Self-cured composites, 147
polymerization reaction, 145
Self-etch systems, 275, 279–280
Self-etching primers, 275
Self-glazing, 212
Sensitization, 202
Setting time, 64–65, 79
alginate impression material, 234
control of, 256–257
gypsum products, 256–257
measurement, 79
Setting time (Continued)
spatulation and, 257f, 265
water-to-powder ratio, 257f, 265
Shade matching, 214
Shape-memory alloy, 203
Shear force, 30
Shear rate
addition siloxanes, 242–243, 244f
fluids, 40f
Shear strength, 71–72
values of, by punch method, 72t
Shear stress, 70f
Shear test, 83
SHED stem cells, 319
differentiation research, 320
Shelf life, 65
Shore A hardness test, 77
dental polymers, 77t
Shrinkage, 245
measuring, 83–85
silorane-volumetric, 147f
test assembly, 84f
Silane, 137, 280–281
Silane coupling agents, 142
Silicon dioxide refractories,
SiO2, 260
Sili-Bond, 137
Silicon dioxide refractories,
temperature effects on, 262–263,
263f
Silorane, 138
structure of, 138f
Silorane composites, polymerization
of, 146–147, 146f
Silver (Ag), 182–183, 183f
Silver diamine fluoride (SDF), 132
Sintered all-ceramic materials, 215
Sintering, 209
Sinusoidal oscillation, 43f
Slip-cast all-ceramic materials, 217
Smear layer
formation of, 11f
removal of, 100
Snap-set, of polyether, 244f
Soft denture liners, soft-tissue
responses to, 107
Soft tissues
cytotoxicity, 105
reaction of, implant materials, 107
Soldering investments, 268
Solids
interactions with light, 54f
water on, contact angles of, 49f
Solubility
composite restoration, 150
temperature and, 255f
Sorption, 46–47
Spatial structure, 118
Spatiotemporal model, of oral
bacterial colonization, 18f
Spatulation, 254–255, 260, 265
setting time and, 257f, 265
Specific heat, 58–59, 59f
Spectral reflectance curves, 50f
Spectrometric techniques, 80–81
Spherical particles, 172
SS. see Shear stress
Static autolocking, 238
Static testing, 42
Stem cells, 318–320
types, 318–319
Stereospecific polymers, 117–118
Stress, 30–31
analysis, 85–86
calculation of, 32
distribution of, 31f, 82f
measuring, 83–85
plotting, 33f
relaxation, 41, 41f
types of, 30–31, 30f
Stress-induced transformation
toughening, 211
Stress-strain curves, 31–39
fracture toughness, 38f
material properties and, 39f
resilience, 37f
Substrates, bonding to, 280–282
Superelastic materials, 33
Superficial Rockwell method, 76–77
Surface hardness, gypsum materials,
258–259
Surface oxides, 308
Surface tension
detergents and, 47f
liquids, 47, 47f
of metals, 49f
wetting and, 47–48
Syringeable composites, 136t, 154–155
T
Tear energy, 75
of some dental materials, 75t
Tear strength, 75
alginate impression material, 236f
elastomeric addition silicone
impression, 246–247
of some dental materials, 75t
INDEX

TEGDMA, structure of, 137f
Temperature
calcium sulfate dihydrate, 255, 255t
dental restorations, 56, 56f
effects, calcium sulfate binders, 263
investment and, 262–265
silicon dioxide refractories, 262–263, 263f
solubility
calcium sulfate dihydrate, 255t
calcium sulfate hemihydrate, 255t
Temperature
calcium sulfate dihydrate, 255, 255t
dental restorations, 56, 56f
effects, calcium sulfate binders, 263
investment and, 262–265
silicon dioxide refractories, 262–263, 263f
solubility
calcium sulfate dihydrate, 255t
calcium sulfate hemihydrate, 255t
Tempering, 211–212
Tensile strength, 175, 175t
of selected dental materials, 72t
Tensile stress (TS), 70f, 82
brittle materials, 72f
Tensilometer, 86, 86f
Tension, 30
Tensometer, 87, 87f
Terpolymers, 117, 117f
Thermal casting investment, 265–268
Thermal conductivity
amalgam alloys, 58
Thermal properties, composite
restorations, 161–162
Thermomechanical analysis (TMA), 57
Thermometer scales, conversion of, 328
Thermoplastics, 118
Thermosets, 118
Thixotropy, 40
3Y-TZP blocks, 213, 219
Three-point bending test, 69–70, 70f
Tl-6AL-4V
microstructure of, 199, 199f
room temperature, 198, 198f
Tin (Sn), 184
Tin oxide, 214–215
Tin plating, 280
Tissue-engineered dental tissues, 322–324, 322f
Tissue engineering, 313–326
scaffolds
culture conditions for, 322
polymeric materials, 321–322
strategies, 314–318, 316b
Tissue reaction, restorative dental
materials, 2
Titanium, 196–200
biofilm and, 20
ion release, 306
reactions to, 108
Titanium alloys, 197–200, 198f
Titanium oxide, 214–215
TMA, see Thermomechanical analysis
Tooth
calcified tissues in, 5, 5f
pulp, 63f, 99
reactions of, 98–105
pulp chamber, 5f
Torsion, 30, 72, 72f
Torsional moment angular rotation
curves, 72f
Toughening mechanisms, 211–212
Toughness, 38
Toxicity, 2
Transformation toughening, 211, 212f
Translucency, 53, 214–215
Transparency, 53
Transparent dentin, 11, 14f
Transplant
performed, 314b
waiting list for, 314b
waiting time for, 314b
Tri-Cure glass ionomer system, 159–160
setting reactions, 159f–160f
TS. see Tensile stress
Tubule density, 9
comparison of, 10t
Two-body abrasion tests, 78, 79t
Two-paste systems, 161, 162f
Two-putty addition-silicone systems, 238
U
UCS. see Ultimate compressive strength
Ultimate compressive strength (UCS), 34
Ultimate tensile strength (UTS), 34
Unicompositional alloy, 174
Universal bonding, 280
Universal systems, 275
Universal testing machine, 31–32, 32f
Urethane dimethacrylate (UDMA), structure of, 137f
Usage tests, 94–95, 96t, 102, 106
UTS. see Ultimate tensile strength
V
Values for electrical resistivity, human
-tooth structure, 61t
Van der Waals volume, 145–146
Varnishes, 103–104, 103f
Veneers, 211
Vicat penetrometer, 79f, 244f
Vickers hardness test, 76
Vickers indentation, 77f, 211, 212f
Viscoelastic materials, 41
Viscoelasticity, 39–42
Viscosity, 274
addition-silicone impression
materials, 244f
fluid behavior and, 39–40
impression plasters, 257, 257t
Newtonian fluid, 40, 40f
Viscous response, 39
Visual method, color, 51–52
Volume, 328, 328t
Volumetric shrinkage, 146f
W
Wash technique, 239
Water
contact angles of, in dental
materials, 48
GLs, 156
Water-bath temperature, 265
Water-to-powder ratio, 265
compressive strength and, 258t
setting time and, 257t, 265
Water sorption, 64
composite restoration, 150
Wear, 45, 45f
of dental materials, 78
rates, 153
tests, traditional, 78
Weights, 327, 327f
and measures, table of, 327–328
Wettability, 274, 274f
impression materials, 49t
Wetting, surface tension and, 47–48
Working time
alignine impression material, 234
silicones, 249
Wrought alloys, 200–204, 200f
composition of, 200–201, 201t
microstructure of, 200
properties of, 201, 201t
Wrought beta-titanium alloy, 203t,
204, 204f
Wrought nickel-titanium alloy, 203–204
composition and shape-memory
effect, 203
properties and manipulation, 203–204, 203t, 204f
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